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Abstract

Environmental tobacco smoke (ETS) is a major public health problem for all ages. Despite the high prevalence of smoking among the Saudi population, there is limited information about levels of urinary cotinine in Saudi children exposed to SHS. The aim of the study was to assess the exposure of schoolchildren to ETS, and measure their urinary cotinine levels. Multistage cluster sampling was carried out, where schoolchildren from 4 schools were randomly chosen from primary schools in Taif city. A questionnaire including questions on SHS exposure and smoking rules in the residence were sent to students parents/guardians. Urine samples were taken and analyzed for total cotinine using chemiluminescent immunoassay. Of the studied children, 38.4% had a smoking father, 61.8%, 41.2% and 49.3% of them were exposed to ETS indoors, outdoors and both indoors and outdoors respectively. The mean urinary cotinine was significantly higher among children exposed to ETS compared to unexposed children. Urinary cotinine levels in children with both indoor and outdoor exposure was significantly higher compared with its level in children with single exposure. A significant positive correlation was found between urinary cotinine concentrations and the number of cigarette packs smoked by parents, and the number of smokers in the residence. The mean urinary cotinine level was significantly higher in children who reported no smoking rules at the residence.. The study revealed a high exposure of Saudi children to ETS. An antismoking media awareness campaign on the harmful effects of ETS should be carried out, in addition to family counseling programs targeted to parents to protect their children from ETS.
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Introduction

Environmental Tobacco Smoke (ETS) is also referred to as Secondhand Smoke (SHS), is the smoke burnt at the end of a cigarette, pipe, or cigar, in addition to the smoke exhaled out of the smoker’s lungs (CDC, 2015). It is now considered more toxic than directly-inhaled firsthand smoke (FHS) (U.S. Food and Drug Administration, 2012). A passive smoker can be classified as someone living or working with a smoker (Salim et al., 2011). According to the Global Youth Tobacco Survey, about 50% of world’s children are exposed to SHS (Lando et al., 2010). Children are more vulnerable to the health effects of SHS (Martins-Green et al., 2014). This could be attributed to the sensitivity of their developing respiratory tract to environmental pollutants, the inhalation of more air per body volume compared to adults, the higher breathing frequency, and their inability to avoid SHS exposure as they have no free choice with respect to their environment (Öberg et al., 2010). In addition, children often sit closer to their parents, family members, or caregivers making them closer to the source of pollutants than other passive smokers (Abou El-Ellaa et al., 2014).

Previous studies revealed that exposure to SHS during childhood increase the risk of respiratory disorders, middle ear disease (Tutka et al., 2002), dental caries (Shenkin et al., 2004), and the risk of developing lung cancer in adulthood (Vineis et al., 2005). Assessing specific smoke constituents or their metabolites in body liquids can give a precise data about exposure to SHS (Stošić et al., 2006). Cotinine is a biomarker of SHS exposure which is the major metabolite of nicotine (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2006). Cotinine can be measured with adequate sensitivity to assess SHS exposure (Goniewicz et al., 2011).

Studies have shown a strong dose-response relation...
between cotinine concentrations in non-smokers and the smoking behaviour of their partners (Goniewicz et al., 2011; Thomas et al., 2011; Abou El-Ella et al., 2014), especially at homes with no smoking restrictions (Thomas et al., 2011).

In the kingdom of Saudi Arabia (KSA), a latest country survey showed that the prevalence of tobacco use among males and females was 24% and 1% respectively (WHO, 2011). Thirty four studies published between 1987 and 2008 showed a total prevalence of (4.5-52.9%) of current smoking (Bassinoy et al., 2009). And according to the WHO country profile of Saudi Arabia in 2013, the current tobacco use was estimated to be 21.2% and 9.1% among male and female youth, compared to 35% and 5.7% among adult males and females (WHO, 2013). In a study to assess parental smoking and the risk of respiratory symptoms among school boys in Al-Khobar City, the rate of smoking among parents was 18.2% (32% among fathers and 4% for mothers) (Bassinoy et al., 2009). Another study demonstrated that the prevalence of current smoking was higher among married people (Jarallah et al., 1999). Other studies showed that 50.6% and 45.8% of pregnant mothers were passive smokers (Rashid et al., 2003; Banoon et al., 2014). Compared to cigarette smoking, the prevalence of waterpipe (WP) smoking was slightly higher in Saudi Arabia (Akl et al., 2011). A high prevalence was demonstrated even in young age, where 12-30% and 37% of school children and university students were WP smokers, with an increasing prevalence among women of all ages (Neergaard et al., 2007).

