The effect of citrus and onion peel extracts, calcium lactate, and phosvitin on microbial quality of seasoned chicken breast meat
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Abstract : The inhibitory effect of citrus peel extract, onion peel extract, calcium lactate and phosvitin on microbial growth was investigated in seasoned chicken breast meat during aerobic storage at 4°C, 10°C and 20°C. Citrus peel and onion peel extract significantly improved (p<0.05) the microbial quality of the sample by reducing the initial counts of the microbial flora compared to control and other two treatments. Data clearly revealed that the counts of the total aerobic bacteria significantly increased with the increase in storage temperature. The shelf life of all samples stored under 20°C was less than 6 days, while the shelf life of citrus and onion treatment can be extended more than 9 days at 4°C and more than 6 days at 10°C in aerobic storage condition. These results indicated that citrus and onion peel extracts are efficient treatment methods to prevent microbial spoilage of seasoned chicken products during storage at 4°C. However, there was an adverse effect of addition of citrus and onion peel extract on several sensory attributes which need to be improved by reformulation of seasoning.
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I. Introduction

The popularity of the ready-to-cook (RTC) and ready-to-eat (RTE) meat products is growing all over the world due to its convenience (Lee et al., 2005). However, microbial contamination of RTE and RTC meat products occurs during processing such as slicing, packaging, and other handling activities (Lin et al., 2006). It is important to store these products under frozen temperature to prevail the microbiological quality. However, freezing is a costly method together with some adverse effects on texture and consumer acceptance (Fallah et al., 2010). Even though the use of chemical preservatives can effectively prevent the growth of most foodborne microorganisms, there is a growing concern over safety problems related to chemical preservatives, Hence, searching for new types of effective and non-toxic natural antimicrobial compounds is essential (Chun-Lin et al., 2013).

Citrus peel is a natural antimicrobial and antioxidant source rich in polyphenol compounds, mainly flavonoids including hesperidin, naringin, nobiletin, anthocyanins, and coumarins located in the cell vacuoles of the citrus peel albedo tissue (Elisa et al., 2013). Large quantity of citrus peel is generated as a by-product of juice industry in many countries (Bocco et al., 1998). In Korea, about 40,000 tons of citrus peel by-products out of 150,000 tons of citrus have been produced annually during citrus juice processing (Kang et al., 2006). Isolation of functional compounds such as dietary fiber from citrus peel can be of great interest to the food industry (Fernandez-Lopez et al., 2004). Citrus essential oils have been found to inhibit Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria as well as yeasts, molds and food poisoning bacteria (Chun-Lin et al., 2013). Furthermore, flavonoids present in citrus...
possess antioxidant activity owing to their free radical scavenging ability (Anagnostopoulou et al., 2006).

Simultaneously, onions (Allium cepa L) are endowed with several biological properties, such as antibacterial, antimutagenic and antioxidant activities. Phytochemicals such as phenolic acids, flavonoids, cepaenes, thiosulfonates, and anthocyanins are the major biologically active compounds in onion whereas organosulfur-containing compounds play a major role in medicinal value (Chun–Lin et al., 2013; Goldman et al., 1996). Two major groups of flavonoids found in onions are anthocyanins (cyanidin and peonidin glycosides) and flavonols (quercetin, isorhamnetin, kaempferol and their glycosides). The most abundant flavonol in onions is quercetin usually found in higher concentration in the outer dry skin (Gulsen et al., 2007; Zill–e–Huma et al., 2011). Moreover, it is rich in other bioactive compounds such as fructooligosaccharides and sulfur compounds (Eduvigis et al., 2008).

Lactate has been widely used in the fresh meat industry as a non–meat ingredient. Injection of lactate into fresh meat increases the shelf–life of meat by suppressing microbial growth (Brewer et al., 1995) and minimizing oxidative quality defects, such as meat discoloration and off–flavor development mainly due to myoglobin– and lipid–oxidation (Kim et al., 2010). In addition, egg yolk phosvitin is a heavily phosphorylated protein which can be used in meat industry as a strong metal chelating agent due to its unique primary structure (Jung et al., 2012a; Vieira, 2007). High iron binding capacity conveys the bactericidal and antioxidant properties of phosvitin (Jung et al., 2012b; Lee et al., 2002; Sattar et al., 2000).

Chicken breasts are one of the most popular and easiest items to grill. Therefore, those are used in seasoned meat industry with different recipe modifications all over the world. In the present study, the inhibitory effects of citrus peel extract (CPE), onion peel extract (OPE), calcium lactate and phosvitin on the growth of microorganisms in seasoned chicken breast fillets were determined in order to evaluate their potential use in bacterial inactivation and control of seasoned chicken products under aerobic packaging state at different storage temperatures.

