Kinetics and Mechanism of Ruthenium(III) Catalyzed Oxidation of Butanone and Uncatalyzed Oxidation of Cyclohexanone by Cerium(IV) in Acid Sulphate Medium
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ABSTRACT. The kinetics of ruthenium(III) chloride catalyzed oxidation of butanone and uncatalyzed oxidation of cyclohexanone by cerium(IV) in sulphuric acid medium have been studied. The kinetic rate law(I) in case of butanone conforms to the proposed mechanism.

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d[Ce^{IV}]}{dt} = kK[Ru^{III}][\text{butanone}] \left(1 + K[\text{butanone}]\right)
\]

(1)

However, oxidation of cyclohexanone in absence of catalyst accounts for the rate eqn. (2).

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d[Ce^{IV}]}{dt} = (k_1 + k_2[H^+])[Ce^{IV}][\text{Cyclohexanone}] \left(1 + K_3[\text{HSO}_4^-]\right)
\]

(2)

Kinetics and activation parameters have been evaluated conventionally. Kinetically preferred mode of reaction is via ketonic and not the enolic forms.
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INTRODUCTION

There are numerous mechanistic studies of oxidation of organic compounds apart from useful synthetic studies\(^7\) in which cerium(IV) has been employed as an oxidant in acid sulphate medium. The speciation of sulphato-cerium(IV) species in sulphuric acid medium still requires further details.\(^2-5\) Moreover, cerium(IV) has also been employed as an oxidant in oxidimetric analysis of both metals and non-metals latter being acid medium\(^6\). So far the kinetics of cerium(IV) reactions is concerned, an intermediate complex between oxidant and substrate is generally considered to be the preferred mode of electron transfer. Such an intermediate complex has also been established both kinetically and spectrophotometrically in large number of reactions.

There are several reports that prompted us to undertake the kinetics of ketones such as butanone and cyclohexanone with cerium(IV) in sulphuric acid medium from the following viewpoints:

First delineation of sulphato-cerium(IV) species participate in reactions of cerium(IV) more in acid sulphate medium is required owing to inter-play of such species. Thus ruthenium(III) chloride catalyzed oxidation of butanone was taken as a test case.

Secondly, since the co-ordination sites of cerium(IV) are saturated by sulphate ions, whether or not such sulphato-cerium(IV) species form any intermediate complex with ketones or its tautomeric enol form. Thirdly, which species of the substrate participates in the reaction is of interest\(^8-12\) in view of controversial reports in the literature.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials and methods

The stock solution of cerium(IV) was prepared by dissolving cericammoniumnitrate or ceric sulphate (E. Merck) in \(H_2SO_4\) (5.0 mol dm\(^{-3}\)), the solution was heated near to boiling in case of the former to decompose both nitrate and ammonium ions before making it up to the desired volume by addition of water. The resulting solution was standardized by titrating against ferrous ammonium sulphate employing ferroin as an indicator.

All other chemicals/reagents employed in this study were of either Analar\textregistered\ or guaranteed reagent grade and
were used as received.

Doubly distilled water was employed throughout the study, the second distillation was from alkaline permanganate solution in an all glass apparatus.

**Method**

The kinetics of the reactions were carried out in glass stoppered Erlenmeyer flasks which were immersed in a water-bath thermostated at ±0.1 °C unless stated otherwise. All other ingredients of the reaction mixture except cerium(IV) were taken in these flasks, cerium(IV) was taken in another flask. When these solutions attained equilibrated bath temperature, an aliquot of required cerium(IV) concentration was added into the reaction mixture and the time of initiation of the reaction was recorded when half of the contents from the pipette were released in to reaction mixture.

An aliquot (5 or 10 cm$^3$) of the reaction mixture was withdrawn periodically and then discharged into an ice-cold H$_2$SO$_4$ solution (~1.0 mol dm$^{-3}$); remaining cerium(IV) was assayed by titrating against ferrousammoniumsulphate solution employing ferroin as an indicator. Without any interference from other reaction ingredients.

Initial rates (k$_i$, mol dm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) were computed$^{13}$ employing plane mirror method. Pseudo first order plots ([ketone] $>$ [Ce(IV)]) were made wherever reaction conditions permitted. Second order plots were also made for comparable concentrations of the reactants by plotting log [Ce(IV)$_3$] / [ketone], or log [ketone] / [Ce(IV)$_3$]. Triplicate rate measurements were reproducible to within ± 5%.

