Synthesis and Evaluation of Stearic Acid Derivatives as Cetane Number Improvers
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1,2,4,5-tetraoxane, mono and dinitrate glycerol carbonate ester derivatives of stearic acid were synthesized along with the known 9(10)-keto methyl stearate, methoxy mono-nitrate and dinitrate of methyl stearate. Their cetane numbers (CNs) were investigated to evaluate their viability for use as CN improvers. The CN performances of tetraoxane and all of the nitrate derivatives were investigated at 500 and 1000 ppm concentrations and compared to that of a traditional CN improver 2-ethylhexyl nitrate (2-EHN). The experimental results suggest that all derivatives evaluated in this study showed better CN improvement than base diesel fuel. Specifically, the 1,2,4,5-tetraoxane derivative of stearic methyl ester was superior to all derivatives studied, also being superior to 2-EHN. We also discussed the correlations between the observed CN trends and thermo-analytical data resulted from thermo gravimetric analysis curves (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC).
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Introduction

The cetane number (CN) measures the readiness of the fuel to auto-ignite when injected into an engine. It is one of the most important properties to specify the ignition quality of any fuel for internal combustion engines. An increase in CN decreases the delay time between fuel injection and ignition. Shorter ignition delay times have been directly correlated with a faster startup in cold weather, reduced NOx and particulate matter emissions from diesel engines, and smoother engine operation.

The CN of a fuel depends on the composition and structure of the hydrocarbons present in the fuel. The CN decreases with an increase in the aromatic hydrocarbon content and increases with an increase in the n-paraffin and olefin content.

The use of cetane-improving additives is necessary to avoid difficulties in cold starting and other performance problems associated with low CNs. Traditionally, alkyl nitrate (e.g. amyl nitrate, hexyl nitrate, and octyl nitrate) have been used as ignition promoters, but the use of azo compounds and alkyl peroxides has also been proposed.

Biodiesel has been widely accepted as an alternative fuel additive. It can be blended up to 20% in any type of diesel engine. It is defined as alkyl esters of fatty acids, obtained by the transesterification of oils or fats with short-chain alcohols such as methanol and ethanol. It has engine performance capability comparable to that of conventional diesel and can be used pure or blended with diesel. The benefits of the fatty acid ester are likely related to its oxygen content and the long hydrocarbon chains of the fatty acids. The two oxygen molecules in the ester bond have the ability to improve combustion similar to the way oxygenates reduce carbon monoxide emissions for gasoline.

Several studies showed a CN improvement through the use of fatty acid derivatives such as ester, nitrate, glycol nitrate, and tertiary fatty amides.

Recently, we showed that 1,2,4,5-tetraoxane and 1,2,4,5,7,8-hexaoxonane derivatives from cyclic and acyclic ketones with carbon numbers ranging from C6 to C8 were superior to traditional 2-ethyl hexyl nitrate (2-EHN) as a CN improver. In this study, we attempt to extend our previous investigation of CN improvers to fatty acid derivatives which are now well-known biodiesel additives. We synthesized stearic methyl ester derivatives modified with 9(10)-1,2,4,5-tetraoxane, 9(10)-methoxy-10(9)-nitrate and 9,10-dinitrate groups. Stearic glycerol carbonate ester derivatives with the 9(10)-methoxy-10(9)-nitrate and 9,10-dinitrate groups were also synthesized to evaluate the oxygen content effects as a CN improver. The CN performances of these derivatives were measured and compared with that of the traditional CN improver 2-EHN. We also discussed the correlations between the observed CN trends and thermo-analytical data resulted from the thermo gravimetric analysis curves (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC).

Experimental Section

Materials and Methods. All of the starting chemicals were used as received from Sigma-Aldrich. 9(10)-keto methyl stearate (I) was synthesized from oleic acid according to a previously researched method followed by esterification. All solvents were purified using a standard procedure. Ultra low-sulfur diesel (ULSD) was received from the SK Corporation of Korea. The nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 400 spectrometer, with standard pulse sequences operating at 400 MHz in 1H-NMR and at 100 MHz in 13C-NMR in CDCl3 using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard.

The nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Varian Mercury 400 spectrometer, with standard pulse sequences operating at 400 MHz in 1H-NMR and at 100 MHz in 13C-NMR in CDCl3 using tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard.
chemical shifts are given in parts per million (ppm). Mass determination of the final target molecules was conducted by electrospray ionization-mass spectrometry (ESI-MS). The derived cetane numbers (DCNs) of the tested fuel were determined on the basis of standards from the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D6890-2008. All CN measurements were performed by the Korea Institute of Petroleum Management.

**Synthesis of 3-Cyclohexyl-1,2,4,5-tetraoxane Derivative of Methyl Stearate (3).** The mixture of 9(10)-keto methyl stearate (1) was prepared by multistep synthesis from oleic acid as described in the literatures followed by esterification (Scheme 1).\(^1\)\(^5\),\(^16\) \(^1\)H-NMR: (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 0.86 (t, 3H), 1.25-1.27 (m, 18H), 1.52-1.55 (m, 6H), 2.28 (t, 3H), 2.36 (t, 3H), 3.65 (s, 3H), \(^13\)C-NMR: (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 14.23, 22.86, 24.03, 25.09, 29.16, 29.26, 29.28, 29.35, 29.39, 29.42, 29.48, 29.60, 29.64, 32.03, 34.26, 42.98, 51.67, 174.48, 211.85; MS: calcd. m/z 313.273 [M+H].

\(^9\)9(10)-gem-dihydroperoxide methyl stearate 2 was synthesized as followed; a mixture of 9(10)-keto methyl stearate (1 mmol), 50% aqueous H\(_2\)O\(_2\) (1 mL) and CAN (0.1 mmol) in MeCN (5 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 6 h. The reaction was quenched with water (5 mL) and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 5 mL). The combined organic extract was dried with anhydrous Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by a silica gel column chromatography (MeOH/MC) to afford pure gem-dihydroperoxide 2. \(^1\)H-NMR: (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 0.87 (t, 3H), 1.26-1.32 (m, 21H), 1.60-1.67 (m, 6H), 2.28-2.33 (t, 3H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 8.63 (br s, 2H), \(^13\)C-NMR: (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 14.32, 22.87, 23.67, 24.94, 29.02-29.99 (9 carbon peaks) overlapped, 32.07, 34.33, 51.89, 53.65, 114.16, 175.16.

\(^3\)Cyclohexyl-1,2,4,5-tetraoxane Derivative of Methyl Stearate 3: Cyclohexanone (1.2 mmol) was added to a cooled solution (chilled via an ice bath) of gem-dihydroperoxide 2 (1 mmol) in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (10 mL). After the mixture was stirred for 30 min at a constant temperature, a cooled H\(_2\)SO\(_4\)/CH\(_3\)CN mixture [0.150 mL, 1:10 (v/v)] was added dropwise. After an additional 30 min of stirring, the reaction mixture was diluted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) and washed with H\(_2\)O (2 × 10 mL), saturated NaHCO\(_3\) (2 × 15 mL), and brine (2 × 15 mL). The organic layer was dried with anhydrous Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\) and concentrated in vacuo. The resultant crude was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, Hexane), yielding pure 3. \(^1\)H-NMR: (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 0.84 (t, 3H), 1.20-1.27 (m, 22H), 1.42 (br s, 3H), 1.55-1.58 (m, 9H), 2.10-2.23 (m, 4H), 2.26 (t, 2H), 3.63 (s, 3H), \(^13\)C-NMR: (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)): \(\delta\) 14.23, 14.39, 22.11, 22.79, 22.80, 25.54, 29.16, 29.24, 29.27, 29.34, 29.44, 29.51, 29.64, 29.70, 29.83, 29.92, 32.02, 34.17, 34.20, 34.45, 51.53, 60.25, 107.88, 111.07, 174.34. MS: calcd. m/z 442.329, Obsd 465.319 [M+Na].

