Modeling of Inhibition Mechanism of Natural Ligands to Farnesyl Protein Transferase Using Molecular Docking
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Ras Proteins play important roles in the signal transduction necessary for the cell growth. In the tumour cells, approximately 30% of the Ras protein genes are mutated. Ras proteins (H, N, and K) are small guanine nucleotide binding proteins that undergo a series of post-translational modifications including the farnesylation on the thiol group at the Ras C-terminus catalyzed by the farnesyl protein transferase (FPTase). This is a mandatory process before anchoring to plasma membrane which is critical for its biological activity, e.g., cell proliferation and tumorigenesis.

Recent work has demonstrated that specific inhibition of the FPTase might be interesting to find effective therapeutic agents for the treatment of cancer.

Many synthetic FPTase inhibitors have been tested including peptidomimetics in the past few years. However, a few examples of natural products inhibitors were reported. In a recent work, many natural ligands were isolated as possible inhibitors of FPTase. In the course of their screening for protein inhibitors from herbal medicines, several compounds including arteminolide A (1) and artenomaloide B (2) were reported. 1 was identified as a configurational isomer of 2. 1 strongly inhibited FPTase (IC50 = 0.36 M) but 2 inhibits weakly (IC50 = 200 M).

Molecular Modeling

In this work, molecular docking studies between a biomacromolecule and 1 or 2 were performed in order to identify their biological activity differences using the modules implemented in the molecular modeling package SYBYL. The FPTase protein (code name: 1QBQ) used for the docking is available from the Protein Data Bank. FPTase is a zinc heterodimeric metalloenzyme complexed with farnesyl pyrophosphate (FPP) and Ca1α2X consensus motif, where α1 and α2 are aliphatic amino acids and X can be any residue, at 2.5 Å resolution.

In an extensive docking study using Biodock program, four different binding modes were postulated depending on the physicochemical properties of natural ligands: (1) Non specific (2) Zn2+ shielding (3) Peptidomimetic (4) FPPmimetic.

If a natural compound has molecular volume similar to that of Ca1α2X motif, it can inhibit the FPTase occupying selectively the binding site of peptide substrate, and thus can be defined as a peptidomimetic inhibitor.

Results and Discussion

Molecular volumes and log P values of Ca1α2X motif, FPP, 1, and 2 were calculated using the Sybyl package and the results are summarized in Table 1. Inspection of Table 1 shows that the two ligands considered in this work have twice larger volume than FPP but have volumes similar to the peptide motif. The log P values are slightly greater than that of Ca1α2X motif. This means that 1 and 2 can occupy the same binding site as the peptide substrate but with slightly different orientations.

Recently, several methods for the docking of a ligand to an enzyme have been developed and tested. FlexX is one of the methods and is successfully applied to predict numerous

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecule</th>
<th>Volume</th>
<th>log P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca1α2X</td>
<td>474.0</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPP</td>
<td>271.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compound 1</td>
<td>442.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compound 2</td>
<td>472.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Molecular volumes are calculated using the method described in ref. 8. Log P values are calculated using the method described in ref. 9.
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X-ray crystallographic structures of enzyme-substrate complexes. All ligands structures were minimized using the Tripos force field until RMS gradient was less than 0.05. The charge of Zn\(^{2+}\) was set to +2 and the Ca_\(\text{a2}\)X motif was removed for ligand docking. Fully optimized three-dimensional structures of 1 and 2 are shown in Figure 1 along with the superimposed image of two structures to examine their structural differences. Docking experiments were done using the FlexX module and the final structures were subjected to minimization until RMS gradient was less than 0.05 keeping all residues 15 Å farther away from the active sites fixed. In the first experiment, all water molecules were removed from the X-ray structure initially. But this result was not realistic because the position of Zn\(^{2+}\) ion is far moved from its original position. In the second experiment, all water molecules were included in the docking study. Ligands 1 and 2 could bind to the same position previously occupied by the Ca_\(\text{a2}\)X motif and final minimized structures are shown in Figure 2.

In the docking structure of 1 to FPTase (Figure 2(a)), 1 forms three strong hydrogen bonds - two with Lys164 (bond
length = 1.82 Å and Lys356 (bond length = 1.65 Å) located in the pocket entrance and the other with the FPP (bond length = 1.72 Å) - and additional hydrogen bonds with Asp359 and Gln195 mediated by water molecules. This structural feature is similar to the inhibition mechanism found in the crystal structure - the thiol group in the Cys of Ca1a2X motif blocks the binding between Zn2+ and FPP. Three-dimensional orientation of two ligands is different only at the top part as shown in the superimposed image of Figure 1(c). This structural difference suggests that 2 bind to the same way as 1 in the case of lower part of the ligand but to different orientation in the case of top part. 2 also binds to the position previously occupied by the Ca1a2X motif and forms strong hydrogen bonds with Lys50, Lys164 and Lys356. Similarly, water bridged-hydrogen bond with Asp359 is also found. To get a clear view of the docking structures, two docked structures are superimposed in Figure 3. One can see that both 1 and 2 form hydrogen bonds with Lys164 and Lys356 residues. Structural difference in the interaction mode with FPTase is that 1 interacts with some residues at the entrance but 2 does not. Different modes of binding are reflected in the final binding energy of two docked structures (-9.15 kcal/mol for 1-FPTase vs -1.03 kcal/mol for 2-FPTase)13 which is in line with the experimental biological activity differences between 1 and 2.

Acknowledgement. This work was financially supported by a grant (R01-2000-000-00153-0) from the Korea Science and Engineering Foundation. This work was also supported by the Brain Korea 21 Project in 2003. One of us (Park, H. Y.) also thanks for a university postdoctoral position.

References
5. SYBYL molecular modeling software; Tripos Inc.: 1699 South Hanley Rd, Suite 303, St. Louis, MO 63144.