II. Materials and Method

1. Sample preparation

Chicken breast meat and all necessary ingredients required for the production of seasoned chicken breast were obtained from a local market (Daejeon, Korea). Five formulations of seasoned chicken meat were prepared (control, control with 2% citrus peel extract, 2% onion peel extract, 2% calcium lactate, and 0.1% phosvitin). The ingredients used for seasoned chicken breast were corn syrup, sugar, soy sauce, onion, welsh onion, pears, sesame oil, garlic, NaCl, monosodium glutamate, sesame, caramel, and ginger. The ingredients were mixed with the chicken breast and mixed thoroughly by hand massaging. The final product were divided into small portions (approximately 25 g) and packaged in aerobic condition at 4, 10 and 20°C until analysis at 0, 3, 6 and 9 days.

The citrus peel and onion peel extracts were obtained by treating respective peels with 70% ethyl alcohol for 72 h at room temperature, followed by evaporating the solvent (Kim et al., 2013). Thereafter, the extracts were lyophilized using a freeze drier (Il Shin Lab. Co, Ltd., Korea). The extraction of phosvitin was done according to the method as described by Ko et al. (2011) and commercially available calcium lactate (ES Food Industry, Korea) was used for this study.

2. Microbial analysis

Microbial analysis was carried out at 0, 3, 6 and 9 day of storage. When the number of total aerobic
bacteria counts reached higher than 107 log CFU/g, microbial analysis and pH determination were terminated. Each sample (5 g) was cut into small pieces and homogenized for 2 min in a sterile stomacher bag containing 45 mL of sterile saline (0.85%) using a stomacher (bag mixer 400; Interscience Co., St. Nom la Breteche, France). Then, those were serially diluted in sterile saline (0.85%), and each diluent (0.1 mL) was spread on respective bacterial media. Plate count agar and eosine methylene blue agar (Difco Laboratories, NJ, USA) were used for total bacterial flora and E.coli, respectively. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 hrs, and microbial counts were expressed as log CFU/g.

3. pH

Each sample (1 g) was homogenized with 10 mL of distilled water using a mechanical homogenizer (IKA Laboratory Equipment, Seoul, Korea) and filtered (Whatman No.4). The pH value of filtrate was measured using an electronic pH meter (Mettler Toledo Process Analytics, USA)

4. Sensory evaluation

In the present study, seasoned chicken samples were evaluated at the first day of sampling with three repeated sessions. These samples were pan–fried for 4 min to achieve their core temperature to approximately 72°C. Each sample was randomly coded with 3 digit number and provided for evaluation. The cooked samples were evaluated for color, odor, flavor, taste, tenderness and overall acceptability by seven semi–trained panelists who have experiences in sensory evaluation of chicken meat more than 1 year. A 9 point hedonic scale was used (9—like extremely, 1—dislike extremely). Water was provided between samples to cleanse the oral cavity.

5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using one–way analysis of variance, The differences among the mean values were identified using Duncan’s multiple range tests with SAS software (SAS Institute, 2004) at a confidence level of p<0.05. Mean values and standard errors of the mean are reported.

III. Results and Discussion

The changes in total aerobic bacterial counts in the seasoned chicken breast meat samples at different temperatures during aerobic storage are shown in Fig. 1. The data clearly revealed that total aerobic bacterial counts significantly increased by the increase of both storage time and temperature. Treating the samples with CPE and OPE significantly improved the microbial quality of the initial product compared to other treatments. However, CPE was more effective than OPE in reducing initial counts of the product. The total bacterial counts increased (p<0.05) in all samples during the storage despite of storage temperature (Fig. 1). After 9 days of storage, total aerobic bacterial populations in samples treated with CPE and OPE were lower when compared with other samples. The microbial population was increased by 2.17 and 2.15 log CFU/g in the samples with CPE and OPE, respectively, during 9 days of storage period at 4°C while 3.07 log CFU/g for control samples.

Total aerobic bacterial counts in all samples increased beyond the maximum permissible level (107 CFU/g) (Kim and Song, 2004), except for samples treated with CPE and OPE on 6–day storages at 4 and 10°C, and 3–day storage at 20°C. Even at 9–day storage, samples treated with CPE and OPE did not exceed this critical limit under refrigerated storage (4°C), but, those showed higher than 107 CFU/g at 10 and 20°C. Calcium lactate and phosvitin had no significant (p>0.05) effect on the inhibition of microbial growth in
seasoned chicken meat during 9 days of storage at different storage temperatures.

The significant effects of CPE and OPE on microbial growth inhibition during storage at different temperatures in this study was in agreement with the previous studies. Allium cepa essential oil showed a moderate antimicrobial activity against some bacterial strains and also possessed an interesting antioxidant activity (Chun–Lin et al., 2013). It is likely that antimicrobial activity of onion could be mainly due to the presence of organosulfur containing compounds, such as methyl 5–methylfuryl sulfide (18.30%), methyl 3,4–dimethyl–2–thienyl disulfide (11.75%) and 1–propenyl propyl disulfide (9.72%) (Hughes and Lawson, 1991). Mexis et al., (2012) reported that the addition of the
citrus extract had a small preservative effect on fresh ground chicken meat with a shelf life extension of 2 days during refrigerated storage. The shelf life extension of CPE added samples was greater in our study compared with previous one.

