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Abstract

Background: To assess the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and accuracy of intraoperative gross examination (IGE) of uterine specimens in determining deep myometrial invasion and cervical invasion compared to final histology. Materials and Methods: The clinical, surgical and histological data of all FIGO stage I-II endometrial cancer (EC) patients who had primary surgery were reviewed. Results of the IGE for myometrial invasion and cervical invasion were compared to the final histology. The sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and accuracy of the IGE in determining deep myometrial invasion and cervical invasion were calculated. Association between clinico-pathological factors and discrepancy between IGE and final histology in the determination of myometrial invasion was also assessed. A p-value of <0.05 was considered significant. Results: From January 2007 to December 2012, 179 patients diagnosed with clinical stage I-II endometrial cancer underwent surgical staging. The sensitivity and specificity of IGE in detecting deep myometrial invasion were 42.4% and 90.0%, respectively, and the PPV and NPV were 67.6% and 76.1%. The overall accuracy of IGE was 74.3%. The sensitivity and specificity of IGE in identifying cervical invasion were 28.6% and 97.5%, respectively, while the PPV and NPV were 60.0% and 91.1%. The overall accuracy of IGE was 89.4%. Conclusions: The sensitivity of IGE for detecting deep myometrial invasion and cervical invasion in early-stage EC is too low to be used alone. Alternative methods including intraoperative frozen section analysis, preoperative three dimensional ultrasound, and preoperative magnetic resonance imaging should be strongly considered.
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Introduction

Endometrial cancer (EC) is the most common gynecologic cancer in the United States. It is the fourth most common cancer found in women and the eight most common cause of death from cancer (Jemal et al., 2010; Zhu et al., 2012). EC is the second most common gynecologic cancer diagnosed worldwide following cervical cancer. At the time of diagnosis, the tumor is confined to the uterus (FIGO stage I-II) in approximately 80% of the cases. Therefore, these patients usually have good prognosis with five-year survival rate of up to 90% (Creasman et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2012; Balasubramaniam et al., 2013; Krisun et al., 2014). Surgery is generally considered standard primary treatment, which is followed by tailored postoperative adjuvant radiation and/or chemotherapy depending on surgical-pathological risk factors. The surgical procedures include total hysterectomy, bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy, and surgical staging. The practice of routine pelvic and paraaortic lymphadenectomy as parts of staging procedures remains debatable due to possible morbidities associated with the procedure without proven survival benefit. However, it is generally agreed that patients with any of these risk factors including serous or clear-cell histology, grade 3 tumor, tumor size >2cm, deep myometrial invasion, and cervical invasion, would have a high-risk of nodal metastasis and should be candidates for lymphadenectomy (McMeekin et al., 2013). Unlike other risk factors, knowledge of myometrial invasion and cervical invasion is usually obtained intraoperatively. The accuracy of the method used to assess myometrial and cervical invasion is thus important for determining the need for lymphadenectomy. At our institution, intraoperative gross examination (IGE) of the uterine specimen has been employed for this purpose.

The objectives of this study were to assess the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and accuracy of the IGE in determining deep myometrial invasion and cervical invasion in the uterine specimens compared to final histology.

Materials and Methods

After ethical approval, the clinical, surgical and histological data of all FIGO stage I-II endometrial cancer
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patients who had primary surgery at our institution from January 2007 to December 2012 were reviewed. The patients who had any preoperative treatment and those with incomplete data were excluded. The main parameter of interest was myometrial invasion which was classified as confined to the endometrium, invaded to the inner-half of the myometrium, and invaded to the outer-half of the myometrium. In addition, cancer invasion to the cervix, defined as presence of endometrial cancer below the level of internal cervical os, was examined. The final histological interpretation of myometrial invasion and cervical invasion by gynecologic pathologists was considered the gold standard. Results of the IGE for myometrial invasion and cervical invasion were compared to the final histology. The sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and accuracy of the IGE in determining deep myometrial invasion (invasion to the outer-half of the myometrium) and cervical invasion were calculated. Association between clinical-pathological factors including age, tumor size, histology, grade, and tumor location and discrepancy between IGE and final histology in the determination of myometrial invasion was also assessed.

Regarding the surgical procedures, vertical midline abdominal incision was employed in all cases. Upon entering the abdomen, peritoneal washing and thorough abdominal exploration was universally performed. Subsequently, total extraperitoneal hysterectomy and bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy were done. The uterus was then open vertically along the anterior wall from the fundus to the cervix using a scalpel. The depth of myometrial invasion and cervical invasion were then evaluated and noted by the operating surgeon. Intraoperative frozen section was not performed. The decision on whether or not to performed lymphadenectomy was made by the surgeon based on the findings of previously mentioned preoperative and intraoperative risk factors.