With the high reported prevalence of smoking among Saudi population, there is limited information on levels of total cotinine in Saudi children exposed to SHS. This study aimed to assess the exposure of schoolchildren to SHS, and to measure urinary cotinine level as a biomarker of SHS in their urine.

**Materials and Methods**

A cross-sectional study was carried out on a sample of elementary schoolchildren aged 6-12 years in the time frame from January to April 2015.

**Study setting: primary schools in Taif City**

Sampling: Multistage cluster sampling methodology was carried out. Following simple random sampling technique, 4 schools (2 for girls and 2 for boys) were randomly chosen from all primary schools in Taif city. One class from each school grade (first to the 6th) was chosen following the same technique.

The total number of students enrolled in the selected classes in the academic year (2014/2015) was 526 students (257 boys and 269 girls). Students from other nationalities, who were absent, didn’t bring the written consent or refused sharing in the study, were excluded. After exclusion of the non-respondents, the response rate was 95.6% and a total of 503 students were the subjects of the study (246 boys and 257 girls).

**Ethical considerations**

Official approvals on the study were obtained from the ethics committee of scientific research of Taif University, and from the general director of basic education of Taif governorate. The selected schools received approval letters with permission from the general director of basic education to conduct the study. After explaining the aim of the study to the schools principals, the schools administrative offices sent official letters and questionnaires with consent forms to the students’ parents/guardians. The letter contained information on the aim and date of the study, and encouraging them for participation. Parents/guardians were asked to fill the questionnaire, and to sign the consent form for their children before sharing in the study.

The questionnaire included five questions asking about SHS exposure and smoking rules in the residence. The questions were as follows: 1) In the past month, how many packs of cigarettes did you smoke inside the home in the presence of the child; 2) Not including you, how many smokers who live with the child smoke inside his house. (If the answer was ≥1 to either of these questions, the child was considered to be exposed to SHS) (Hecht et al., 2001). On average for the past month, what was the number of minutes or hours per week the child spent in a car, bus, van, or other enclosed vehicle with anyone who was smoking tobacco. 4) On average for the past month, what was the number of minutes or hours per week the child spent in any other indoor or enclosed location with anyone who was smoking tobacco?. (Positive answers to these questions were taken to indicate exposure to SHS) (Hecht et al., 2001). Regarding smoking rules at the residence, the caregiver was asked to describe those rules. The response options included: (a) No one is allowed to smoke anywhere (b) Smoking is allowed in some places or at some times; (c) Smoking is allowed anywhere (there are no rules) (Hecht et al., 2001).

**Laboratory analysis**

Urine samples (15-20 mL) were obtained at schools under the supervision of the school health visitors and the researchers in 100-mL polypropylene containers. Urine was collected without preservation and after centrifugation. Samples were analyzed for total cotinine, where the research was done on the machine “IMMULITE” using chemiluminescent immunoassay method.

**Statistical methods**

Data was collected and entered to the computer using the statistical package for the social sciences.

**Table 1. Description of the Studied Group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>The studied group N = 503</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>8.67±1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>6 – 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>46.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>1st grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3rd grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4th grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5th grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Results

The study was carried out on 503 Saudi elementary schoolchildren, 53.9% were females and 46.1% were males with a mean age of (8.67±1.86) years (Table 1).

Of the participants, 38.4% had a smoking father, with 64.2% of fathers reported smoking ≤ 10 packs in the last month. About 50% of the students with smoking father had no other smokers in the residence, where 48.3% reported having 1-2 smokers and 1.8% reported having ≥ 3 smokers other than the father within the residence (Table 2).

The study showed that 61.8% of children reported exposure to SHS indoors with an average number of hours of exposure of (6.76±6.10) /week. According to outdoor exposure, 41.2% of the studied group reported outdoor exposure with an average number of hours of exposure of (1.46±0.72)/week. Either indoor or outdoor exposure

Table 3. Description of Cotinine Level among Different Exposures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Cotinine level (ng/ml)</th>
<th>X ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total (all sample)</td>
<td>503 (100)</td>
<td>42.69±90.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non exposed</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>7.32±4.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All exposed</td>
<td>347</td>
<td>58.60±104.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indoor</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>25.20±49.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoor</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>58.60±104.64</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>105.51±133.36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Cotinine Level in Urine of Children Exposed to SHS Compared to Non-exposed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Non exposed</th>
<th>Exposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotinine level</td>
<td>7.32±4.54</td>
<td>58.60±104.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1 – 21</td>
<td>1 – 614</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

r = + 0.32  P value <0.001

Figure 1. Correlation Between Urinary Cotinine Concentrations and the Number of Cigarette Packs Smoked by Parents
A significant positive correlation was found between urinary cotinine concentrations and the number of smokers in the residence ($r=0.58$, p-value=0.001) (Figure 2).

