FALLING SHADOWS APPLIED TO SUBALGEBRAS AND IDEALS OF BCK/BCI-ALGEBRAS
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Abstract. Falling subalgebra/ideal of a BCK/BCI-algebra is introduced. Relations between falling subalgebras and falling ideals are given. Relations between fuzzy subalgebras/ideals and falling subalgebras/ideals are provided. A characterization of a falling ideal is established.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

1.1. Introduction

In the study of a unified treatment of uncertainty modelled by means of combining probability and fuzzy set theory, Goodman [2] pointed out the equivalence of a fuzzy set and a class of random sets. Wang and Sanchez [8] introduced the theory of falling shadows which directly relates probability concepts with the membership function of fuzzy sets. The mathematical structure of the theory of falling shadows is formulated in [7]. Tan et al. [5, 6] established a theoretical approach to define a fuzzy inference relation and fuzzy set operations based on the theory of falling shadows. Yuan and Lee [9] considered a fuzzy subgroup (subring, ideal) as the falling shadow of the cloud of the subgroup (subring, ideal). In this article, we introduce the notion of falling subalgebras/ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras based on the theory of falling shadows. We give relations between falling subalgebras and falling ideals. We also provide relations between fuzzy subalgebras/ideals and falling subalgebras/ideals. We establish a characterization of a falling ideal. We show that every falling subalgebra/ideal is a $T_m$-fuzzy subalgebra/ideal.
1.2. Basic results on BCK/BCI-algebras and fuzzy aspects

A BCK/BCI-algebra is an important class of logical algebras introduced by K. Iséki and was extensively investigated by several researchers.

An algebra \((X; *, 0)\) of type \((2, 0)\) is called a BCI-algebra if it satisfies the following conditions:

(I) \((\forall x, y, z \in X) \ ((x \ast y) \ast (x \ast z)) \ast (z \ast y) = 0)\),

(II) \((\forall x, y \in X) \ ((x \ast (x \ast y)) \ast y = 0)\),

(III) \((\forall x \in X) \ (x \ast x = 0)\),

(IV) \((\forall x, y \in X) \ (x \ast y = 0, y \ast x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y)\).

If a BCI-algebra \(X\) satisfies the following identity:

(V) \((\forall x \in X) \ (0 \ast x = 0)\),

then \(X\) is called a BCK-algebra. Any BCK/BCI-algebra \(X\) satisfies the following axioms:

(a1) \((\forall x \in X) \ (x \ast 0 = x)\),

(a2) \((\forall x, y, z \in X) \ (x \leq y \Rightarrow x \ast z \leq y \ast z, z \ast y \leq z \ast x)\),

(a3) \((\forall x, y, z \in X) \ ((x \ast y) \ast z = (x \ast z) \ast y)\),

where \(x \leq y\) if and only if \(x \ast y = 0\). A nonempty subset \(S\) of a BCK/BCI-algebra \(X\) is called a subalgebra of \(X\) if \(x \ast y \in S\) for all \(x, y \in S\).

A subset \(I\) of a BCK/BCI-algebra \(X\) is called an ideal of \(X\), denoted by \(I \triangleleft X\), if it satisfies:

(i) \(0 \in I\).

(ii) \((\forall x \in X) \ (\forall y \in I) \ (x \ast y \in I \Rightarrow x \in I)\).

Every ideal \(I\) of a BCK/BCI-algebra \(X\) has the following assertion:

(1.1) \((\forall x \in X) \ (\forall y \in I) \ (x \leq y \Rightarrow x \in I)\).


A fuzzy set \(\mu\) in a BCK/BCI-algebra \(X\) is called a fuzzy subalgebra of \(X\) if it satisfies:

(1.2) \((\forall x, y \in X) \ (\mu(x \ast y) \geq \min\{\mu(x), \mu(y)\})\).

A fuzzy set \(\mu\) in a BCK/BCI-algebra \(X\) is called a fuzzy ideal of \(X\) if it satisfies:

(i) \((\forall x \in X) \ (\mu(0) \geq \mu(x))\).

(ii) \((\forall x, y \in X) \ (\mu(x) \geq \min\{\mu(x \ast y), \mu(y)\})\).

