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Abstract

Background: Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), an endothelial cell specific mitogen, has been implicated as a critical factor influencing tumor related angiogenesis. The aim of present study was to evaluate the relationship between VEGF +936C>T and +405C>G polymorphisms of VEGF with risk of breast cancer in Punjab, India. Materials and Methods: We screened DNA samples of 192 sporadic breast cancer patients and 192 unrelated healthy, gender and age matched control individuals for VEGF +936C>T and +405C>G polymorphisms using the PCR-RFLP method. Results: For the VEGF +405C>G polymorphism, we observed significantly increased frequency of GG genotype in cases as compared to controls and strong association of +405GG genotype was observed with three fold risk for breast cancer (OR=3.07; 95%CI 1.41-6.65; p=0.003). For the +936C>T polymorphism, significant associations of CT and combined CT+TT genotypes were observed with elevated risk of breast cancer (p=0.021; 0.023). The combined genotype combinations of GG-CC and GG-CT of +405C>G and +936C>T polymorphisms were found to be significantly associated with increased risk of breast cancer (p=0.04; 0.0064). Conclusions: The findings of the present study indicated significant associations of VEGF +936C>T and +405C>G polymorphisms with increased breast cancer risk in patients from Punjab, North India.
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Introduction

Angiogenesis, an essential process in tumor growth provides potential routes for tumor dissemination and metastasis (Folkman, 2002; Kerbel, 2008). Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) is a potent endothelial cell-specific regulator of vasculogenesis and angiogenesis (Carmeliet, 2005; Roy et al., 2006). VEGF has been associated with both lymph node and visceral metastasis in variety of cancers (Ishigami et al., 1998; Kawakami et al., 2003; Peyromaure et al., 2007). Breast and gynaecologic cancers use lymphangiogenesis and VEGF has been described as major mediator of breast cancer angiogenesis (Schoppmann et al., 2002; Morabito et al., 2004).

