Alkali-Metal Ion Catalysis in Nucleophilic Substitution Reactions of 5-Nitro-8-quinolyl Picolinate with Alkali Metal Ethoxides: Effect of Modification of Nonleaving Group from Benzoyle to Picolinyl on Reactivity and Transition State Structure
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A kinetic study on nucleophilic substitution reaction of 5-nitro-8-quinolyl picolinate (6) with alkali-metal ethoxides (EtOM; M = K, Na, and Li) in anhydrous ethanol is reported. The plot of \( k_{\text{obsd}} \) vs \([\text{EtOM}]\) curves upward in the absence of crown ethers but is linear with significantly decreased reactivity in the presence of crown ethers. Dissemination of \( k_{\text{obsd}} \) into \( k_{\text{E1cb}} \) and \( k_{\text{E1ca}} \) (i.e., second-order rate constants for the reactions with the dissociated EtO⁻ and ion-paired EtOM, respectively) has revealed that the ion-paired EtOM is significantly more reactive than the dissociated EtO⁻ (e.g., \( k_{\text{E1ca}}/k_{\text{E1cb}} \approx 33.4-1411 \)). This indicates that the reaction of 6 is catalyzed by M⁺ ions in the order Na⁺ > Li⁺ > K⁺ and the catalytic effect disappears in the presence of a proper crown ether. Picolinate ester 6 is much more reactive and is more strongly catalyzed by M⁺ ions than 5-nitro-8-quinolyl benzoate (5). It has been concluded that M⁺ ions catalyze the reaction of 6 by increasing electrophilicity of the reaction center through a cyclic transition state, which is structurally not possible for the reaction of 5.
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Introduction

Metal ions have often been reported to behave as a Lewis acid catalyst in nucleophilic substitution reactions of various esters.¹⁻¹⁰ Since Lewis acidity increases with increasing charge density of metal ions, most studies have focused on multivalent metal ions (e.g., Mg²⁺, Co²⁺, Zn²⁺, La³⁺, Eu³⁺ etc.).¹⁻⁵ Effects of alkali-metal ions on nucleophilic substitution reactions of esters have been investigated much less intensively, although alkali-metal ions are ubiquitous in nature and play an important role in biological systems (e.g., Na⁺-K⁺ pump in mammalian cells).⁶⁻¹⁰

Buncel et al. carried out a systematic study on nucleophilic substitution reaction of 4-nitrophenyl diphenolphosphinate (1a) with alkali-metal ethoxides (EtOM; M = K, Na, Li) in anhydrous ethanol and found that M⁺ ions catalyze the reaction in the order Li⁺ > Na⁺ > K⁺.⁶ However, the catalytic effect shown by M⁺ ions disappeared in the presence of complexing agents such as 18-crown-6-ether (18C6) for K⁺ ion and 15-crown-5-ether (15C5) for Na⁺ ion.⁶ In contrast, we have shown that the reaction of 4-nitrophenyl diphenylphosphinothioate (1b) with EtOM is inhibited by Li⁺ ion but is catalyzed by K⁺ and 18C6-complexed-K⁺ ions.⁶b A similar result has been found for the reactions of paraoxon (2a) and parathion (2b) with EtOM, e.g., the reaction of 2a is catalyzed by M⁺ ions with a catalytic order Li⁺ > Na⁺ > K⁺, while the corresponding reaction of 2b is inhibited by Li⁺ ion but is catalyzed by K⁺ and 18C6-complexed-K⁺ ions.⁶b These results demonstrate convincingly that the role of M⁺ ions is strongly dependent on the nature of the electrophilic center (e.g., P=O vs. P=S). Thus, TS₁ has been suggested to be responsible for the contrasting M⁺ ion catalysis and inhibition on the basis of the contrasting M⁺ ion effects.⁶b⁻⁶f

