Solvent Effect on the Nature of the Metallamacrocycles Formed:
Formation of Octanuclear and Dodecanuclear Manganese Metalladiazamacrocycles
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Depending on the choice of coordinating solvent molecules, the size and nuclearity of metallamacrocycles formed could be controlled. When a manganese ion was assembled with a pentadentate ligand, N-trans-(pentenoyl)salicylhydrazide in amide solvents such as dimethylformamide (DMF) or dimethylacetamide (DMA), an $S_6$ symmetry puckered dodecanuclear metalladiazamacrocycle with a $\cdot\cdot\cdot(\Lambda\Delta\Lambda)(\Lambda\Delta\Lambda)$-$\cdot\cdot\cdot$ chiral sequence was obtained. However, in an alcoholic solvent such as methanol or ethanol while keeping the other conditions the same, an $S_8$ symmetry octanuclear metalladiazamacrocycle with a $\cdot\cdot\cdot(\Lambda\Delta\Lambda\Lambda)$-$\cdot\cdot\cdot$ chiral sequence was obtained.
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Introduction

The creation of metal-organic architectures such as molecular polygons,1 metal-organic polyhedra,2 and metal-organic frameworks3 have attracted much attention in recent years because of their potential applications in various fields, such as single molecular magnets,4 electrochromism,5 storage,6 and catalysis.7 Because the functionalities of these materials are closely related to their structure, the rational design and control of architectures is of importance. Although metallamacrocycles – metal-organic polygons – are among the simplest forms of metal-organic species, many difficulties still remain in the prediction of the final self-assembled product from metal and organic building blocks. During the last 10 years several metalladiazamacrocycles – diaza-bridged metallamacrocycles – having diverse nuclearities and sizes have been prepared via the cyclic linkage of ditopic pentadentate ligands, N-acylsalicylhydrazide, and ditopic tetracationic octahedral metal ions.8 Control of nuclearity and size of the metalladiazamacrocycles was achieved by careful control of the steric repulsion offered by the N-acyl terminal group of the ligand. When other factors such as choice of metal ion and identity of the ligand remain the same, the nature of the product formed is primarily the same. When the pentadentate ditopic ligands having linear N-acyl terminal groups are combined with octahedral manganese(III) ion, the solvent that serves as a monodentate ligand at the sixth coordination site of the macrocyclic ring-metal center has no significant effect on the macrocyclic ring system.9 Regardless of the solvent molecules, the products formed are exclusively of hexanuclear manganese metallamacrocycles.

Here we demonstrate a simple example of a system where a change in solvent has made a significant difference to the nature of the product formed.

Experimental Section

Ligand synthesis

$N$-2-Pentenoylsalicylhydrazide (H$_2$tpeshz): The ligand was prepared following the literature method.10 1.24 mL (10.0 mmol) of trimethylacetyl chloride was added to 20 mL of chloroform at 0 °C, containing 1.54 mL (11.0 mmol) of triethylamine and 1.03 g (10.0 mmol) of trans-2-pentenoic acid, while stirring. After stirring for about 20 min, the solution was slowly brought to ambient temperature. Then 1.40 g (9.00 mmol) of salicylhydrazide was added and stirred over a period of 30 min. When 10 mL hexane was added to the solution, a white precipitate began to appear. The white product was filtered out and washed with a 1:1 mixture of chloroform and hexane, and then with small portions of ether, followed by drying in vacuum. Yield: 1.50 g (71.0%). Elemental analysis for C$_2$H$_8$N$_2$O$_5$. Calc.: C 61.53, H 6.02, N 11.96%, found: C 61.85, H 6.54, N 11.76%.

1H NMR spectrum (300 MHz, dmoso-d$_6$, ppm): 11.89 (bs, 1H, -OH), 10.70 (bs, 3H, -NH), 10.40 (s, 1H, -OH), 7.91 (d, 1H), 7.44 (t, 1H), 7.40 (t, 1H), 7.44 (t, 1H), 7.40 (t, 1H), 6.96 (d, 1H), 6.94 (d, 1H), 6.84 (d, 1H), 6.05 (d, 1H), 2.20 (q, 2H, CH$_3$), 1.02 (t, 3H, CH$_3$).

13C NMR spectrum (75 MHz, dmoso-d$_6$, ppm): 166.20, 163.52, 158.82, 146.35, 134.67, 128.62, 120.65, 119.16, 117.32, 114.82, 24.65, 12.3. IR spectrum (KBr, cm$^{-1}$): 3172 (m), 3118 (m), 1660, 1635, 1588, 146.36, 134.07, 128.62, 120.65, 119.16, 117.32, 114.82, 24.65, 12.3.

