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Spontaneous Vesicle Formation in Aqueous Mixtures of Cationic Gemini Surfactant and Sodium Lauryl Ether Sulfate

Molecular aggregates of surfactant molecules consisting of one or more bilayers arranged in a hollow, closed, usually spherical geometry are termed “vesicles” or “liposomes”. In recent years it has been found that in certain systems the vesicular structure forms spontaneously and is long lived, and it has been suggested that these structures may in fact constitute the equilibrium state in these cases (as is true of micelles) This paper deals with the mixed CMC, vesicles, phase behavior, phase transition, geometrical structure, their formation and characterization in the aqueous solutions of mixed cationic/anionic surfactants systems. TEM micrographs revealed that the vesicles were of spherical shape and that their size was of around 180 nm. The zeta potentials are positive at CGS1-rich regions and negative at SLES-rich regions. In the region where SLES/CGS1 (6/4), the zeta potentials are very small, implying that the vesicles at this surfactant ratio may be less stable. At other surfactant ratios, the vesicles are thought to be stable, supported by large absolute values of zeta potentials and little change in UV absorbance for several months.
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Introduction

Vesicles are primary examples of compartmentalized liquids generated by the self-assembly of amphiphilic molecules in solution. If the surfactants are phospholipids, the vesicles in this case are called liposomes. They were first studied around 1965 as models of biological membranes.1-4 By 1970, their structure and physical-chemical characteristics had led researchers in a number of fields to investigate the potential of liposomes as carriers of therapeutical active ingredients.

Vesicles whose envelopes are made up of nonionic surfactants are called niosomes. They may have some advantages over liposomes with respect to chemical stability, lower costs of the chemicals and the large amount of surfactant classes available for the design of theses vesicular structures on demand. These vesicles have been applied for cosmetic purposes very successfully.5

Vesicles are substantially valuable in biominimicking, drug delivering,6-9 synthesis of nanoparticles,10 microreactors, and substrates for a variety of enzymes and proteins.

The so-called catanionic11-15 vesicles are made from mixtures of cationic and anionic surfactants. They are attracting much interest because they form spontaneously and they can be obtained from a variety of surfactants. In 1989, for the first time, Kaler et al.16 revealed the vesicle formation from mixed cationic and anionic surfactants using cetyltrimethylammonium tosylate (CTAT)/sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate (SDBS)17-20 with single alkyl chains. Since then, catanionic vesicles have been examined in the mixtures of dodecytrimethyl-ammonium bromide (DTAB)21 and sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS),22 didodecyldimethylammonium bromide (DDAB) with double alkyl chain and SDS23 with single alkyl chain, etc.

The formation of vesicles from phospholipid24 usually requires input of some form of energy, for example, ultrasonic.25 The vesicles thus formed are believed to be metastable and easy to fuse. On the other hand, vesicles formed in cationic/anionic surfactant mixtures are believed to be thermodynamically stable, and their size, charge, or permeability can be readily adjusted by varying the relative amounts and/or chain lengths of the two surfactants.

The cationic gemini surfactant studied is CGS1 (Dimeric 1,2-bis(dodecyldimethyl ammonium) ethane dibromide).26,27 In these amphiphiles, two surfactant molecules are linked at the level of the head groups by an alkyl chain (spacer), containing s carbon atoms. The current interest in a gemini surfactant arises from two essential properties. Above all, geminis tent to have much lower CMC, can produce lower surface tensions for an equivalent molar or mass concentration, and have better wetting properties than the corresponding conventional (monomeric) surfactants. In the next place, aqueous solutions of dimeric surfactants with short spacers can have very high viscosities at relatively low concentrations whereas solutions of the corresponding monomeric surfactants have low viscosities.

They are widely used as an effective emulsifier, corrosion inhibitors, dispersants, anti-foaming agents, detergents, etc. Recently these compounds found also application in the solubilization of dyes and pigments in the textile industry,28 the synthesis of highly mesoporous materials,29 gene therapy,30 etc.

As for anionics, SLES (sodium lauryl ether sulfate) was used. This surfactant does not wash out the lipid of the skin...
Figure 1. The chemical structures of CGS1 and SLES.