**Stoichiometry**

The reaction stoichiometry was similar as was observed in perchloric acid medium i.e. two moles of the oxidant react with a mole of the substrate under experimental conditions of kinetics.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The concentration of cerium(IV) was varied from 1.0 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ to 5.0 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ mol dm$^{-3}$ at fixed concentrations of other reaction ingredients and [Ru(III)]=5.0 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ at 35 °C. Initial rates (k$_i$, mol dm$^{-3}$ s$^{-1}$) were found to be independent of gross initial concentration of cerium(IV) conforming zero order with respect to the oxidant. Typical zero order plots are shown in Fig. 1.

The concentration of butanone was varied from 5.0 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ to 5.0 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ mol dm$^{-3}$ at fixed concentrations of other reaction ingredients at 30, 35 and 40 °C respectively. The plot of initial rate (k$_i$) against the concentration of butanone exhibits initially a straight line passing through the origin and finally tends towards a limiting rate at higher concentrations of the substrate. However, variation in concentration of cyclohexanone from 1.0 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ to 2.0 $\times$ 10$^{-2}$ mol dm$^{-3}$ shows a first order dependence as the plot of initial rate (k$_i$) versus [cyclohexanone] yields a straight line passing through the origin. Since the order with respect to each reactant in case of cyclohexanone is one, second order plots were also made.

Hydrogen ion concentration was varied from 0.25 to 2.5 mol dm$^{-3}$ at fixed concentrations of other reaction components constant at I=2.0 mol dm$^{-3}$ in case of butanone. Rates do not depend on hydrogen ion concentration. However, rate increases with increasing hydrogen ion concentration at I=3.0 mol dm$^{-3}$ (adjusted by lithium perchlorate) and 30, 35, 40 and 45 °C respectively.

The concentration of ruthenium(III) chloride was varied from 1.0 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ to 1.0 $\times$ 10$^{-3}$ mol dm$^{-3}$. The rate increases with increasing concentration of ruthenium(III) chloride and finally at higher concentrations tends towards a limiting value.

The effect of ionic strength was studied by employing lithium perchlorate from 0.2 to 1.0 mol dm$^{-3}$. Rate is independent of ionic strength in butanone reaction. However, the rate slightly decreases with increasing ionic strength in reaction of cyclohexanone which might be assigned to the...
The energy and entropy of activation were calculated to be (47±2.0) KJ mol\(^{-1}\) and (-67±5) JK mol\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\) respectively in cyclohexanone, reactions in a conventional manner.

The kinetics of ruthenium(III) chloride catalyzed oxidation of butanone and uncatalyzed oxidation of cyclohexanone by cerium(IV) in \(\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4\) medium respectively are complex owing to probably less precise speciation of sulphato-cerium(IV) species. Since hydrolytic forms of cerium(IV) under experimental conditions.

Effect of ionic strength in the reaction of Ce(IV) and cyclohexanone was determined to be 0.6 ± 0.1 °C at 20 ± 2 °C. Thus the species \(\text{H}_2\text{Ce} (\text{SO}_4)_2\)\(^{2+}\) is ruled out to be the reactive form of cerium(IV) under experimental conditions.

**Mechanism of Ru(III) Chloride Catalyzed Oxidation of Butanone**

Ru(III) chloride catalysed oxidation of butanone exhibits first order with respect to RuCl\(_3\) (hereafter written as Ru\(^{\text{III}}\)) variable order with respect to butanone and zero order with respect to cerium(IV). Since rate does not depend upon the concentration of the oxidant, it is immaterial as to which cerium(IV) species participates in the reaction. Thus the variable order with respect to ketone can be accounted for the formation of an intermediate complex between the catalyst and the substrate. It is this oxidative rupturing of such a complex that is rate controlling step in the following envisaged mechanism consisting steps (7)-(10).

\[
\text{Ru}^{\text{III}} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{Ru}^{\text{II}} + \text{O}^{\text{III}} \quad (7)
\]

\[
\text{Ru}^{\text{II}} + \text{Ce}^{\text{IV}} \text{Fast} \rightarrow \text{Ru}^{\text{III}} + \text{Ce}^{\text{III}} \quad (9)
\]

\[
\text{Ru}^{\text{III}} + \text{Ce}^{\text{IV}} \text{Fast} \rightarrow \text{Ru}^{\text{III}} + \text{Ce}^{\text{III}} \quad (10)
\]

Therefore loss of cerium(IV) leads to the rate law (11) or (12)

\[
\frac{1}{2} \frac{d[\text{Ce}^{\text{IV}}]}{dt} = \frac{k' [\text{Ru}^{\text{III}}] \text{[butanone]} + \text{K} [\text{butanone}]} {1 + K [\text{butanone}]} \quad (11)
\]

Where \([\text{Ru}^{\text{III}}]\) and [butanone] are the gross analytical concentration of the catalyst and free equilibrium concentration of the substrate respectively.

or

\[
k' = \frac{k' [\text{butanone}]} {1 + K [\text{butanone}]} \quad (12)
\]

Where \(k'\) is an observed pseudo first order rate constant.