**Proposition 1.1.** Let \(\mu\) be a fuzzy set in a BCK/BCI-algebra \(X\). Then \(\mu\) is a fuzzy ideal of \(X\) if and only if

\((\forall t \in [0, 1]) \ (\mu_t := \{x \in X \mid \mu(x) \geq t\} \triangleleft X)\).
1.3. The Theory of Falling Shadows

Given a universe of discourse \( U \), let \( \mathcal{P}(U) \) denote the power set of \( U \). For each \( u \in U \), let

\[
\hat{u} := \{ E \mid u \in E \text{ and } E \subseteq U \}.
\]

For each \( E \in \mathcal{P}(U) \), let

\[
\hat{E} := \{ \hat{u} \mid u \in E \}.
\]

An ordered pair \( (\mathcal{P}(U), \mathcal{B}) \) is said to be a hyper-measurable structure on \( U \) if \( \mathcal{B} \) is a \( \sigma \)-field in \( \mathcal{P}(U) \) and \( \hat{U} \subseteq \mathcal{B} \).

Given a probability space \( (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) \) and a hyper-measurable structure \( (\mathcal{P}(U), \mathcal{B}) \) on \( U \), a random set on \( U \) is defined to be a mapping \( \xi : \Omega \to \mathcal{P}(U) \) which is \( \mathcal{A} \)-\( \mathcal{B} \) measurable, that is,

\[
(\forall C \in \mathcal{B}) (\xi^{-1}(C) = \{ \omega \mid \omega \in \Omega \text{ and } \xi(\omega) \in C \} \in \mathcal{A}).
\]

Suppose that \( \xi \) is a random set on \( U \). Let

\[
\hat{H}(u) := P(\omega \mid u \in \xi(\omega)) \text{ for each } u \in U.
\]

Then \( \hat{H} \) is a kind of fuzzy set in \( U \). We call \( \hat{H} \) a falling shadow of the random set \( \xi \), and \( \xi \) is called a cloud of \( \hat{H} \).

For example, \( (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{A}, m) \), where \( \mathcal{A} \) is a Borel field on \([0, 1]\) and \( m \) the usual Lebesgue measure. Let \( \hat{H} \) be a fuzzy set in \( U \) and \( \hat{H}_t := \{ u \in U \mid \hat{H}(u) \geq t \} \) be a \( t \)-cut of \( \hat{H} \). Then

\[
\xi : [0, 1] \to \mathcal{P}(U), \ t \mapsto \hat{H}_t
\]

is a random set and \( \xi \) is a cloud of \( \hat{H} \). We shall call \( \xi \) defined above as the cut-cloud of \( \hat{H} \) (see [2]).

2. Fuzzy subalgebras/ideals based on the theory of falling shadows

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( X \) be a BCK/BCI-algebra, \((\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)\) a probability space, and let

\[
\xi : \Omega \to \mathcal{P}(X)
\]

be a random set. If \( \xi(\omega) \) is a subalgebra (resp. an ideal) of \( X \) for any \( \omega \in \Omega \), then the falling shadow \( \hat{H} \) of the random set \( \xi \), i.e.,

\[
\hat{H}(x) = P(\omega \mid x \in \xi(\omega))
\]

is called a falling subalgebra (resp. falling ideal) of \( X \).
Example 2.2. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ be a probability space and let

$$F(X) := \{ f : \Omega \to X \mid f \text{ is a mapping} \},$$

where $X$ is a BCK/BCI-algebra. Define an operation $\odot$ on $F(X)$ by

$$(\forall \omega \in \Omega) \ ((f \odot g)(\omega) = f(\omega) \ast g(\omega))$$

for all $f, g \in F(X)$. Let $\theta \in F(X)$ be defined by $\theta(\omega) = 0$ for all $\omega \in \Omega$.

It can be easily to check that $(F(X); \odot, \theta)$ is a BCK/BCI-algebra. For any subalgebra/ideal $A$ of $X$ and $f \in F(X)$, let

$$A_f := \{ \omega \in \Omega \mid f(\omega) \in A \}$$

and

$$\xi : \Omega \to \mathcal{P}(F(X)), \omega \mapsto \{ f \in F(X) \mid f(\omega) \in A \}.$$ 

Then $A_f \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\xi(\omega) = \{ f \in F(X) \mid f(\omega) \in A \}$ is a subalgebra/ideal of $F(X)$. Since

$$\xi^{-1}(f) = \{ \omega \in \Omega \mid f \in \xi(\omega) \} = \{ \omega \in \Omega \mid f(\omega) \in A \} = A_f \in \mathcal{A},$$

$\xi$ is a random set of $F(X)$. Let

$$\tilde{H}(f) = P(\omega \mid f(\omega) \in A).$$

Then $\tilde{H}$ is a falling subalgerba/ideal of $F(X)$.

