Novel Design and Research for a High-retaining-force, Bi-directional, Electromagnetic Valve Actuator with Double-layer Permanent Magnets
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To increase the retaining force, a novel design for a concentric, bi-directional, electromagnetic valve actuator that contains double-layer permanent magnets is presented in this paper. To analyze the retaining-force change caused by the magnets, an equivalent magnetic circuit (EMC) model is established, while the EMC circuit of a double-layer permanent-magnet valve actuator (DLMVA) is also designed. Based on a 3D finite element method (FEM), the calculation model is built for the optimization of the key DLMVA parameters, and the valve-actuator optimization results are adopted for the improvement of the DLMVA design. A prototype actuator is manufactured, and the corresponding test results show that the actuator satisfies the requirements of a high retaining force under a volume limitation; furthermore, the design of the permanent magnets in the DLMVA allow for the attainment of both a high initial output force and a retaining force of more than 100 N.

Keywords : magnetic-equivalent-circuit method, electromagnetic valve actuator, permanent magnet, finite element analysis, optimized design

1. Introduction

Electromagnetic valve actuators are often used in the pneumatic/hydraulic control system of engines, aeroplanes, and spaceships. The movement and the terminal-position hold of an electromagnetic valve actuator are used for the attainment of a reliable force performance and lower energy consumption. The traditional solenoid actuator uses the electromagnetic force to drive the moveable part during the operational process. For the return process, the spring supplies the mechanical force, which is a process that is due to the technological merits including a simple configuration, a small size, and an easy manufacturing process. Most of the traditional actuators, however, provide only the driving force for one direction and not a latching force; therefore, the traditional solenoid actuator is employed in limited areas. Notably, the actual demand for a bidirectional operation and high latching force is growing [1–3].

For a solenoid actuator containing a mechanical spring, the armature is driven by the electromagnetic force of the energizing process and released by the spring force of the de-energizing process [4–5]. Some actuators, however, contain permanent magnets and the corresponding advantages are a low power consumption and high force characteristics in applications. Yet the permanent magnets located in the electromagnetic-flux circuit of the coil may cause a demagnetization problem for a permanent magnet [6–8]. To increase the output force and retaining force for an electromagnetic valve actuator, the available research concerns the design difficulty regarding the actuator in terms of its long moving stroke and a high reliability based on permanent magnets [9–12]. The retaining force represents the force (effect on the armature) under 0 ampere turns that is caused only by the magnets; due to an increasing industry demand, this force has been increased to a high level of approximately 100 N and the contrary-state retaining force has also been demanded.

This paper is concerned with an electromagnetic valve actuator, the design of which is based on a novel double-layer, permanent-magnet design, and the aim is the achievement of a maximum energy utility regarding the magnets and maximum retaining force; accordingly, the equivalent magnetic-circuit model and 3D finite-element simulation model are established. Further, the design and optimization of an electromagnetic valve actuator are introduced,
whereby the prototype actuator is manufactured and a test system is designed. With a focus on force, the experiment results regarding the actuator are presented.

2. DLMVA Principle and Structure

2.1. Structural design based on double-layer permanent magnets

The double-layer permanent magnet valve actuator (DLMVA) consists of a shell, magnets, sleeve, coil, armature, gasket, and cover, as shown in Fig. 1. The coil winding is assembled among the armature that moves in the non-magnetic sleeve and is of a cylindrical construction with two conical terminals. The covers across the axial center of the shell are assembled with an indent that is relative to the armature terminal.

The magnets are NdFeB, and they are produced as a sector-section shape, magnetized in the radial direction, and are installed in two terminals of the coil. Both the design and optimization are discussed under room temperature, but the temperature effect is not considered in this paper [13]. The non-magnetic gasket is used to fill the buffer space, while the magnets that can supply a magnetic retaining force larger than that of the traditional one-layer, permanent-magnet solenoid actuator are located beside the coil; these components are all symmetrical. The shell, cover, and armature of the actuator are composed of the soft magnetic material DT4E.

2.2. Analysis of the two stable states and the conversion process

The two stable states and the conversion process of the DLMVA are introduced in Fig. 2. In the system, state “0” is defined when the armature is located at the right end, whereas state “1” is defined when the armature is located at the left end. The magnetic flux of the magnets is distributed in parallel with the boundary surface among the shell, armature, and cover, and the magnetic-flux density is dependent on the length of the working air gap. When the actuator is in state “0”, the armature is driven into the fixed cover by the attractive force that is generated mainly by the down permanent-magnets’ flux. By energizing the coil with a positive pulse, the electromagnetic flux increases the attractive force F1 on the top-working air gap; alternatively, the electromagnetic flux decreases the magnets’ flux. Meanwhile, F1 and F2 are changing rapidly. When F1 is bigger than F2, the armature is switched to state “1”, followed by the movement of the armature to the left. When the coil is energized with a negative pulse, the electromagnetic flux causes F2 to increase and F1 to decrease. When F2 is bigger than F1,
the armature will be pulled back to state “0”.

