Background: The purpose of this study is to compare clinical and radiological outcomes between trans-acromial fixation with Kirschner’s wire (K-wire) and AO locking hook plate fixation for acute acromioclavicular (AC) joint dislocation.

Methods: This study included 61 patients who underwent either closed reduction and trans-acromial fixation with K-wire (group A, 23 patients) or open reduction and internal fixation with AO locking hook plate (group B, 38 patients). Pain on a visual analogue scale (VAS) score, the University of California Los Angeles (UCLA) shoulder score, the American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons (ASES) score, and active range of motion (ROM) were used in the functional evaluation. For radiological evaluation, coracoclavicular distance (CCD) was measured on both clavicular anteroposterior view and compared between groups.

Results: At one-year follow-up, no significant differences in VAS pain score, UCLA shoulder score, ASES score, and active ROM were observed between groups, despite five cases (22.7%, 5/23) of complication in group A. The side-to-side difference between normal and affected CCD was 2.4 ± 2.2 mm in group A and 0.2 ± 0.7 mm in group B. This difference showed a statistical significance between groups (p<0.001).

Conclusions: For the treatment of acute AC joint dislocation, the K-wire trans-acromial fixation group showed a significantly greater CCD than the AO locking hook plate group. In addition, during the follow-up period, much higher incidence of complication related to implant was observed in the trans-acromial fixation group. Although clinical outcomes between groups were not significantly different, these results should be interpreted carefully.
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Introduction

Acromioclavicular (AC) joint injuries are common, accounting for approximately 9% of shoulder girdle injury. Males showed much more involvement than females, representing five to ten times, during the first three decades of life, and often in contact sports activities. While the AC joint and surrounding structures appear to be simple, the precise biomechanics and associated function between acromion and clavicle are not fully understood. This may be a reason for the substantial debate and lack of consensus regarding optimal treatment, despite introduction of numerous surgical techniques for surgical management of this injury.

Among these surgical methods, trans-acromial fixation using Kirschner’s wire (K-wire) and AO locking hook plate have been widely used to stabilize the AC joint in recent decades. Although they are not anatomical repair or reconstruction of the coracoclavicular (CC) ligament, they are relatively simple and easy to perform. Many studies have reported satisfactory outcomes using these methods.

However, despite simplicity of the trans-acromial fixation using K-wires, several complications have been reported, including
breakage, unexpected migration, and loss of reduction,\textsuperscript{6,15,16} which may be related to recent decrease in use. On the contrary, the AO locking hook plate has recently been widely used and many studies have reported good clinical results.\textsuperscript{16-12} However, there is a paucity of literature comparing these two non-anatomical stabilization methods.

The purpose of this study is to compare clinical and radiological outcomes between trans-acromial fixation with K-wires and locking hook plate fixation for acute AC joint dislocation. We hypothesize that clinical and radiological outcomes for K-wire trans-acromial fixation would be comparable to those of the AO locking hook plate fixation.

**Methods**

Seventy-nine patients who underwent either the trans-acromial fixation using K-wires or AO locking hook plate fixation (3.5 mm LCP clavicle hook plate; Synthes, Paoli, PA, USA) for acute (within two weeks after injury) AC joint dislocation from March 2009 to June 2014 in Severance Hospital were reviewed retrospectively. The patients assignments for each group were non-randomized; closed reduction and trans-acromial fixation (group A) was used during the early period of this study (between March 2009 and May 2011), group A were used; open reduction and locking hook plate fixation (group B) was used during the remaining period. Regardless of period, Rockwood type IV AC joint dislocation was addressed by group B. In cases where a female patient was concerned about the postoperative scar, group A was performed.

The inclusion criteria were (1) acute Rockwood type III, IV, or V AC joint dislocation; (2) available follow-up data for a minimum of one-year after surgery. Exclusion criteria were (1) subacute (more than two weeks since injury) or chronic AC joint dislocation; (2) previous history of surgery on the affected shoulder; (3) concomitant fracture around the ipsilateral shoulder. Sixty-one patients (23 in group A and 38 in group B) met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Severance Hospital Institutional Review Board approved this study and the requirement for informed consent was waived.

The IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 20.0 program (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis. The individual value was measured and then, the individual mean value was calculated. The CCD was defined as the perpendicular distance from the top of the coracoid process to the lower border of the clavicle.

**Operative Procedures**

All patients underwent surgery in 20° beach chair position on the ordinary operation table. For group A, closed reduction was performed under fluoroscopic guidance. The K-wire was inserted percutaneously at the lateral edge of the acromion, parallel to the acromion as possible. Passing the acromion, the K-wire was introduced into the clavicle, engaging its superior cortex. Two or three additional K-wires were inserted in the same manner. Then, the ends of the K-wires were cut, bent into ‘J’ shape, and placed underneath the skin (Fig. 1). For group B, an approximately 7- to 8-cm-sized skin incision was made on the distal clavicle and acromion, one fourth of width, from the posterior border of the clavicle. The dislocated AC joint was identified after dissection, and a hook plate was placed under the acromion as well as upon the distal clavicle. The status of reduction, depth of the hook, and contour of the plate on the distal clavicle were checked under fluoroscopic guidance. Adjustments of the plate contour with appropriate depth of the hook was made until the optimal reduction and contour of the plate were achieved. Then, locking screw fixation was performed (Fig. 2). Even though an additional CC ligament repair was not performed, the delto- trapezius fascial repair for reinforcement was done securely over the plate.