According to smoking rules at the residence of exposed children, the mean urinary cotinine level was significantly higher in children who reported no smoking rules at the residence, when compared with the mean urinary cotinine in children with residences where smoking was not allowed ever or where smoking rules were partially applied (Table 6).

**Discussion**

The present study was a cross-sectional study carried out on a sample of 503 Saudi elementary school children to assess their exposure to SHS and their urinary cotinine level. The study showed that 38.4% of fathers of the studied children were smokers. This figure is somewhat higher than that reported in a previous Saudi study carried out in Al-Khobar City, where 32% of fathers were smokers (Bassiony et al., 2009). And it is lower than that reported from a Turkish study where 60.6% of fathers were smokers (Boyaci et al., 2006). However, the reported prevalence is consistent with the high reported prevalence of current smoking among Saudi population (Bassiony et al., 2009).

The present study revealed that 61.8% of children were exposed to indoor SHS. This figure is higher than that reported from a study done in USA on pre-school children, where 38% of children were exposed to SHS at home. It is also higher than that reported from the UK (50%) and Northern European countries (57%) (Gergen et al., 1998; Lund et al., 1998; Jarvis et al., 2000). This difference could be attributed to the reported high prevalence of smoking among Saudi population (Bassiony et al., 2009; WHO, 2013), not only among adults, but also among young ages. A national study conducted in 2008 reported a smoking prevalence of 36% and 3% among male and female adults, respectively (Albedah et al., 2011). And in a study done in Jeddah in 2015, the prevalence of ever tobacco use among Saudi female school adolescents was 44.2% (36.2% water pipe and 30.9% cigarettes) (Al-Otaibi et al., 2015). Another study done in Al-Hassa on secondary school students found a prevalence of 30.3% among males and 8.5% in females, where WP was used by 53.9% of the current tobacco users (Amin et al., 2010). The high prevalence of WP smoking among Saudi population could also be palmed for the reported high exposure. In a previous study carried out in Al-Hassa on secondary school students found a prevalence of 30.3% among males and 8.5% in females, where WP was used by 53.9% of the current tobacco users (Amin et al., 2010). The high prevalence of WP smoking among Saudi population could also be palmed for the reported high exposure. In a previous study carried out on 1272 high school students in Riyadh city, the prevalence of WP smoking among the parents was 10.5%, the prevalence of other WP smokers at home was 18.2%, and half of WP female smokers reported smoking inside their homes (Moamary et al., 2012). In Taif city, the same high prevalence of smoking was reported. A study carried out on 2514 secondary school male students revealed that the prevalence of f tobacco smoking was 35.25% (Isa et al., 2014). Another study done on university students found a prevalence of 20% (29.4% among males and 11.4% among females) (Mansour et al., 2015). The reported high indoor exposure to SHS in
the present study could be attributed to being an urban area in Taif city. Population density is always higher in the urban areas, which lead to higher probability of SHS exposure as housing is mainly represented by apartment buildings (Stepanov et al., 2006). In addition, Taif city lies in Mecca Province in Saudi Arabia, which is a region with a high concentration of Saudi population (Salam et al., 2014), and a high number of households (Abdul Salam, 2013). However, the reported indoor exposure to SHS in the present study is lower than that reported from turkey (75%) (Karadag et al., 2003).

In the present study, 48.3% of students with smoking father reported having 1-2 smokers in the residence, where 1.8% reported having 3 and more. This result is somewhat in agreement with a previous Saudi study carried out on university students, where 41.4% of current smokers were living in homes where others smoke (Al-Mohamed et al., 2010). It is also going with the previous Turkish study where a third smoker was present in the house in 2.1% of children (Boyaci et al., 2006).

The present study showed that 49.3% of children reported double exposure to SHS (both indoor and outdoor). This figure is higher than that revealed from a previous study carried out in Al-Madinah city, where the prevalence of SHS exposure was 32.7% 49.3%, and 25% inside, outside, and both inside and outside the home, respectively (Al-Zalabani et al., 2015).

And it is higher than that reported from a study done in Riyadh city, where the prevalence recorded was 27.9% for exposure at home and 38.2% for exposure outside household (Al-Bedah et al., 2010). However it is going with a regional report, where exposure to SHS was 38% inside homes and 46% in public places (Warren et al., 2009). It is also going on with a report which revealed the seriousness of the problem of exposure of Saudi children to SHS. This reports was issued from the Global youth tobacco survey (GYTS) carried out in Saudi Arabia. The report showed that 3 in 10 students live in homes where others smoke in their presence, over 4 in 10 are exposed to smoke in public places, 2 in 10 have parents who smoke (CDC, 2006).