Considering 7 log CFU/g as the maximum allowable level of microbial population, the estimated shelf life of seasoned chicken breasts in this study were below 6 days even under refrigerated storage condition in all samples except for CPE and OPE treated samples. Thus, it can be concluded that the addition of CPE and OPE in seasoned chicken meat formulation extended the shelf life up to more than 3 days regardless of storage temperature under aerobic condition. These findings indicate that CPE and OPE are efficient natural agents to prevent microbial spoilage during storage of seasoned chicken products, particularly at 4°C.

The changes of pH values of seasoned chicken breast during storage at different temperatures are shown in Fig. 2. The pH value decreased with the storage period in all samples regardless of storage temperatures. This pH reduction could be attributed to the growth of microorganisms. The sample with OPE showed the lowest initial pH when compared with other samples while control samples had the highest value. However, at 9 day of storage, the addition of OPE in to seasoned chicken breast limited the pH reduction to 0.43, 1.6 and 1.93 at 4, 10 and 20°C compared to other samples, respectively. Controlling the pH reduction is critical during meat processing since lower pH causes more water losses (Huff-Lonergan and Lonergan, 2005), leading to economic losses.

The sensory attributes of seasoned chicken breast samples are presented in Table 1. Seasoned chicken samples were evaluated at the first day of sampling as three repeated sessions during this study. All treatments had significant effects (p<0.05) on the sensory attributes of the seasoned chicken breast. Control samples received the highest preference scores in sensory parameters of color, odor, flavor, taste, texture and overall acceptability followed by phosvitin added samples. According to the results of Jung et al. (2012b) and Jung et al. (2012c), the addition of extracted phosvitin to ground beef and chicken leg meat, respectively, did not have any effect on the sensory qualities. Samples with OPE had the lowest overall acceptability followed by CPE and calcium lactate treatments. Same color preference was observed for all treatments except for OPE. The texture score was higher in control followed by the addition of phosvitin, CPE and calcium lactate. Previous study reported that beef steaks enhanced with 0.1 M solution of calcium lactate had improved meat tenderness and palatability traits (Lawrence et al., 2003). Burkel and Monahan (2001) reported that addition of acidic mar-

Table 1. Sensory scores of seasoned chicken breast meat treated with different additives.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Sensory parameter</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>CPE2</th>
<th>OPE3</th>
<th>Calcium lactate</th>
<th>Phosvitin</th>
<th>SEM1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Color</td>
<td>Odor</td>
<td>Flavor</td>
<td>Taste</td>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>Overall acceptability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.63a</td>
<td>6.04a</td>
<td>6.58a</td>
<td>6.67a</td>
<td>6.58a</td>
<td>6.58a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPE2</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.33a</td>
<td>5.17b</td>
<td>4.04d</td>
<td>3.63d</td>
<td>5.50bc</td>
<td>3.922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPE3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.92b</td>
<td>3.88b</td>
<td>2.04f</td>
<td>1.75f</td>
<td>5.04c</td>
<td>1.75f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium lactate</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.38a</td>
<td>5.54b</td>
<td>5.21c</td>
<td>5.17c</td>
<td>5.29bc</td>
<td>5.33c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosvitin</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.70a</td>
<td>5.92a</td>
<td>6.04a</td>
<td>6.04a</td>
<td>6.00ab</td>
<td>6.00ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEM1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0.179</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Standard error of the means (n=15).
2CPE: Citrus peel extract
3OPE: Onion peel extract
**Means of the same column with different superscript letter are significantly different (p<0.05)
inades had a tenderization effect on the meat products by weakening the structures due to swelling of the meat, increased proteolysis by cathepsins and increased conversion of collagen to gelatin. Another study also showed that citrus juice marinade could effectively tenderized beef having high connective tissue component (Gault, 1991). However, the improvement of texture by calcium lactate in the season chicken breast was not found in the present study.

The characteristic flavor and aroma of plants in the family Alliaceae are resulted from the enzymatic hydrolysis of the S–alkenyl–L-cysteine sulfoxides producing volatile S compounds and the by–products including pyruvic acid and ammonia (Randle et al., 1995). Lower score of flavor by orange peel probably due to their highest content of acetic acid which negatively affects to flavor (Alesón-Carbonell et al., 2003), Mexis et al., (2012) have shown the samples containing the citrus extract at a concentration of 0.1 ml/100 g conveyed a fruity flavor, neutral with respect to typical chicken flavor. From the present results, a formulation to minimize or mask the plant–derived extracts is needed for successful application for shelf–life extension of the seasoned chicken breast.

Our results confirmed that OPE and CPE, natural antimicrobial agent and industrial by–products, were effective to inhibit bacterial growth and extend the shelf life of seasoned chicken meat stored at different temperatures, particularly under refrigerated storage. However, the new formulation method to overcome the sensory deterioration is necessary before the application for industrial.
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