The human VEGF-A (OMIM 192240) has been mapped to 6p21.3 and comprises a 14-kb coding region with eight exons (Tischer et al., 1991). VEGF-A is highly polymorphic with several polymorphisms reported in the promoter, 5’- and 3’-untranslated regions (UTRs). A common polymorphism +936C>T (rs3025039) located in 3’ UTR has been associated with different VEGF plasma levels (Renner et al., 2000; Krippel et al., 2003). This C to T substitution has been reported to alter binding of the transcription factor activating enhancer binding protein 4 (AP-4) which might affect mRNA structure (Renner et al., 2000). VEGF +936C>T polymorphism has been described as a potential predictive marker for clinical outcomes in gastric (Ruzzo et al., 2006; Tzanakis et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2007), breast (Lu et al., 2005) and ovarian cancer (Hefler et al., 2007). Another polymorphism +405C>G or -634C>G (rs2010963) located in potential binding site for MZF1 transcription factor in the 5’ UTR of VEGF has been significantly correlated with VEGF protein production (Watson et al., 2000). It has been demonstrated that this polymorphism effects expression at the post transcriptional level by altering the activity of the internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-B domain critical for expression of large VEGF A isoform (Huez et al., 2001; Bastide et al., 2008). Several studies have documented the association of VEGF +405C>G polymorphism with various cancers including Prostate lung (Lee et al., 2005), breast (Yu et al., 2005) and ovarian cancer (Hefler et al., 2007). Another polymorphism +405C>G or -634C>G (rs2010963) located in potential binding site for MZF1 transcription factor in the 5’ UTR of VEGF has been significantly correlated with VEGF protein production (Watson et al., 2000). It has been demonstrated that this polymorphism effects expression at the post transcriptional level by altering the activity of the internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-B domain critical for expression of large VEGFA isoform (Huez et al., 2001; Bastide et al., 2008). Several studies have documented the association of VEGF +405C>G polymorphism with various cancers including Prostate lung (Lee et al., 2005), breast (Yu et al., 2005) and ovarian cancer (Hefler et al., 2007). Another polymorphism +405C>G or -634C>G (rs2010963) located in potential binding site for MZF1 transcription factor in the 5’ UTR of VEGF has been significantly correlated with VEGF protein production (Watson et al., 2000). It has been demonstrated that this polymorphism effects expression at the post transcriptional level by altering the activity of the internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-B domain critical for expression of large VEGF A isoform (Huez et al., 2001; Bastide et al., 2008). Several studies have documented the association of VEGF +405C>G polymorphism with various cancers including Prostate lung (Lee et al., 2005), breast (Yu et al., 2005) and ovarian cancer (Hefler et al., 2007). Another polymorphism +405C>G or -634C>G (rs2010963) located in potential binding site for MZF1 transcription factor in the 5’ UTR of VEGF has been significantly correlated with VEGF protein production (Watson et al., 2000). It has been demonstrated that this polymorphism effects expression at the post transcriptional level by altering the activity of the internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-B domain critical for expression of large VEGF A isoform (Huez et al., 2001; Bastide et al., 2008). Several studies have documented the association of VEGF +405C>G polymorphism with various cancers including Prostate lung (Lee et al., 2005), breast (Yu et al., 2005) and ovarian cancer (Hefler et al., 2007). Another polymorphism +405C>G or -634C>G (rs2010963) located in potential binding site for MZF1 transcription factor in the 5’ UTR of VEGF has been significantly correlated with VEGF protein production (Watson et al., 2000). It has been demonstrated that this polymorphism effects expression at the post transcriptional level by altering the activity of the internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-B domain critical for expression of large VEGF A isoform (Huez et al., 2001; Bastide et al., 2008). Several studies have documented the association of VEGF +405C>G polymorphism with various cancers including Prostate lung (Lee et al., 2005), breast (Yu et al., 2005) and ovarian cancer (Hefler et al., 2007). Another polymorphism +405C>G or -634C>G (rs2010963) located in potential binding site for MZF1 transcription factor in the 5’ UTR of VEGF has been significantly correlated with VEGF protein production (Watson et al., 2000). It has been demonstrated that this polymorphism effects expression at the post transcriptional level by altering the activity of the internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-B domain critical for expression of large VEGF A isoform (Huez et al., 2001; Bastide et al., 2008). Several studies have documented the association of VEGF +405C>G polymorphism with various cancers including Prostate lung (Lee et al., 2005), breast (Yu et al., 2005) and ovarian cancer (Hefler et al., 2007). Another polymorphism +405C>G or -634C>G (rs2010963) located in potential binding site for MZF1 transcription factor in the 5’ UTR of VEGF has been significantly correlated with VEGF protein production (Watson et al., 2000). It has been demonstrated that this polymorphism effects expression at the post transcriptional level by altering the activity of the internal ribosome entry site (IRES)-B domain critical for expression of large VEGF A isoform (Huez et al., 2001; Bastide et al., 2008). Several studies have documented the association of VEGF +405C>G polymorphism with various cancers including Prostate lung (Lee et al., 2005), breast (Yu et al., 2005) and ovarian cancer (Hefler et al., 2007).
Selection of subjects

The study was approved by the institutional Ethical Committee of Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar, Punjab, India. The breast cancer patients were recruited from Sri Guru Ram Das Institute of Medical Sciences and Research, Vallah, Amritsar, Punjab (India). In the present case control study a total of 384 subjects, consisting of 192 histopathologically confirmed sporadic breast cancer patients (4 males and 188 females) and 192 healthy control individuals (4 males and 188 females) were recruited as study subjects. The patients who had received chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or blood transfusion before surgery were excluded from the study. The control group consisted of gender and age matched unrelated healthy individuals recruited from the same geographical area as that of the patients. The selection criteria for the controls were no evidence of family history of any cancer or other chronic disease for the last three generations and not on regular medications for at least two years from the date of sampling. A structured questionnaire was used during an in-person interview to elicit information on personal and disease history of the subjects. A written informed consent was obtained from all the participants.

