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Abstract: This paper presents a delay dependent fuzzy $H_{\infty}$ filter design method for delayed fuzzy dynamic systems. Using delay-dependent Lyapunov function, the global exponential stability and $H_{\infty}$ performance problem are discussed. A sufficient condition for the existence of fuzzy filter is presented in terms of linear matrix inequalities (LMIs). The filter design utilize the concept of parallel distributed compensation. And the filter gains can also be directly obtained from the LMI solutions. A simulation example is given to illustrate the design procedures and performance of the proposed methods.
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I. Introduction

During the last decades, considerable attention has been devoted to the problem of stability analysis and controller design for the fuzzy dynamic systems. Tanaka et al. [1][2] presented stability analysis for a class of fuzzy dynamic systems. Ma et al. [3] presented the analysis and design of the fuzzy controller and fuzzy observer on the basis of T-S fuzzy model using separation property. Han[4] and Lee et al.[5] presented the design method of fuzzy $H_{\infty}$ controller to satisfy an $H_{\infty}$ norm bound constraint on disturbance attenuation.

Since time delay is frequently a source of instability and encountered in various engineering systems, the $H_{\infty}$ control problem for delayed systems has received considerable attention over the last few decades. In fuzzy control systems, Cao et al.[7] presented stability analysis and synthesis of delayed fuzzy dynamic systems. Lee et al. [8] presented output feedback fuzzy $H_{\infty}$ control of delayed fuzzy dynamic systems. Lee et al.[9][10] also presented $H_{\infty}$ and mixed $H_\infty/H_{\infty}$ filtering of delayed fuzzy dynamic system.

However these methods are delay independent stabilization methods. The delay-independent stabilization provides a controller which can stabilize a system irrespective of the size of the delay. On the other hand, the delay-dependent stabilization is concerned with the size of the delay and considered less conservative than the delay-independent case[11][12]. In this paper, we extended the delay-dependent method into the stabilization for time-delay fuzzy systems.

This method not only guarantees a stability, but also an induced $L_2$ norm bound constraint on disturbance attenuation. A sufficient condition for the existence of fuzzy filter with $H_{\infty}$ constraint is then presented in terms of linear matrix inequalities (LMIs).

II. Problem formulation

The continuous fuzzy dynamic model, proposed by Takagi and Sugeno, is described by fuzzy IF-THEN rules which represented local linear input-output relations of nonlinear system. Consider a nonlinear system with time-varying delayed states that can be described by the following T-S fuzzy model with time-varying delayed states:

**Plant Rule i:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{IF } z_1(t) & \text{ is } M_{\alpha} \text{ and } \cdots \text{ and } z_d(t) \text{ is } M_{\alpha} \\
\text{THEN } \dot{x}(t) &= A_i x(t) + A_d x(t-\tau) + B_i u(t) \\
y(t) &= C_i x(t) + D_i u(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, r \\
e(t) &= C_d x(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, r \\
\end{align*}
\]

where $M_d$ is the fuzzy set, $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the state vector, $\phi(t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the continuous unknown initial value function, $u(\cdot) \in \mathbb{R}^n \subseteq L_2(0, T)$ is the square-integrable noise signal, $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is the measurement, $e(t) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ is the signal to be estimated, $r$ is the number of IF-THEN rules, $z_1 \sim z_d$ are some measurable system variables, i.e., the premise variables, and all matrices are constant matrices.
with appropriate dimensions, \( \tau \) is the delay of the systems with following assumptions:

\[
0 \leq \tau \leq \bar{\tau} \tag{2}
\]

Given a pair of \((x(t), y(t))\) by using a center average defuzzifier, product inference, and singleton fuzzifier, the dynamic fuzzy model (1) can be expressed by the following global model:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(z(x(t))) (A_i x(t) + A_{ix}(t - \tau) + B_i u(t)) \\
y(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(z(x(t))) (C_i x(t) + D_i u(t)) \\
e(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(z(x(t))) C_{ix}(t) x(t) \quad (3)
\end{align*}
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
w_i(z(x(t))) &= \prod_{j=1}^{p} M_{ij}(z_j(x(t))) \\
h_i(z(x(t))) &= w_i(z(x(t))) \sum_{i=1}^{r} w_i(z(x(t))) \\
z(x(t)) &= [z_1(x(t)), z_2(x(t)), \ldots, z_r(x(t))]^T
\end{align*}
\]

where \( M_{ij}(z_j(x(t))) \) is the grade of membership of \( z_j(x(t)) \) in \( M_{ij} \).

