Catalytic Activity of Supported Rhodium(I) Complex for the Carbynolation of Nitrobenzene: Mechanism for Carbamate Formation
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The investigation of the catalytic activity of supported rhodium(I) complex [Rh(P-S)(CO)\textsubscript{2}] (P-S; polymer anchored salicylic acid) toward the reductive carbynolation of nitrobenzene in DMF medium has been reported. Use of basic cata-
alysts in the reaction medium enhanced the percentage of more useful phenyl carbamates. Spectroscopic studies indicate that the reaction proceeds through a dimer species [Rh(HS)(CO)(O(OCH\textsubscript{2})\mu-OCH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}] and phenyl isocyanate is formed as an intermediate. A plausible reaction mechanism based on the identification of reactive intermediates from the soluble rhodium variety has been proposed for the carbynolation process.
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Introduction

The catalytic carbynolation of organic nitrocompounds is a reaction of high potential synthetic and industrial interest. Many important compounds such as ureas, carbamates, azo and azoxy-
arenes, oximes and several heterocyclic compounds can be se-
lectedly obtained by either reductive carbynolation of nitroaro-
matics\textsuperscript{2-10} or oxidative carbynolation of anilines.\textsuperscript{11-14} Isocyanates, carbamates and ureas can now be obtained by either procedure without the use of the toxic and corrosive phosgene. The problem with oxidative carbynolation reactions is that CO/O\textsubscript{2} (or air) mixtures are explosive and though articles describe reactions run within the explosion limit, this is extremely unsafe even in the laboratory and is unacceptable on an industrial scale.

Another disadvantage of the process is that oxidative carbynolation reactions generate water (RNH\textsubscript{2} + CO + $\frac{1}{2}$O\textsubscript{2} + R'OH \textsubscript{catalyst} + RNHCOOR' + H\textsubscript{2}O) as a stoichiometric by-product and water readily hydrolyses both carbamates and ureas at high temperatures. A promising phosgene free process to manufacture ureas and carbamates is the reductive carbynolation of nitro compounds with carbon monoxide in presence of transition met-
al catalysts.\textsuperscript{15-22} Most of the soluble palladium catalysts employed for reductive carbynolation reactions however suffer catalyst degradation and metal precipitation at some stages of the catalytic cycle under harsh reaction conditions. This causes great hindrance to catalyst modulation and recycling of expensive metal complexes. Although the price of precious metals employed and their reusability is an issue, the most important factor is that very high selectivities have to be achieved with minimum metal loss.

In homogeneous reactions catalyzed by organometallic com-
pounds, the catalyst gets intimately involved with the reactants to form various intermediates during the course of the reaction. As per the recently published review,\textsuperscript{7} it is clear that deeper un-
derstanding of the reaction mechanism of the carbynolation system is required to gain better insight into the selectivity fac-
tor. In the present paper we have investigated the reductive carbynolation reaction of nitrobenzene to carbamates using a poly-
styrene anchored rhodium complex and made an attempt to find the catalytic pathway based on the isolation and characterization of key reactive intermediates from its soluble analogue. The te-
dious process of catalyst separation has also been eliminated by suspending the metal complex in a polymer matrix.

Experimental

Instrument and chemicals. Analytical grade reagents and freshly distilled solvents were used throughout the investigation. DMF was purified by drying over CaH\textsubscript{2} under N\textsubscript{2} for 24 h fol-
lowed by distillation under reduced pressure.\textsuperscript{23} PhNH\textsubscript{2} was puri-
fied by vacuum distillation in an inert atmosphere prior to use. CO (99%, IOL-specialty gas division), RhCl\textsubscript{3}:3H\textsubscript{2}O (Pressure Chemical company, Pittsburgh) and polystyrene beads cross-
linked with 2% DVB [poly(styrene-co-divinyl benzene)] of particle size 100 - 200 mesh (Alrich chemicals) were used as received. Vibrational, electronic and $^1$H NMR spectra were taken with Perkin Elmer 883, Shimadzu MPC-3700 and Bruker 250 MHz instruments, respectively. Gas chromatographic analysis was performed with Chrompack CP-9000 using flame ioniza-
tion detector with temperature programming from 120 °C to 260 °C at the rate 10 °C/min. The progress of the reaction was monitored by periodic analysis of the reaction mixture by GC technique. XPS study was made with VG-scientific ESCA lab mark E using Al K\textalpha\textsubscript{res} as target material.