Because the magnets are not in the electromagnetic-flux circuit, a demagnetization problem regarding the magnet is nonexistent. The energy can be fully utilized, while the force can be adjusted by the type and volume of the magnet. The demand of the higher output force, representing the force under different ampere turns that are caused by the coil and the magnets, can therefore be satisfied.

The state-switching process whereby the armature is closed at two side positions, and for which the small air gap of the magnets produces a maximum retaining force, is described in Fig. 2. Also, the electromagnetic field supplied by the coil is not needed to retain the states “0” and “1”; therefore, the structural characteristics can achieve a low power consumption and a large retaining force.

3. General Design and the EMC Model

3.1. Basic data of the DLMVA model

As discussed in part 2, the DLMVA-design parameters, which are within the commonly used volume limitation of Φ48 mm × 60 mm, are listed in Table 1. According to these design requirements, the sizes of the parts listed in Table 2 can be obtained.

3.2. EMC model for DLMVA

The constructed equivalent magnetic circuit (EMC) model is used to test whether the output force and the retaining force can meet the requirements proposed in this paper.

Neglecting the displacement current and the hysteresis, \( F_{m1} \) represents the magnetic potential of the top magnets in Fig. 3. Further, \( R_{m1} \) represents the equivalent magnetic resistance; \( R_{11} \) represents the top anti-working, air-gap magnetic resistance; \( R_{12} \) and \( R_{13} \) represent the top non-working, air-gap magnetic resistance; \( R_{u1}, R_{u2}, R_{u3}, R_{u4}, R_{u5}, \) and \( R_{u6} \) represent the resistance of the soft-magnetic-parts resistance; \( F_{m2} \) represents the magnetic potential of the bottom magnets; \( R_{m2} \) represents the equivalent magnetic resistance; \( R_{21} \) represents the bottom of the working air-gap magnetic resistance; \( R_{22} \) and \( R_{23} \) represent the bottom of the non-working air-gap magnetic resistance; \( IW \) represents the magnetic potential of the coil; and \( \Phi_1, \Phi_2, \) and \( \Phi_3 \) represent the circuit flux.

The working air gap and the nonworking air gap \( R_{ij} \) are calculated using the following basic function:

\[
R_{ij} = \frac{l_{ij}}{\mu S_{ij}},
\]

where \( l_{ij} \) represents the length of the gap and \( S_{ij} \) represents the average area of the gap.

According to the EMC shown in Fig. 3, the following equation is regarding the governing of the static electromagnetic field for the magnetic flux:

\[
\left[ \begin{align*}
(R_u + R_{u1} + R_{u2} + R_{u3} + R_{u4} + R_{u5})\Phi_1 & - (R_{u1} + R_{u2})\Phi_2 & - F_{m1} \\
(R_u + R_{u1} + R_{u2} + R_{u3} + R_{u4} + R_{u5})\Phi_1 & - (R_{u1} + R_{u2})\Phi_2 & - F_{m1} \\
(R_u + R_{u1} + R_{u2} + R_{u3} + R_{u4} + R_{u5})\Phi_1 & - (R_{u1} + R_{u2})\Phi_2 & - F_{m1} \\
\end{align*} \right] + \left[ \begin{align*}
R_{m1} \Phi_1 & - F_{m1} & - F_{m1} \\
R_{m2} \Phi_1 & - F_{m1} & - F_{m1} \\
\end{align*} \right] = IW .
\]

The following function is used to set the matrix form:

\[
R \Phi_b = F_b,
\]

where \( R \) represents the matrix of the reluctance circuit, \( F_b \) represents the matrix of the flux circuit, and \( F_b \) represents the matrix of the magnetic potential-vector circuit.

The magnetic resistance is calculated by the following function:

\[
R = l/(\mu S),
\]

where \( S \) represents the average area of the soft magnetic material, \( l \) represents the length of the soft magnetic material, and \( \mu = B/H \) represents the permeability.

Regarding the nonlinear feature of the soft magnetic material, which is the magnetization curve of the soft

---

**Table 1. Basic DLMVA-design requirements.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Requirement</th>
<th>Design Parameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Volume</td>
<td>≤ Φ48 mm × 60 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement</td>
<td>7 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial output force</td>
<td>≥ 20 N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retaining force</td>
<td>≥ 100 N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Preliminary armature and magnet parameters for DLMVA.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Size of parts</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diameter of armature</td>
<td>16 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height of permanent magnet</td>
<td>4 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
magnetic material DT4E in Fig. 4, the inner magnetic induction $B$ is smaller than the knee point of the magnetization curve $B_a$, and the permeability $\mu$ will increase with the increasing of $B$. The magnetic flux is influenced by the rapid increasing of the magnetic reluctance of the soft magnetic material.