We have also reported that M⁺ ions strongly inhibit the reaction of 4-nitrophenyl salicylate (3) with EtOM in anhydrous ethanol (e.g., \( k_{\text{obsd}} \) decreases as the concentration of M⁺ ions increases up to a certain concentration and then levels off thereafter).⁶c The inhibitory effect of M⁺ ions has been found to be in the order K⁺ < Na⁺ < Li⁺. It has been suggested that formation of a stable 6-membered cyclic complex (e.g., 3M) is responsible for the inhibitory effect since 3M would prevent the subsequent reaction (i.e., an E1cb mechanism which yields an α-oxoketene). The inhibitory effect of M⁺ ions was quite an unexpected result because M⁺ ions were expected to catalyze the reaction by increasing electrophilicity of the reaction center through a cyclic complex 3M.
It has been reported that M⁺ ions catalyze the reactions of 2-pyridyl benzoate (4) and 5-nitro-8-quinolyl benzoate (5) with EtOM in the order Na⁺ > K⁺ > Li⁺, while the crown-complexed-M⁺ ions (e.g., 18C6-K⁺ and 15C5-Na⁺) do not exhibit any catalytic effect.10a,b Thus, the M⁺ ion catalysis observed in the reactions of 4 and 5 has been attributed to an increase in nucleofugality of the leaving group through TSII and TSIII.10a,b

Our study has now been extended to nucleophilic substitution reaction of 5-nitro-8-quinolyl picolinate (6) with EtOM (M = K⁺, Na⁺, Li⁺) in anhydrous ethanol to obtain further information on the role of M⁺ ions (Scheme 1). The current kinetic results have been compared with those reported previously for the corresponding reaction of 5-nitro-8-quinolyl picolinate (10a,b).

Results and Discussion

The kinetic study was carried out spectrophotometrically under pseudo-first-order conditions in which the concentration of EtOM was in large excess over that of substrate 6. All the reactions in this study obeyed pseudo-first-order kinetics and proceeded with quantitative liberation of 5-nitro-8-quinolinolate ion. Pseudo-first-order rate constants (kobsd) were calculated from the equation, ln (A∞ − A) = −kobsd + C. The kinetic conditions and results are summarized in Table 1. The uncertainty in the kobsd values was estimated to be less than ±3% from replicate runs. The second-order rate constants for the reactions of 6 with the dissociated EtO⁺ and ion-paired EtOM (i.e., kEtO⁺ and kEtOM, respectively) were calculated from the ion-pairing treatment of the kinetic data and are summarized in Table 2 together with those reported previously for the corresponding reaction of 5 for comparison.

Effect of Alkali-Metal Ions on Reactivity. As shown in Figure 1, the reactivity of EtOM is strongly dependent on the nature of M⁺ ion (e.g., the reactivity of EtOM decreases in the order EtONa > EtOLi > EtOK). The plot of kobsd vs. [EtOM] is linear for the reaction with EtOK in the presence of 18C6 (kEtO/18C6 = 52.7 M⁻¹s⁻¹, inset of Figure 1). In contrast, the plots in the absence of the complexing agent curve upward with significantly enhanced kobsd values. Such upward curvature is typical of reactions in which the ion-paired EtOM is more reactive than the dissociated EtO⁺. Thus, one can suggest that M⁺ ions behave as a Lewis acid catalyst and the catalytic effect decreases in the order Na⁺ > Li⁺ > K⁺.

Crown ethers are known to be a good complexing agent for M⁺ ions (e.g., 18C6 and 15C5 for K⁺ and Na⁺ ions, respectively). Thus, EtOM would exist as dissociated EtO⁺ and crown-complexed M⁺ ion. To investigate the effect of crown ethers on reactivity, the reactions of 6 with a given concentration of EtONa and EtOK have been carried with varying concentrations of 15C5 and 18C6, respectively.