**Synthesis of Nitrate Derivatives of Stearate Ester.** Mono- and di-nitrate derivatives of methyl stearate (4a and 4b) were prepared according to the previously described method with a slight modification from methyl oleate by a sequential three-step method (Scheme 2).\(^1\)\(^7\)-\(^19\)

**Synthesis of 10-Methoxy-9-nitrate Stearic Acid Glycerol Carbonate Ester (5a):** 10-Methoxy-9-nitrate stearic acid (2.4 g, 6.39 mmol) was dissolved in DMF and glycerol carbonate (0.754 g, 6.39 mmol), EDC (1.34 g, 7.03 mmol), DMAP (0.156 g, 1.27 mmol) were added at room temperature. After the completion of the reaction, the DMF was removed, and residues were washed with NaHCO\(_3\) and brine sequentially, and then dried with anhydrous Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\). The crude product was purified by a silica gel column chromatography (MeOH/MC = 2:98) to give glycerol carbonate ester (1.89 g, 62% yield) as a yellow oil. \(^1\)H-NMR (CDCl\(_3\)]: \(\delta\) 4.93 (m, 1H), 4.57 (t, 1H), 4.38-4.22 (m, 3H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 3.22-3.12 (m, 2H), 2.38 (t, 2H), 1.67-1.28 (m, 26H), 0.88 (t, 3H), \(^13\)C-NMR: 173.36, 154.56, 84.73, 81.25, 73.97, 66.17, 63.06, 59.13, 34.06, 32.05, 29.43, 29.37, 29.31, 29.26, 29.13, 29.07, 28.60, 25.53, 24.90, 24.86, 22.86, 22.84, 14.30. MS:

![Scheme 1. Synthesis of 3-cyclohexyl-1,2,4,5-tetraoxane derivative of methyl stearate.](image1)

![Scheme 2. Synthesis of nitrate derivatives of stearate ester.](image2)
Thermo-analytical Method of the Neat Additives. A TGA/DSC analysis was carried out to check the thermal stability and heat release property of all additives on a Setaram Labsys TG-DT/DSC instrument at scan rates of 5 °C/min under a N2 purge of 100 mL/min. The amounts of loaded samples ranged from 5-10 mg and the analysis temperature ranged from 50-600 °C.

Results and Discussion

Cetane-Improving Performance of the Additives. The efficacies of the cetane improvers were determined as a DCN and they are summarized in Figure 1.

In this study, the DCN of the base diesel fuel was measured as 48.7. The Δ DCNs of the y axis was calculated by subtraction of the DCN of the base diesel fuel from the DCN of each additive. All of the tested derivatives showed a higher DCN than that of the base diesel fuel. As shown in Figure 1, cyclic tetraoxane derivative 3 of methyl stearate (1000 ppm) is more efficient than 1 and the nitrate derivatives 4a, 4b, 5a, 5b, and as well as the traditional CN improver 2-ethylhexyl nitrate (2-EHN). This is consistent with our previous studies, in which we suggested that the biradical intermediate produced from the thermal decomposition of tetraoxane or hexaoxonane, plays an important role in enhancing the chain reactions in the pre-ignition stage of diesel fuel combustion in diesel engines.

We expected an increase in CN with the increase in the additive concentration from 500 to 1000 ppm, but results showed an increase in CN of 3 and 4a and a decrease for 1, 4b, 5a and 5b. The reason for the decrease of the CN with the increase in the concentration is not clear. This type of nonlinearity was also reported for the blending cetane number.