\[
\begin{align*}
w_i(z(x(t))) &\geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, r \\
\sum_{i=1}^{r} w_i(z(x(t))) &> 0 
\end{align*}
\]

for all \( t \). Then we can obtain the following conditions:

\[
\begin{align*}
h_i(z(x(t))) &\geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, r \\
\sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(z(x(t))) &= 1 
\end{align*}
\]

for all \( t \). As a fuzzy \( H_\infty \) filter of the fuzzy system (1), we consider the following structure:

**Filtering Rule i:**

**IF** \( z_i(x(t)) \) is \( M_{ij} \) and \( \ldots \) and \( z_{ij}(x(t)) \) is \( M_{in} \)

**THEN**

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) &= F_i \dot{x}(t) + G_i y(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, r \\
\dot{z}(t) &= C_i \dot{x}(t) \\
\ddot{z}(t) &= 0, \quad t \leq 0 
\end{align*}
\]

where the matrix \( F_i \) and \( G_i \) are to be determined. The final output of this fuzzy filter is

\[
\hat{x}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(z(x(t))) (F_i \dot{x}(t) + G_i y(t)), \quad \hat{z}(0) = 0 \tag{7}
\]

From (3) and (7), we obtain the following estimation error system

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\xi}(t) &= \mathcal{A}(z) \xi(t) + \mathcal{A}_1(z) \xi(t - \tau) + \mathcal{B}(z) w(t) \\
\dot{\zeta}(t) &= (\mathcal{P}(\cdot)^T \mathcal{P}(\cdot)) \zeta(t - \tau), \quad t \in [-\tau, 0] \\
\dot{\vartheta}(t) &= \mathcal{C}(z) \xi(t) 
\end{align*}
\]

with the following notations

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}(z) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{p} h_i(z(x(t))) h_j(z(x(t))) \mathcal{A}_{ij} \\
\mathcal{C}(z) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(z(x(t))) \mathcal{C}_i \\
\mathcal{A}_1(z) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} h_i(z(x(t))) \mathcal{A}_{1i} \\
\mathcal{B}(z) &= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \sum_{j=1}^{p} h_i(z(x(t))) h_j(z(x(t))) \mathcal{B}_{ij}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( z_i(t) = [z_i(x(t)) - z_i(x(t))]^T, \quad \hat{z}_i(t) = z_i(t) - \hat{z}_i(t) \).

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_{ij} &= \begin{bmatrix} A_i & A_{i1} & \ldots & A_{ir} \\ 0 & A_1 & \ldots & A_{1r} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & A_r \end{bmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{A}_{ij} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A_{ij}^T \\ \end{bmatrix}, \\
\mathcal{B}_{ij} &= \begin{bmatrix} (B_i - C_i D_i)^T \\ \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{C}_i = [C_i, 0].
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( H_\infty \) filtering is filter design to efficiently eliminate the effect of \( w(t) \), for given \( \gamma \) we define \( H_\infty \) filtering performance of the system (10) as the quantity

\[
I_w = \int_0^T \| \hat{z}(t) \|^2 dt + \gamma \int_0^T \| w(t) \|^2 dt
\]

\[
+ x^T(t) Q x(t) + \int_0^T x^T(s) Q x(s) ds + \int_0^T x^T(s) Q x(s) ds 
\]

for all \( T > 0 \) and all \( w \in L_2[0, T] \), where \( \| \cdot \| \) denotes the Euclidean norm. The weighting matrix \( Q_i, i = 0, 1, 2 \), in (11) are measure of the initial state uncertainty at \( t \leq 0 \) relative to the uncertainty in \( w(t) \). A large value of \( Q_i \), indicates that the state at \( t \leq 0 \) is very close to zero.

This paper addresses designing fuzzy filter (7) for the system (3) such that the estimation error system is globally exponentially stable and achieves \( H_\infty \) performance (11).