Carbynolation procedure. The carbynolation procedure using soluble and polystyrene anchored rhodium complexes as catal-
ysts and nitrobenzene as a substrate has been described else-
where.\textsuperscript{24} In a typical experiment, DMF solution (10 mL) of the catalyst (0.02 mmol) and the substrate (8 mmol) was taken in a 50 mL glass lined stainless steel autoclave provided with a magnetic bar. The reactor was first evacuated and flushed with ni-
trogen. After pumping out the nitrogen, it was immersed in a thermostated silicone oil bath preheated to the desired reaction temperature. The reaction mixture was then subjected to the re-
quired pressure of carbon monoxide which was maintained constant throughout the catalytic period. The products were identified and analyzed after subsequent work up by GC with
FID using authentic samples for comparison. The column is 2-meter × 1/8 inch stainless steel with a stationary phase of 10% carbowax on chromosorb W-80 mesh support. During the catalytic recycling process, product after each run was filtered off using a Gooch crucible and the brown polyoxometalate beads collected at top were washed with acetone (3 × 20 mL) before being reused with fresh substrate introduction.

Preparation and isolation of the complexes. [Rh(HS)(CO)](μ-CO)2] (1): The rhodium(I) complex (HS; salicylic acid) was prepared according to the literature method. The deep red solution mixture of RhCl3·3H2O (0.26 g, 0.98 mmol) and salicylic acid (0.26 g, 1.46 mmol) in dry, deoxygenated DMF (10 mL) was refluxed for 2 h. The solution was cooled and the cold solution diluted with 20 mL of distilled water. The solution was refrigerated at 5 °C for 1 h when yellow precipitates were obtained. The precipitates after successive washing with water and acetone were dried under vacuum. The purity of the compound was checked by chemical analysis, IR and 1H NMR studies. Though the yield was low (~40%), we could perform the catalytic studies in order to find the actual catalytic pathway followed by the polymer-anchored variety. With the salicylic acid attached to the polystyrene framework, the more economic recyclable catalyst could be prepared for the carboxylation reactions. 1H-NMR (DMF-d6): 3.3 (br, 1H), 6.9-7.8 (m, 4H); 13C-NMR (DMF-d6): 112.7, 117.0, 125.3, 131.2, 132.6, 161.1, 163.2, 171.9, 195.7.

[Rh(HS)(CO)(μ-CO)]2] (2): The solution of [Rh(HS)(CO)]2] (0.34 g, 1.02 mmol) in dry deoxygenated DMF (10 mL) changed to green on stirring for 24 h under nitrogen. The solution was concentrated to 2-3 mL by vacuum evaporation at 60 °C, mixed with dry chloroform (5 mL) and kept at 0 °C for 24 h when the green crystalline precipitate separated out. This was washed with dry chloroform and dried under vacuum. 1H-NMR (DMF-d6): 3.3 (br, 2H), 6.9-8.0 (m, 8H); 13C-NMR (DMF-d6): 112.7, 117.1, 125.3, 131.1, 132.6, 161.1, 163.2, 171.9, 195.7, 206.0.

[Rh(HS)(μ-CO)(PhNO)]2] (3): Dry PhNO2 (1 mL) was added to the deep green solution obtained by stirring the DMF solution (10 mL) of [Rh(HS)(CO)]2] (0.34 g, 1.02 mmol) for 24 h under nitrogen. Dry chloroform (5 mL) was added and the mixture was kept at 0 °C for 24 h when the deep green precipitate separated out. This was washed with chloroform and finally dried under vacuum. 1H-NMR (DMF-d6): 3.3 (br, 2H), 6.9-8.1 (m, 16 H); 13C-NMR (DMF-d6): 112.8, 117.0, 119.1, 125.3, 128.5, 129.5, 130.2, 131.2, 132.6, 135.6, 136.1, 136.9, 161.1, 163.2, 171.9, 195.7.

