Preconcentration, Separation and Determination of lead(II) with Methyl Thymol Blue Adsorbed on Activated Carbon Using Flame Atomic Absorption Spectrometry
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ABSTRACT. An on-line system for preconcentration and separation of lead(II) is presented. The method is based on the complex formation of Pb(II) with adsorbed Methyl thymol blue on activated carbon. The conditions of preparing the solid phase reagent and of quantitative recovery of Pb(II) from diluted solutions, such as acidity of aqueous phase, solid phase capacity, and flow variables were studied as well as effect of potential interfering ions. After preconcentration step, the metal ions are eluted automatically by 5 ml of 0.5 M HNO₃ solution and the lead ions content was determined by flame atomic absorption spectrometry. Under the optimum conditions, the lead ions in aqueous samples were separated and preconcentrated about 1000-fold by the column. The detection limit was 0.001 µg mL⁻¹. Lead has been determined in river and tap water samples, with recovery of 98 to 102%.
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INTRODUCTION

Lead, even at very low concentrations, is a well-known toxic element for animal and human. The determination of trace amount lead in environmental samples is currently of great importance due to the high toxicity, accumulative, and persistent character in living organisms. Flame atomic absorption spectrometry (FAAS) is a simple and very available technique for the determination of lead(II) ions in water samples. However the main problem is a low sensitivity. The limitation can be overcome by using preconcentration methods. Solid phase extraction procedures based on the sorption of metal com-
plexes on modified activated carbon have been widely applied.\textsuperscript{1-4} In all of the reported papers except ref. 3, 4, a ligand solution was needed for each experiment, because the chelating agent was used to wash metal ions from the surface of sorbent. O.A. Zaporozhets \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{5} have been introduced a new solid phase based on XO adsorbed on silica for preconcentration of lead(I\textsubscript{I}) and then determined by diffuse reflectance spectroscopy. The method is a batch method, has higher limit of detection (>2 ng ml\textsuperscript{-1}\textsubscript{Pb(II)}) and suffer from many interfering ions such as Fe(I\textsubscript{I}), Mn(I\textsubscript{I}), Cd(I\textsubscript{I}), Zn(I\textsubscript{I}) and phosphate. In addition, Amberlite XAD-16 loaded with XO,\textsuperscript{6} Amberlite XAD-2000\textsuperscript{7} and Amberlite XAD-1180\textsuperscript{8} have been reported by M. Soylak \textit{et al.} as suitable solid phases for preconcentration of heavy metals including Cr, Cu, Ni, Zn and Pb. However, those methods are not selective for Pb(II). We have reported a new sorbed solid phase preconcentration for lead(I\textsubscript{I}).\textsuperscript{3} The separated from the solution was 0.001 µg ml\textsuperscript{-1}. The aim of this work was to develop a solid phase reagent on the base of activated carbon loaded with Methyl thymol blue (MTB). Activated carbon tightly adsorbed MTB and thus the adsorbed MTB did not wash away with the washing solution that was used to remove lead(I\textsubscript{I}) ions from the solid phase. Automation of the procedure can possibly reduce the risk of operational errors, increase the precision of the method and accelerate the sample throughput. According to Table 1, the proposed method has higher sorption capacity and preconcentration factor and also is highly selective for Pb(II) ions.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