### III. Fuzzy \( H_\infty \) Filter Design

**Lemma 1[12]:** Assume that \( a(\cdot) \in R^{n \times n}, b(\cdot) \in R^{n \times n} \) and \( N(\cdot) \in R^{n \times n} \) are defined on the interval \( \mathcal{O} \). Then, for any matrices \( X \in R^{n \times n}, Y \in R^{n \times n}, \) and \( Z \in R^{n \times n} \), the following holds

\[
-2 \int_0^T a^T(s) N b(s) ds \\ \leq \int_0^T \begin{bmatrix} a(s) & X & Y - N \end{bmatrix} ^T \begin{bmatrix} b(s) & Z \end{bmatrix} ds\tag{12}
\]
where
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
X & Y \\
Y^T & Z
\end{bmatrix} \succeq 0
\]

Lemma 2: Consider the system (3) with assumption (2), and let \( \gamma > 0 \) be a given scalar. If there exist matrices \( P > 0, S > 0, R > 0, R > 0 \) and positive scalar \( \sigma \) satisfying the following inequalities
\[
\begin{align*}
Q_i &< 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, r \\
Q_i + Q_j &< 0, \quad i < j, r, \\
\begin{bmatrix}
R & R \\
R^T & S
\end{bmatrix} &< 0 \\
[I_2, I_2][P[I_2, I_2]^T - \gamma^2 Q_i] &< 0 \\
[I_2, I_2][Q[I_2, I_2]^T - \gamma^2 Q_1] &< 0 \\
[I_2, I_3][S[I_2, I_3]^T - \gamma^2 Q_2] &< 0
\end{align*}
\]
then the corresponding estimation error system is globally exponentially stable and achieves \( H_{\infty} \) filtering performance (11) for all \( \omega \in L_2[0, T] \). In here
\[
\Sigma_v = \begin{bmatrix}
(1.1) + C^T C + S & P \tilde{A}_v - R & P \tilde{B}_v & \tilde{A}_v^T \\
* & - R & 0 & \tilde{A}_v^T \\
* & * & - \gamma I & \tilde{B}_v^T \\
* & * & * & -(\varepsilon S)^{-1}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
\Sigma_z = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where * represents the elements below the main diagonal of a symmetric matrix and
\[
(1.1) = \tilde{A}_v^T P + P \tilde{A}_v + \varepsilon R + 2R + R.
\]

Proof: Consider the following Lyapunov functional as
\[
V(t) = V_1(t) + V_2(t) + V_3(t).
\]

In here
\[
\begin{align*}
V_1(t) &= \xi^T(t) P \xi(t) \\
V_2(t) &= \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \xi^T(s) S \xi(s) ds \\
V_3(t) &= \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \xi^T(s) R \xi(s) ds
\end{align*}
\]

where \( P > 0, S > 0 \) and \( R > 0 \). Then there exist positive scalar \( \delta_1 \) and \( \delta_2 \) such that
\[
\delta_1 \| \xi(t) \|^2 \leq V(t) \leq \delta_2 \sup \| \xi(t) \|^2.
\]

If there exists scalar \( \alpha > 0 \) such that \( V(\xi, t) \leq -\alpha \| \xi \| \), then the unforced system of (8) is globally exponentially stable [13].

Consider \( V(\xi, t) \leq -\alpha \| \xi \| \) and the following condition
\[
J_2(\theta) = V(\xi, \theta) + \xi^T(\theta) \tilde{B}(\theta) - \gamma^2 \omega^T(\theta) \omega(\theta) \leq 0.
\]

By considering the following condition
\[
\xi(t-\tau) = \xi(t) - \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \xi(s) ds
\]

the estimation error system can be written as
\[
\xi(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}(z) + \mathcal{A}_1(z) \end{bmatrix} \xi(t) \\
- \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}_1(z) \end{bmatrix} \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \xi(s) ds + \mathcal{B}(z) \omega(t)
\]

The derivative of \( V_1(t) \) satisfies the relation
\[
\begin{align*}
V_1(t) &= \xi^T(t) \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}(z) + \mathcal{A}_1(z) \end{bmatrix} \xi(t) \\
&\quad - 2\xi^T(t) \mathcal{A}_1(z) \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \xi(s) ds + 2\omega^T(t) \mathcal{B}(z) \mathcal{P}(z) \xi(t) \\
&\leq \xi^T(t) \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}(z) + \mathcal{A}_1(z) \end{bmatrix} \xi(t) + 2\omega^T(t) \mathcal{B}(z) \mathcal{P}(z) \xi(t)
\end{align*}
\]