Genomic DNA extraction and genotyping

Five millilitres of peripheral blood of each subject was collected in 0.5M EDTA. Genomic DNA was extracted using standard phenol chloroform method (Adeli and Ogbonna, 1990). The specific fragment of VEGF containing the single nucleotide polymorphisms +405C>G and +936C>T was amplified using previously published primer sequences (Gentilini et al., 2008; Lacheb et al., 2008). Each PCR reaction with a total volume of 15μl, contained 50ng of genomic DNA, 1X Taq buffer with 1.5mM MgCl₂ (Bangalore GeNei), 6 picomoles of each primer (Sigma), 33.3μM of dNTPs mix (Bangalore GeNei) and one unit of Taq polymerase (Bangalore GeNei). To monitor PCR contamination, one sample without DNA template was used as negative control in each assay.

For +405C>G analysis, PCR was performed using an initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed with a denaturation at 95°C for 45 sec, annealing at 53°C for 1 min and an elongation at 72°C for 45 sec for 35 cycles with final extension at 72°C for 10 min in a Mastercycler gradient (Eppendorf, Germany). The amplified PCR products of 304bp were digested with BsmFI restriction enzyme (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) at 65°C for three hours and digestion reaction products were analysed on 2.3% ethidium bromide stained agarose gel. Two fragments of 203bp and 101bp indicates +405G allele whereas undigested fragment of 304bp represents +405C allele.

For +936C>T analysis, PCR was performed using an initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, followed with a denaturation at 95°C for 45 sec, annealing at 59°C for 30 sec and an elongation at 72°C for 45 sec for 35 cycles with final extension at 72°C for 10 min in a Mastercycler gradient (Eppendorf, Germany). The amplified PCR products of 217bp were digested with NlaIII restriction enzyme (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA) at 37°C for overnight and digestion reaction products were analysed on 2.3% ethidium bromide stained agarose gel. Two fragments of 132bp and 85bp indicates +936T allele whereas the undigested fragment of 217bp represents +936C allele. Genotyping was performed without the knowledge of subject status and randomly 10% of the samples were repeated with 100% concordance.

Statistical analysis

Baseline characteristics of patients and controls were compared using t-test for continuous variables or a chi-square (χ²) test for categorical variables. Chi square test was also used to evaluate deviations from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium, compare genotype and allelic differences and to assess the association of polymorphisms with various parameters. Odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence interval (CI) was used to assess the strength of association between the polymorphisms and the risk of cancer using various genetic models. p values were corrected (pc) in case of multiple comparisons using Bonferroni correction. The analyses was done using SPSS, Version 16 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). Probability value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of subjects

The baseline characteristics of patients and controls are summarized in Table 1. The mean age of the breast cancer patients and controls was 48.77±11.66 and 48.76±11.67 years respectively. Of the 192 breast cancer patients, 49 (25.52%) were of stage I, 91 (47.40%) of stage II, 40 (20.83%) of stage III and 12 (6.25%) of stage IV cancer.

Association of VEGF +405C>G and +936C>T polymorphisms with the risk of breast cancer

The genotype and allele frequencies of VEGF +405C>G and +936C>T polymorphisms are shown in Table 1. The genotype distribution of both the studied polymorphisms were in Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium in both patients and control groups (p>0.05). The frequencies of CC, CG and GG genotypes of VEGF +405C>G polymorphism were 5.73 vs 12.5%, 40.10 vs 48.96% and 54.17 vs 38.54% in cases and controls respectively. We observed
significantly increased frequency of GG genotype in cases as compared to controls (54.17 vs 38.54%; p=0.003). A strong association of +405GG genotype was observed with increased risk for breast cancer (OR=3.07; 95% CI, 1.41-6.65). In addition, combined CG and GG genotype was also associated with higher breast cancer risk in dominant genetic model (OR=2.35; 95% CI, 1.12-4.95). We also observed significantly increased frequency of G allele in patients which revealed 1.69 fold higher risk to breast cancer (OR=1.69; 95% CI, 1.24-2.30, p=0.0008) (Table 2).

For VEGF +936C>T polymorphism, the frequencies of CC, CT, TT genotypes were 80.73 vs 89.06%, 18.75 vs 10.42%, 0.52 vs 0.52% in patients and controls respectively. There was significantly increased frequency of CT genotype in breast cancer patients as compared to controls (18.75 vs 10.42%; p=0.021). Individuals carrying CT genotype were associated with two fold risk to breast cancer (OR=1.99; 95% CI, 1.10-3.58). We observed that in the dominant model, individuals carrying the combined CT+TT genotype were significantly associated with 1.94 fold risk for breast cancer compared to CC genotype (OR=1.94; 95% CI, 1.09-3.46, p=0.023). There was also significant difference in the C and T allele frequencies between breast cancer patients and the control individuals (p=0.031). Significantly higher frequency of T allele was observed in breast cancer patients (9.90%) as compared to controls (5.73%) and individuals carrying T allele were associated with increased risk of developing breast cancer (OR=1.81; 95% CI, 1.05-3.12) (Table 2).

