A Possible Merge of FRET and SPR Sensing System for Highly Accurate and Selective Immunosensing
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Immuno-sensing for high accurate and selective sensing was performed by fluorescence spectroscopy and surface plasmon resonance (SPR), respectively. Engineered assembly of two fluorescent quantum dots (QDs) with bovine serum albumin (BSA) and anti-BSA was fabricated in PBS buffer for fluorescence analysis of fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET). Furthermore, the same bio-moieties were immobilized on Au plates for SPR analysis. Naturally-driven binding affinity of immuno-moieties induced FRET and plasmon resonance angle shift in the nanoscale sensing system. Interestingly, the sensing ranges were uniquely different in two systems: e.g., SPR spectroscopy was suitable for highly accurate analysis to measure in the range of $10^{-10}$–$10^{-12}$ ng/mL while the QD fluorescent sensing system was relatively lower sensing ranges in $10^{-10}$–$10^{-12}$ ng/mL. However, the QD sensing system was larger than the SPR sensing system in terms of sensing capacity per one specimen. It is, therefore, suggested that a mutual assistance of FRET and SPR combined sensing system would be a potentially promising candidate for high accuracy and reliable in situ sensing system of immune-related diseases.
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Introduction

Immunosensors paid special attention on device design and fabrication because high characteristics of selectivity and sensitivity of biological moieties can be easily adopted, compared with any other electrochemical or physical sensing system.1-5 However, noticeable signal losses in the system may be potential limits to fabricate highly sensitive sensing.6-8 Mostly, the losses result in the transferring failure of the signal carriers such as photons or electrons in many different interfaces. In particular, the interfaces of biomaterials and transducers are significantly crucial not only where the biological sensing moieties are located to collect the firstly monitored signals, but also where viability of biologically sensing materials on the surface of the fabricated transducers should be maintained during the sensing process. So, the transducer is the most important controlling part and is easy to be a bottleneck for fabricating highly accurate and selective sensing device.9-13 Since the biological moieties are utilized for sensing devices, two regimes, biological stability (or bio-inertness), and high selectivity and sensitivity are most-carefully handled factors for developing sustainable device. The transducer parts are, in general, composed of bio-inert, bio-compatible, and highly signal-transferable materials to convince two main factors as the above described. To gain the biological selectivity and sensitivity, a pair of antigen (aG)-antibody (aB) is one of unique candidates for sensing process. However, the immobilization of immuno-materials requires well-controlled surface chemistry techniques. For these reasons, nanotechnology is quite promising to improve high signal transferability of bio-compatible surface. Moreover, minimized contact areas with biological moieties on the transducers may retard undesirable transfor-

mation of bio-moieties during sensing process.

When the sensing systems are miniaturized on the benefit of nanotechnology, sensitivity and selectivity may be highly increased. However, the sensing capacity, i.e., concentration or molarity of target materials would be considerable issues since it would approach easily to certain saturated level quantitatively, in another word, upper detection limit, where it is not possible to acquire distinguishable signals. For example, in an extreme environment such as warfare, or off-shore, each personnel brings individual personal chem/bio sensing kits that require high sensitivity as well as probably high sensing capacity of targeting materials because of harsh ground situation.14,15 However, it would be a controversy to install two crucial characteristics in a nanoscale devices because high capacity of the selected sample generally requires pre-treatment for analysis and shows relatively lower selectivity and sensitivity because the sensing analytes would have many different obstacles statistically. Therefore, we may need other approaches to overwhelm current complicated problems. Here, we present a potential means via the consolation of two different sensing techniques, i.e., surface plasmon resonance (SPR) spectroscopy, and fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET)-based photoluminescence (PL) spectroscopy. (Figure 1) One reason we select these two techniques for one set of sensing devices would be result from distinguishably different sensing ranges – one for pg/mL to ng/mL level and another for ng/mL to μg/mL level – at which each sensing device presents optimum working condition. Highly sensitive devices would require long processing time, high cost of equipments and their maintenance, and skilled techniques. Another reason of the combination of these two sensors is the considerable difference of sample quantity requirement for detection. SPR sensor needs...
respectively, according to the Weller’s Method. EDC and NHS dispersions of green and yellow fluorescent quantum dots were synthesized. ProLinker™ as surface linkage material was purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, WI, USA). Al₂Te₃ was purchased from CERAC Inc (Milwaukee, USA). All other reagents including Cd(CIO₄)₂·H₂O, H₂SO₄ were purchased from Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, WI, USA). Prolinker™ as surface linkage material was purchased from Proteogen Co. (Seoul, Korea). A Milli-Q grade (> 18.2 mΩ cm) water was used for the preparation of buffer solutions and NP synthesis.