\textbf{Parameter} & \textbf{Immobilized ligand} & \textbf{Sorption capacity (µg/g)} & \textbf{Preconcentration factor} & \textbf{Detection limit (ng/mL)} & \textbf{Interferences} \\
\hline
\textbf{Support: Amberlite XAD-2} & Chromotropic acid \textsuperscript{[19]} & 186.3 & 200 & --- & Fe, Cu \\
& Thiosalicylic acid \textsuperscript{[20]} & 89.3 & 100 & --- & ---- \\
& Pyrocatechol \textsuperscript{[21]} & 104.7 & 100 & ---- & Na\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} \\
& Salicylic acid \textsuperscript{[25]} & 2.22 & 140 & 5.5 & F\textsuperscript{-}, Cl\textsuperscript{-}, NO\textsubscript{3}\textsuperscript{-}, Zn \\
& Pyrocatechol violet \textsuperscript{[26]} & 6.56 & 23 & 44 & Cd, Cu, Ni, Zn \\
& o-Vanillin-thiosemicarbazone \textsuperscript{[27]} & 9.65 & 100 & 50 & Cu, Zn, Cd, Co, Cd, Ni \\
& o-Aminophenol \textsuperscript{[28]} & 16.02 & 40 & 25 & Cu, Cd, Co, Ni, Zn, Mn \\
& Tiron \textsuperscript{[29]} & 60.3 & 25 & 24 & Cd, Co, Cu, Fe, Ni, Zn \\
& Dithiocarbamate \textsuperscript{[30]} & ---- & 20 & 10 & Na\textsubscript{3}PO\textsubscript{4} \\
& Alizarin Red S \textsuperscript{[31]} & 1.5 & 40 & 10 & Zn, Cd, Ni \\
& Pyrocatechol violet \textsuperscript{[32]} & 6.5 & 500 & 8 & Cd, Cu, Ni, Zn \\
\hline
\textbf{Support: Amberlite XAD-4} & 7-Dodecenyl-8-quinolinol \textsuperscript{[37]} & 52 & 500 & --- & Ti, Ni, Mn, Fe, Cr, Co, Cu \\
\hline
\textbf{Support: Amberlite XAD-7} & Xylenol orange \textsuperscript{[22]} & 16.9 & 100 & 10 & ---- \\
& Dimethylglyoxalbis(4-phenyl-3-thiosemicarbazone) \textsuperscript{[38]} & 19.7 & 100 & ---- & Hg, Cd, Cu, Pd \\
\hline
\textbf{Support: Polyacrylonitrile} & Amino phosphonic and dithiocarbamate\textsuperscript{[24]} & 27.16 & 200 & ---- & Cu, Cd, Co, Ni, Zn, Mn \\
\hline
\textbf{Support: Silica gel} & Acid Red 88 \textsuperscript{[36]} & 12.1 & ---- & 10 & Cd, Cu, Co, Mn, Ni, Zn \\
& 5-Amino-1,3,4-thiadiazole-2-thiol \textsuperscript{[34]} & 130 & 80 & ---- & Cd, Cu, Co, Zn \\
\hline
\textbf{Other resins} & Chelex-100 \textsuperscript{[23]} & ---- & 1000 & 20 & Cd, Cu, Co, Mn, Ni, Zn \\
& Metalfix chelamine resin \textsuperscript{[35]} & ---- & 25 & ---- & ---- \\
\hline
\textbf{Support: Activated carbon} & 8-Hydroxyquinoline and Cupferron \textsuperscript{[33]} & ---- & 100 & 2.4 & Cd \\
& Methyl thymol blue (Present work) & 6000 & 1000 & 1.0 & No interferences \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Comparison of sorption capacity, preconcentration factor, detection limit and interferences.}
\end{table}
EXPERIMENTAL

Reagents

All chemicals used were of analytical reagent grade. Doubly distilled water was used throughout.

Activated carbon, 40-60 mesh (Merck) was soaked in hydrochloric acid for 1 day; it was then washed with water and dried at 110 °C for 1 day.

Methyl thymol blue (MTB) solution, 0.01% (w/v), was prepared by dissolving 0.01 g of the reagent in water. Samples were filtered through a cellulose membrane of pore size <2 µm.

Universal buffer was made of mixture of acetic acid, boric acid and phosphoric acid (0.04 M).