We also analyzed the distributions of combined genotypes of two polymorphisms and observed that genotype combination CG-CT, GG-CC and GG-CT of VEGF +405C>G and +936C>T polymorphisms were significantly more common in patients as compared to controls (p<0.05). However, after applying Bonferroni correction of multiple variables, significant association to increased breast cancer risk remained with GG-CC (p=0.04) and GG-CT (p=0.0064) genotype combination. Carriers of respective genotype combination had 3.33 and 7.12-fold higher risk for breast cancer (Table 3). In addition, we also stratified our study subjects to investigate the relationship of two studied polymorphisms with the gender, age, menopausal status and clinical stage but we did not observe any significant association (p>0.05) (data not shown).

**Discussion**

Breast cancer is a most common cancer amongst females worldwide and is also the leading cause of cancer-related mortality (Jemal et al., 2011; American cancer society, 2011). In this malignancy, mutations in the BRCA1 and BRCA2 are inherited in an autosomal dominant manner and confer a high disease risk (Miki et al., 1994; Wooster et al., 1995) but account for only a few percent of breast cancer cases (Blackwood and Weber, 1998). However, low-penetrance genes contribute significantly in the development of breast cancer.

Table 1. Demographic, Clinical, Genotype and Allele Frequencies of VEGF +405C>G and +936C>T Polymorphisms in Breast Cancer Patients and Controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indices</th>
<th>Patients</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Males</td>
<td>4 (2.08)</td>
<td>4 (2.08)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Females</td>
<td>188 (97.92)</td>
<td>188 (97.92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age years</td>
<td>&lt;40</td>
<td>43 (22.40)</td>
<td>43 (22.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>≥40</td>
<td>149 (77.60)</td>
<td>149 (77.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>48.77±11.66</td>
<td>48.76±11.67</td>
<td>0.993</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Menopausal status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Premenopausal</th>
<th>Postmenopausal</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>76 (40.43)</td>
<td>112 (59.57)</td>
<td>0.675**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>49 (25.52)</td>
<td>108 (57.45)</td>
<td>0.675**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>91 (47.40)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>12 (6.25)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinical stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype and allele Frequencies</th>
<th>VEGF +405C&gt;G (rs2010963)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>11 (5.73)</td>
<td>24 (12.5)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>77 (40.10)</td>
<td>94 (49.86)</td>
<td>0.138**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>104 (54.17)</td>
<td>74 (38.54)</td>
<td>0.003**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C allele</td>
<td>99 (57.28)</td>
<td>142 (73.68)</td>
<td>0.003**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G allele</td>
<td>285 (74.22)</td>
<td>242 (63.02)</td>
<td>0.0008**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VEGF +936C&gt;T (rs3025039)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>155 (80.73)</td>
<td>171 (89.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CT</td>
<td>36 (18.75)</td>
<td>20 (10.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>1 (0.52)</td>
<td>1 (0.52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C allele</td>
<td>346 (90.10)</td>
<td>362 (94.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T allele</td>
<td>38 (9.90)</td>
<td>22 (5.73)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Data are presented as number (%); b significant p values are shown in bold; *p values calculated using χ2 test; **p value calculated using t test