Preparation of metalladiazamacrocycles

[Mn$_{12}$tpeshz$_2$(DMA)$_2$]$_2$: 0.049 g (0.21 mmol) of H$_2$tpeshz was dissolved in 10 mL of DMA and 0.052 g (0.21 mmol) of solid Mn(OAc)$_2$·4H$_2$O was added. Dark brown needle like crystals were harvested after 12 d. Yield: 0.087 g (79%). X-ray quality single crystals were obtained from a bath of 10 mL of 1:1 DMA solution of ~0.1 mmol of...
manganese acetate and H$_3$tpesz$_2$ over a period of 24 d. IR (KBr pellet, cm$^{-1}$): 3436 (br), 2963 (m), 2933 (m), 1655 (m), 1619 (m), 1600 (s), 1565 (s), 1514 (s), 1496 (s), 1465 (m), 1445 (m), 1407 (s), 1362 (s), 1324 (m), 1247 (m), 1147 (w), 1109 (w), 1033 (w), 969 (w), 919 (w), 862 (w), 795 (w), 754 (m), 696 (m), 686 (m), 651 (w), 605 (m), 554 (w), 480 (w), 438 (w), 423 (w). Elemental data for [Mn$_8$(tpesz)$_2$](DMA)$_3$$\cdot$H$_2$O$_{19}$ (Mn$_{84}$C$_{16}$H$_{24}$N$_{16}$O$_{20}$). Calc.: C 50.91, H 5.25, N 10.97%, found: C 50.74, H 5.19, N 11.15%.

[Mn$_8$(tpesz)$_2$(MeOH)$_3$], 3: 0.094 g (0.40 mmol) of H$_3$tpesz$_2$ was dissolved in 10 mL of MeOH in a 15 mL vial and 0.098 g (0.40 mmol) of Mn(OAc)$_2$$\cdot$4H$_2$O was added to the solution. After standing for 15 d, dark brown block crystals were obtained (0.089 g, 71% yield). IR (KBr pellet, cm$^{-1}$): 3444 (br), 1654 (w), 1601 (m), 1566 (m), 1495 (s), 1409 (s), 1365 (s), 1321 (w), 687 (w). Elemental analysis for [Mn$_8$(tpesz)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_3$] (C$_{16}$H$_{28}$N$_{16}$O$_{20}$Mn$_8$). Calc.: C 47.38, H 4.31, N 9.21%, found: C 47.53, H 4.15, N 9.27%.

[Mn$_8$(tpesz)$_2$(EtOH)$_2$], 4: 0.094 g (0.40 mmol) of H$_3$tpesz$_2$ was dissolved in 10 mL of EtOH in a 15 mL vial and 0.098 g (0.40 mmol) of Mn(OAc)$_2$$\cdot$4H$_2$O was added to the solution. After standing for 15 d, dark brown block crystals were obtained (0.104 g, 79% yield). IR (KBr pellet, cm$^{-1}$): 3444 (br), 1654 (w), 1601 (m), 1566 (m), 1495 (s), 1409 (s), 1365 (s), 1321 (w), 687 (w). Elemental analysis for [Mn$_8$(tpesz)$_2$(EtOH)$_2$] (C$_{16}$H$_{28}$N$_{16}$O$_{20}$Mn$_8$). Calc.: C 47.38, H 4.31, N 9.21%, found: C 47.51, H 4.21, N 9.40%.

Crystallographic data collections and refinements of structures. The diffraction data were measured at 173 K with Mo K$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) on a Bruker SMART CCD equipped with a graphite crystal, incident-beam monochromator. The SMART and SAINT software packages were used for data collection and integration, respectively. The collected data were corrected for absorption using SADABS based upon Laue symmetry using equivalent reflections.

Crystal structure determination for [Mn$_8$(tpesz)$_2$$\cdot$(DMA)$_3$]$\cdot$3DMA$\cdot$4H$_2$O, 2: Mn$_{84}$C$_{16}$H$_{28}$N$_{16}$O$_{20}$, fw = 2805.99 g mol$^{-1}$, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, $a = 14.469(2)$, $b = 17.042(4)$, $c = 62.866(9)$ Å, $\mu (Mo K\alpha) = 0.71073$ Å = 0.662 mm$^{-1}$, 34126 reflections were collected, 23949 were unique [R$_{int}$ = 0.0376]. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by block diagonalized matrix least-squares calculations with the SQUEEZE routine of PLATON, which led to better refinement and data convergence. The structure was refined at a final $R1 = 0.0778$, $wR2 = 0.2186$ for 23946 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$. R1 = 0.0710, $wR2 = 0.2372$, GOF = 1.049 for all 15121 reflections. The largest differences for peak and hole were 1.752 and -0.996 e Å$^{-3}$, respectively. A summary of the crystal and intensity data is given in Table S1.

