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Abstract. For each dimension exceeds 1, determining the number of multi-dimensional partitions of a positive integer is an open question in combinatorial number theory. For \( n \leq 14 \) and \( d \geq 1 \) we derive a formula for the function \( \wp_d(n) \) where \( \wp_d(n) \) denotes the number of partitions of \( n \) arranged on a \( d \)-dimensional space. We also give an another definition of the \( d \)-dimensional partitions using the union of finite number of divisor sets of integers.

1. Introduction

Partitioning of integers is a problem in number theory dating back to the Middle Ages [3, 2]. A one-dimensional partition of a positive integer \( n \) is given by
\[
n = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k
\] where all \( n_i \)'s are non-negative integers and \( n_i \geq n_{i+1} \). A two-dimensional or plane partition of an integer is a decomposition into a sum of smaller positive integers which are arranged on a plane. The ordering property generalizes to the summands being non-increasing along both the rows and the columns. Generalization to \( d \)-dimensional one is straightforward. In defining higher-dimensional partitions, the fact that sequences are non-increasing in all the directions becomes important. A \( d \)-dimensional partition of a positive integer \( n \) is an array whose sum is \( n \):
\[
n = \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_d \geq 0} n_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_d},
\]
where the \( n_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_d} \) are non-negative integers satisfying \( n_{i_1 i_2 \ldots i_d} \geq n_{j_1 j_2 \ldots j_d} \) whenever \( i_1 \leq j_1, i_2 \leq j_2, \ldots, i_d \leq j_d \) [1, p.179]. For example, the following
is a plane partition of 16:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 \\
4 & 1 \\
5 & 3 & 2 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[5_{(0,0)} + 3_{(0,1)} + 2_{(0,2)} + 4_{(1,0)} + 1_{(1,1)} + 1_{(2,0)}\]

and the set \(\tau = \{(0, 0), (0, 1), (0, 2), (1, 0), (1, 1), (2, 0)\}\) is called a Young diagram of \(3 + 2 + 1\). See Section 2 for definition and explanation.

We denote \(\lambda \vdash d_n\) when \(\lambda\) is a \(d\)-dimensional partition of \(n\). Let \(\wp_d(n)\) denote the number of \(d\)-dimensional partitions of \(n\). By convention, let \(\wp_d(0) = 1\). For example, \(\wp_2(3) = 6\) since there are six plane partitions with sum 3:

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
& & & & & & & & 1 \\
& & & & & & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
& & & & & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
& & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\
& & & 1 & 3 & 2 & 3 & 1 & 1 \\
& & 1 & 4 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
& 1 & 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

In Section 2, we give another definition of the function \(\wp_d(n)\). Section 3 describes how to get the values of the function \(\wp_d(n)\) for integers \(n\) up to 14.

2. Higher-dimensional partitions

A one-dimensional partitions can be graphically visualized with Young diagrams \([7, 6]\). A Young diagram (also called Ferrers diagram) is a finite collection of cells arranged in left-justified rows, with the row lengths weakly decreasing. Listing the number of boxes in each row gives a partition of a non-negative integer \(n\). For a Young diagram \(\nu\), let \(|\nu|\) be the total number of cells of the diagram. Young diagrams will be drawn using the French notation with the longest row on the bottom and will be identified with the partition itself by referring to a partition as a collection of cells. For example, the Young diagrams corresponding to the partitions of 4 are

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\begin{array}{cccc}
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot \\
\end{array} & \begin{array}{cccc}
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot \\
\end{array} & \begin{array}{cccc}
\cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot \\
\end{array} & \begin{array}{cccc}
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\end{array} & \begin{array}{cccc}
\cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot \\
\end{array} \\
\end{array}
\]

Since there is a obvious one-to-one correspondence between one-dimensional partitions and Young diagrams, we use these two terms interchangeably.

Let \(\mathbb{N}\) be the set of all non-negative integers, \(\mathbb{N}^+\) be the set of all positive integers and \(p_i\) be the \(i\)th prime (i.e., \(p_1 = 2, p_2 = 3, \text{ etc.}\)). For \(n \in \mathbb{N}^+\), let \(DV(n)\) be the set of all positive divisors of \(n\) and \(\omega(n)\) be the largest prime factor of \(n\).