To guarantee accuracy, the permeability $\mu$ is obtained from the test magnetization curve using the Lagrange's interpolation method. When $B_{i-1} < B < B_i$, i.e., $B$ is located between $(H_{i-1}, B_{i-1})$ and $(H_i, B_i)$, the following formula is set:

$$\mu' = \frac{B}{H_i - H_{i-1}} \left( \frac{B - B_{i-1}}{B_i - B_{i-1}} \right) + H_{i-1}.$$  \hfill (5)

Regarding Formula (5), the Newton iteration method is used for the solving process. The variety $\Phi(i)$ is set for the control of the accuracy of the entire model and the verification condition is set as the following formula:

$$\left| \frac{\Phi(i+1) - \Phi(i)}{\Phi(i)} \right| < \varepsilon,$$  \hfill (6)

where $\varepsilon$ represents the error-control factor of the model.

Considering the nonlinear characterization of the magnetic material that is according to Formula (1), the working air-gap flux can be calculated using the following iterative loop:

$$F = \frac{\Phi^2}{2\mu_0 S},$$  \hfill (7)

where $F$ represents the total attraction on the armature, $S$ represents the sectional area of the armature, and $\mu_0$ represents the air permeability. The force $F$ can be calculated using Equation (7).

Through the topology analysis, we can obtain the magnetic-flux-circuit equations that can then be used to solve the flux; furthermore, the force can also be calculated. From the elementary calculation results regarding the use of the EMC method, the magnetic resistance and magnetic potential are separated in parallel. The calculation results are 121 N (state-“1” retaining force) and -115 N (state-“0” retaining force), showing that the DLMVA can satisfy the design parameters of a 100 N retaining force in a stable state and an output force of more than 20 N when the state is transformed from either “0” to “1” or “1” to “0” [0 mm, 7 mm]; here, the armature diameter is 16 mm and the magnet height is 6 mm.

4. Optimization Design for the Parameters

The height of the magnet and the space between the armature and the permanent magnets are the two key design parameters that exert an important effect on the retaining force of the actuator. To obtain the optimum values of these two key parameters, an optimization of the parameter design is carried out. First, according to the actuator-structure size, a 3D FEA model is established. Second, the model is meshed with the gap areas using a fine mesh, and the boundary-condition load is set. Lastly, the magnetic-field distribution of the actuator and the force of the armature are calculated.

4.1. 2D FEM model for DLMVA

A 2D FEM model is then built according to the DLMVA-structure size. The magnetic-flux distribution of the center section is shown in Fig. 5. The 2D model is built using a triangle element and the total number of the element is 9179. When the coil is de-energized, the closed loop of the magnetic fluxes passes through the magnets, shell,
cover, conical air gap, and armature, before it returns to the magnets. The magnetic-flux lines are not vertical to the edge face of the shell or the armature, while the quantity of the flux lines in the armature is in relation to the magnet height and air-gap length that influence the magnetic reluctance over a large force-stroke process; moreover, the performance of the design of the conical air gap is sound in terms of the output force. The improvement of the magnet height, air-gap length, and conical angle for the magnetic actuator are investigated separately, as follows; in terms of defining the distance between the magnets, the ampere turn is locked at approximately 1800 ampere turns.

The optimization for the magnet parameters can be carried out using the 3D FEM model that is established to study the static-force characteristic shown in Fig. 6. The grid mesh of the model for the magnetic actuator is shown in Fig. 7, whereby a fine mesh in the area of the air gap improves the calculation accuracy. The model contains 14917 elements.

4.2. Optimization for the magnet parameters based on the 3D FEM

The stroke-force calculation results under the magnet heights of 5 mm, 6 mm, 7 mm, and 8 mm that are based on the 3D FEM are shown in Fig. 7. The four lines on the bottom are the retaining-force curves that correspond to 0 ampere turns. From an analysis of the tendency of the curves when the magnet height is equal to or above 6 mm, the retaining force can be more than 100 N. These top four lines are the output-force curves that correspond to 1800 ampere turns; here, stroke force is defined as the attractive force of the armature and retaining force is defined as the attractive force under 0 ampere turns.

As the magnet height increases, the output and retaining forces are immediately increased. The design of the higher magnets can guarantee the reliability of the motion process; however, the oversized magnets repeatedly increase the volume, weight, and long-term physical damage of the terminal-impact force of the moving armature, and it also increases the output and retaining forces without limitation. So an accurate magnet height of 7 mm is employed to obtain the suitable stroke-force curve.