As shown in Figure 2, the kobsd value for the reaction with EtONa decreases rapidly on initial addition of 15C5 up to

### Table 1. Kinetic Data for the Reactions of 5-Nitro-8-quinolyl Picolinate (6) with EtOM in Anhydrous Ethanol at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[EtOK] mM</th>
<th>kobsd/ s⁻¹</th>
<th>[EtONa] mM</th>
<th>kobsd/ s⁻¹</th>
<th>[EtOLi] mM</th>
<th>kobsd/ s⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.298</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.851</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>0.971</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>3.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>5.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.14</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>8.51</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>8.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.43</td>
<td>3.51</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.71</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>7.98</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>8.62</td>
<td>19.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>6.95</td>
<td>9.12</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>9.85</td>
<td>24.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 1](image-url)
near [15C5]/[EtONa] = 5.0 and then modestly thereafter. A similar result is shown for the reaction with EtOK. It is noted that the reactions with EtONa and EtOK result in almost the same k_{obsd} value when the crown ethers are present in excess. This supports the preceding argument that M\(^+\) ions catalyze the reaction of 6 and the catalytic effect disappears when M\(^+\) ions are complexed by the crown ethers.

**Dissection of k_{obsd} into k_{EIO} and k_{EtOM}.** To quantify the catalytic effect shown by M\(^+\) ion, the k_{obsd} values have been dissected into the second-order rate constants for the reactions with the dissociated EtO\(^-\) ion and ion-paired EtOM (i.e., k_{EIO} and k_{EtOM}, respectively). EtOM was reported to exist as dimers or other aggregates in a high concentration (e.g., [EtOM] > 0.1 M).\(^11\) However, EtOM would exist mainly as the dissociated and ion-paired species in a low concentration as in the current study (e.g., [EtOM] < 0.1 M). Since both the dissociated EtO\(^-\) and ion-paired EtOM would react with substrate 6 as shown in Scheme 2, one can derive a rate equation as in Eq. (1).

Under pseudo-first-order kinetic conditions (e.g., [EtOM] \gg [6]), k_{obsd} can be expressed as Eq. (2). It is noted that the dissociation constant K_{d} = [EtO\(^-\)]_{eq}[M\(^+\)]_{eq}[EtOM]_{obsd} and [EtO\(^-\)]_{eq} = [M\(^+\)]_{eq} at equilibrium. Accordingly, Eq. (2) can be converted to Eq. (3). [EtO\(^-\)]_{eq} and [EtOM]_{eq} values can be calculated from the reported K_{d} value for EtOM (i.e., K_{d} = 2.45 mM).

![Scheme 2. Reactions of 6 with the dissociated EtO\(^-\) and ion-paired EtOM.](image)

\[
6 + \text{EtO}^- + \text{M}^+ \xrightleftharpoons[k_{EIO}]{k_{EtOM}} \text{Products}
\]

**Figure 2.** Effect of added crown ethers on reactivity of EtONa (○, 15C5) and EtOK (●, 18C6) for the reaction of 5-nitro-8-quinolyl picolinate (6) with a given concentration of EtOM at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C. [EtONa] = [EtOK] = 2.45 mM.

**Figure 3.** Plots of k_{obsd}/[EtO\(^-\)]_{eq} vs. [EtO\(^-\)]_{eq} for the reactions of 5-nitro-8-quinolyl picolinate (6) with EtOK (●), EtONa (○) and EtOLi (■) in anhydrous ethanol at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C.

\[
\text{Rate} = k_{EIO} [\text{EtO}^-]_{eq}[6] + k_{EtOM}[\text{EtOM}]_{eq}[6]
\]

\[
\text{obsd}/[\text{EtO}^-]_{eq} = k_{EIO} + k_{EtOM}/K_d
\]

\[
[\text{EtO}^-]_{eq} = -K_d + (K_d^2 + 4K_d[\text{EtOM}])^{1/2}/2
\]

One might expect that the plot of k_{obsd}/[EtO\(^-\)]_{eq} vs. [EtO\(^-\)]_{eq} would be linear if the reaction proceeds as proposed in Scheme 2. In fact, the plots shown in Figure 3 exhibit excellent linear correlations, indicating that the derived equations based on the reactions proposed in Scheme 2 are correct. Accordingly, one can calculate the k_{EIO} and k_{EtOM} values from the intercept and the slope of the linear plot, respectively. The k_{EtOM} value can be calculated from the above k_{EtOM}/K_d values and the reported K_d value for EtOM. In Table 2 are summarized the calculated k_{EIO} and k_{EtOM} values for the reactions of 6. The k_{EIO} and k_{EtOM} values reported previously for the corresponding reactions of 5 are also summarized in Table 2 for comparison.