The CGS1/SLES surfactant mixture is composed of a Gemini surfactant and a conventional surfactant, the diameter smaller than any other vesicle systems. The particle size distributions is 40 nm-1.1 µm and the average size is 180 nm. Meanwhile, particle sizes of other catanionic surfactant mixtures are far bigger than the particle size of CGS1/SLES surfactant mixture. The CTAB/glucuronate glycosides system (diameters up to 25 µm)31 and a DDAB/SDS surfactant system (diameters up to 40 µm), giant vesicles was observed for instance with a differential interference optical microscope. The study on catanionic vesicles has been conducted on the mixed cationic and anionic surfactant systems with single hydrophilic head groups and single alkyl chains, or the mixed systems are composed of a cationic surfactant with one hydrophilic head group and two alkyl chains and an anionic surfactant with one hydrophilic head group and one alkyl chain. However, the study on vesicle systems of a gemini surfactant with two hydrophilic head groups and two alkyl chains and a conventional surfactant with one hydrophilic head group and single alkyl chain has very little. Hence, CGS1 and SLES were selected in the present work because of these peculiar characteristics.

In this work, the properties of catanionic spontaneous vesicles32-43 formed in CGS1/SLES surfactant systems, i.e. mixed CMC,44 phase behavior and phase transition temperature of mixtures are investigated. In addition, size and structure of vesicles formed spontaneously in this mixture, mechanism of vesicle formation by geometric structural analysis, and the stability of vesicles are observed.

Experimental Section

Materials. The cationic Gemini surfactant of the type N,N-alkanediyl 1,2-ethane bis(dimethyl ammonium bromide) were prepared by the action of 1,3-dibromopropane (Aldrich Chemical Co.) on N,N-dimethyldodecylamine under reflux in absolute ethanol. After evaporation of solvent, the crude product was recrystallised in a mixtures of solvent (ethylacetate and acetone). The anionic surfactant SLES (Sunjin Chemical Co.) was used after recrystallization (3 times) from ethanol.

Differential Scanning Calorimeter (DSC) Measurements. Phase transition temperature was determined by using a differential scanning calorimeter (DSC 2010, TA Instruments Inc., USA). DSC equipped with an Auto Scanning Zero was used for investigating the thermal changes of the vesicle systems. The measurement was carried out under nitrogen gas merge and the scanning range used in these experiments was from 20 °C to 200 °C, with a scanning rate of 1 °C per minute. For each experiment 8-9 mg of sample was used.

Particle Size Measurements. The diameter of vesicles was determined by DLS, which was made with a spectrometer of standard design (Malvern Model Mastersize 2000) and a He-Ne laser.

Vesicle Image Observations. Observation of vesicle formation in mixed systems at different SLES mole fractions is confirmed with an electron microscope (JEM-100CX II) using the negative-staining method for sample preparation. As soon as the surfactant mixture solution and an aqueous solution of 2% uranyl acetate (UA, pH 4) were mixed volumetrically at the ratio of 2:1, the resultant solution was then added dropwise to a 150-mesh copper grid coated with colloidin, sprayed with a carbon film. Extra droplet was instantly removed by using a filter paper, and then the grid was dried in a vacuum desiccator for 5 h as a TEM sample.

UV-Vis Measurements. The UV-Vis spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV 3100 spectrophotometer at 25 °C. The vesicles were dissolved in the water, their concentration being in the 7 x 10^{-4} M range.

ζ-Potential Measurements. Colloidal particles accumulate charge at their surface that can be expressed as a surface potential. Surface potential is an important factor for determining the magnitude of charged-based colloidal interactions of a particle, most crucially electrostatic repulsion of other like charged particles.

Measuring the colloidal charge typically involves applying an electrical voltage to the particle and measuring the speed of movement induced. In practice, one or more layers of hydrated ions move with the particle and thus the potential determined is not that at the surface but rather at a short, undefined distance into the diffuse layer-the ζ potential.

The ζ-potentials of the mixed micelles were obtained by measuring their electrophoretic mobilities with a ZetaSizer 2000 (Malvern Instruments Ltd.)45 and by applying a Smoluchowski equation,46 in which the viscosity and dielectric constant of water of the measured temperature were used. The external DC voltage applied for the mobility measurements was constant at 100 V. Each sample was injected to the cell by the syringe, so as to avoid the generation of bubbles in the cell. The stationary levels in the ζ-potential cell were found from a van Gils plot.47

The ζ-potential measurements were performed at room temperature at the upper stationary level. The arithmetic mean was taken from several ζ-potential measurements of the same sample after the highest and lowest values were
deleted. The averaged ζ-potential was corrected for the retardation of the electrical double layer by Henrys function.48,49 The pHs of the solutions were measured with a Hanna pH meter.