**Definition 1.** Let \(d\) and \(n\) be positive integers. Then we define a function

\[H_d(n) = \{s \in \mathcal{H}_d||s| = n\},\]
where
\[ H_d = \left\{ \bigcup_{\ell=1}^{k} DV(n_{\ell}) \mid n_{\ell}'s \text{ are positive integers with } \omega(n_{\ell}) \leq p_{d+1} \right\}. \]

For example, \(|H_2(3)| = 6\) since
\[ H_2(3) = \{ \{1, 2, 2\^2\}, \{1, 3, 3\^2\}, \{1, 5, 5\^2\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 5\}, \{1, 3, 5\} \}. \]

Let \(n\) be a positive integer and \(\nu\) be any Young diagram with \(n\) boxes. Because any cell \((i, j)\) in \(\nu\) can be filled with the number \(2^i \cdot 3^j\), we know that for any left side of or below the cell \((i, j)\) are filled with divisors of \(2^i \cdot 3^j\). Thus, we have
\[ \bigcup_{(i,j) \in \nu} \{2^i \cdot 3^j\} = \bigcup_{(i,j) \in \nu} DV(2^i \cdot 3^j) \in H_1(n). \]
Consequently,
\[ n = |\bigcup_{(i,j) \in \nu} DV(2^i \cdot 3^j)|. \]

Conversely, if \(A\) is an element of \(H_1(t)\), then the set
\[ \tilde{A} = \{ \text{cell} \ (i, j) \mid (i, j) \in \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{N}^* \text{ and } 2^i \cdot 3^j \in A \} \]
is a Young diagram with \(t\) boxes.

By the obvious one-to-one correspondence above, we have

**Proposition 2.1.** Let \(n\) be a positive integer. Then
\[ \wp_1(n) = |H_1(n)|. \]

For example, \(|H_1(4)| = 5\) since
\[
\begin{align*}
&\{(1, 3, 3^2, 3^3)\} \quad \{(1, 2) \cup (1, 3, 3^2)\} \quad \{(1, 3, 2, 6)\} \quad \{(1, 3) \cup (1, 2, 2^2)\} \quad \{(1, 2, 2^2, 2^3)\}
\end{align*}
\]

**Theorem 2.2.** For a positive integer \(d\), we have
\[ \wp_d(n) = |H_d(n)| \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*. \]

**Proof.** Let
\[ \lambda = \left[ \sum_{i_1, \ldots, i_d \geq 0} n_{i_1 \cdot i_2 \cdots i_d} \right] \]
be a \(d\)-dimensional partition of a positive integer \(n\). The \(d\)-dimensional partition \(\lambda\) may be reinterpreted to
\[ \hat{\lambda} = \left[ \sum_{\omega(t) \leq p_d} n_t \right], \quad (2.1) \]
where the $n_t$’s are positive integers satisfying $n_t \geq n_s$ whenever $t$ divides $s$. Then we write $\lambda \vdash_d n$.

For a set $S$, let $aS = \{as | s \in S\}$. From (2.1) and the definition of the function $DV$, we obtain

\[
\sum_{\omega(t) \leq p_d \atop n_v \geq n_s \text{ whenever } v|s} |tDV(p_d^{n_t-1})| \sum_{\omega(t) \leq p_d \atop n_v \geq n_s \text{ whenever } v|s} \sum_{\omega(t) \leq p_d} DV(tp_d^{n_t-1}) = |H_d(n)| = \\
\sum_{\omega(t) \leq p_d \atop n_v \geq n_s \text{ whenever } v|s} |tDV(p_d^{n_t-1})|,
\]

(2.2)

which proves the theorem. □

**Lemma 2.3.** Let $d$ be a positive integer. Then

\[
\wp_d(n) = \left| \{1t_1 + \cdots + 1t_n \vdash_{d+1} n \} \right|.
\]

**Proof.** From Theorem 2.2 and (2.2), we have

\[
|H_d(n)| = \left| \tau = \bigcup_{\omega(t) \leq p_d \atop n_v \geq n_s \text{ whenever } v|s} DV(tp_d^{n_t-1}) \right| = \\
\sum_{\omega(t) \leq p_d \atop n_v \geq n_s \text{ whenever } v|s} |tDV(p_d^{n_t-1})| \sum_{\omega(t) \leq p_d} DV(tp_d^{n_t-1}) = \\
\left| \{1t_1 + \cdots + 1t_n \vdash_{d+1} n \} \right|.
\]

□

To evaluate $\wp_d(2)$, one can easily see that the number of $d$-dimensional partitions of 2 is $d + 1$ since

\[
\wp_d(2) = |H_d(2)| = |\{1, p_i \}|_{i = 1, \ldots, d + 1} = d + 1.
\]

By the following theorem, the number of $d$-dimensional partitions of each integer less than 7 can be evaluated.
Theorem 2.4. ([5], [1] Theorem 11.8.)
\[ \wp_d(0) = 1, \]
\[ \wp_d(1) = 1, \]
\[ \wp_d(2) = \binom{d}{1} + 1, \]
\[ \wp_d(3) = 1 + 2d + \binom{d}{2}, \]
\[ \wp_d(4) = 1 + 4d + 4 \binom{d}{2} + \binom{d}{3}, \]
\[ \wp_d(5) = 1 + 6d + 11 \binom{d}{2} + 7 \binom{d}{3} + \binom{d}{4}, \]
\[ \wp_d(6) = 1 + 10d + 27 \binom{d}{2} + 28 \binom{d}{3} + 11 \binom{d}{4} + \binom{d}{5}. \]