**Table 2. Summary of Second-Order Rate Constants (k_{EIO} and k_{EtOM}) Calculated from Ion-Pairing Treatment of the Kinetic Data for the Reactions of 5-Nitro-8-quinolyl Picolinate (6) and Benzoate (5, in parenthesis) with EtOM in Anhydrous EtOH at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EtOM</th>
<th>k_{EtOM}/M(^-1)s(^-1)</th>
<th>k_{EIO}/M(^-1)s(^-1)</th>
<th>k_{EIO}/k_{EtOM}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EtOLi</td>
<td>4700 (2.91)(^*)</td>
<td>52.7 (1.64)(^*)</td>
<td>89.2 (1.74)(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtONa</td>
<td>7410 (6.06)(^*)</td>
<td>52.7 (1.60)(^*)</td>
<td>141 (3.63)(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOK</td>
<td>1760 (3.96)(^*)</td>
<td>52.7 (1.74)(^*)</td>
<td>33.4 (2.37)(^*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EtOK/18C6</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>52.7 (1.67)(^*)</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\)The data in parenthesis for the reactions of 5 were taken from ref. 10b.
Effect of Modification of Nonleaving Group from Benzoyl to Picolinyl on Reactivity. Table 2 shows that the reactivity of the ion-paired EtOM is highly dependent on the nature of M+ ions, e.g., the $k_{EOM}$ value for the reaction of substrate 6 decreases in the order EtONa > EtOLi > EtOK. It is also shown that the ion-paired EtOM is significantly more reactive than the dissociated EtO$^-$ (i.e., $k_{EOM}/k_{EKO} = 33.4-141$). This indicates that M+ ions strongly catalyze the reaction of 6. A similar result is shown for the corresponding reaction of 5-nitro-8-quinolyl benzoate (5), although the benzoate ester 5 is much less reactive than the picolinate ester 6. Furthermore, the $k_{EOM}/k_{EKO}$ ratio for the reaction of 5 is much smaller than that for the reaction of 6.

We have previously shown that an acid-strengthening substituent (or an electron-withdrawing group) in the nonleaving group of esters increases the reactivity of esters by increasing electrophilicity of the reaction center (e.g., 2,4-dinitrophenyl X-substituted benzoates and benzenesulfonates are more reactive as the substituent X becomes a stronger electron-withdrawing group). Since benzoic acid ($pK_a = 4.20$) is a stronger acid than picolinic acid ($pK_a = 5.40$), one might expect that the benzoate ester 5 would be more reactive than the picolinate ester 6. However, Table 2 shows that 5 is much less reactive than 6, indicating that their reactivity is not solely affected by acidity of the acid moiety of esters.

It is apparent that reactivity of esters is influenced by electrophilicity of the reaction center as well as by nucleofugality of the leaving group. Since 5-nitro-8-quinolinolate ion is a common leaving group for the reactions of esters 5 and 6, the difference in reactivity of 5 and 6 would be determined mainly by the difference in electrophilicity of their reaction centers. It is apparent that the presence of an electronnegative N atom in the picolinyl moiety of 6 would increase the electrophilicity of the reaction center through an inductive effect by the N atom. In fact, pyridine is known to be a π-deficient aromatic compound and heterocyclic analogue of benzene ring that carries an EWG. Thus, one can suggest that the increased electrophilicity of the reaction center is responsible for the kinetic result that 6 is more reactive than the benzoate ester 5.