Previous studies have shown that glycerol carbonate oleate and stearate have good thermal and oxidation stability and exhibit good surfactant properties. Therefore, we envisaged that replacement of methyl in 4a and 4b with polyoxygenated (oxygen rich) glycerol carbonate will improve the CN efficiency as well as the stability of nitrate derivatives. Hence, we synthesized 5a and 5b and tested their CN performance levels. The CN measurement results showed that with the same number of backbone carbons, the CN of 5a at 500 ppm is comparable to that of 4a. However, at 1000 ppm the CN of 5a is significantly lower than that of 4a. In the case of 5b, the CNs at 500 and 1000 ppm are lower than those of 4b. These results suggest that the replacement of methyl ester with glycerol carbonate ester did not significantly improve the CN values.

Figure 1 and the results in Table 1 shows that there is no connection between the oxygen or nitrogen contents of an individual additive and the DCN; e.g., 5a and 5b derivatives have higher oxygen content levels by 30.3 and 34.7 over the 4a and 4b derivatives, respectively. However, they show poor CN performance.

Correlation between the DCNs and the TGA/DSC Data for Neat Additives. Mc Donnell et al. used the volatilization temperature as determined from TGA and DSC to estimate the possible behavior of fuels in the combustion chamber of a diesel engine. To investigate the possible combustion behavior of the aforementioned additives in an

Table 1. Appearance of the target molecules, and their calculated oxygen/nitrogen contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product entry</th>
<th>Oxygen contents (%)</th>
<th>Nitrogen contents (%)</th>
<th>Appearance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2-EHN</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>white liquid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>white solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>colorless oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>yellow oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>yellow oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>yellow oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>yellow oil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As shown in Table 2, all of the DSC curves of the neat samples exhibit exothermic peaks except compound 1, which showed an endothermic peak. The exothermic peaks of compounds 3, 4a, 4b, 5a, and 5b and the endothermic peak of 1 are the results from the decomposition and the evaporation process, respectively. Our TGA/DSC analysis results (Table 2) provide a useful explanation of the CN trend shown in Figure 1. According to the decomposition peaks of the pure samples, the decomposition temperatures of the samples are in the order of 9(10)-keto methyl stearate (1) > nitrate carbonate ester derivatives (5a, 5b) > nitrate methyl ester derivatives (4a, 4b) > 1,2,4,5-tetraoxane derivative (3), implying that the nitrate and tetraoxane functionalities make the stearic acid molecules more ignitable. Among these additives, 1,2,4,5-tetraoxane derivative (3) has a lower decomposition temperature, showing a 33% weight loss during the exothermic process, which is related to cyclohexyl peroxide fragmentation. Similarly, mono-nitrate derivative (4a) showed a 67% loss during the decomposition process, which is related to the cleavage of the alpha bond near the nitrate functionality, as shown in Figure 4.

During the TGA/DSC data analysis, we noted a correlation between the decomposition temperatures and the derived cetane number: 1,2,4,5-tetraoxane derivative (3) with a lower decomposition temperature having a higher DCN and nitrate derivatives with a higher decomposition temperature having a relatively low DCN. Table 4 shows the amount of heat release during the exothermic process as calculated from the DSC data; however, no direct relationship with a DCN trend can be observed.

**Conclusion**

1,2,4,5-Tetraoxane, mono and dinitrate glycerol carbonate ester derivatives of stearic acid were synthesized along with known 9(10)-keto and methoxy mono-nitrate and dinitrate of methyl stearate, respectively, and their cetane numbers were measured. All tetraoxane and nitrate derivatives evaluated in this study showed a CN improvement over the base diesel fuel. The 1,2,4,5-tetraoxane derivative (3) and the mono-nitrate derivative (4a) of stearic acid methyl ester showed greater efficacy than the traditional nitrate additive 2-EHN at 1000 ppm. The replacement of methyl ester with polyoxygenated glycerol carbonate had a negative impact on the CN values. The 1,2,4,5-tetraoxane derivative (3) was found to be superior among all of the studied derivatives. Furthermore, we discussed the correlations between the observed CN trends and thermo-analytical data resulted from the thermo gravimetric analysis curves (TGA) and
differential scanning calorimetry (DSC).
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