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Abstract

An algorithm is presented for estimating the 3-D location (i.e., azimuth angle, elevation angle, and range) of multiple sources with a uniform circular array (UCA) consisting of an even number of sensors. Recently the rank reduction (RARE) algorithm for partly-calibrated sensor arrays was developed. This algorithm is applicable to sensor arrays consisting of several identically oriented and calibrated linear subarrays. Assuming that a UCA consists of M sensors, it can be divided into M/2 identical linear subarrays composed of two facing sensors. Based on the structure of the subarrays, the steering vectors are decomposed into two parts: range-independent 2-D direction-of-arrival (DOA) parameters, and range-relevant 3-D location parameters. Using this property we can estimate range-independent 2-D DOAs by using the RARE algorithm. Once the 2-D DOAs are available, range estimation can be obtained for each source by defining the 1-D MUSIC spectrum. Despite its low computational complexity, the proposed algorithm can provide an estimation performance almost comparable to that of the 3-D MUSIC benchmark estimator.
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I. Introduction

Source localization using arrays of sensors is an important topic in wireless communications, radar, and sonar research [1–7]. One popular method is to employ a uniform circular array (UCA). From the various arrays available, a UCA offers an attractive geometry because it provides 2-D direction-of-arrival (DOA), 360° azimuth coverage, and an almost identical beam width. By contrast, a uniform linear array (ULA) only gives a 1-D DOA (e.g. a bearing angle), 180° coverage, and a broadened beam width steered away from its broadside. Early research on source localization with a UCA focused primarily on far-field source localization, where source location was characterized by the 2-D DOA of the signal because the wavefront from a far-field source was assumed to be planar [1–2]. However, this assumption is no longer valid when the source is close to the UCA, in which case the location must be characterized by the range for the source as well as the 2-D DOA.

Recently, some near-field 3-D source localization algorithms have been proposed using a UCA. Lee et al. [3] proposed a path-following algorithm based on the algebraic relation between the incident angles under the far-field assumption.
and the actual near-field location, which allows a 3-D location search to be replaced with a 2-D search for a far-field 2-D DOA estimation and a 1-D search following the known algebraic path. Bae et al. [4] developed a decoupled estimator for the 2-D DOA and range based on the centrosymmetry of the UCA and the noncircularity of the source, which allows a computationally efficient search-free near-field 3-D location estimator.

In addition, Pesavento et al. [5] developed a rank reduction estimator (RARE) algorithm that is a generalization of the multiple signal classification (MUSIC) algorithm [6] for partly-calibrated sensor arrays. This algorithm is applicable to sensor arrays consisting of several identically oriented and calibrated linear subarrays with the inter-element spacing of each subarray being an integer multiple of some common baseline. Therefore this paper represents a decoupled location parameter estimator of multiple near-field 3-D sources in a UCA. A UCA is composed of \( M \) identical omnidirectional sensors, where \( M \) is an even integer and the sensors are uniformly spaced along the circumference of a circle of radius \( R \) in the \( xy \)-plane. \( L \) near-field uncorrelated narrowband sources are located at azimuth angles \( \theta \in [0, 2\pi] \), measured counterclockwise from the \( x \)-axis, elevation angles \( \phi \in [0, 2\pi] \), measured downward from the \( z \)-axis, and \( l_i \) measured from the origin of the UCA.

The signal received at the \( m \)th sensor can be modeled as

\[
x_m(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{L} s_j(n) e^{j2\pi l_m n / \lambda} + w_m(n),
\]

for \( m = 1, \ldots, M \), \( l = 1, \ldots, L \), and \( n = 1, \ldots, N \), where \( s_j(n) \) is the \( j \)th source signal, \( w_m(n) \) is the additive noise, \( \lambda \) is the known wavelength, \( N \) is the number of snapshots, and \( r_m(r_l, \theta_l, \phi_l) \) is the distance from the source located at \((r_l, \theta_l, \phi_l)\) to the \( m \)th sensor, which is given by

\[
r_m(r_l, \theta_l, \phi_l) = \sqrt{r_l^2 + R^2 - 2r_l R \xi_m(\theta_l, \phi_l)}
\]

where \( \xi_m(\theta_l, \phi_l) = \cos((2\pi / M) m - \theta_l) \sin \phi_l \). Using
a second-order Taylor series expansion of \( r_m(r, \theta, \phi) \) for \( m = 1, \ldots, M \) it can be approximated as

\[
r_m(r, \theta, \phi) = r [1 - \frac{1}{2} (R / r) (1 - \xi_m^1(\theta, \phi)) + (R^2 / 2r^2) (1 - \xi_m^2(\theta, \phi))].
\]  