Since the set \( H_d(n) \) is fixed by any permutation of \( \{p_1, \ldots, p_{d+1}\} \), we have

Lemma 2.5. Let \( n \) be a fixed positive integer greater than 1. Then there exist non-negative integers \( a(n, i) \) which satisfy the following:
\[ \wp_d(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a(n, i) \binom{d+1}{i}. \]

Proof. Let \( \chi(m) \) be the set of all prime factors of \( m \). For a positive \( i \), define
\[ G_d(n, i) = \left\{ \left[ t_1 + \cdots + t_n \right] \mid \chi(\prod_{j=1}^{n} t_j) = \{p_1, \ldots, p_i\} \right\}. \]

Then by Lemma 2.3,
\[ \left| \left\{ \left[ t_1 + \cdots + t_n \right] \mid \chi(\prod_{j=1}^{n} t_j) = \{p_1, \ldots, p_i\} \right\} \right| = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |G_d(n, i)| \binom{d+1}{i}. \]

We calculated (by computer) \( a(n, i) \) for each of \( n \leq 14 \) which are defined on Lemma 2.5. Thus, we have
Theorem 2.6. Let $d$ be a positive integer.

$$\wp_d(2) = [1]_d,$$
$$\wp_d(3) = [1, 1]_d,$$
$$\wp_d(4) = [1, 3, 1]_d,$$
$$\wp_d(5) = [1, 5, 6, 1]_d,$$
$$\wp_d(6) = [1, 9, 18, 10, 1]_d,$$
$$\wp_d(7) = [1, 13, 44, 49, 15, 1]_d,$$
$$\wp_d(8) = [1, 20, 97, 172, 110, 21, 1]_d,$$
$$\wp_d(9) = [1, 28, 195, 512, 550, 216, 28, 1]_d,$$
$$\wp_d(10) = [1, 40, 377, 1370, 2195, 1486, 385, 36, 1]_d,$$
$$\wp_d(11) = [1, 54, 694, 3396, 7603, 7886, 3514, 638, 45, 1]_d,$$
$$\wp_d(12) = [1, 75, 1251, 7968, 23860, 35115, 24318, 7484, 999, 55, 1]_d,$$
$$\wp_d(13) = [1, 99, 2185, 17910, 69580, 138155, 138075, 65997, 14667, 1495, 66, 1]_d,$$
$$\wp_d(14) = [1, 133, 3765, 38942, 191795, 495870, 677663, 471276, 161202, 26875, 2156, 78, 1]_d,$$

where $[c_1, ..., c_t]_d = \sum_{i=1}^{t} c_i \binom{d+1}{i}$.

3. Concluding remarks and exact enumeration

Previous attempts on studying solid partitions with a computer have been based on exact enumeration [5, 4]. Lemma 2.3 have been based on exact enumeration and the algorithm is made by the following. Partitions that are related to each other by symmetry operations are counted only once and multiplied by the corresponding symmetry factor. In Table 1, we list the number of $d$-dimensional partitions from $n = 1$ to $n = 9$ for each $d = 2, 3, ..., 9$.

Table 1. The number of multi-dimensional partitions of $n$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\wp_1(n)$</th>
<th>$\wp_2(n)$</th>
<th>$\wp_3(n)$</th>
<th>$\wp_4(n)$</th>
<th>$\wp_5(n)$</th>
<th>$\wp_6(n)$</th>
<th>$\wp_7(n)$</th>
<th>$\wp_8(n)$</th>
<th>$\wp_9(n)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>554</td>
<td>819</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>657</td>
<td>1197</td>
<td>2024</td>
<td>3231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>1907</td>
<td>3857</td>
<td>7134</td>
<td>12321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>684</td>
<td>2145</td>
<td>5507</td>
<td>12300</td>
<td>24796</td>
<td>46200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>282</td>
<td>1464</td>
<td>5345</td>
<td>15522</td>
<td>38430</td>
<td>84625</td>
<td>170370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>3122</td>
<td>13220</td>
<td>43352</td>
<td>118874</td>
<td>285784</td>
<td>621316</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A finite sequence of real numbers \( \{b_0, b_1, ..., b_m\} \) is said to be unimodal if there exists an index \( 0 \leq m^* \leq m \), called the mode of the sequence, such that \( b_j \) increases up to \( j = m^* \) and decreases from then on. We finish this article by noting that Theorem 2.6 indicates that the sequences \( \{a(n, i)\}_{i=1, ..., n-1} \), which are defined in Lemma 2.5, are unimodal for \( n = 1, ..., 14 \). Therefore, we now mention an additional problem.

Are the sequences \( \{a(n, i)\}_{i=1, ..., n-1} \), which are defined in Lemma 2.5, unimodal for all \( n \)?
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