Crystal structure determination for [Mn$_8$(tpesz)$_2$(MeOH)$_3$]$\cdot$8.25MeOH, [3·8.25MeOH], 3: Mn$_{144}$C$_{62}$H$_{132}$N$_{34}$O$_{60}$, fw = 2805.99 g mol$^{-1}$, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, $a = 14.469(2)$, $b = 17.042(4)$, $c = 62.866(9)$ Å, $\mu (Mo K\alpha) = 0.71073$ Å = 0.662 mm$^{-1}$, 79263 reflections were collected. 31599 were unique [R$_{int}$ = 0.0957]. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by block diagonalized matrix least-squares calculations with the SQUEEZE routine of PLATON, which led to better refinement and data convergence. The structure was refined at a final $R1 = 0.0778$, $wR2 = 0.2186$ for 23946 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$. R1 = 0.0710, $wR2 = 0.2372$, GOF = 1.049 for all 15121 reflections.
were 1.847 and 3.0133 reflections. The largest differences for peak and hole were 0.935 and -1.463 eÅ⁻³, respectively. A summary of the crystal and intensity data is given in Table S2.

Crystal structure determination for [Mn₈(tpeshz)₆-(EtOH)₄]·8EtOH·1.5H₂O, [4·8EtOH·1.5H₂O], 4: Mn-C₁₂H₁₆N₃O₄, fw = 3053.46 g mol⁻¹, triclinic, space group P1, a = 15.469(3), b = 19.081(4), c = 28.040(6) Å, α = 109.756(4)°, β = 96.481(4)°, γ = 102.418(4)°, V = 7499(3) Å³, T = 173(2) K, Z = 2, μ(Mo Kα) λ = 0.71073 Å = 0.731 mm⁻¹, 30133 reflections were collected, 20166 were unique [R₁ = 0.0437]. The structure was solved by direct methods and refined by block diagonalized matrix least-squares calculations with the SHELXTL-PLUS software package.  

An octanuclear metallamacrocycle and at least 12 non-coordinating structural solvent sites were identified as an asymmetric unit. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically; hydrogen atoms were assigned isotropic displacement coefficients U(H) = 1.2U(C) or 1.5U(C) anisotropically; hydrogen atoms were assigned isotropic as assymmetric unit. All nonhydrogen atoms were refined coordinatc structural solvent sites were identified as an out of the 12 coordinating solvent. The solvent coordination sites of the manganese ions are occupied by ethanol molecules. In addition, eight ethanol molecules and one and a half water molecules per asymmetric unit were observed in the lattice. The refinement of the structure converged at a final R₁ = 0.1103, wR₂ = 0.2548 for 15356 reflections with I > 2σ(I).

Refinement details for [Mn₈(tpeshz)₆(EtOH)₄]·4, after the SQUEEZE routine of PLATON, 4: Mn-C₁₁₂H₂₈N₆O₃₂, fw = 2649.82 g mol⁻¹, triclinic, space group P1, a = 15.469(3), b = 19.081(4), c = 28.040(6) Å, α = 109.756(4)°, β = 96.481(4)°, γ = 102.418(4)°, V = 7499(3) Å³, T = 173(2) K, Z = 2, μ(Mo Kα) λ = 0.71073 Å = 0.731 mm⁻¹, 30133 reflections were collected, 20166 were unique [R₁ = 0.0427]. The structure refinement was further performed after modification of the data for the non-coordinate lattice solvent molecules (1655.4 Å³, 22.1% of the crystal volume) with the SQUEEZE routine of PLATON, which led to better refinement and data convergence. Refinement of the structure converged at a final R₁ = 0.0971, wR₂ = 0.2463 for 14799 reflections with I > 2σ(I), R₁ = 0.1174, wR₂ = 0.2559, GOF = 1.066 for all 30133 reflections. The largest differences for peak and hole were 1.847 and -0.843 eÅ⁻³, respectively. A summary of the crystal and intensity data is given in Table S3.