An explanation for this high exposure of children in the present study to SHS, could be the different locations and the higher population density in Taif city. In addition to inclusion of younger age group in our study compared to intermediate and secondary school students in Al-Madinah and Riyadh cities. of children have a high vulnerability to exposure to SHS involuntarily, as they have limited options for avoiding exposure to SHS, and depend on their parents and on adults around them for protection (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, 2007). Added to this is the nature of children as they often sit closer to their parents, family members, or caregivers which make them closer to the source of pollutants than other passive smokers (Abou El-Ellaa et al., 2014).

The present study showed that the mean urinary cotinine level in the students exposed to SHS was significantly higher than the mean value in the un-exposed children (Table 3). This result is in agreement with previous studies (Hecht et al., 2001; Seifert et al., 2002; Boyaci et al., 2006; Stepanov et al., 2006; Goniewicz et al., 2011; Vogel et al., 2011; Abou El-Ellaa et al., 2014). Based on urinary cotinine level, the present study showed that even in children who were classified as un-exposed to SHS, cotinine was detected in their urine. This result was found in a previous study, where 75.6% of the studied children who were classified as un-exposed to SHS had measurable cotinine levels (Mannino et al., 2001), a finding that was demonstrated also in other studies (Hecht et al., 2001; Bonos, 2005). This was explained partially by the inadequate reporting, and partially by parental unawareness with the possible SHS exposure outside the child’s home.

It is known that home is the major exposure site for children to SHS, however locations outside home may also contribute to SHS exposure. For example, vehicles are a significant source of SHS exposure for children; they can be regularly exposed to SHS when parents or other adults smoke inside it in their presence. The concentrations of SHS in vehicles where smoking is occurring can reach very high levels (CDC, 2006). In the present study, urinary cotinine level in children in double exposure to SHS (both indoor and outdoor) was significantly higher when compared with urinary cotinine level in children with single exposure (Table 4). This result is going with those revealed from a previous study which showed that the total cotinine increased 2- to 3- fold when both kinds of exposure were reported (Preston et al., 1997). The significant positive correlation found between urinary cotinine concentrations and the number of cigarette packs smoked by father in the present work (Figure 1), is consistent with results revealed from previous studies. These studies revealed the same positive correlation between the reported amount of smoking and the levels of urinary cotinine (Seifert et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2004; CDC, 2006; Olivieri et al., 2006; Reeves et al., 2008; Schwartzman et al., 2013; Abou El-Ellaa et al., 2014).

Regarding the number of smokers in the residence, a significant positive correlation was found between urinary cotinine concentrations and the number of smokers in the residence (Figure2). This is in agreement with other studies which revealed that cotinine levels in children are dose dependent, and is positively correlated with the number of smokers in the home (Seifert et al., 2002). This finding is consistent with another study where urinary cotinine levels were found to increase by 5 times depending on the number of smoking parents (1 or 2 smokers) (Jurado et al., 2004).

In this study, urinary cotinine level was significantly higher in children with reported no smoking rules at the residence, compared with urinary cotinine level in children with residences where smoking was not allowed ever or where smoking rules were partially applied (Table 6). This result is in agreement with previous studies which showed that Children who live in homes where smoking is allowed have higher levels of cotinine (CDC, 2006; CDC, 2005).

A limitation of this study was the usage of a self-reported questionnaire to collect data about smoking patterns and the amounts of tobacco smoked, which was prone to recall bias. Another limitation was the absence of any laboratory in the kingdom having a facility to carry out the assessment of cotinine level in urine. That is why all...
samples were sent to Germany which was time consuming. In Conclusion, This study revealed that exposure of Saudi children to SHS is very high, although Saudi Arabia is considered as one of the pioneer tobacco control countries in the Eastern Mediterranean Region. The study calls for the importance of antismoking media awareness campaign on the harmful effects of SHS. Family counseling programs targeted to parents should be done to promote smoke-free homes, and increase parents’ awareness about the hazards of SHS and the importance to quit smoking for the benefit of their children. The study indicates the necessity of adopting smoke-free policies and bans on smoking in vehicles and public places or any other facilities where a child is present. Strengthening the role of mosques in raising the awareness of families on the religion’s stance on smoking and its harmful effects should be taken in consideration in this religious country.
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