[Rh(HS)(CO)(C(O)OCH3)](μ-OCH3)]2] (4): A 50 mL stainless steel autoclave containing [Rh(HS)(CO)]2] was purged several times with CO. o-Nitrotoluene was dissolved in 15 mL of toluene and injected into the autoclave via an exhaust valve. After 5 min, 3 mL of methanol was injected in the same manner and the system was pressurized with CO (80 atm) and heated to 80 °C. After 24 h, the reactor was cooled, the pressure was released and the solution transferred to a 2-necked 100 mL round-bottom flask. Solvent was removed under vacuum and the resulting brown oil was washed with 20 mL portions of diethyl ether and evacuated again. The brown tarry product was dissolved in a minimum quantity of benzene and the process of stirring and washing with dry ether was continued until the brown compound separated out. Light brown solid thus isolated was subjected to 1H NMR and IR studies for characterization. 24,26 Brown solid, 1H NMR (ppm, DMF-d6): 3.0 (s, 3H, OCH3); 3.66 (s, 3H, OCH3); 3.3 (br, 2H, OH); 6.9-8.1 (m, 8H); 13C NMR (ppm, DMF-d6): 14.9, 38.8, 38.9, 39.1, 39.4, 39.6, 39.8, 40.0, 64.8, 112.7, 116.9, 118.9, 125.2, 130.1, 131.1, 135.4, 136.1, 161.2, 163.1, 171.9, 206.0. IR (KBr, cm−1): 3200, 2078, 1655, 1610, 1108, 889, 658; Anal: (Found: C, 38.38%; H, 3.22%; Rh, 28.6; Calcd.: C, 38.50; H, 3.23%).

[Rh(P-SCO2H)]2] (5): The polymer-anchored complex [Rh(OOC-H2O2CH3-P)(CO)]2], P = poly(styrene-co-divinylbenzene) having the same coordination geometry as the salicylic acid linked rhodium(I) carbonyl complex was prepared as shown in Scheme 1. 27,28 Polystyrene beads were first chloromethylated by the known procedure of Pepper et al. 24 The chloromethylated polymer was next treated with THF solution of salicylic acid for 48 h to replace ~90% of the chlorine with the acid group. The grey colored beads were then refluxed with 50 mL DMF solution of RhCl3·3H2O for 6 h. At the end of the reflux period the brown colored beads were washed with acetone and dried under vacuum. The beads can be stored in air for several days without any noticeable change in color or bead fragmentation.

Characterization. The compounds [Rh(P-SCO2H)]2], [Rh(HS) (CO)](μ-CO)]2], [Rh(HS)(μ-CO)(PhNO)]2] and [Rh(HS)(CO) (COC6H5)](μ-OCH3)]2] were characterized on the basis of their analytical data and vibrational spectral data (Table 1). 1H NMR and XPS data were also collected for these complexes. IR peaks at 1575 cm−1 (νCO as), 1380 cm−1 (νCO s) and in the region 3230 cm−1 (νOH) are present in the spectra of the soluble complexes indicating the presence of coordinated salicylate in all of them. Compared to the IR spectrum of 1, the spectrum of 2 shows the appearance of a new peak at 1848 cm−1 (νCO, bridged) at the cost of one CO (terminal) peak at 2075 cm−1. The other CO peak at 2010 cm−1 remains almost unchanged. It has therefore been suggested that the starting complex [Rh(HS)(CO)]2] in DMF medium, undergoes dimerisation through CO bridging to form a pentacoordinated rhodium(I) complex containing both terminal

**Scheme 1.** preparation of polystyrene-anchored rhodium(I) carbonyl complex
and bridged carbonyl groups. The spectrum of 3 exhibits two new peaks at 1520 and 1350 cm\(^{-1}\) due to the coordinated PhNO\(_2\) and only the bridged carbonyl peak at 1848 cm\(^{-1}\) is present. In case of complex 4, the peaks at 1610 and 1655 cm\(^{-1}\) in the \(\nu_{\text{CO}}\) region is characteristic of the methoxy carbonyl ligand.\(^{32,33}\)