Applying lemma 1 will supply
\[
\begin{align*}
V_1(t) &\leq \xi^T(t) \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}(z) + \mathcal{A}_1(z) \end{bmatrix} \xi(t) \\
&\quad + \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \xi^T(s) \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}(z) + \mathcal{A}_1(z) \end{bmatrix} \xi(s) ds + 2\omega^T(t) \mathcal{B}(z) \mathcal{P}(z) \xi(t)
\end{align*}
\]

By considering the following condition
\[
\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{h=1}^{N} h_r h_{k_1} h_{k_2} \xi^T(s) S \xi(s)
\]
we have the derivative of \( V_2 \) as
\[
\begin{align*}
V_2(t) &\leq \xi^T(t) \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{A}(z) + \mathcal{A}_1(z) \end{bmatrix} \xi(t) + \mathcal{B}(z) \omega(t) \\
&\quad - \int_{t-\tau}^{t} \xi^T(s) S \xi(s) ds
\end{align*}
\]

Since \( V_3 \) yields the relation
\[
\begin{align*}
V_3(t) &= \xi^T(t) R \xi(t) - \xi^T(t-\tau) R \xi(t-\tau)
\end{align*}
\]

\( I_0 \) can be presented as
\[
V_1(t) = \xi^T(t) R \xi(t) - \xi^T(t-\tau) R \xi(t-\tau)
\]
\[
J_s \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_i h_j \begin{bmatrix}
\xi(t) \\
\zeta(t) \\
\gamma(d) \\
\omega(t)
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
(1,1) + r & \mathcal{A}^T \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \\
\tau \mathcal{A}^T \mathcal{B} & \mathcal{A}^T \mathcal{B} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{D}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\xi(t) \\
\zeta(t) \\
\gamma(d) \\
\omega(t)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

By applying the Schur complement\cite{14} and considering the following condition

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} h_i h_j \begin{bmatrix}
\mathcal{C}^T \mathcal{C} \\
\mathcal{T} \mathcal{T}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
\xi(t) \\
\zeta(t)
\end{bmatrix} \leq 0
\]

(34)

\[
J_s \text{ can be presented as follows}
\]

\[
J_s \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} h_i \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{D} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} h_i \mathcal{T}(t) \begin{bmatrix}
\mathcal{T} \\
\mathcal{C}
\end{bmatrix} \mathcal{T} \mathcal{C}
\]

(35)

Thus if (13) and (14) are satisfied, then \( \mathcal{V}_s(\xi, t) \leq d(\mathcal{X}^T(0))^{\frac{1}{2}} \) and (25) is satisfied. From (25)

\[
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{T}(t)^{2} dt - \gamma^2 \int_{0}^{T} \omega(t)^2 dt \\
\leq \mathcal{T}(0)^{2} P_{\mathcal{T}}(0) + \int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{T}(t) \mathcal{R} \mathcal{T}(t) dt \\
+ \int_{-T}^{0} \mathcal{T}(\sigma) \mathcal{T}(s) ds d\sigma
\end{aligned}
\]

(36)

because \( \mathbf{V}(\xi, T) > 0 \). It follows from initial condition (8) that

\[
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{T}(t)^{2} dt - \gamma^2 \int_{0}^{T} \omega(t)^2 dt + x^T(0) \mathcal{Q} x(0) \\
+ &\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{X}(t)^{T} \mathcal{T}(t) \mathcal{X}(t) dt + \int_{-T}^{0} \mathcal{X}(s) \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{X}(s) ds d\sigma \\
\leq &\mathcal{T}(0)^{2} P_{\mathcal{T}}(0) - \gamma^2 \mathcal{T}(0) \mathcal{Q} x(0) \\
+ &\int_{0}^{T} \mathcal{R}(t) \mathcal{X}(t) dt + \gamma^2 \int_{-T}^{0} \mathcal{X}(s) \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{X}(s) ds d\sigma
\end{aligned}
\]

(37)

Thus if (13)-(16) are satisfied, \( H_\infty \) control performance (11) is satisfied.

The next Theorem 1 presents a solution to the \( H_\infty \) filtering problem for the delayed fuzzy model in terms of LMIs from Lemma 2.