Crystallographic data for the structures reported here have been deposited with Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center (Deposition No. CCDC 649288-649290). The data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures (or from the CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Results and Discussion

Previously, we have reported formation of a manganese dodecanuclear metallamacrocycle from a trianionic pentadentate ligand — N-trans-(2-pentenoyl)salicylhydrazide (H₃tpeshz) — in dimethylformamide (DMF) solution (Scheme 1).  

A trans double bond between the Cα and Cβ carbon atoms of the N-acyl side chain of the ligand introduces a directional rigidity at the N-terminal position, and the steric repulsion between the N-acyl chains leads to an extended metallamacrocycle, [Mn₈(tpeshz)₆(DMA)₄]₄·1, an S₆ symmetry 36-membered dodecanuclear system, in which the metal centers are in the (ΛΛΛΛΔΔΔΔ) chiral sequence. Six out of the 12 N-terminal groups are directed towards the center of the macrocycle, and the remaining terminal groups are directed away from the macrocyclic ring. The chiral sequence and the consequent arrangement of the ligands lead to a more puckered ring conformation of the metalladiazamacrocycle than that of macrocycles in the −(ΔΛΔΛΔΔΔΔ) chiral sequence.

When the ligand is assembled with manganese as the metal ion in a similar solvent, dimethylacetamide (DMA), the same S₆ symmetry dodecanuclear metallamacrocycle, [Mn₈(tpeshz)₆(DMA)₄]₄·2, in a (ΛΛΛΛΔΔΔΔ) chiral sequence is obtained (Figure 1). The only difference is a replacement of the sixth coordination site on the ring manganese ion, DMF in 1 with DMA in 2.

Scheme 1. Self-assembly of an S₆ symmetry dodecanuclear manganese metalladiazamacrocycle from manganese ion and H₃tpeshz as a ditopic metal ion and a ditopic linker ligand, respectively, and DMF as a coordinating solvent.
However, a similar reaction in alcoholic solvents led to a quite different result, the formation of 24-membered \(S_8\) symmetry octanuclear manganese metalladiazamacrocycles, in which the metal centers are in a \((-\Lambda\Delta)(\Lambda\Delta)-\) chiral sequence. When the ligand was allowed to self-assemble in a 1:1 ratio with manganese acetate as the metal source from methanol solution, dark-colored needle-like crystals were obtained from the deep brown solution in one day. The single crystal data showed the compound to be an octanuclear metalamacrocycle of \([\text{Mn}(\text{tpeshz})(\text{MeOH})_8]^3\), with the ligand acting as a bridge and the metal ion as a connecting node (Figure 2). Each ligand binds to a metal ion as a chelating tridentate mode on one side and a chelating bidentate mode on the other side, thus serving as a ditopic linker between two metal ions. Eight cyclic repeats of the bridging mode generate the 24-membered octanuclear metalamacrocycle, where the chirality on the metal center originated from the tridentic and bidentic chelation modes on the octanuclear system alternating \(\Lambda\) and \(\Delta\) configu-
nations. A similar reaction in ethanol solution also gives an isostructural octanuclear manganese metallamacrocycle, [Mn(tetrazza)(EtOH)]$_4$ 4, but with the difference that an ethanol satisfies the sixth coordination site instead of the methanol (Figure 3). The methanol-coordinated octanuclear metallamacrocycle, 3, and ethanol-coordinated octanuclear metallamacrocycle, 4, have a similar arrangement of ligand units around the metal ions, while their local geometries vary only marginally.