4.3. Optimization for the air gap between the armature and the magnets

The stroke-versus-force curves under three different air-gap lengths of 0.5 mm, 1 mm, and 1.5 mm are shown in Fig. 8 based on the 3D FEM results where the three lines on the bottom are the retaining-force curves. The three lines on the top are the output-force curves under 1800 ampere turns. With the decreasing of the air-gap length,
the force increases and tends to reach a saturated state after a certain value. By analyzing the distribution of the magnetic-flux density, it is possible to detect the point at which the armature is near the saturation status. Considering the volume, weights, total cost demand, and production technology, the optimal space value is 1 mm.

4.4. Optimization for the angle of the conical armature

To discuss the effect of the conical air gap on the output- and retaining-force characteristics, the conical angle is designated as a variable value in the model. Figure 10 provides the stroke-force curves under the three conical angles of 40°, 45°, and 60° that are based on the model. As the angle value changes, the curves show the corresponding state value, whereby the output-force curves tend to be slightly greater in the initial, a growth drop in the terminal is obvious, and a reduction of the retaining force is distinct. The design of the large conical angle that is used in the traditional cylindrical air gap is therefore unsuitable for a large output force in the terminal, because the mass of the magnetic flux fails to pass the air gap according to the direction of the armature movement, and the armature’s contact with the cover is easily lost. The design of the small conical angle is available for the improvement of the retaining force over the large stroke, but it reduces the output force in the initial, and this could lead to an inadequate separation process; therefore, the 45° parameter can be adopted to balance the conflict between the output force and the retaining force.

5. DLMVA Prototype and Test

A DLMVA prototype was manufactured according to the results of the optimization design. The prototype and its test system are shown in Fig. 11.

To test the output force and the retaining force, the force-measurement system including the fixed-device part, the control unit (PLC), and the test equipment (sensor and PC), whereby the PC can guarantee time synchronization, needs to be established first. The accuracy can reach 0.1 N and the test range is up to 2000 N. The non-magnetic gaskets are manufactured in different sizes so that the force can be tested when the armature is located at a different position. The DLMVA details are shown in

![Fig. 9. (Color online) Stroke force under different air-gap lengths.](image1)

![Fig. 10. (Color online) Stroke-force curves under three shell widths.](image2)

![Fig. 11. (Color online) Prototype of DLMVA and test system.](image3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Design Parameter</th>
<th>Data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Magnet height</td>
<td>7 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armature displacement</td>
<td>7 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armature conical angle</td>
<td>45°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air-gap lengths</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retaining force</td>
<td>125 N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. The output force of the retaining force and the output force of the armature are tested next. Compared with the magnetic-field-simulation results, the test results are shown in Fig. 12.

The static-force characteristics of the prototype under a rate-coil voltage of 30 V are shown in Fig. 12. The calculated output-force curve tallies with the trend of the measured output-force curve. Regarding the FEM model, the maximum error is approximately 11.1 % and the average error is 8.6 %; regarding the EMC model, the maximum error is approximately 19.1 % and the average error is 12.2 %.

The calculated retaining force regarding a 0 V curve tallies with the trend of the measured retaining-force curve. Regarding the FEM model, the maximum error is 2.3 % and the average error is 1.8 %; regarding the EMC model, the maximum error is approximately 16.7 % and the average error is 11.3 %. The test results show that the novel DLMVA-actuator structure satisfies the design requirements; in addition, the dynamic characteristic was also tested, producing a very brief making time of 4.7 ms.

6. Discussion and Conclusions

1) In this paper, a novel design for an electromagnetic valve actuator that is based on double-layer permanent magnets is presented. The retaining force of the actuator reaches 100 N through the use of the magnets when the coil is de-energized. The two stable states are converted by a reversed pulse.

2) The EMC model is established for an analysis of the magnetic-circuit topology and a preliminary forecasting of the retaining-force change of the double-layer magnetic circuit. The 2D FEM model is established for an analysis of the flux density. The two key magnet parameters, the height and the air gap, are optimized based on the 3D FEM results.

3) The DLMVA prototype is manufactured according to the optimized design. The test system is built for the measurement of the force. The results of the prototype show that the actuator can satisfy the requirements of output force, energy conservation, and volume size.

Besides, from the single-layer to the double-layer, the design process can lead to a multi-layer design that will increase the force and maintain a rapid manufacturing speed. In terms of a performance improvement, this research may lead to a new perspective regarding magnet-containing electromagnetic apparatus, and the investigation will be continued in the next research stage.
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