The \(^1\)H NMR spectrum (ppm, DMSO-\(d_6\)) of complex (1) exhibit multiple signals in the region 6.9 - 7.9 (phenyl protons) and a broad signal at 3.32 (hydroxy). New \(^3\)H NMR signals at 3.03 and 3.66 ppm of complex (4) are attributed to CH\(_3\) protons of C(O)OCH\(_3\) groups and to the O-CH\(_3\) protons of the bridging ligands respectively.\(^{32,33}\) The rhodium content in each of the complexes was determined by refluxing them with concentrated hydrochloric acid or 1:1 HNO\(_3\) for 6 h and then estimating the metal concentration in the solution by atomic absorption spectrometry at wavelength 255.6 nm using air-acetylene flame. XPS (X-ray photoelectron) study of the compounds were carried out in the range 290 - 320 eV using Al K\(\alpha\) as target material in order to determine the oxidation state of metal in them. The 3d\(_{3/2}\) and 3d\(_{5/2}\) levels of rhodium in the complexes 1, 2, 3 and 5 have binding energies in the vicinity of ~308 and ~312 eV respective. These energies are comparable with +1 oxidation state of rhodium.\(^{34}\) The binding energy for the isolated complex 4 was found to be 310.2 (3d\(_{3/2}\)) and 314.2 eV (3d\(_{5/2}\)) which suggests the presence of Rh(III) in the species as compared to the standard material RhCl\(_3\)·3H\(_2\)O. To avoid possible X-ray induced metal reduction in the polymer host, measurements were made with a reduced power of the X-ray source of 10 KV, 10 mA. Survey spectra were recorded for all the samples in the range 0 - 800 eV with a step of 0.5 eV.

**Results and Discussions**

Herein, we report our findings on the highly reactive and selective reductive carbonylation of nitrobenzene in good yields using [Rh(P-S)(CO)\(_2\)]Cl\(_2\)O\(_2\)/CH\(_3\)OH/CH\(_3\)ONa as the catalytic system in a weekly coordinating solvent like DMF. The reaction is selective to diphenyleurea formation if we use only methanol and no sodium methoxide in the system as shown in (Eq. 1). If sodium methoxide is used along with methanol, keeping other factors unchanged the product obtained in this case is methyl-phenyl carbamate and no diphenyleurea (Eq. 2).
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**Table 1.** Analytical and IR\(^{\ast}\) data of the compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>(\nu_{\text{CO}})</th>
<th>(\nu_{\text{OH}})</th>
<th>(\nu_{\text{OCO}})</th>
<th>(\nu_{\text{OCH}})</th>
<th>(\nu_{\text{NO}})</th>
<th>C %</th>
<th>H %</th>
<th>N %</th>
<th>Rh %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><a href="1">Rh(HS)(CO)(_2)</a></td>
<td>2075 2010</td>
<td>3232 3260</td>
<td>1578 (a) 1380 (s)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36.24 (36.36)</td>
<td>1.62 (1.69)</td>
<td></td>
<td>30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="2">Rh(HS)(CO)((\mu\text{-CO}))</a></td>
<td>2012 1847</td>
<td>3236 3260</td>
<td>1570 1337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36.40 (36.36)</td>
<td>1.71 (1.69)</td>
<td></td>
<td>29.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="3">Rh(HS)((\mu\text{-CO}))(PhNO(_2))</a></td>
<td>1848 3224</td>
<td>1572 1374</td>
<td>1520 1350</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42.82 (42.86)</td>
<td>2.53 (2.57)</td>
<td>3.55 (3.57)</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="4">Rh(HS)(CO)(C(O)OCH(_3))((\mu\text{-OCH}))</a></td>
<td>2008 3228</td>
<td>1577 (a) 1383 (s)</td>
<td>1610 1655</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38.38 (38.50)</td>
<td>3.22 (3.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="5">Rh(P-S)(CO)(_2)</a></td>
<td>2077 1887</td>
<td>1574 1377</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{\ast}\)KBr pellets, all values are in cm\(^{-1}\); analytical values in parentheses are calculated values.

We investigated the effect of carbon monoxide pressure, reaction temperature, alcohol concentration and cocatalysts on the catalytic system and assigned a tentative reaction mechanism based on our findings and isolation of key reactive intermediates from the soluble rhodium salicylate complex.

**Effect of CO pressure and temperature.** The carbonylation of nitrobenzene when performed at the room temperature (30°C) and low carbon monoxide pressure (20 atm), even in the presence of methanol it did not yield any product. Effect of carbon monoxide pressure and temperature on the carbonylation of nitrobenzene was therefore studied to optimize the yield of products. Highest conversion of PhNO\(_2\) (100%) to diphenyleurea (DPU) was achieved at 80 atm and 100 °C and at a methanol concentration of 60 mmol as per eq. 1. Higher methanol concentration increased the yield of aniline at the cost of DPU. The DMF solution of the isolated complexes 2 and 3 were also used to study the carbonylation of nitrobenzene under similar reaction conditions. No product formation occurred even with these complexes at low temperature (less than 40°C) and at carbon monoxide pressure maintained below 40 atm. However at P\(_{\text{CO}}\) = 80 atm and temperature of 100°C, 100% conversion of PhNO\(_2\) was noticed. The product in both these cases was diphenyleurea (~70) and aniline (15 - 20%).