Apparatus

A Perkin-Elmer® (USA), Model 2380 atomic absorption spectrometer furnished with a lead hollow-cathode lamp was used. The instrument was set at a wavelength of 217.0 nm and the air-acetylene flame was adjusted according to standard recommendation. The schematic diagram of the on-line preconcentration is shown in Fig. 1. The hardware of the system was composed of a 32 channel I/O card (PCL-720, Advantech®, Taiwan) which has a power relay module to convert the output of I/O card to 220 V AC, a peristaltic pump (Ismatec®, ISM 404, Switzerland), three 220v AC electrical valves, a silicon rubber tubing pump (2.06 mm i.d.) and a PC computer, Pentium II (233 MHz). A program was written in Borland C++ by the authors to monitor and to control the system. The mini-column was made by packing Teflon tubes (7 mm i.d.) with MTB loaded activated carbon. Small glass wool plugs at the ends of each column prevented material loss. A WPA Lightwave® (UK), Model S2000 Diode Array UV-Vis spectrophotometer was used to measure the absorbance of the MTB solution. The pH of aqueous solutions was checked using a Metrohm® (Switzerland), Model 827 pH meter with a glass electrode.

In the on-line preconcentration procedure, the sample was pumped through the mini-column via position 1 of valve A (Fig. 1). Lead(II) ions were retained in the column by forming a complex with MTB. In this case, valve B was in the waste position. When the sample was eluted completely, position 1 of valve A was closed automatically, valve B was switched to the preconcentration sample and position 2 of valve A was opened when 0.5 M nitric acid was passed through the mini-column to elute the Pb(II) ions by breaking the complex. When the nitric acid was eluted, the preconcentrated sample was analyzed by flame atomic absorption spectrometer.

Preparation of the Modified Activated Carbon

In a 2000 mL beaker, 10.0 g activated carbon was added to 1.7 g of MTB and mixed well at pH 2.0 (universal buffer, 1.8 L). After 45 hours, the mixture was filtered and washed with water three times, each time with 10 mL water, and then dried out. Then a Teflon column (5.2 cm length and 1.8 cm in diameter) was packed with 0.25 g of the modified activated carbon. The bed height in the column was 1.5 cm.

Procedure

The method was tested with model solutions before its application to real samples. A 100 mL 1.0 µg mL⁻¹ Pb(II) solution, buffered at pH 7.0, was passed through the column at a flow rate of 3.0 mL min⁻¹ by opening the position 1 of electrical valves.
A and B (Fig. 1). After finishing the solution, position 1 of valves A and B is closed automatically, and position 2 of valves A and B is opened. Therefore, 5.0 mL of 0.5 M nitric acid was passed through the column at a flow rate of 2.0 mL min\(^{-1}\) to elute the Pb(II) ions. The eluent was collected and analyzed for Pb(II) by flame atomic absorption spectrometry. The percent of metal ions adsorbed on the column for the known concentration of Pb(II) was calculated from the amount of Pb(II) ions in the starting sample and the amount of Pb(II) eluted from the column.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Activated carbon has been used as a sorbent for metal ions and organic compounds separation. The capacity is low and the selectivity is poor for separation. Methyl thymol blue (MTB) is a dye that is used for analytical determination.\(^{10-18}\) This ligand interact well with Pb(II) at pH=7 to make a stable complex. MTB can be adsorbed onto activated carbon, due to the similarity of its structure with the activated carbon. This may be due to the similarity of MTB structure with activated carbon, producing a \(\pi-\pi\) interaction. After the adsorption of MTB onto the activated carbon, it is completely stable and does not wash off when using an HNO\(_3\) solution as a washing solvent for lead(II). This means that the modified activated carbon can be used several times for the separation and preconcentration of lead(II) without decreasing the enrichment factor of the solid phase.

In order to obtain quantitative recoveries of Pb(II) ions on the modified activated carbon, the preconcentration procedure was optimized for various analytical parameters such as pH of adsorption of MTB on the activated carbon, pH of sample solution containing lead(II) adsorbed on the column, type and volume and concentration of elution solution, velocity of sample solution through the column, capacity of modified activated carbon for adsorption of lead(II) and the effect of matrix. In all optimization steps (except for some mentioned steps), 0.25 g activated carbon was used.