Table 2. Association Analyses between Breast Cancer Patients and Controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic Model</th>
<th>+405C&gt;G (rs2010963)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dominant model: CG+GG vs CC</td>
<td>2.35 (1.12-4.95)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over dominant model: CG vs CC+GG</td>
<td>0.69 (0.47-1.05)</td>
<td>0.081</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recessive model: GG vs CC+GG</td>
<td>1.88 (1.26-2.83)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homozygous codominant: GG vs CC</td>
<td>3.07 (1.41-6.65)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele contrast: G vs C</td>
<td>1.69 (1.24-2.30)</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genetic Model</th>
<th>+936C&gt;T (rs3025039)</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dominant model: CT+TT vs CC</td>
<td>1.94 (1.09-3.46)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over dominant model: CT vs CC+TT</td>
<td>1.98 (1.10-3.57)</td>
<td>0.021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recessive model: TT vs CC+CT</td>
<td>1.00 (0.06-16.10)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homozygous codominant: TT vs CC</td>
<td>1.10 (0.07-17.79)</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele contrast: T vs C</td>
<td>1.81 (1.05-3.12)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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to cancer susceptibility in a larger population of patients and are therefore responsible for a greater proportion of the disease burden (Wooster et al., 1995; Blackwood and Weber 1998; Nathanson and Weber, 2001; Pharoah et al., 2002). Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) represent the largest class of genetic variation within the tumor population and it has been suggested that most of population attributable cancer heritability is not related to rare deleterious gene defects but due to polymorphic variations in DNA sequence (Ponder, 2001; Zhao et al., 2001; Nathanson and Weber, 2001; Pharoah et al., 2002).

Vascular endothelial growth factor is one of the most important activators of tumor associated angiogenesis (Hicklin and Ellis, 2005). Different VEGF polymorphisms have been reported to result in different VEGF protein expression in cancer cells and tumor angiogenic activity (Koukourakis et al., 2004). These VEGF variants might potentially contribute to interindividual variation in the risk and progression of tumors (Jain et al., 2009). We examined the possible association between VEGF +936C>T and +405C>G polymorphisms with breast cancer risk. In the present study, we observed that women aged >40 years formed greater part of breast cancer population. Globally 89% of breast cancers are diagnosed from the age of 40 onwards (Ferlay et al., 2010).

For VEGF +405C>G polymorphism, we observed significant association of GG genotype and G allele with cancer patients did not show any significant difference in distribution of the disease burden (Wooster et al., 1995; Blackwood and Weber 1998; Nathanson and Weber, 2001; Pharoah et al., 2002). Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) represent the largest class of genetic variation within the tumor population and it has been suggested that most of population attributable cancer heritability is not related to rare deleterious gene defects but due to polymorphic variations in DNA sequence (Ponder, 2001; Zhao et al., 2001; Nathanson and Weber, 2001; Pharoah et al., 2002).

Vascular endothelial growth factor is one of the most important activators of tumor associated angiogenesis (Hicklin and Ellis, 2005). Different VEGF polymorphisms have been reported to result in different VEGF protein expression in cancer cells and tumor angiogenic activity (Koukourakis et al., 2004). These VEGF variants might potentially contribute to interindividual variation in the risk and progression of tumors (Jain et al., 2009). We examined the possible association between VEGF +936C>T and +405C>G polymorphisms with breast cancer risk. In the present study, we observed that women aged >40 years formed greater part of breast cancer population. Globally 89% of breast cancers are diagnosed from the age of 40 onwards (Ferlay et al., 2010).

For VEGF +405C>G polymorphism, we observed significant association of GG genotype and G allele with breast cancer patients. A study from North India on Kashmiri lung cancer patients did not show any significant difference in genotype distribution of +405C>G polymorphism between cases and controls (Naik et al., 2012). Association of CG genotype has been reported with significantly reduced risk to colorectal cancer in Italian patients (Kariyazono et al., 2004) and progressive retinopathy of prematurity (Cooke et al., 2004). The +405G allele has been shown to increase transcriptional activity and lipopolysaccharide stimulated VEGF production in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (Watson et al., 2000; Stevens et al., 2002). Contrary to our findings, association of CC genotype has been reported with increased risk for Prostate (Sfar et al., 2006), gastric adenocarcinoma (Talar-Wojnarowska et al., 2000; Stevens et al., 2003). Contrary to our findings, association of CC genotype has been reported with increased risk for gastric cancer (Tzanakis et al., 2006), pancreatic adenocarcinoma (Talar-Wojnarowska et al., 2010) and breast cancer (Oliveira et al., 2011). Combined +405CC+CG genotype has been associated with higher susceptibility for Prostate (Sfar et al., 2006), gastric (Guan et al., 2009) and small cell lung carcinoma in males (Zhai et al., 2008b). Whereas, no association of VEGF +405C>G polymorphism was observed in Swedish (Jin et al., 2005), Chinese (Kataoka et al., 2006; Luo et al., 2013) and Austrian (Langsenlehner et al., 2008) breast cancer patients.