Statistical analysis was performed by using Stata® program version 12 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, USA). The chi-square or Fisher’s exact test, as appropriate, was used for an analysis of association between clinical-pathological risk factors and the IGE/ histology diagnostic discrepancy. The p-value of <0.05 was considered significant.

Results

From January 2007 to December 2012, 179 patients diagnosed with clinical stage I-II endometrial cancer underwent surgical staging procedures with available data on myometrial invasion. Median age was 55 years old (31-82). Most of the patients were 50 or older (77.7%). Median tumor size was 3.0 cm (0.4-8.5). The majority of the patients had endometrioid histology (85.4%) and grade 1 tumor (62.3%). Tumor characteristics are demonstrated in Table 1.

Fifty-nine patients (33.0%) had deep myometrial invasion from final histological evaluation. The sensitivity and specificity of IGE in detecting deep myometrial invasion were 42.4% (25/59) and 90.0% (108/120), respectively. The PPV and NPV were 67.6% (25/37) respectively. The PPV and NPV were 67.6% (25/37) in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surgical stage (FIGO 2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>112 (62.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB</td>
<td>45 (25.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>22 (12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final histology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrioid</td>
<td>152 (85.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serous</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear cell</td>
<td>2 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>22 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final grade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>109 (62.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>37 (21.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>29 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundus</td>
<td>45 (25.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>64 (36.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower segment</td>
<td>9 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole cavity</td>
<td>60 (33.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Tumor Characteristics (N=179)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intraoperative gross examination</th>
<th>Final histology</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inner-half invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer-half invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Comparison of Myometrial Invasion Determined by Intraoperative Gross Examination Versus Final Histology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Diagnostic discrepancy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>No. (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;50</td>
<td>8 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥50</td>
<td>38 (27.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (cm), 2 cm cut-off point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;2</td>
<td>6 (26.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥2</td>
<td>38 (25.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (cm), 4 cm cut-off point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;4</td>
<td>23 (23.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥4</td>
<td>21 (28.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final histology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrioid</td>
<td>41 (27.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucinous</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serous</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear cell</td>
<td>1 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed</td>
<td>4 (18.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final histology grouping</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endometrioid</td>
<td>41 (27.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-endometrioid</td>
<td>5 (19.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final grade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>27 (24.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9 (24.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9 (31.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fundus</td>
<td>9 (20.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body</td>
<td>15 (23.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower segment</td>
<td>4 (44.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole cavity</td>
<td>18 (30.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Association of Clinical-pathological Characteristics and Diagnostic Discrepancy between Intraoperative Gross Examination and Final Histology

Table 3. Detailed Comparison of Myometrial Invasion Determined by Intraoperative Gross Examination Versus Final Histology
Table 5. Comparison of Cervical Involvement Determined by Intraoperative Gross Examination Versus Final Histology

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intraoperative gross examination</th>
<th>Final histology</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6 (3.4%)</td>
<td>4 (2.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>15 (8.4%)</td>
<td>154 (86.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and 76.1% (108/142), respectively. The overall accuracy of IGE was 74.3% (133/179). Table 2 and 3 compare myometrial invasion as determined by IGE to final histological diagnosis.

Discrepancy between IGE and final histology in the determination of myometrial invasion occurred in 46 cases (25.7%). There was no significant association between clinical-pathological characteristics and the rate of diagnostic discrepancy (Table 4).

Cervical involvement by endometrial tumor was confirmed in 21 patients (11.7%) from final histological assessment. The sensitivity and specificity of IGE in identifying cervical invasion were 28.6% (6/21) and 97.5% (154/158), respectively. The PPV and NPV were 60.0% (6/10) and 91.1% (154/169), respectively. The overall accuracy of IGE was 89.4% (160/179) (Table 5).

Discussion

Lymphadenectomy has been considered an important part of the complete staging surgery for EC, which provides information on cancer spread, the need for postoperative adjuvant treatment, and prognosis (McMeekin et al., 2013). However, the procedure could result in prolonged operative time, increased blood loss, injury to adjacent structures including urinary tract and nerve, postoperative ileus, thromboembolism, lymphocyst, and lymphedema (Morrow et al., 1991; Orr et al., 1997). Therefore, the selective approach of lymphadenectomy has been adopted in many centers in an attempt to balance between the benefit of cancer spread information and the risk of complications associated with the procedure. With this approach, the pre-and intraoperative risk factors have been employed to determine the need for lymphadenectomy. Deep (outer-half) myometrial invasion, generally assessed intraoperatively, has been recognized as one of the important predicting factors for nodal metastasis (Creasman et al., 1987). Its presence usually indicated lymphadenectomy.