Quantum dot based FRET sensor. For preparation of fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) sensor, aqueous dispersions of green and yellow fluorescent quantum dots were prepared at the peak wavelength of 542 nm and 646 nm, respectively, according to the Weller’s Method. EDC and NHS were used for bioconjugation of NPs and bio-moiety through the formation of an oxime bond from an amine group and a carboxylic group. Briefly, the mixture containing 0.015 mM of CdTe QDs, 2.5 mM NHS and 0.2 M EDC in pH 7.4 PBS buffer solutions were prepared and stirred for 30 min in room temperature, respectively. For the demonstration of FRET sensors in micro-range of antigen-antibody solutions, each 5 μg/mL of BSA and anti-BSA was added into the respective mixture solution containing CdTe QDs. Then, the solutions were stirred for 2 h at room temperature. As a result, each different batch solution, i.e., CdTe (646 nm)/BSA, and CdTe (542 nm)/anti-BSA were conjugated. The conjugation was confirmed in the measurement of global size change and optical properties of nanocomplex by zeta-sizer and spectroscopic method.

Au-nanoplate based SPR sensor. The same aG-aB solution was used for SPR sensing experiments. A detailed experimental procedure is as follows: A microscope cover glass (18 × 18 × 0.15 mm, with a refractive index of 1.515, Matsunami, Japan) with gold layer was used as a substrate for the formation of ProLinker™ self assembled monolayer (SAM). The gold film (thickness ≈ 50 nm) was deposited on the cover glass by the sputter coating system (E5000, Polaron Co., U.K.) under conditions of 2.0 × 10⁻⁷ mbar and 20 mA for 135 s. The sputtered Au substrate was rinsed using distilled water, methanol and acetone, sequentially. Then, the gold chip was dried in a nitrogen stream softly and ready to use. The calix[4]crown solution was prepared by the mixture of chloroform and methanol. Their volume ratio was 1:100. The SAM was formed by immersion of the gold chip into the 0.1 mM calix[4]crown solution. After immobilization, the sensor chip was rinsed with chloroform-methanol mixture solution (1:100, v:v), methanol for 15 min and then dried under N₂ stream. The measurement of molecular interaction between anti-BSA and BSA was investigated by SPR. Anti-BSA can be well oriented onto the self-assembled prol linker layer. Proteins anti-BSA and OVA were immobilized on the Prolinker™ SAM, respectively. The anti-BSA solution for the immobilization of probe protein was prepared with 100 μg/mL concentration in PBS solution (pH 7.4). For blocking the non-specific binding of BSA onto the site of Prolinker™ SAM without aB, the chip was treated in 30 mg/mL OVA in PBS solution (pH 7.4). SPR angle shifts induced by the interaction between anti-BSA and BSA were measured by SPR spectroscopy (SPR Lab, K-MAC Co. Ltd., Korea). The measured concentration of BSA solution ranged from 5.0 μg/mL to 10 μg/mL in PBS solution (pH 7.4). The results were averaged after measurement and uniformly smoothed by adjacent averaging.