In order to find out whether any transformation of the isolated compound [Rh(HS)(PhNO\(_2\))(\(\mu\text{-CO})\)] occur in solution at higher temperature, IR spectra of the DMF solution of the compound 3 at 100 °C was taken. The spectra exhibit a new peak at 2008 cm\(^{-1}\) (\(\nu_{\text{CO}}\) terminal) indicating the cleavage of the carbonyl-bridged rhodium complex into the substrate coordinated mononuclear species in DMF solution. This was as expected as the dimer...
Scheme 2. substrate coordination to rhodium carbonyl complex

species would be unstable at such high temperature in DMF solution. It is worth mentioning here that when studying Pd/HI catalyzed carbonylation of methanol, Chaudhari and co-workers isolated the dimeric \([\text{Pdl}_2(\mu-I)_2]^+\) species and showed that it converts to \([\text{Pdl}_3(\text{CO})]^+\) under CO pressure.\(^{35}\) Though we have isolated the substrate coordinated rhodium species (designated as complex 3), we are not sure of its real existence or formation in the high CO pressure, high temperature catalytic run in DMF solution. Since nitrosobenzene and phenyl nitrite intermediates have been predicted for the palladium and ruthenium complexes by various groups,\(^{2}\) we speculated Scheme 2 for the initial stages of our catalytic carbonylation reactions. This could best explain the isolation of intermediates from the starting complex and the formation of anilines as an associated product in all cases.

\[
\text{[Rh(HS)(\mu-CO)PhNO}_2] \quad \text{as shown in Scheme 2 is believed to be the catalytically active species formed under the given set of conditions though all our effort to isolate it in the pure state was unsuccessful.}
\]

Effect of alcohol. In the absence of any cosolvent, the bulk of the nitrobenzene remained unchanged and GC analysis of the final product mixture indicated the presence of a small amount of aniline (4 - 5%). This was probably due to moisture in the solvent contaminated from the air or during subsequent work-up procedure. Carbonylation of nitrobenzene in completely dry DMF at 100 °C in the \(P_{\text{CO}}\) range of 40 - 80 atmospheres did not occur even after 24 h of reaction. The presence of a cosolvent such as ROH (\(R = \text{CH}_3, \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\)) or \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) was therefore necessary for the reaction between PhNO and CO to proceed under high pressure and high temperature conditions. Presence of moisture in the system greatly hinders the catalytic conversion to DPU and almost 90% aniline was recorded. High aniline formation may also be due to hydrolysis of any DPU formed (reaction 3).

\[
\text{(PhNHCONHPPh) + H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{PhNH}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \quad \text{(3)}
\]

Carbonylation reactions in presence of both cosolvent and cocatalyst were tried out to optimize the yield of the more useful phenyl carbamates. Investigations were made with both acidic and basic cocatalysts in the presence of alcohol in the reaction medium. In presence of methanol concentration (60 mmol), addition of acid cocatalysts such as FeCl_3, AlCl_3 or \(p\)-toluenesulphonic acid increased only the yield of aniline without any formation of the desired carbamates. The acid cocatalysts were therefore replaced by the basic ones like KOH, Et\(_3\)N, Pyridine, RONA (\(R = \text{CH}_3, \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\)) and the results have been tabulated (Table 2). 70% selectivity of carbamate formation was achieved under optimum reaction conditions using NaOMe as cocatalyst (Entry 10, Table 2). Any variation of reaction parameters did not produce 100% selectivity. Small amount of N-phenyl formamide detected in most cases may be due to direct interaction of aniline and carbon monoxide (Equation 4).

\[
\text{PhNH}_2 + \text{CO} \rightarrow \text{HCONHPPh} \quad \text{(4)}
\]

Effect of solvent and cocatalysts. Weakly coordinating solvents were found to be more efficient and most of the catalytic runs were taken in DMF and DMSO. Very sluggish reaction occurs in strong coordinating solvents like CH\(_3\)CN or in non-polar solvents like \(\text{C}_2\text{H}_6, \text{C}_6\text{H}_6, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, \text{hexane}\). The non-polar solvents probably cannot break the dinuclear rhodium complex to the corresponding monomer to any appreciable extent, while in strong coordinating medium, the corresponding solvent-adducts do not allow the formation of a metal-substrate complex. The solvents sufficiently strong to form the solvent adduct by carbonyl bridge cleavage and sufficiently labile to favour metal-substrate complex formation appear to be most suitable for the present investigation.