Example 2.3. Let $X := \{0, a, b, c, d\}$ be a set with the following Cayley table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then $(X; \ast, 0)$ is a BCK-algebra. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) = ([0,1], \mathcal{A}, m)$ and let $\xi : [0,1] \to \mathcal{P}(X)$ be defined by

$$\xi(t) := \begin{cases} 
\{0, c\} & \text{if } t \in [0,0.3), \\
\{0, a, b, d\} & \text{if } t \in [0.3,1].
\end{cases}$$

Then $\xi(t)$ is an ideal and hence a subalgebra of $X$ for all $t \in [0,1]$. Hence $\tilde{H}(x) = P(t \mid x \in \xi(t))$ is both a falling ideal and a falling subalgebra of
X, and

\[
\tilde{H}(x) = \begin{cases} 
0.3 & \text{if } x = c, \\
0.7 & \text{if } x \in \{a, b, d\}, \\
1 & \text{if } x = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

In this case, we can easily check that \(\tilde{H}\) is a both fuzzy ideal and a fuzzy subalgebra of \(X\).

**Example 2.4.** Let \(X := \{0, a, b, c\}\) be a set with the following Cayley table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Then \((X; *, 0)\) is a BCI-algebra. Let \((\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{A}, m)\) and let \(\xi : [0, 1] \to \mathcal{P}(X)\) be defined by

\[
\xi(t) := \begin{cases} 
\{0, a\} & \text{if } t \in [0, 0.4), \\
\{0, b\} & \text{if } t \in [0.4, 0.6), \\
\{0, c\} & \text{if } t \in [0.6, 1].
\end{cases}
\]

Then \(\xi(t)\) is an ideal and hence a subalgebra of \(X\) for all \(t \in [0, 1]\). Hence \(\tilde{H}(x) = P(t \mid x \in \xi(t))\) is both a falling ideal and a falling subalgebra of \(X\), and

\[
\tilde{H}(x) = \begin{cases} 
0.2 & \text{if } x = b, \\
0.4 & \text{if } x \in \{a, c\}, \\
1 & \text{if } x = 0.
\end{cases}
\]

In this case, we know that \(\tilde{H}\) is neither a fuzzy ideal nor a fuzzy subalgebra of \(X\) since

\[
\tilde{H}(b) = 0.2 < 0.4 = \min\{\tilde{H}(b * c), \tilde{H}(c)\},
\]

\[
\tilde{H}(a * c) = \tilde{H}(b) = 0.2 < 0.4 = \min\{\tilde{H}(a), \tilde{H}(c)\}.
\]

**Theorem 2.5.** Let \(X\) be a BCK/BCI-algebra. Then every fuzzy ideal (resp. fuzzy subalgebra) of \(X\) is a falling ideal (resp. falling subalgebra) of \(X\).
Proof. Let \( \tilde{H} \) be a fuzzy ideal (resp. fuzzy subalgebra) of \( X \). Then \( \tilde{H}_t \) is an ideal (resp. subalgebra) of \( X \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \). Let

\[ \xi : [0, 1] \to \mathcal{P}(X) \]

be a random set and \( \xi(t) = \tilde{H}_t \). Then \( \tilde{H} \) is a falling ideal (resp. falling subalgebra) of \( X \). \qed

Example 2.4 shows that the converse of Theorem 2.5 is not true in general.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let \( X \) be a BCK-algebra. Then every falling ideal of \( X \) is a falling subalgebra of \( X \).

Corollary 2.6 is not valid in a BCI-algebra as seen in the following example.

**Example 2.7.** Let \( X := \mathbb{Q}^* \) be the set of all nonzero rational numbers. Let \( \div \) be a binary operation on \( X \) defined as division as general. Then \( (X; \div, 1) \) is a BCI-algebra (see [1]). Consider \( (\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P) = ([0, 1], \mathcal{A}, m) \) and let \( \xi : [0, 1] \to \mathcal{P}(X) \) be defined by

\[ \xi(t) := \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}^* & \text{if } t \in [0.7, 1], \\ \mathbb{Z}^* & \text{if } t \in [0, 0.7] \end{cases} \]

where \( \mathbb{Z}^* \) is the set of all nonzero integers. Then \( \xi(t) \) is an ideal of \( X \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \). Hence \( \tilde{H}(x) = P(t \mid x \in \xi(t)) \) is a falling ideal of \( X \). But it is not a falling subalgebra of \( X \) since \( \xi(0.4) = \mathbb{Z}^* \) is not a subalgebra of \( X \).