Results and Discussion

FRET based immuno sensor. FRET is quite unique optical phenomenon when a fluorescent material is in the proximity of the different fluorescent material. The higher bandgap energy was transferred to the lower bandgap energy by dipole-dipole interaction in the range of 10 nm scale. The sensitivity to distance demonstrates 1/R² of fluorescence energy transfer change. Here, R stands for the distance between two fluorescence materials. Figure 1(a) presents a schematic demonstration of FRET-induced immunosensing system. 5 μg/mL of BSA and anti-BSA were used to bind CdTe QDs solution with different emissions at 646 and 542 nm, respectively. As soon as each luminescent QDs are bound by aG-aB reaction, the peak intensity at 542 nm was decreased while the emission at 646 nm was simultaneously increased. The distance (R_BA) between two fluorescent materials
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Figure 2. (a) schematic drawing of fluorescence resonance energy transfer between green fluorescent nanoparticles (GNP) and red fluorescent nanoparticles (RNP), (b) relative PL intensity of two different CdTe NPs where both are biologically conjugated with antigen (aG)-antibody (aB) of BSA.

Figure 3. Dose-response curves of anti-BSA immobilized sensor chip represented by SPR angle shifts according to the interaction between anti-BSA and BSA on Prolinker™ SAM. The dotted line indicates the result of one site binding fitting with a binding constant of 1.86 × 10^10 M^-1. The data was located at less than 10 nm and the higher bandgap energy was transferred to the lower bandgap energy. The sensitivity of FRET-based sensor can be approximated from the theoretical calculation given in Eq. 1.

\[
R_0 = \frac{0.2108[J_{DA}(\lambda)\kappa^2n^{-4}\Phi_D]^{1/6}}{[R_0^6 + R_{DA}^6]} \tag{Eq. 1}
\]

where, \(R_{DA}\) is the distance between the fluorescence donor and acceptor, and \(R_0\) is the distance (Å) at which half of the energy is transferred (the Förster radius). \(J_{DA}\) in the second equation is the donor/acceptor spectral overlap integral, \(\kappa\) is a geometric factor associated with the orientation of the donor and acceptor dipoles. The orientation, \(\kappa\) is generally considered as constant when conjugations between two 0-D fluorophores are carried out.

The relative intensity was shown in Figure 1(b) that the QD green lost its original fluorescence intensity while the QD red gain its intensity. From the comparison of actual intensity changes, the energy transfer was about 27% from QD green to QD red with little loss of energy. Furthermore, Figure 1(b) presents the time-based intensity change when two QDs are conjugated. The 50% of intensity was changed within 100 sec after the interval of the conjugation of aG-aB, which is a good candidate for rapid analysis method. Meanwhile the sensitivity of this sensor reached up to the µg range of aG and the selectivity of this sensor would be decided by the quality of the immuno-reaction as well as the surface chemistry of nanoparticles with biological moieties. The used sample quantity was about µg ranges in the fluorescence spectroscopic experiment. The next sensor we suggest can measure aGs up to pg range that is more sensitive with different sensing mechanism even though we used the same biological moieties for selectivity.

Au-nanoplate based SPR sensor. To confirm the chip’s sensitivity, the aB-modified chip was then incubated with 7 different concentrations of antigen solutions ranging from from 5.0 pg/mL to 10 µg/mL in PBS solution. After rinsing with the PBS buffer, SPR analysis was carried out at each concentration. Figure 2 showed the various concentration of BSA that was bound to the corresponding antibody chip. As the BSA concentration increased, the SPR angle of the anti-BSA chip was gradually shifted to the right and the total shift degrees were increased as the concentration of BSA was increased. These data confirmed that the antigen-antibody interaction occurred on the surface of the ProLinker™ SAM and suggested that the ability to make immunochip on ProLinker™ surface with high sensitivity. The data was linearly proportional to the range of pg/mL ∼ ng/mL. However, the after the ng/mL of concentration, the signal was remarkably saturated to draw the plain line where is the maximum sensing concentration. Here, it would be necessary to combine two different nano sensing systems for expanding sensing range from pg/mL ∼ µg/mL because actual environmental condition would vary with no expectation. In particular, military-purpose biosensing system would significantly require multi-ranges of concentration covered sensors with high sensitivity and selectivity.

Conclusion

Two different nanotechnology-induced biosensors were demonstrated to detect BSA via immuno-activity of anti-BSA by means of FRET and SPR techniques. Since each sensor gives
different concentration ranges of sensing ability they are quite complementary to embody sensing system from µg/mL to pg/mL.
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