First of all, we should optimize the variables affect on preparation of modified activated carbon. To find the best pH for the adsorption of MTB on the activated carbon, different buffer solution was used. For this purpose, 1.0 mL of 0.01% (w/v) MTB solution was added into a 10 mL volumetric flask, and the solution was diluted to 10 mL with buffer solution (pH 1.0-11.0). The absorbance of the solution was measured spectrophotometrically at the appropriate wavelength (at the \(\lambda_{\text{max}}\)) to find the molar absorption coefficient of MTB at the different pH.

Then 15.0 mg of MTB plus 0.10 g activated carbon were mixed into a 100 mL volumetric flask, and the mixture was diluted to 100 mL with buffer solution (pH 1.0-11.0). After 24 hours, the mixture was filtered, and the absorbance of the filtered solution was measured spectrophotometrically. The results are given in Table 2. The results show that the best pH for adsorption of MTB on activated carbon is between 1.0-3.0. Therefore, a buffer solution of pH 2.0 (universal buffer) was used to prepare the modified activated carbon.

The influence of time on the modification of activated carbon was studied by treating 100 mg activated carbon in the presence of 25.0 mg MTB at pH 2.0 for different amounts of time. The results showed that maximum adsorption of MTB on the surface of activated carbon takes place after 45 hours (Fig. 2).

The capacity of activated carbon for MTB was

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>(\lambda_{\text{max}})</th>
<th>Absorbance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>0.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>438</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>0.375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>0.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>0.566</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Corrected for molar absorption coefficient of MTB at the different pHs.
also checked. In order to measure the capacity of activated carbon for adsorption of MTB at pH 2.0, 15.0 to 25.0 mg MTB was added into a series of 100 mL volumetric flask and mixed with 0.10 g activated carbon and the mixture was diluted to 100 mL with the buffer solution. After 45 hours, the mixture was filtered, and the absorbance of the solution was measured spectrophotometrically. The results are shown in Fig. 3. From the results, we can calculate that one gram of activated carbon can adsorb 17 mg MTB.

The stability of adsorbed MTB on activated carbon was checked by elution of the column (containing the modified activated carbon) with water and also with 10 mL of 2.0 M HNO$_3$. The results showed that the ligand did not wash out with water, the buffer at pH 7.0 and/or with 2.0 M nitric acid and is stable on the surface of activated carbon. The experiment was repeated by washing the column with 5 L of a 0.2 M HNO$_3$ solution. The results showed that the ligand (MTB) did not wash away during this long-time elution by the mobile phase. In addition, the stability of the modified column was checked after optimization of all experimental variables, using twenty separate solutions (100 mL) of 1.0 µg mL$^{-1}$ Pb(II). Then these solutions were preconcentrated with the column and analyzed by FAAS. The results showed that the recovery was at least 95.8%. This result shows a good stability of the modified column.

The influence of pH of sample solution on the separation and preconcentration of Pb(II) step was also studied. For this purpose, 100 mL of 1.0 µg mL$^{-1}$ Pb(II) at different pH values was passed through the modified solid phase. Then the eluent solution was checked for Pb(II) concentration by FAAS (Fig. 4). The results show that the most suitable pH range for adsorption of Pb(II) on the modified activated carbon was about 6-8, and that pH 7.0 was the best. This is due to the fact that, in acidic solution, the formation constant of the Pb(II)-MTB complex decreases due to the protonation of MTB. Therefore, acetic-boric acid buffer (0.04 M) with pH 7.0 was used for the preconcentration step.