(3)

The second-order Taylor series approximation can be found in the literature on near-field source localization using UCA [3–4]. Using this approximation, the signal received in (1) can be reduced to

\[
x_n(n) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} s_m(n) e^{j \frac{2 \pi}{\lambda} \left[ \frac{R}{r} (a_m(\theta, \phi) + \frac{R^2}{2r^2} (1 - \xi_m^2(\theta, \phi))) \right]} + w_n(n),
\]

where

\[
x(n) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1(n) \\ x_2(n) \\ \vdots \\ x_M(n) \end{bmatrix}
\]

(4)

The first term in the exponent of (4) is represented only by the 2-D DOA parameter of the source location, while the range parameter is related only to the second term in the exponent of (4). Therefore by applying Fresnel approximation, which corresponds to the second-order Taylor expansion, the received signal model in the near field is decomposed into a range-independent 2-D DOA parameters portion and a range-dependent 3-D location parameters portion. The received signal vector \( x(n) = [x_1(n), \ldots, x_M(n)]^T \) can be written as

\[
x(n) = A(r, \Theta, \Phi) s(n) + w(n)
\]

(5)

where \( r, \Theta, \) and \( \Phi \) are the \( L \) dimensional source location vectors, \( s(n) = [s_1(n), \ldots, s_M(n)]^T \), \( w(n) = [w_1(n), \ldots, w_M(n)]^T \), and the direction matrix \( A(r, \Theta, \Phi) \) is defined as

\[
A(r, \Theta, \Phi) = \begin{bmatrix} a(r_1, \theta, \phi) \\ \vdots \\ a(r_M, \theta, \phi) \end{bmatrix}
\]

(6)

\[
a(r, \theta, \phi) = \begin{bmatrix} a_1(r, \theta, \phi) \\ \vdots \\ a_L(r, \theta, \phi) \end{bmatrix}
\]

(7)

A RARE algorithm is applicable to sensor arrays consisting of several identically oriented and calibrated linear subarrays with the inter-element spacing of each subarray being an integer multiple of some common baseline. Fig. 2 depicts the subarray structure for the RARE.

Since the sensors are centrosymmetric, we have \( \xi_m(\theta, \phi) = -\xi_{M/2-m}(\theta, \phi) \) [3–4,7]. By reordering the sensors as

\[
\beta_{\text{RARE}} = [1, M/2 + 1, 2, M/2 + 2, \ldots, M/2, M/2 + M],
\]

(8)

the steering vector \( a(r, \theta, \phi) \) in (7) can be rewritten as

\[
a(r, \theta, \phi) = \begin{bmatrix} a_{\beta_1}(r, \theta, \phi) \\ \vdots \\ a_{\beta_M}(r, \theta, \phi) \end{bmatrix}
\]

(9)

where

\[
C(\theta, \phi) = \begin{bmatrix} c_0(\theta, \phi) & 0_{2n_1} & \cdots & 0_{2n_{M-1}} \\ 0_{2n_1} & c_1(\theta, \phi) & \cdots & 0_{2n_{M-1}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0_{2n_{M-1}} & 0_{2n_{M-1}} & \cdots & c_{M-1}(\theta, \phi) \end{bmatrix}
\]

(10)
Based on the reordering procedure, the steering eigenvalues on the diagonals of (11) can be estimated from the global maxima using
\[
C_{\text{MUSIC}}(r) = \sum_{r} (a(r, \hat{\theta}, \hat{\phi})B(\theta, \phi)h(r, \theta, \phi))^{-1}, l = 1, \ldots, L
\]
where $N_{\theta}, N_{\phi}$ and $N_r$ denote the number of grids in the $\theta$ space, $\phi$ space and $r$ space, respectively. In (19) and (20) the first term comes from the computation of a sample covariance matrix and its eigendecomposition, while the second term relates to the parameter search. Thus for the typical case of $N_{\theta}, N_{\phi}$ and $N_r >> M \gg L$, the computational burden for evaluating a sample covariance matrix and eigendecomposing the resultant matrix is inefficient. Therefore the computational complexity of these algorithms is related to the parameter search. The ratio of the computational complexity of the parameter searches employing the proposed algorithm using the RARE to that of using the 3-D MUSIC is approximately $O(M/N_r)$ demonstrating the computational attractiveness of the proposed algorithm.