The cocatalysts that were effective are all basic in nature and vary greatly in the efficiencies. The best one is RONA (\(R = \text{CH}_3, \text{C}_2\text{H}_5\)) followed by Et\(_3\)N and pyridine. The experimental results show that the yield of carbamate is enhanced by basic cocatalysts while the acidic ones have the reverse effect. Increase in cocatalyst concentration from 1 mmol to 10 mmol did not however affect the yield of carbamate formation nor has any effect on product distribution. Carbamate formation probably requires the presence of OR\(^{−}\) ion which is provided to different extent by different basic cocatalysts according to the following reaction:

\[
\text{ROH} + B \rightarrow \text{RO}^{−} + \text{BH}^{+} \quad \text{(5)}
\]

Effect of the polymer anchored ligand. To study the efficiency of the polymer supported ligand as a recyclable catalyst, RhCl\(_3\)-3H\(_2\)O was also subjected to similar catalytic condition as employed for the supported catalyst [Rh(P-S)(CO)]\(_2\). Spontaneous reduction of Rh(III) to Rh(I) under the reaction conditions was expected for RhCl\(_3\) and we hoped to recover rhodium(1) carbonyl chloro complex of the type [Rh(Cl)(CO)]\(_2\)(DMF)] from the solution mixture. To our surprise, we recovered a white substance which was difficult to characterize. RhCl\(_3\)-3H\(_2\)O when used as a catalyst for the carbonylation reaction of nitrobenzene did not yield any urea or carbamate under similar reaction conditions. The product was 10 - 12% aniline and most of the substrate was recovered. In order to prepare a recyclable catalyst with similar coordination environment we opted for the polystyrene anchored salicylate ligand.

Though the DMF soluble rhodium catalyst (designated as 1) undergo successful carbonylation reaction to produce useful carbamates, it is extremely difficult to isolate the rhodium complex.
Table 2. Carbonylation\(^a\) of nitrobenzene with polymer anchored rhodium catalyst in different cocatalysts and cosolvents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Cocatalyst (2 mmol)</th>
<th>Cosolvent (60 mmol)</th>
<th>(% conv. PhNO(_2))</th>
<th>DPU(^b)</th>
<th>Selectivity % PC(^c)</th>
<th>PhNH(_2)</th>
<th>NPF(^d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>H(_2)O</td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CH(_3)OH</td>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>C(_3)H(_6)OH</td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>FeCl(_3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>AlCl(_3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>py</td>
<td>CH(_3)OH</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>FeCl(_3)</td>
<td>CH(_3)OH</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Et(_3)N</td>
<td>CH(_3)OH</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>NaOMe</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>NaOMe</td>
<td>CH(_3)OH</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>NaOEt</td>
<td>CH(_3)OH</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>CH(_3)OH</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Conditions: PhNO\(_2\) = 8 mmol, Catal. = Rh. Content = 0.002 mmol. Medium = DMF, T = 100 °C, t = 16 h, P\(_{\text{CO}}\) = 80 atm. \(^b\)N,N'-diphenylurea. \(^c\)-phenyl-carbamate. 
\(^d\)-N-phenyl-formamide.

Table 3. Recycling study with Catalyst Rh(P-S)(CO\(_2\))\(_3\) in methanol

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalytic Cycle</th>
<th>% Conversion of nitrobenzene</th>
<th>% Yield of MPC</th>
<th>TOF (min(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycle 1</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycle 2</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>4.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycle 3</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycle 4</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recycle 5</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>4.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reaction Condition: PhNO\(_2\) = 8 mmol; Cat = Rh content = 0.002 mmol; isolated yield refers to GC analysis, P\(_{\text{CO}}\) = 80 atm, T = 100 °C, t = 16 h, CH\(_3\)OH = 60 mmol, CH\(_3\)ONa = 2 mmol; \(^*\)TOF = Turn over frequency (moles of PhNO\(_2\)/moles of catalyst) min\(^{-1}\).

