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Abstract

Background: The advantages of Rapid Arc plans versus Intensity modulated radiotherapy plans for Carcinoma left breast were analyzed. Materials and Methods: In this study 20 Post mastectomy carcinoma left breast patients were analyzed. Both Intensity modulated Radiotherapy and Rapid Arc plans were generated for these patients. IMRT plans with 7 beams in an arc fashion and VMAT plans with two semi arcs were made to achieve 95% dose coverage to 100% volume. The plans were evaluated using Dose volume Histograms. Results: The mean Conformity and Homogeneity index in VMAT is found to be 1.05 and 0.065 respectively whereas in IMRT it was 1.07 and 0.069. The 20% volume of Heart received a mean dose of 960cGy in VMAT and 1300cGy in IMRT. The mean dose was 1236cGy in VMAT and 1870cGy in IMRT. The ipsilateral Lung received 3395cGy to 5% volume and 1840cGy to 20% volume on an average and the mean dose was 1205cGy in VMAT, while the same were found to be 3525cGy, 2012cGy and 1435cGy respectively in IMRT. The Contralateral Lung received a mean dose of 505cGy in VMAT and 553cGy in IMRT. The mean Monitor units in VMAT were 512MU and 1170MU in IMRT. The NTID in VMAT is 108.8 x 105 Gycm^3 and 110.1 x 105 Gycm^3 in IMRT. Conclusions: The target coverage, homogeneity and Conformity index were better in VMAT plans. The Ipsilateral Lung and heart dose were very less in VMAT plans. The Contralateral Lung dose and the Normal Tissue Integral Dose were also lesser in VMAT plans however the difference is not very appreciable. The MU in VMAT plans is almost 50% that of the IMRT plans which results in the reduction of treatment time. On the whole VMAT proves to be a better modality for treating Ca. Left Breast Patients.
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the simultaneous delivery of different doses per fraction to separate areas within the target volume (Wei-Guo Zhu et al., 2012).

VMAT was first introduced in 2007 and described as a novel radiation technique that allows simultaneous variation of three parameters during treatment delivery, i.e., gantry rotation speed, treatment aperture shape via movement of MLC leaves and dose rate. Volumetric modulated arc therapy (VMAT) is a novel radiation technique, which can achieve highly conformal dose distributions with improved target volume coverage and sparing of normal tissues compared with conventional radiotherapy techniques. VMAT also has the potential to offer additional advantages, such as reduced treatment delivery time compared with conventional static field intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT) (Nicolini et al., 2009; Naqvi et al., 2010; Rana, 2013). Many studies pertaining to VMAT are being carried out in different sites to study its advantage (Swamy et al., 2014).

Before implementing any new modality it is essential to evaluate its efficacy and limitations in executing it in different clinical situations. Both physical and radiobiological evaluation plays a vital role. Before implementing IMRT, its efficacy in treating different cases in planning as well as the radiobiological advantage was analyzed in our institute (Ekambaram et al., 2014; Ekambaram and Velayudham, 2014). Now when we installed VMAT in our institute, we have proceeded with its commissioning as well as evaluated its performance in different clinical situations (Ekambaram and Velayudham, 2013).

Purpose of the study: In our Centre we have recently upgraded our existing linear accelerator with IMRT facility to Rapid Arc modality. Since this is a newer facility in our institute we made many feasibility studies to analyze its efficacy in different clinical situations. In this study we have made an attempt to compare the advantages of Rapid Arc plans versus Intensity modulated radiotherapy plans for Carcinoma left breast.

Materials and Methods

In this treatment planning study we have analyzed about 20 Post mastectomy left breast carcinoma patients. All the patients were immobilized using semi body Vaclok Immobilization system. A 0.5 cm Bolus is placed on the skin in the treatment area. Patients were positioned on a wide bore Siemens CT Simulator flat couch with the help of lasers and both arms of the patient were raised above patient’s head. Furthermore, radio opaque markers were placed during the immobilization procedure to guide the isocenter shift. For all the patients, CT scan images with as well as without bolus were obtained from mandible to upper abdomen area with intravenous contrast, and CT scans were obtained using slice thickness of 3 mm. After CT simulation, DICOM images were transferred to Eclipse Treatment Planning System (version 10.0 Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, California, USA). Planning Target Volumes and Organs at Risk were delineated on the axial CT slices.