**Postoperative Rehabilitation and Implant Removal**

Regardless of fixation methods, the affected arm was kept in a sling for six weeks after surgery. On the first day after surgery, pendulum exercise, self-assisted circumduction exercise, and gradual passive ROM as tolerable were begun. After six weeks postoperatively, active ROM exercise was begun as tolerated. After three months postoperatively, the implant (K-wires or hook plate) was removed. Brisement under general anesthesia and subsequent arthroscopic capsular release were performed concomitantly for patients who experienced shoulder stiffness at the time of the implant removal.

**Statistical Analysis**

The IBM SPSS Statistics ver. 20.0 program (IBM Co., Armonk, NY, USA) was used for statistical analysis. The individual value was measured and then, the individual mean value was calculated. The CCD was defined as the perpendicular distance from the top of the coracoid process to the lower border of the clavicle.
NY, USA) was used for the statistical analyses. The Student’s t-test was used for between group comparisons of continuous or continuous ranked data including the VAS pain score, ROM, and shoulder UCLA and ASES scores. The paired t-test was used for comparison of preoperative and postoperative values within each group and Fisher’s exact test was used for comparison of categorical data including the presence of postoperative stiffness between groups. Statistical significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \).

### Results

#### Patient Demographics

Group A included 19 men and 4 women, and group B included 36 men and 2 women. The mean age at the time of surgery was 34.9 years (range, 21–56 years) in group A and 37.0 years (range, 19–63 years). In group A, 14 patients were injured on the right and the remaining 9 patients were injured on the left. In group B, 22 patients were injured on the right and 16 patients were injured on the left. In group A, six (26.1%, 6/23) patients were Rockwood type III and 17 (73.9%, 17/23) patients were Rockwood type V; in group B, nine (23.7%, 9/38) patients were type III, two (5.3%, 2/38) patients were type IV, and 27 (71.1%, 27/38) patients were type V (Table 1).

#### Clinical and Radiological Assessments

At one-year follow-up, the mean VAS pain score was 1.2 ± 1.1 in group A and 0.9 ± 1.0 in group B with no significant difference between groups. The mean UCLA shoulder score was 31.8 ± 3.2 in group A and 32.3 ± 2.4 in group B with no statistically significant difference. The mean ASES score was 91.4 ± 6.7 in group A and 93.3 ± 6.4 in group B with no significant difference. The active ROM measured in both groups at one-year follow-up showed no significant differences in forward flexion, external rotation with arm at side, and internal rotation (Table 2).
The mean preoperative CCD of the normal side was 7.4 ± 2.5 mm in group A (intraclass correlation coefficient of interobserver reliability, ICC=0.873) and 7.6 ± 2.3 mm in group B (ICC=0.792); the mean affected CCD was 17.9 ± 5.5 mm in group A (ICC=0.899) and 17.3 ± 5.1 mm in group B (ICC=0.835). At the final follow-up, the mean affected CCD was 9.8 ± 3.1 mm in group A and 7.8 ± 2.3 mm in group B. A significant difference was observed between groups (p=0.006). The side-to-side difference between normal and affected CCD at final follow-up was 2.4 ± 2.2 mm in group A and 0.2 ± 0.7 mm in group B, showing a statistical significance between groups (p<0.001).

Complications

One patient in group A had newly developed mild arthritis with heterotopic ossification around the AC joint, while there was no arthritis in group B. In six patients (15.8%) in group B, bony erosion under acromion was observed on plain X-ray. In group A, there were five complications (21.7%, 5/23): one case of K-wire breakage, one case of superficial infection followed by skin irritation by a bent end of K-wire migration, and three cases of reduction loss after K-wire removal. These five complications occurred in all Rockwood type V. In the wire-breakage case, the remaining K-wires maintained the acceptable reduction of the AC joint until removal of the K-wire, even though the CCD increased compared to immediate postoperative CCD. In cases of superficial infection, the infection was identified at four weeks after surgery. All K-wires were removed immediately and reduction loss was followed. After resolving the infection, CC ligament reconstruction was recommended, but the patient did not want to undergo further surgery. In three patients of reduction loss, immediate postoperative plain X-ray just after removal showed well maintained CCD. However, at three months follow-up after removal, six months follow-up from the initial fixation, reduction loss was observed. In group B, there was no complication such as reduction loss or infection, etc. during the follow-up period. For the first postoperative three months before implant removal, shoulder stiffness occurred in three patients (13.0%, 3/23) in group A and seven patients (18.4%, 7/38) in group B, who underwent both brisement under general anesthesia and subsequent arthroscopic capsular release at the time of implant removal. No significant difference in incidence of postoperative stiffness was observed between groups.