Different acid concentrations of HNO$_3$ (5 mL) in the interval range of 0.1 to 1.0 M were tested for the quantitative elution of the separation and preconcentration of lead(II) ions from the column. The results showed that 0.5 M HNO$_3$ is suitable to remove 100% of Pb(II) from the solid sorbent. In order to optimize the volume of 0.5 M HNO$_3$, 100 mL of 0.40 µg mL$^{-1}$ Pb(II) was passed through the modified activated carbon under the optimum conditions. Then the solid phase was washed with different volumes of 0.5 M HNO$_3$ (2-10 mL). The results showed

![Fig. 2](image2.png)  
*Fig. 2. The influence of time on the modification of activated carbon with MTB. Conditions: 100 mg activated carbon; 25 mg MTB; diluted to 100 mL at pH=2.*

![Fig. 3](image3.png)  
*Fig. 3. The capacity of activated carbon for MTB. Conditions: 100 mg activated carbon; diluted to 100 mL at pH=2; mixed for 45 hours.*

![Fig. 4](image4.png)  
*Fig. 4. Influence of pH on recovery of Pb(II) on modified activated carbon. Conditions: Initial lead(II) value, 100 mL of 1.0 µg mL$^{-1}$; Washing solution, 5 mL of 0.5 M HNO$_3$.  
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that 2 mL 0.5 M HNO₃ is suitable to remove (100±1.9)% of Pb(II) from the solid phase. Therefore, 2 mL of 0.5 M HNO₃ was used as a washing solution to remove Pb(II) from the column.

The influence of the sample flow rate for adsorption of lead(II) to the column was also investigated. For this purpose, 100 mL of 0.01 µg mL⁻¹ Pb(II) solutions was passed through the modified column at various flow rates between 1-9 mL min⁻¹. Then the adsorbed lead(II) was washed with 2 mL of a 0.5 M HNO₃ solution, and then the lead(II) content was measured with FAAS. The results showed that increasing the flow rate of sample up to 3 mL min⁻¹ does not affect the recovery of Pb(II) from the modified activated carbon, and the recovery is (100±2.0)%.

The capacity of modified activated carbon for separation and adsorption of Pb(II) was checked by passing a series of 100 mL solutions containing 500-2000 µg Pb(II) through the column (containing 0.25 g modified activated carbon). From the results, the capacity of the solid adsorbent is equal to 6.0 mg of lead per one gram of the modified activated carbon.

The influence of matrix ions in water samples on the recovery of lead(II) ions was also investigated. The results are given in Table 3. The method was used for two different water samples for Pb(II) determination: Zayandeh Roud river water (Isfahan) and tap water. The river water samples were directly measured by the proposed method after filtering with a filter paper (Schleicher & Schuell No. 589, Blue ribbon). For each sample, 250 mL was passed through the column as explained by the recommended procedure. Then the lead(II) contents in the washed solution were determined by FAAS. The results are given in Table 4.

### Table 3. Effect of the matrix ions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metal ion</th>
<th>Tolerance ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cd²⁺, Fe³⁺, Mn²⁺, Na⁺, NO₃⁻, CH₃COO⁻, BO₃³⁻</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg²⁺, Ni²⁺, Co²⁺, Zn²⁺,</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu²⁺</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Maximum concentration of ion tested. Condition: initial lead(II) value, 100 mL of 0.20 µg mL⁻¹.

### Table 4. Determination of Pb(II) in water samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Pb(II) Added (ng mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>Pb(II) Found (ng mL⁻¹) (n=4)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tap water</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>43.5 ± 1.4</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>85.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>123.7 ± 2.6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zayandeh Roud</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>53.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>river water</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>91.3 ± 2.7</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>120.0</td>
<td>130.8 ± 3.1</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### CONCLUSIONS

The new developed method is both a selective and a repeatable method for separation and preconcentration and determination of ultra trace amounts of lead(II). The modified activated carbon phase is stable for several treatments of sample solutions without the need for using any chemical reagents. The method can be used for preconcentration of lead(II) down to 0.001 µg mL⁻¹. Under the optimum conditions, lead in aqueous samples was concentrated about 1000-fold. The relative standard deviation for ten independent determinations reached values of 4.2, 4.4 and 4.3% for lead solutions of 0.2, 1.0 and 5.0 µg mL⁻¹ concentration, respectively. The recovery of spiked lead(II) was in the range of 90-95%.
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