A plot of 1\(/k'\) versus [butanone]\(^{-1}\) was made from eqn (12) yielded a straight line with non-zero intercept (Fig. 2) \('k'\) was calculated from the intercept to be (1.0 ± 0.1), (1.4 ± 0.2) and (2.0 ± 0.2) s\(^{-1}\) at 30, 35 and 40 °C respectively whereas \(K\) was calculated from the ratio of intercept and slope to be (16 ± 0.5), (15 ± 0.5) and (14 ± 0.4) mol dm\(^{-3}\) at 30, 35 and 40 °C respectively and \(I = 1.0\) mol dm\(^{-3}\). These
formation constants appear to be constant ascribing complex to be thermally stable.

Further any evidence neither kinetic nor otherwise was obtained for the formation of free radical. However, free radical can not be ruled out only on this premise. There is every possibility of formation of free radical. As soon as it is formed, it immediately reacts with the oxidant in the solvent cage before escaping out.

**Oxidation of Cyclohexanone by Cerium(IV)**

The kinetic contrary to ruthenium(III) catalysed oxidation of butanone results in the oxidation of cyclohexanone by cerium(IV) are quite interesting. The reaction is second order-first order with respect to each reactant and the rate increases with increasing concentration of hydrogen ion. As already discussed, it is not the bare ceric ion but various sulphato-cerium(IV) species that govern the reaction events in cerium(IV) oxidations of cyclohexane in sulphuric acid medium.

Hydrogen ion dependence in the reactions of cerium(IV) is generally complex. It is not only due to interplay of hydrogen ion dependent species of cerium(IV) but also sometimes due to the hydrogen ion dependent substrate species. Ce(SO$_4$)$_2$ is reported to be an active sulphato-cerium(IV) species. If Ce(SO$_4$)$_2$ is the reactive form, hydrogen ion dependence can not be co-related to this species. Thus there is every possibility that both keto and protonated keto forms are reactive towards sulphato-cerium(IV) species to account for the hydrogen ion dependence of the rate.

Since the co-ordination sites of cerium(IV) are significantly saturated by sulphate ions, the chances of incorporation of the substrate in the co-ordination shell of the metal-ion are significantly minimized. Nevertheless, the weak complexation between Ce(SO$_4$)$_2$ and cyclohexanone can not be ruled out on the premise of a lower energy pathway. In view of such arguments, following reaction mechanism can be proposed.

\[
\text{Ketone} + \text{H}^+ \xrightarrow{k'_{\text{1}}} >\text{CO}^+ \\
\text{Ce(SO}_4)_2 + >\text{CO} \xrightarrow{k'_{\text{2}}} [\text{Ce(SO}_4)_2\ldots\ldots\text{OC}<] \\
[\text{Ce(SO}_4)_2\ldots\ldots\text{OC}< \xrightarrow{k'_{\text{3}}} \text{Products}] \\
\text{Ce(SO}_4)_2 + \text{H}^+\text{OC}< \xrightarrow{k'_{\text{4}}} [\text{Ce(SO}_4)_2\ldots\ldots\text{H}^+\text{OC}<] \\
[\text{Ce(SO}_4)_2\ldots\ldots\text{H}^+\text{OC}< \xrightarrow{k'_{\text{5}}} \text{Products}] \\
\]

Such a mechanism leads to the rate law (18)

\[
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d[\text{Ce}^{IV}]}{dt} = (k_1K_1' + k_2K_2'[\text{H}^+]) [\text{Ce(SO}_4)_2][\text{Ketone}] \\
\] (18)

Since equilibrium constants K$_1'$ and K$_2'$ are significantly small, eqn (18) is further reduced to eqn (19)

\[
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d[\text{Ce}^{IV}]}{dt} = (k_1 + k_2K_2'[\text{H}^+]) [\text{Ce(SO}_4)_2][\text{Ketone}] \\
\] (19)

Further, the concentration of Ce(SO$_4$)$_2$ species in terms of total cerium(IV) concentration is given by eqn (20)

\[
[\text{Ce(SO}_4)_2] = [\text{Ce(IV)}]/(1 + K_3[\text{HSO}_4]) \\
\] (20)

Substituting [Ce(SO$_4$)$_2$] from eqn (20) into eqn (19), eqn (21) or eqn (22) is obtained:

\[
\frac{1}{2}\frac{d[\text{Ce}^{IV}]}{dt} = (k_1 + k_2K_2'[\text{H}^+]) [\text{Ce}^{IV}][\text{Ketone}] \\
(1 + K_3[\text{HSO}_4]) \\
\]

or

\[
k = (k_1 + k_2K_2'[\text{H}^+])/(1 + K_3[\text{HSO}_4]) \\
\]

Where ‘k’ is second order rate constant as collected in (Table 2).