Results and Discussion

Critical Micelle Concentration of CGS1/SLES/Water Systems. Figure 2 shows the surface tension curve of SLES/CGS1 mixed surfactant systems as a function of the logarithm of the total surfactant concentration. The surface tensions decreased with increasing total surfactant concentration, and each surface tension curve had a break point, which was taken as the critical micelle concentration (CMC) of mixed surfactants. The CMCs of mixed surfactant systems at several mole fraction50 of SLES(αSLES) are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Mixed CMC for SLES/CGS1 surfactant mixtures with mixture composition at 25 and 35 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SLES</th>
<th>CMC at 25 °C (×10⁻⁴ mol/kg)</th>
<th>CMC at 35 °C (×10⁻⁴ mol/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5.02</td>
<td>4.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7.85</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General Phase Behavior of CGS1/SLES/Water Systems. The general phase behavior of CGS1/SLES/Water systems studied is presented in Figure 3. The isotropic phase is of relatively low viscosity and is transparent. The isotropic solutions (I) appear at low surfactant concentration less than 0.0249 (a), 0.0069 (b) wt% for a CGS1-rich system and less than 0.0183 (a), 0.0152 (b) wt% for a SLES-rich system (Figures 3-(a) and 3-(c) : 25 °C, Figures 3-(b) and 3-(d) : 35 °C). The isotropic solution channel on the CGS1-rich side is broader than that on the SLES-rich side and decrease with increasing temperature.

For 0 < αSLES < 0.2 and 0.8 < αSLES < 1.0, the large micellar region (M) are formed. Meanwhile, for 0.2 < αSLES < 0.8, micellar fluid channel is closely connected with the vesicular channel (Figures 3-(c) and 3-(d)).

The vesicle solution channel was turbid and the turbidity increased with increasing total surfactant concentration. At the fixed total surfactant concentration the turbidity increased with increasing αSLES.

Phase Transition Temperature of Mixed Micelles. Phase transition from a gel state to a liquid crystalline state occurs in normal vesicular systems. A Phase transition between a gel state and a liquid crystalline state occurs because of a transition from a state of conformational order to a state of disorder. In a bilayer of vesicle membrane, too, a phase transition is occurred. This is accompanying by a sudden change as membrane fluidity, membrane permeability of small molecules and ions and miscibility between every variety of lipid.

A Phase transition is confirmed by turbidity and a dispersion intensity of light of a vesicle. When a membrane of vesicle is changed from a gel state to a liquid crystalline state, turbidity is decreased due to difference of a density of a two states and molecular packing.

A lamellar liquid crystalline phase is not formed in a 0.8 mole fraction of SLES, in which the phase transition from a solid state to an isotropic solution occurs at 25 °C. Phase transition temperature increases in a 0.2-0.6 mole fraction of SLES and then decreased suddenly. Phase transition

Figure 2. The plot of the surface tension vs. total surfactant concentration for SLES/CGS1 at 25 °C (a) and 35 °C (b). Molar fraction of SLES: ●, 0; ○, 0.2; ▼, 0.4; ▽, 0.6; ■, 0.8; □, 1.0
temperature is highest at $\alpha_{\text{SLES}} = 0.6$ because it is accompany by transition process from a very turbid precipitate to a vesicle. If it is connected with the $\xi$-potential, the absolute value of $\zeta$-potential very small at $\alpha_{\text{SLES}} = 0.6$. Therefore, Repulsion between the vesicles is decreased, the mixture is formed easily Multilamellar vesicle (MLV) and transition temperature from phase of multilamellar vesicle to phase of unilamellar vesicle (ULV) become higher. Meanwhile, At $\alpha_{\text{SLES}} = 0.2, 0.4, 0.8$, the absolute value of $\zeta$-potential is considerably large. Therefore, Repulsion between the vesicles is increased and phase transition is apt to occur than $\alpha_{\text{SLES}} = 0.6$. This phase transition was observed using DSC, and some typical DSC traces are as shown in Figure 4.

There is only one endothermic peak in Figures 4-(a), 4-(b) and 4-(d), whereas two peaks are observed in Figure 4-(c). The peak in Figure 4-(a) corresponds to the phase transition between the liquid crystalline state and the isotropic phase, and the peak in Figure 4-(a) corresponds to the phase transition between the gel state and the isotropic phase. Meanwhile, first and second peaks in Figure 4-(c) correspond to the phase transitions between the gel state and the liquid crystalline state, and the liquid crystalline state and the isotropic state, respectively. The peak in Figure 4-(b) is the same as the first peak in Figure 4-(c). The phase transition temperature in mole fraction of SLES for SLES/CGS1 surfactant mixture is shown in Table 2.