We give a condition for a falling subalgebra to be a falling ideal in a BCI-algebra.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let \( X \) be a BCI-algebra. Assume that the falling shadow \( \tilde{H} \) of a random set \( \xi : \Omega \to \mathcal{P}(X) \) is a falling subalgebra of \( X \). Then \( \tilde{H} \) is a falling ideal of \( X \) if and only if for each \( \omega \in \Omega \), the following is valid:

\[ (\forall x \in \xi(\omega))(\forall y \in X \setminus \xi(\omega))(y \ast x \in X \setminus \xi(\omega)). \]  

**Proof.** If \( \tilde{H} \) is a falling ideal of \( X \), then \( \xi(\omega) \) is an ideal of \( X \) for all \( \omega \in \Omega \). Let \( x, y \in X \) be such that \( x \in \xi(\omega) \) and \( y \in X \setminus \xi(\omega) \). If \( y \ast x \in \xi(\omega) \), then \( y \in \xi(\omega) \) which is a contradiction. Hence (2.2) is valid. Conversely, let \( \tilde{H} \) be a falling subalgebra of \( X \) that satisfies (2.2). Then \( \xi(\omega) \) is a subalgebra of \( X \) for \( \omega \in \Omega \). Hence \( 0 \in \xi(\omega) \). Let \( x, y \in X \) be such that \( x \ast y \in \xi(\omega) \) and \( y \in \xi(\omega) \). If \( x \notin \xi(\omega) \), then \( x \ast y \in X \setminus \xi(\omega) \) by (2.2). This is a contradiction, and so \( \tilde{H} \) is a falling ideal of \( X \). \qed
Let $X$ be a BCK/BCI-algebra and $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, P)$ a probability space. Let $\tilde{H}$ be a falling shadow of a random set $\xi : \Omega \to \mathcal{P}(X)$. For $x \in X$, let
\begin{equation}
(2.3) \quad \Omega(x; \xi) := \{\omega \in \Omega \mid x \in \xi(\omega)\}.
\end{equation}
Then $\Omega(x; \xi) \in \mathcal{A}$.

**Proposition 2.9.** If $\tilde{H}$ is a falling ideal of a BCK/BCI-algebra $X$, then
\begin{equation}
(2.4) \quad (\forall x, y \in X) \, (x \leq y \implies \Omega(y; \xi) \subseteq \Omega(x; \xi)),
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
(2.5) \quad (\forall x, y \in X) \, (\Omega(x \ast y; \xi) \cap \Omega(y; \xi) \subseteq \Omega(x; \xi)).
\end{equation}
If $\tilde{H}$ is a falling subalgebra of a BCK/BCI-algebra $X$, then
\begin{equation}
(2.6) \quad (\forall x, y \in X) \, (\Omega(x; \xi) \cap \Omega(y; \xi) \subseteq \Omega(x \ast y; \xi)).
\end{equation}
If $\tilde{H}$ is a falling subalgebra/ideal of a BCK-algebra $X$, then
\begin{equation}
(2.7) \quad (\forall x \in X) \, (\Omega(x; \xi) \subseteq \Omega(0; \xi)).
\end{equation}
If $\tilde{H}$ is a falling ideal of a BCK-algebra $X$, then
\begin{equation}
(2.8) \quad (\forall x, y \in X) \, (\Omega(x; \xi) \subseteq \Omega(x \ast y; \xi)).
\end{equation}

**Proof.** Let $x, y \in X$ be such that $x \leq y$ and let $w \in \Omega(y; \xi)$. Then $y \in \xi(\omega)$ and $x \ast y = 0 \in \xi(\omega)$. It follows that $x \in \xi(\omega)$ so that $\omega \in \Omega(x; \xi)$. Hence (2.4) is valid. Let $w \in \Omega(x \ast y; \xi) \cap \Omega(y; \xi)$. This shows that (2.5) is satisfied. If $\omega \in \Omega(x; \xi) \cap \Omega(y; \xi)$, then $x \in \xi(\omega)$ and $y \in \xi(\omega)$. Since $\xi(\omega)$ is an ideal of $X$, it follows that $x \in \xi(\omega)$ so that $w \in \Omega(x; \xi)$.