III. Simulation

This section investigates and validates the performance of the proposed estimator and compares the results with the benchmark estimator for the 3-D MUSIC. We consider a UCA consisting of $M = 12$ with $R = \lambda/2$, which is designed to avoid phase ambiguity, and two equi-power sources impinging on the array from $(r_1, \theta_1, \phi_1) = (2.5R, -30^\circ, 60^\circ)$ and $(r_2, \theta_2, \phi_2) = (3R, 55^\circ, 45^\circ)$. Two hundred Monte Carlo runs with $N = 256$ snapshots for each trial were performed to obtain the root-mean-squared errors (RMSEs) defined as

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{LM} \sum_{l} \sum_{m} (\text{estimated value} - \text{actual value})^2}$$

for the 3-D location parameter. Note that the RMSE of the range estimate was normalized by the array radius $R$. A search grid with a step size of $(\Delta r, \Delta \theta, \Delta \phi) = (0.1R, 0.1^\circ, 0.1^\circ)$ was applied to the proposed estimator and the 3-D MUSIC.

Figs. 3 to 5 show that the estimation performance for the proposed estimator was sufficiently close to that of the 3-D MUSIC over a wide range of SNRs, despite the fact that the proposed estimator does not demand an exhaustive grid search in contrast to the 3-D MUSIC.

The additional simulation compared the computational complexity of the proposed algorithm and 3-D MUSIC when using different numbers of sources, $L$. In this simulation, we assumed $N_{az} = N_{el} = N_{ru} = 101$ search grids, where $N_{az}$,
Table 1. Elapsed CPU times required for parameter search.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of sources</th>
<th>L=1</th>
<th>L=2</th>
<th>L=3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Proposed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D-DOA</td>
<td>0.1698</td>
<td>0.1688</td>
<td>0.1679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-D Music</td>
<td>17.0421</td>
<td>17.0225</td>
<td>16.8667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed/3-D MUSIC</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
<td>0.0102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(N_{el}\) and \(N_{ra}\) denote the number of grids in the azimuth space, elevation space, and range space, respectively. Table 1 lists elapsed CPU times used for the parameter search, which was computed by using the ‘tic’ and ‘toc’ run of MATLAB on a personal computer with an Intel i7 processor running at 2.8GHz. The unit of the elapsed CPU time is second. The ratio of the elapsed CPU time of the proposed algorithm to that of 3-D MUSIC shows a slight increase as the number of sources increases. Yet, overall ratios are much smaller than ‘1’.

IV. Discussion

Our proposed algorithm replaces the 3-D search required in the conventional 3-D MUSIC benchmark estimator with a 2-D DOA search and L 1-D range searches. Using the RARE concept, our proposed algorithm develops a decoupled estimator, thereby providing a computationally efficient algorithm. And, despite its low computational complexity, the proposed algorithm can provide an estimation performance almost comparable to that of the conventional 3-D MUSIC benchmark estimator. Of course, the reference papers in [3-4] provide computationally efficient estimator. For example, Lee et al. [3] proposed a path-following algorithm allowing a 3-D location search to be replaced with a 2-D search for a far-field 2-D DOA estimation and 1-D search. However, under far-field assumption, a 2-D spectrum function of this algorithm for 2-D DOA has poor performance to distinguish multiple closely spaced sources. Furthermore, it requires path calculation procedure. Meanwhile, Bae et al. [4] developed a decoupled estimator for the 2-D DOA and range based on the centro-symmetry of the UCA and non-circularity of the source, which allows a computationally efficient, search-free near-field 3-D location estimator. However, its application is limited by the specific case of only a single noncircular source and the geometry of UCA with a center sensor. So, the reference paper in [4] cannot be directly compared to our algorithm because of the limited characteristics of source, the source number and the different array geometry. Bae et al. [4] considered the UCA with a center sensor and a single non-circular source. On the other hand, we considered multiple sources (including circular source and non-circular source) and the UCA without a center sensor. And, the radius of UCA is usually designed such that adjacent sensors are separated by less or equal to a half wavelength. However, in our proposed algorithm using rank reduction concept, the radius of UCA is designed by phase shift of two facing sensors. From (11), the phase shift of two elements is \(\frac{4\pi}{\lambda} R \xi (\theta, \phi)\). Therefore, the radius \(R \leq \lambda/2\) is assumed to avoid phase ambiguity.

V. Conclusion

A novel algorithm was proposed for near-field 3-D localization of multiple sources in a UCA. Using a RARE algorithm the proposed method developed a decoupled estimator for the 2-D DOA and range, thus providing a computationally efficient algorithm. Numerical simulations showed that the proposed method yields an estimation performance comparable to that of the 3-D MUSIC algorithm, which requires an expensive 3-D search procedure.
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