Deduction of Transition-State Structure. Table 2 shows that the ion-paired EtOM is more reactive than the dissociated EtO$^-$. Thus, one can suggest that EtOM catalyzes the reaction of 6 by increasing either the nucleofugality of the leaving group through TS$_{IV}$ or the electrophilicity of the reaction center through TS$_{VI}$. However, one can exclude TS$_{VI}$ because EtO$^-$ and M+ ions in TS$_{VI}$ are not ion-paired species. It is noted that TS$_{IV}$ is similar to TS$_{III}$, which was previously reported as a plausible TS structure to explain the M+ ion catalysis in the reaction of 5. However, the enhanced nucleofugality through TS$_{IV}$ (or TS$_{III}$) would be ineffective for reactions in which departure of the leaving group occurs after RDS. It is evident that departure of the leaving group would occur after RDS if the reaction proceeds through a stepwise mechanism. This is because EtO$^-$ is much more basic and a poorer nucleofuge than the leaving 5-nitro-8-quinolinolate ion.

If the reactions of 5 and 6 proceed through TS$_{III}$ and TS$_{IV}$, respectively, one might expect a similar M+ effect for the reaction of 5 and 6 due to the similarity of their TS structures. However, scrutiny of Table 2 reveals that M+ ions catalyze the reaction of 6 more strongly than that of 5 (e.g., $k_{EOM}/k_{EKO} = 33.4-141$ for the reaction of 6 while $k_{EOM}/k_{EKO} = 1.74-3.63$ for the reaction of 5). Moreover, the order of the catalytic effect is not the same for the reactions of 5 and 6 (e.g., Na+ > K+ > Li+ for the reaction of 5 while Na+ > Li+ > K+ for that of 6). These results clearly exclude a possibility that M+ ion catalyzes the reaction of 6 by increasing the nucleofugality of the leaving group through TS$_{IV}$. Thus, one can conclude that M+ ions catalyze the reaction of 6 by increasing the electrophilicity of the reaction center through TS$_{VI}$ which is structurally impossible for the reaction of 5.

Conclusions

Based on the experimental results, we present the following conclusions: (1) The ion-paired EtOM is more reactive than the dissociated EtO$. The reactivity decreases in the order EtONa > EtOLi > EtOK >> EtOK/18C6, indicating that M+ ions catalyze the reaction in the order Na+ > Li+ > K+. (2) Picolinate ester 6 is significantly more reactive than benzoate ester 5 toward both EtO$^-$ and EtOM. The N atom in the picolinyl moiety of 6 increases the electrophilicity of the reaction center through an inductive effect, which is responsible for the enhanced reactivity. (3) M+ ions catalyze the reaction of 6 by increasing the electrophilicity of the reaction center through TS$_{VI}$ which is structurally not possible for the corresponding reaction of 5.

Experimental Section

Materials. 5-Nitro-8-quinolyl picolinate (6) was readily prepared by adding 5-nitro-8-quinolinol to the solution of picolinyl chloride in anhydrous diethyl ether as reported previously. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, methylene chloride/n-hexane 50/50). The purity was checked by the melting point and 1H NMR spectrum.

Kinetics. The kinetic study was performed with a UV-vis spectrophotometer for slow reactions (e.g., $t/2 > 10$ s) or with a stopped-flow spectrophotometer for fast reactions (e.g., $t/2 < 10$ s) equipped with a constant temperature circulating bath to maintain the temperature in the reaction cell at 25.0 ± 0.1 °C. The reaction was followed by monitoring the appearance of 5-nitro-8-quinolinolate ion at 450 nm. All reactions were carried out under pseudo-first-order conditions in which EtOM concentration was at least 20 times greater than the substrate concentration. The stock solution of EtOM was prepared by dissolving alkali metal in an-
hydrous ethanol under nitrogen and stored in the refrigerator. The concentration of EtOM was determined by titration with potassium hydrogen phthalate. The anhydrous ethanol was further dried over magnesium and was distilled under N₂ just before use.

All solutions were prepared freshly just before use under nitrogen and transferred by gas-tight syringes. Typically, the reaction was initiated by adding 5 μL of a 0.01 M solution of the substrate in CH₃CN by a 10 μL syringe to a 10 mm quartz UV cell containing 2.50 mL of the thermostatted reaction mixture made up of anhydrous ethanol and aliquot of the EtOM solution.

Product Analysis. 5-Nitro-8-quinolinolate ion was liberated quantitatively and identified as one of the products by comparison of the UV-vis spectrum at the end of reaction with the authentic sample under the experimental condition.
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