For treatment planning, 6 MV X-rays from Clinac DMX (Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, California, USA) integrated with 120 leaves millennium multi leaf collimator was used. Both Intensity modulated Radiotherapy Plans as well as VMAT plans were generated for these patients.

IMRT Planning: IMRT plans with 7 beams in an arc
Advantages of VMAT over IMRT in Carcinoma Left Breast Cases

Figure 4. DVH Comparison of both VMAT and IMRT Plan for one Patient Selected Randomly among All 20 Patients

fashion were used to achieve the criteria of 95% of the prescribed dose to the PTV. In Eclipse TPS, the IMRT plans were created with inverse plan optimization with Dose volume optimizer and Analytical Anisotropic Algorithm (AAA) for dose calculation. Leaf motion calculator was used to calculate the leaf motions.

VMAT Planning: VMAT plans with two semi arcs were used to achieve 95% coverage to the PTV. The VMAT plans were created with inverse plan optimization with Progressive resolution optimizer (PRO) and Analytical Anisotropic Algorithm (AAA) for dose calculation.

Both IMRT and VMAT plans were made for a total dose of 5000 cGy in which 4000 cGy was delivered with bolus and 1000cGy was delivered without bolus to reduce skin morbidity. For both IMRT as well as VMAT plans dose constraints were given so as to obtain an optimal plan.

The plans were evaluated using Dose volume Histograms. The target dose uniformity and conformity were calculated and evaluated.

Results and Discussion

The dose coverage, Homogeneity index, Conformity index, Ipsilateral Lung Dose, Heart dose, Contralateral Lung Dose, Monitor Units, Normal Tissue Integral Dose for all the 20 patients are averaged and the Standard deviation was also calculated. The same is tabulated below.

The tabular clearly depicts the mean and the standard deviation of the dose coverage obtained for the target volume and other critical structures for all the 20 patients. Also the mean Monitor units and Normal tissue Integral dose are tabulated above. The below discussion gives a clear description of the Table 1, target and critical structure wise (Mirza Athar et al., 2014)

Target coverage

The dose coverage obtained in VMAT is more uniform and homogeneous than that obtained with IMRT. The mean of the Conformity index in VMAT plans is found to be 1.05 while it is 1.07 in IMRT. The mean homogeneity index in VMAT plans was found to be 0.065 and it was 0.069 in IMRT plans.

Heart dose

The critical structures dose were also obtained from the Dose volume histograms and compared for both VMAT and IMRT plans. The mean of the dose to 20% volume of Heart was found to be 960cGy in VMAT plans while it was 1300cGy in IMRT plans. The mean dose to heart was 1236cGy in VMAT plans and it was 1870cGy in IMRT plans.

Ipsilateral (Left) Lung Dose

The dose to 5% volume and 20% volume, mean dose were observed and tabulated. In VMAT plans the mean 5% volume dose was 3395cGy mean, 20% volume dose was 1840cGy and the mean of the mean dose was 1205cGy. In IMRT plans, the mean 5% volume dose was 3525cGy, 20% volume dose was 2012cGy and the mean dose was 1435cGy.

Contralateral (Right) Lung

The mean dose was observed for the contralateral Lung. However the variation is less, the mean dose in VMAT plans was 505cGy and 553cGy in IMRT plans.

Monitor Units

The mean of the Monitor units for all the patients in VMAT plans was 512MU while it was 1170 in IMRT plans.

Normal Tissue Integral Dose (NTID)

The Normal Tissue integral dose is obtained using the mean dose to the body excluding the Planning Target volume and its volume. The NTID in VMAT plans is 108.8 x 10^3 Gycm$^3$ and 110.1 x 10^3 Gycm$^3$ in IMRT plans.

Conclusion: The target coverage, homogeneity and Conformity index are better in VMAT plans than the IMRT plans. The heart dose which is a major concern in the radiotherapy of especially in Left breast carcinoma received lesser dose in VMAT plans than in the IMRT plans. The Ipsilateral Lung dose was also very less in VMAT plans than the IMRT plans. The Contralateral Lung dose and the Normal Tissue Integral Dose were also lesser in VMAT plans however the difference is not very appreciable. The MU in VMAT plans is quite lesser than the IMRT plans which is a very great advantage because of the reduction in treatment time. On the whole VMAT seems to be a better modality of treatment in the radiotherapy of Left Breast carcinoma.
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