Minimum Area $A_{\text{min}}$ per Surfactant and Critical Packing Parameter ($N_P$). $I_{\text{max}}$ denotes the maximum surface excess which is a measures of how much of the interface has been changed by the surfactant depends on the structural groupings in the surfactant molecule and its orientation at the interfaces and is expressed as$^{51}$. 

---

**Figure 3.** The phase diagram of CGS1/SLES/Water systems at 25 °C ((a), (c)) and 35 °C((b), (d)) in triangular coordinates ((a), (b)) and rectangular coordinates ((c), (d)). The channel of isotropic molecular solution: I, micellar solution; M, mixture of micelles and vesicles; M + V, vesicles; V.

**Figure 4.** The DSC thermograms of 0.01 mol SLES/CGS1 surfactant mixtures.
Table 2. Phase transition temperature of various \( \alpha_{\text{SLES}} \) at 0.01 M concentration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \alpha_{\text{SLES}} )</th>
<th>1st ( T_{\text{trans}} ) (°C)</th>
<th>2nd ( T_{\text{trans}} ) (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>- (tinted blue → clear micelle)</td>
<td>109.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>65.86 (turbid → tinted blue)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>70.62 (turbid, thick blue → tinted blue) (tinted blue → clear micelle)</td>
<td>104.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>65.88 (turbid, thick blue → tinted blue)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Interfacial parameters and critical packing parameter for SLES/CGS1 surfactant mixtures with mixture composition at 25 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \alpha_{\text{SLES}} )</th>
<th>10(^{16})( \Gamma_{\text{max}} )</th>
<th>(-d\gamma/d\log C)</th>
<th>( A_{\text{min}} )</th>
<th>( N_{PC} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>20.324</td>
<td>93.32</td>
<td>0.292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>16.884</td>
<td>56.17</td>
<td>0.484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>20.055</td>
<td>47.29</td>
<td>0.575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>22.343</td>
<td>42.44</td>
<td>0.641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.704</td>
<td>73.30</td>
<td>0.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>10.170</td>
<td>93.25</td>
<td>0.292</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mechanism of the Formation of Vesicles. The molecule of 1,2-ethane bis-(dimethyl dodecyl ammonium bromide) has two heads and two tails, and looks like a cylinder. We designate them by \( m-s-m \), with \( m \) denoting the length of the hydrocarbon chain, and \( s \) the length of the spacer connecting the two chains. SLES molecule has a linear alkyl chain, which make itself look like a conic. The combination of them forms a cuplike structure as shown in Figure 5. This result is agree with the model of Masaniko,\(^ {54} \) that the conic structure is liable to form micelles and that of cylinder is liable to bilayers, the cup-like structure forms vesicles.

From the side of the surface charge, for Figure 6-(a) (\( \alpha_{\text{SLES}} \)) the values of \( N_{pc} \) indicate formation of vesicles. Meanwhile, for \( \alpha_{\text{SLES}} = 0.2 \) and \( \alpha_{\text{SLES}} = 0.8 \), these values of \( N_{pc} \) imply formation of spherical micelles.

Figure 5. The geometric structure of CGS1/SLES mixed aqueous solution.
< 0.5), the charge shows the negative charge. Meanwhile, for Figure 6-(b) \((\alpha_{\text{SLES}} > 0.5)\), the charge shows the positive charge. On account of the difference in the mole fraction, all of the ion pairs are unlike one another. Hence, with the exception of the ion pairs, the rest of the surfactants are located between the two ion pairs in the upper part of the bilayer. As repulsion of the two head groups increases in two surfactant molecules, the upper part of bilayer line curved like a bow, a opposite ion out of the lower part of bilayer in order to keep a state of equilibrium and they form vesicle.