Discussion

This study was designed for comparison of clinical and radiological outcomes between trans-acromial fixation using K-wires and AO locking hook plate fixation for acute AC joint dislocation. The K-wire trans-acromial fixation showed comparable clinical outcomes to AO locking hook plate fixation, which was consistent with part of our hypothesis. However, the remaining part of our hypothesis was not confirmed: the CCD in radiological assessment was significantly different; significantly greater CCD difference between normal and affected side at final follow-up was observed in the trans-acromial fixation group compared with the hook plate fixation group.

Among the methods for acute AC joint dislocation, trans-acromial fixation with pin or wire is a widely used method. Several investigators reported satisfactory outcomes after closed or open trans-acromial fixation with a pin or wire. Nevertheless, the pin or wire can migrate or be broken, and several complications can follow such as skin irritation or reduction loss of the AC joint. Rhee et al., who compared the trans-acromial fixation and AO hook plate, reported 8 cases (14%) of pin migration or breakage. In our study, five patients in the trans-acromial fixation group experienced a complication and, coincidentally, were all Rockwood type V AC joint injury. We think that this result may be attributable to unrepaired and unhealed soft tissue around the AC joint in Rockwood type V injury in closed reduction despite a three-month fixation period. In particular, among 17 patients with Rockwood type V injury in the trans-acromial fixation group, these five-complication cases approach approximately 30%. In the difference of CCD between normal and affected side at final follow-up, the trans-acromial fixation group showed significantly inferior outcome, even though this was not directly related to clinical outcomes.

By contrast, there was no complication related to implant in the hook plate fixation group, although subacromial bony erosion was observed on the X-ray in some patients at the time of implant removal. While it appears that the trans-acromial fixation with wires has fallen out of favor, popularity of locking hook plate fixation appears to have increased. Many studies have shown that subacromial bony erosion by hook plate and other complications such as impingement, rotator cuff lesion, and acromial fracture can occur after hook plate fixation. However, most cases of bony erosion, however, are asymptomatic and clinically insignificant. In practice, if the depth of the hook is too deep, it can cause impingement and rotator cuff injury; by contrast, if the depth of the hook is too shallow, it can result in subacromial erosion. Sim et al. reported that early implant removal can decrease this bony erosion. Despite our attempt to apply plates with an appropriate depth of hook and removed the implant after three months postoperatively, subacromial bony erosion occurred in 15.8% (6/38) patients in group B. Rhee et al. bent the hook of the plate parallel to the acromion to prevent subacromial impingement or the hook encroaching the acromion; they also removed the implant at three to four months after fixation. Only two cases (10%) of subacromial bony erosion with any functional deficiency may result from these efforts. Kim and Jeon reported 36% subacromial bony erosion at the time of hook plate removal and in their study, the hook plate...
was removed at about six months postoperatively.

Kim et al. recently reported an interesting study regarding the AC joint motion after hook plate fixation. In that study, the hook plate fixation of the AC joint can cause decreased motion of the distal clavicle with respect to the medial acromion. In addition, we know that hook plate fixation for the AC joint dislocation is indirect reduction of the AC joint by the lever arm of the hook. Considering these roles of the hook plate in AC joint fixation, a longer period of fixation can lead to higher incidence of subacromial bony erosion. Thus, as many investigators have indicated, removal of the implant after three to four months postoperatively would be appropriate. In this study, among 61 patients included, there were only six (9.8%) female patients, and as indicated in previous literature, incidence was much lower in females, compared to males. In determining the surgical method for AC joint fixation in the current study, a relatively large scar after open reduction was an issue for female patients; of three cases of reduction loss after pin removal, one case was a female patient. Closed reduction and percutaneous pinning may have a cosmetic advantage in female patients; however care is required in application of this method in Rockwood type V injury.

We kept the affected arm in a sling for the first six weeks to relieve the load by arm weight on the AC joint regardless of the operation method; however we were concerned about shoulder stiffness due to the relatively long period of wearing the sling. Despite immediate exercises to prevent stiffness, stiffness was observed in 16.4% (10/61) of patients at the time of implant removal who underwent both brisement under general anesthesia and subsequent arthroscopic capsular release at the time of implant removal.

This study has several limitations; first, this study has an inherent weakness as a retrospective comparative study. In addition, the patient assignment was not randomized; in general, closed reduction and trans-acromial fixation with K-wires was used initially and group B was used later; Second, even though our study showed no significant difference in clinical outcomes between the two groups, we cannot exclude the possibility that this result may be attributed to the type II error. Thus, considering the aforementioned complications, care is required in interpreting our results; Third, the follow-up period was short and incidence of arthritis in the AC joint would be different in long-term follow-up; Fourth, we did not evaluate the AP translation of the AC joint via axial view. Considering that both methods could not reconstitute the AP stability of the AC joint, there would have been some differences in AP stability between the affected side and normal contralateral.

**Conclusion**

For the treatment of acute AC joint dislocation, the K-wire trans-acromial fixation group showed a significantly greater CCD than the AO locking hook plate group at one-year follow-up after surgery. In addition, during the follow-up period, incidence of complication related to implant was much higher in the trans-acromial fixation group. Although clinical outcomes were not significantly different between the two groups, the clinical outcomes of this study should be interpreted carefully.
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