**Theorem 2.10.** If $\tilde{H}$ is a falling subalgebra of a BCK/BCI-algebra $X$, then
\begin{equation}
(\forall x, y \in X) \, (\tilde{H}(x \ast y) \geq T_m(\tilde{H}(x), \tilde{H}(y)))
\end{equation}
where $T_m(s, t) = \max\{s + t - 1, 0\}$ for any $s, t \in [0, 1]$.

**Proof.** By Definition 2.1, $\xi(\omega)$ is a subalgebra of $X$ for any $\omega \in \Omega$. Hence
\begin{equation}
\{\omega \in \Omega \mid x \in \xi(\omega)\} \cap \{\omega \in \Omega \mid y \in \xi(\omega)\} \subseteq \{\omega \in \Omega \mid x \ast y \in \xi(\omega)\},
\end{equation}
and so
\[
\tilde{H}(x*y) \geq P(\{\omega \mid x\ast y \in \xi(\omega)\}) \\
\geq P(\{\omega \mid x \in \xi(\omega)\} \cap \{\omega \mid y \in \xi(\omega)\}) \\
\geq P(\omega \mid x \in \xi(\omega)) + P(\omega \mid y \in \xi(\omega)) \\
- P(\omega \mid x \in \xi(\omega) \text{ or } y \in \xi(\omega)) \\
\geq \tilde{H}(x) + \tilde{H}(y) - 1.
\]

Hence
\[
\tilde{H}(x*y) \geq \max\{\tilde{H}(x) + \tilde{H}(y) - 1, 0\} = T_m(\tilde{H}(x), \tilde{H}(y)).
\]

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.10 means that every falling subalgebra of a BCK/BCI-algebra \(X\) is a \(T_m\)-fuzzy subalgebra of \(X\).

**Theorem 2.11.** If \(\tilde{H}\) is a falling ideal of a BCK/BCI-algebra \(X\), then
\[
(\forall x, y \in X) (\tilde{H}(x) \geq T_m(\tilde{H}(x*y), \tilde{H}(y)))
\]

where \(T_m(s, t) = \max\{s + t - 1, 0\}\) for any \(s, t \in [0, 1]\).

**Proof.** By Definition 2.1, \(\xi(\omega)\) is an ideal of \(X\) for any \(\omega \in \Omega\). Hence
\[
\{\omega \in \Omega \mid x\ast y \in \xi(\omega)\} \cap \{\omega \in \Omega \mid y \in \xi(\omega)\} \subseteq \{\omega \in \Omega \mid x \in \xi(\omega)\},
\]

and thus
\[
\tilde{H}(x) = P(\omega \mid x \in \xi(\omega)) \\
\geq P(\{\omega \mid x\ast y \in \xi(\omega)\} \cap \{\omega \mid y \in \xi(\omega)\}) \\
\geq P(\omega \mid x\ast y \in \xi(\omega)) + P(\omega \mid y \in \xi(\omega)) \\
- P(\omega \mid x\ast y \in \xi(\omega) \text{ or } y \in \xi(\omega)) \\
\geq \tilde{H}(x*y) + \tilde{H}(y) - 1.
\]

Hence
\[
\tilde{H}(x) \geq \max\{\tilde{H}(x*y) + \tilde{H}(y) - 1, 0\} = T_m(\tilde{H}(x*y), \tilde{H}(y)).
\]

This completes the proof. □

Theorem 2.11 means that every falling ideal of a BCK/BCI-algebra \(X\) is a \(T_m\)-fuzzy ideal of \(X\).
3. Conclusion

Falling shadow representation theory shows us the way of selection relied on the joint degrees distributions. It is reasonable and convenient approach for the theoretical development and the practical applications of fuzzy sets and fuzzy logics. The theory of falling shadows relates probability concepts with the membership functions of fuzzy sets. As an algebraic approach of the theory of falling shadows, Yuan and Lee [9] have considered a fuzzy subgroup (subring, ideal) as the falling shadow of the cloud of the subgroup (subring, ideal). In this paper, we discussed the notion of falling subalgebras/ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras based on the theory of falling shadows. We gave relations between falling subalgebras and falling ideals. We also provided relations between fuzzy subalgebras/ideals and falling subalgebras/ideals. We established a characterization of a falling ideal, and showed that every falling subalgebra/ideal is a $T_m$-fuzzy subalgebra/ideal. Based on these results, we will apply the theory of falling shadows to the other type of ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras in the future study.
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