From the reaction mixture at the end in a reusable form. The polystyrene variety of the rhodium catalyst can however be readily collected by simple gravity separation and can be recycled (Table 3) without any significant loss in efficiency. At the end of the fifth recycle run, the polymer beads were treated with 1:1 HNO\(_3\) solution and the rhodium content was again determined by AAS as described before. Analysis shows that the rhodium content in the polymer variety has decreased by 15% compared to the freshly prepared complex after five catalytic cycles. This loss did not hamper the performance or efficiency of the supported rhodium catalyst. This rapid loss after five catalytic cycles may be due to bead fragmentation in solution or swelling of beads which could not be conclusively established (FTIR peaks show no major changes). As mentioned earlier, we have used several non-polar and polar solvents but DMF and DMSO works out to be best. After treating the polymer-anchored variety with ethanolic solution of KCN for 24 hours to remove all rhodium from the polymer beads, we conducted the carbonylation reaction keeping all parameters same. In this case, we noticed no product formation, not even aniline. The heterogenous variety of the rhodium complex seems in all probability responsible for repeated carbonylation reactions.

**Proposed mechanism for carbamate formation.** Based on the above observations and identification of the reactive intermediates, the mechanism shown in Scheme 3 has been tentatively

![Scheme 3](image-url)
assigned to the carbonylation process using the soluble rhodium catalyst. In the scheme we have indicated formation of the substrate coordinated carbonyl species as the first step, followed by release of aniline and nucleophilic attack of the alcohol to the rhodium metal. Formation of the intermediate compound 4 (Scheme 3) requires CO insertion in one of the stages and this explains the requirement of high carbon monoxide pressure for the carbonylation process. Very low $P_{CO}$ prevents the formation and isolation of the intermediate and therefore no carbonylation products were observed under such conditions even at high methanol concentration.

An effort was also made to support our catalytic cycle by treating a solution of $p$-toluidine and dry methanol in a septum sealed vial with $p$-tolyl isocyanate (Aldrich chemical) as tried by Gladfelter and coworkers.30,31 Visible amounts of $N,N'$-ditylurea were noticed within 10 min at ambient temperature. The solution in the vial was analyzed using gas chromatography at an interval of 30 min for 3 h. No trace of carbamate could be detected at any stage of the reaction. The fact that isocyanate formed at an intermediate stage is immediately taken up by the amine resulting in the formation of urea is therefore demonstrated. Formation of carbamate at this stage seemed unlikely due to lower basicity of CH$_2$OH compared to PhNH$_2$. When sodium methoxide (cocatalyst is added to the catalytic system, concentration of CH$_2$O in the system increases and this facilitates the formation of carbamate rather than urea.

The reactivity of palladium(II) complexes with CO and amines has been investigated in several papers.36-39 In general the authors have reported mono or bis-carbamoyl complexes depending on the reaction conditions and the identity of the species involved. A less clear situation occurs when a primary or secondary amine is reacted with the palladium complex and CO in the presence of an alcohol. Carbamoyl complexes have been reported in some cases whereas alkoxy carbonyl complexes are obtained in others.37,40 To our knowledge no explanation for this behavior has been given in the literature.

We have put forward the argument that nucleophilic attack of the alcohol on a coordinated CO group generates an unstable adduct that eventually produces the alkoxy carbonyl group after deprotonation by a base as shown in Scheme 4. In our case the released aniline acts as the base and this augurs well with the isolation of the six-coordinated rhodium (III) complex (complex 4). Yamamoto also has shown in his studies that amines never attack the palladium atom in a series of palladium complexes when CO is present.40-42 The intermediate formation of rhodium carbamoyl or amido complexes is therefore unlikely and formation of alkoxy complexes as predicted is possible under the present circumstances.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, reductive carbonylation of nitrobenzene by the polymer-anchored rhodium catalyst has been demonstrated. Under the catalytic system we could obtain either diphenylurea or phenyl carbamate depending upon the applied conditions. In all of the stoichiometric reactions, the isocyanate has been predicted to be formed which is immediately trapped by amines or alkoxydes to form the observed products. Aniline forms the diphenylurea because of its higher basicity compared to alcohols. Incorporation of basic cocatalysts like sodium methoxide and sodium ethoxide leads to high yield of carbamate formation. The polystyrene-supported rhodium complex can be recycled several times without substantial loss of catalytic efficiency.
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