The occurrence of $S_6$ symmetry octanuclear metallamacrocycles in a $\cdots(\Lambda\Delta)(\Lambda\Delta)\cdots$-chiral sequence in alcoholic solvents is unexpected because the macrocyclic ring strain in the less puckered $S_6$ symmetry metallamacrocycles is expected to be larger than that in the more puckered $S_6$ symmetry dodecanuclear metallamacrocycles. Because the hexagonal system is the more preferred structure in the absence of significant steric influence from any of the ligand parts, the geometry around the metal center in a hexagonal system was considered as the least strained. Therefore, the deviation in the geometry around the metal center and the Mn-Mn-Mn angles of the octanuclear or dodecanuclear systems from those of the most stable $S_6$ symmetry hexagonal metallamacrocycles was assumed to reflect the extent of the macrocyclic ring strain. As shown in Table 1, the average Mn-Mn-Mn angles in the $S_6$ symmetry octanuclear metallamacrocycles 3 and 4 are 128.3$^\circ$ and 127.5$^\circ$, respectively, hence the deviation from those of the $S_6$ symmetry hexagonal metallamacrocycles is approximately 15.2$^\circ$. These values are much higher than those in $S_6$ symmetry puckered dodecanuclear metallamacrocycles 1 and 2 (117.7$^\circ$, 119.8$^\circ$ for the average Mn-Mn-Mn angles and 6.1$^\circ$ for the approximate deviation). Based on the extent of deviation from the values of the Mn-Mn-Mn angles in the hexagonal systems, we suggest that the ring strains in 3/4 are larger than those in 1/2, hence metallamacrocycles 1 and 2 are more stable than metallamacrocycles 3 and 4. To rationalize the formation of the more strained metallamacrocycles 3/4 in alcoholic solvents, we analyzed the local geometry around the Jahn-Teller distorted manganese(III) centers because different extents of Jahn-Teller elongation might change the ring strain around the metal center and hence make the octanuclear system stable enough. However, a comparison of the Jahn-Teller distorted Mn-O4 and Mn-N2 bond lengths suggests that these minor variations in bond lengths cannot be considered as a major modulating factor of the ring strain, and the larger distortions of the related N1-Mn-N2 bond angles in the octanuclear systems compared with that of the puckered dodecanuclear systems do not support the reduction of the ring strain and the formation of the octanuclear metalladiazamacrocycles in alcoholic solvents (Table 1).

Although the formation of the $S_6$ symmetry octanuclear metallamacrocycles in alcoholic solvents cannot be fully rationalized, the extent of hydrophobic interaction may play an important role in the nature of the final product. In the case of $S_6$ symmetry octanuclear metallamacrocycles, all eight $N$-acyl tails are involved in the hydrophobic interaction around the cavity while only half of the 12 $N$-acyl tails are involved in the hydrophobic interaction at the core of the $S_6$ symmetry dodecanuclear metallamacrocycles. In addition, one hydrophobic ethyl residue in metallamacrocycle 3 and two ethyl residues in 4 bond inwards to ensure better hydrophobic contact and for the optimum utilization of the available cavity at the center. All the remaining $N$-acyl tails are involved in hydrophobic interactions with each other. The existence of extensive hydrophobic interactions in the octanuclear systems might be a factor that stabilizes the octanuclear metallamacrocycles even in a more strained ring conformation. In a strict sense, the involvement of one or two $N$-acyl tails in the cavity for utilization of the hydrophobic interaction lowers the symmetry of the octanuclear system from $S_6$ to $C_1$. The two $N$-acyl tails, either both (Figure 2) or one towards the inner cavity and the other

### Table 1. Comparison of the bond lengths (Å) and angles ($^\circ$) around the ring metal center, and the geometries related to the ring conformation for various nuclearities of metalladiazamacrocycles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$S_6$ symmetry hexagonal metallamacrocycles</th>
<th>$S_6$ symmetry dodecanuclear metallamacrocycles</th>
<th>$S_6$ symmetry octanuclear metallamacrocycles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn-O4</td>
<td>2.175</td>
<td>2.247</td>
<td>2.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn-N2</td>
<td>2.262</td>
<td>2.310</td>
<td>2.288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2-Mn-N1</td>
<td>102.4</td>
<td>101.9</td>
<td>101.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N2-Mn-N3</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>90.4</td>
<td>86.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn-Mn-Mn</td>
<td>112.0</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>117.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The best plane of the macrocycle is defined by the metal centers and the ligand plane is defined by O2, C7, N1, N2, C8, and O3 atoms of each ligand.
towards the cavity exterior (Figure 3), are located near the approximately central S8 axis of the octanuclear metal-lamacrocycle. This distorts the overall ring conformations, and some ligands are located at a different orientation relative to the best macrocyclic ring planes (Figures 2 and 3, Table 1).

Conclusion

We have prepared metal-lamacrocycles of two different nuclearities, a more puckered S8 symmetry dodecanuclear manganese metalldiazamacrocycle and two less puckered S6 symmetry octanuclear manganese metalldiazamacrocycles, by exploiting the difference in coordinating solvents while the rest of the parameters were kept the same. Even though the less puckered octanuclear systems have more ring strain than the more puckered dodecanuclear systems, the instability caused by the ring strain might have been alleviated by exploiting the more extensive hydrophobic interaction between the N-acyl chains in and around the core of the macrocycle. Although it cannot be fully explained, the subtle differences in hydrophobicity and/or donor ability of the solvents used might be playing an important role in determining the nature of the final assembled metalldiazamacrocycles.
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