For VEGF +936C>T polymorphism, we observed significant association of GG genotype with albuminuria (Nikzamir et al., 2012) and hypertension (Hamedian et al., 2012) has been reported in Iranian diabetic patients. The GG genotype has also been reported to be associated with higher risk for endometriosis in South Indian women (Bhanooori et al., 2005). Correlation of +405G allele has also been reported with increased risk for the coronary artery lesions in the Kawasaki disease (Kariyazono et al., 2004) and progressive retinopathy of prematurity (Cooke et al., 2004). The +405G allele has been shown to increase transcriptional activity and lipopolysaccharide stimulated VEGF production in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (Watson et al., 2000; Stevens et al., 2002). Contrary to our findings, association of CC genotype has been reported with increased risk for gastric cancer (Tzanakis et al., 2006), pancreatic adenocarcinoma (Talar-Wojnarowska et al., 2010) and breast cancer (Oliveira et al., 2011). Combined +405CC+CG genotype has been associated with higher susceptibility for Prostate (Sfar et al., 2006), gastric (Guan et al., 2009) and small cell lung carcinoma in males (Zhai et al., 2008b). Whereas, no association of VEGF +405C>G polymorphism was observed in Swedish (Jin et al., 2005), Chinese (Kataoka et al., 2006; Luo et al., 2013) and Austrian (Langsenlehner et al., 2008) breast cancer patients.
a significant association of CT genotype and T allele with increased risk for breast cancer. Our results are concordant with few other studies that also documented significant risk association. In Turkish population, increased frequency of CT genotype has been reported in breast cancer patients (Eroglu et al., 2008). Association of +936T allele with higher risk has been reported in different cancers including oral (Yapijakis et al., 2007), stomach (Bae et al., 2008) esophageal adenocarcinoma (Zhai et al., 2008b) and glioma (Bao et al., 2011). The +936T allele has also been reported to be associated with a reduced uptake of 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose used for detection and staging of breast cancer (Wolf et al., 2004). Association of +936C allele with reduced risk for in situ breast cancer has been documented in postmenopausal patients (Jacobs et al., 2006). In contrary to our results, protective effect of T allele has been reported in Austrian (Krippel et al., 2003; Gerger et al., 2007), Chinese (Kataoka et al., 2006; Luo et al., 2013), Polish (Jukubowska et al., 2008) and Spanish (Rodrigues et al., 2012) breast cancer patients. However, no significant association of VEGF +936C/T polymorphism has been reported with lung cancer risk in Kashmiri population from North India (Naik et al., 2012). The discrepancies of genotype/allele frequencies of VEGF +405C>G and +936C>T polymorphisms and their association with breast cancer might be due to variations of allele frequencies within different ethnic groups (Table 4).

For VEGF +405C>G and +936C>T polymorphism, we observed that individuals carrying GG-CC and GG-CT genotype combinations were significantly associated with increased risk for breast cancer. In Brazilian population, significantly higher frequency of the 936CC-405CC genotype combination was observed in breast cancer patients as compared to controls (Oliveira et al., 2011). In the present study, we did not observe any significant association of VEGF +936C>T and +405C>G with the clinical stage of the breast cancer which might be due to less number of samples in the particular stage of the cancer. Association of CC genotype of +936C/T polymorphism has been reported with tumor aggressiveness in Swedish breast cancer patients (Jin et al., 2005). The +405C allele has also been reported to be associated with small breast tumor size in Austrian population (Langsenlehner et al., 2008). The findings of present study indicated a significant association of VEGF +936C>T and +405C>G polymorphisms with the increased breast cancer risk in Punjab. To advance these findings, we are currently screening other functional polymorphisms of VEGF to elucidate the role of these variants in pathology of breast cancer. Findings of SNPs influencing VEGF targeted therapies as a predictive marker would be of great help for physicians to tailor therapy in an individual manner.
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