A plot of k versus [H$^+$] was made from eqn (22) at constant bisulphate concentration that yielded a straight line with non-zero intercept, (Fig. 3), ‘k’ from the intercept was calculated to be (1.3 ± 0.2), (2.2 ± 0.2), (4.7 ± 0.2) and (6.7 ± 0.3) dm$^{-3}$ mol$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ at 30, 35, 40 and 45 °C respectively at I = 3.0 mol dm$^{-3}$. Similarly k$_1$ K$_2'$ were calculated to be (1 ± 0.1), (1.5 ± 0.1), (1.9 ± 0.1) and (2.3 ± 0.1) S$^{-1}$ at

---

![Fig. 2. PLOTS OF (k')$^{-1}$ vs [Butanone]$^{-1}$ [Ce(IV)]=2.0×10$^{-3}$ mol dm$^{-3}$; [Ru(III)]=5.0×10$^{-6}$ mol dm$^{-3}$; [H$_2$SO$_4$]=5.0×10$^{-4}$ mol dm$^{-3}$; □, 30°C; △, 35°C and ◆, 40°C.](image-url)
Table 2. Initial rates (ki) and second order rate constants (k) in the reaction of cyclohexanone and cerium(IV) in sulphuric acid medium [H2SO4]=0.5 mol dm−3, 30 °C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>10^3[Ce(IV)] mol dm−3</th>
<th>10^3[cychex] mol dm−3</th>
<th>10^6(ki) mol dm−3 s−1</th>
<th>10^6(k) dm³ mol⁻¹ s⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>4.0(4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>4.0(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.1(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>4.1(4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.1(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>4.1(4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>4.1(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>4.1(4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.1(4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>6.33</td>
<td>4.0(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>4.1(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>4.1(4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>4.0(3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>4.0(4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>4.0(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>4.0(4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>4.1(4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>7.78</td>
<td>4.0(3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>12.22</td>
<td>4.0(4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>4.0(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>4.1(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>4.1(3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>4.0(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>4.0(4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>4.0(3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>4.1(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>4.1(4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>4.1(4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>4.0(4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>4.1(4.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>4.0(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.1(3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>8.33</td>
<td>4.1(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>4.1(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>16.67</td>
<td>4.0(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>4.1(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>4.1(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.79</td>
<td>4.1(4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>6.25</td>
<td>4.1(4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.08</td>
<td>4.1(3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>10.42</td>
<td>4.1(4.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>11.67</td>
<td>4.1(3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>4.1(3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>4.1(3.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values in parenthesis were obtained from initial rates.

Fig. 3. PLOTS OF (H+) [Ce(IV)]=2.0×10⁻³ mol dm⁻³; [Cychex]=1.0×10⁻² mol dm⁻³; [H2SO4]=0.5 mol dm⁻³; [I]=3.0 mol dm⁻³; ○, 30º; ▲, 35º; ■, 40º and ●, 45 ºC.

Scheme 1.

30, 35, 40 and 45 ºC respectively at I = 3.0 mol dm⁻³. If K' is taken in to account, the value of k₂ is much larger than uncatalyzed rate constants ‘k₁’.

The mode of electron transfer in cyclohexanone in acid catalyzed path can be visualized from the following Scheme 1.

CONCLUSIONS

Ruthenium(III) chloride catalyzed kinetics of oxidation of butanone by cerium(IV) in H2SO4 medium exhibit zero order dependence with respect to the oxidant. Therefore, discussion regarding sulphato-cerium(IV) species regard-
ing their speciation is not required. The complex dependence on ketone is analyzed through an intermediate complex between catalyst and the substrate.

In case of cyclohexanone the species Ce(SO₄)₂⁺ is formed to be the reactive species of cerium(IV). Since order with respect to cerium(IV) is one and overall order is two, the reaction occurs without enolization of the ketone. It is the protonated form of cyclohexanone that accounts for hydrogen ion dependence. A reaction scheme has been tentatively suggested for this reaction.
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