Explaining in detail, because these vesicle systems are composed of a gemini surfactant and a conventional surfactant, a diameter smaller than any other vesicle systems. For example, for Figure 6-(a) \((\alpha_{\text{SLES}} < 0.5)\), the rest of the gemini surfactants are located between the two ion pairs in the upper part of the bilayer and a SLES ion out of the lower part of bilayer. Hence, the curvature of the upper part of the bilayer more decreases than the lower part of bilayer. On the other hand, for Figure 6-(b) \((\alpha_{\text{SLES}} > 0.5)\), the curvature of the lower part of the bilayer more decreases than the upper part of bilayer. The mechanism of the vesicle formation for the SLES/CGS1 surfactant mixtures is shown in Figure 6.

**Particle Size Distribution of Vesicles.** Figure 7 shows a particle size distribution of unilamellar vesicle (ULV) at the ratio of SLES/CGS1 = 6/4 by DLS. The particle size distributions was 40 nm-1.1 \(\mu\)m and the average size was 180 nm. We obtain quite different results for differently dispersed systems. For SLES/CGS1 = 0.01 M, it is monodispersed (Figure 7-(a)) after stirred 20 min. But for SLES/CGS1 > 0.015 M, a polydispersed system which usually has two distribution peaks (Figure 7-(b) and 7-(c)) is formed in the spectrum. It reveals that to form monodispersed vesicles, a proper mol concen-tration of the surfactant mixtures is necessary.

**Images of the Vesicles.** Figure 8 gives the images of the vesicles at the mixing ratio of SLES/CGS1 = 3/7 (Figure 8-(a) : 0.01 M), SLES/CGS1 = 6/4 (Figure 8-(b) : 0.01 M, Figures 8-(c) and 8-(d) : 0.02 M). Figure 8 clearly shows the existence of an internal cavity surrounded by a unique double layer, the diameter of vesicles is about 180 nm, which is in full agreement with that of DLS.

**ζ-Potentials of the Vesicles.** Figure 9 presents the ζ potential of six different samples, namely 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8 and 1, as a function of SLES mole fraction. The measured ζ potentials vary from \(-83.1\) mV, the value from a pure SLES solution at the considered global surfactant concentrations, to 93.0 mV, the value for a pure CGS1 solution at the same concentration. At \(\alpha_{\text{SLES}} = 0, 0.2, 0.4\) ζ potentials were...
positive, as expected. Meanwhile, The ζ-potentials were almost identical in a 0.0-0.4 mole fraction of SLES and then decreased suddenly.

At α_{SLES} = 0.6 ζ-potential was weakly negative and at α_{SLES} = 0.8 and 1.0 ζ-potentials were strongly negative. Therefore, at larger concentrations of either surfactant, the vesicles appear to be stable due to large absolute ζ-potentials.

At the SLES/CGS1 ratios between 4/6 and 6/4, the point of zero zeta (pzz) potential is achieved for the air-water interface. This implies that the isoelectric point (iep) is located somewhere between these ratios of the surfactants.

Stability of the Vesicles. Figure 10 shows the UV-Vis spectra of the vesicles right after their formation and after 10, 30, 60 days were measured to examine the stability of the vesicles. The absorption maximum of vesicles was situated between 185 and 220 nm. The absorbance remains hardly changed for two months, implying that the vesicles are quite stable during the term. Also, the high absolute values of ζ-potentials in Figure 9 means that the strong repulsive forces among vesicles exist and the stability of vesicles can be manifested.

Conclusions

In this work the microstructural features of phase behavior formed by cationic CGS1 (Dimeric 1,2-bis (dodecyldimethyl ammonium) ethane dibromide) and anionic SLES (sodium lauryl ether sulfate) surfactants have been investigated. Phase transition temperature increases in a 0.2-0.6 mole fraction of SLES and then decreased suddenly. The mixtures displayed various phase regions. They are the regions of isotropic molecular solution, micellar solution, vesicles, and mixture of micelles and vesicles.

The mixing of cationic and anionic surfactants can form vesicles in pure water, spontaneously, and has the freedom of controlling the interaction between them. The proper geometry combination of the two kinds of molecules leads to the formation of a cuplike structure and ultimately the formation of vesicle. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) photographs demonstrate the existence of vesicles in the mixture, and presented that the vesicles were of spherical shape and their average size was 180 nm. The spontaneous vesicle formation in mixtures of SLES/CGS1 surfactants has been put in relation with the effective spontaneous curvature of the mixed film, which is much more favorable than with a single surfactant.

At larger concentrations of either surfactant, the vesicles appear to be stable due to large absolute zeta potentials. The absorbance remain hardly changed for two months, implying that the vesicles are quite stable for a long time and the absorption maximum of vesicles were situated between 185 and 220 nm.
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