IGE has been proposed as a simple and inexpensive method to visually evaluate the depth of myometrial invasion (Doering et al., 1989). From the recent meta-analysis including 16 previous studies published during 1989-2011, the pooled sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and accuracy of IGE compared with final histology in detecting ≥50% myometrial invasion were 75% (95% confidence interval [CI] 72-78), 92% (95%CI 90-94), 80% (95%CI 76-84), 89% (95%CI 87-92), and 87% (95%CI 86-88), respectively (Mavromatis et al., 2012). These parameters were significantly higher compared to those of our study especially the specificity. The sensitivity of only 42.4% in this study suggested that IGE missed as many as 57.6% of patients who were candidates for lymphadenectomy based on the myometrial invasion criteria. Surprisingly, the sensitivity of IGE in identifying cervical invasion was even lower (28.6%). These could be partly explained by the fact that IGEs were performed by surgeons with different level of expertise ranging from senior gynecology residents, gynecologic oncology fellows, to gynecologic oncologists. In addition, the anterior vertical technique used to open the uterus for intraoperative gross examination in this study was different from the lateral approach originally suggested, which is to cut open along the lateral uterine wall bilaterally to expose the anterior and the posterior wall and then make full-thickness transverse incisions through the tumor and myometrium for complete evaluation of myometrial invasion (Robboy et al., 1994). Furthermore, it has been noted that for certain endometrial tumors with infiltrative growth pattern, microscopic extent of myometrial invasion could be beyond that is grossly visible (McMeekin et al., 2013). Also, it was found that accuracy of estimation of myometrial invasion by gross examination decreased as tumor grade progressed, 87% in grade 1, 65% in grade 2, and 31% in grade 3 tumor (Goff and Rice, 1990). However, there was no significant association between clinical-pathological characteristics and the rate of diagnostic discrepancy between IGE and final histology found in this study.

The role of alternative methods for evaluating myometrial invasion has been explored. Intraoperative frozen section has been examined in the recent retrospective study including 816 patients with stage IA-IVB endometrial carcinoma (Turun et al., 2013). The consistency rate of intraoperative frozen section analysis and final pathological analysis in the evaluation of myometrial invasion was 85.4% with higher concordance in grade 2 and 3 than grade 1 tumor. The sensitivity and specificity of frozen section analysis in detecting ≥50% myometrial invasion were acceptably high, 88.8% and 98.3%, respectively. In addition, many studies have demonstrated impressive validity of preoperative imaging technique for evaluating myometrial invasion in EC patients. The high accuracy of preoperative transvaginal three-dimensional ultrasound using VCI display (3D US VCI) for the assessment of myometrial invasion and cervical invasion was demonstrated in 40 EC patients undergoing primary surgery (Jantarasemgaram et al., 2013). Comparing to final histology, the sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of 3D US VCI in detecting deep myometrial invasion were 100%, 89.7%, 78.6%, and 100%, respectively. For prediction of cervical invasion, the sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV of 3D US VCI were 100%, 85.8%, 73.3% and 100%, respectively. Similarly, the most recent systematic review including 442 patients from nine studies has demonstrated the high accuracy of magnetic resonance (MR) imaging for preoperative detection of deep myometrial invasion in endometrial cancer. The pooled sensitivity was 86% for both dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) and diffusion-weighted (DW) technique and the pooled specificity was 82% for the DCE and 86% for the DW technique (Andreano et al., 2014). The strength of this study was that all the data were...
extracted from a prospectively collected database of our division, designed specifically for this purpose. Therefore, the risk of recall bias and observer bias was minimized. However, the lack of collected information in certain aspects, especially on the level of the operator who examined the uterine specimen for each case, prevented us from reaching more meaningful conclusion regarding the contributing factors for the low accuracy of IGE in this study.

In conclusion, the sensitivity of IGE currently employed at our institution to detect deeper myometrial invasion and cervical invasion in early-stage EC is too low to be used alone. Alternative methods including intraoperative frozen section analysis, preoperative three-dimensional ultrasound, and preoperative MR imaging should be strongly considered.
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