**Theorem 1**: Consider the system (3), and let \( \gamma > 0 \) be a given scalar. If there exist common positive matrices \( X > 0, Z > 0, S_{11}, S_{22}, R_{11}, R_{22}, R_{12}, R_{21} > 0 \), and matrices \( \mathcal{R}_{11}, \mathcal{R}_{22}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{i} = 1, 2, \ldots, r \), and positive scalar \( \alpha \) satisfying the following LMIs:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{I}_{r}
\end{bmatrix} \geq 0
\]

(38)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathcal{P}_{\alpha} & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{I}_{r}
\end{bmatrix} \geq 0
\]

(39)

where \( \mathcal{P}_{\alpha} > 0 \), \( \mathcal{I}_{r} > 0 \), \( \gamma > 0 \), and positive scalar \( \alpha \)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathcal{R}_{11} & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{R}_{22}
\end{bmatrix} \geq 0
\]

(40)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathcal{R}_{11} & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{R}_{22}
\end{bmatrix} \geq 0
\]

(41)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathcal{R}_{11} & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{R}_{22}
\end{bmatrix} \geq 0
\]

(42)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
1, 1 & \mathcal{C}_1^T \mathcal{C}_1 & (1, 2) - \mathcal{R}_{11} & \mathcal{Y}_{1} & \mathcal{W}_1 & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1, 1 & \mathcal{C}_2^T \mathcal{C}_2 & (1, 2) - \mathcal{R}_{22} & \mathcal{Y}_{2} & \mathcal{W}_2 & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathcal{A} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(43)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathcal{A}_1 & \mathcal{A}_2 & \mathcal{A}_3 & \mathcal{A}_4 & \mathcal{A}_5 & \mathcal{A}_6
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(44)

Furthermore, filter gains are given by

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{X}^{-1} \mathcal{W}_1 \\
\mathcal{G}_1 = \mathcal{X}^{-1} \mathcal{Y}_{i}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(45)
Proof: Let
\[
R = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & R_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad R' = \begin{bmatrix} R_{11}' & 0 \\ 0 & R_{22}' \end{bmatrix}, \quad R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & R_{11} \\ 0 & R_{22} \end{bmatrix}
\]
\[
S = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11} & 0 \\ 0 & S_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad P = \begin{bmatrix} X & 0 \\ 0 & Z \end{bmatrix}
\]
where \(X\) and \(Z\) are symmetric positive definite matrices to be found. Now pre and postmultiply \(\text{diag}(I\ I\ I\ I)\) to (17) and apply
\[
-\dot{P}(t)S - P(t) - P(t)S = 0.
\]

Next, let \(W_i = X F_i\) and \(Y_i = X G_i,\ \text{for}\ i = 1, 2, \ldots, r\). We get (38) and (42) from Lemma 2.

It has been seen that the filter design problem of the fuzzy system (3) can be transformed into a linear algebra problem. This set of LMIs constitutes a finite-dimensional convex feasibility problem. There are several efficient algorithms to solve the above convex LMIs problem [14]-[16].

IV. Design example
We will design a fuzzy filter for the following nonlinear system:
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}_1(t) &= -5.125 x_1(t) - 0.5 x_1(t - d) - 2 x_2(t) - 6.7 x_2(t) - 0.2 x_2(t - d) - 0.67 x_2(t - d) + u(t) \\
\dot{x}_2(t) &= x_1(t) \\
\gamma(t) &= x_1(t) + 0.1 x_2(t) \\
e(t) &= 0.01 x_1(t)
\end{align*}
\]
where time delay is
\[d = 1.5\]
\(x_1(t)\) is estimated using a fuzzy filter and assume that \(x_2(t)\) is observable. It is also assumed that \(x_1(t) \in [-1.5, 1.5]\) and \(x_2(t) \in [-1.5, 1.5]\).

Then, the nonlinear term can be represented as
\[
-6.7 x_2(t) = M_{11} \cdot 0 \cdot x_1(t) - (1 - M_{11}) \cdot 15.067 x_1(t).
\]
By solving the equation, \(M_{11}\) is obtained as follows:
\[
M_{11}(x_2(t)) = 1 - \frac{x_2(t)}{2.25}, \quad M_{12}(x_2(t)) = \frac{x_2(t)}{2.25}.
\]

\(M_{11}\) and \(M_{12}\) can be interpreted as membership functions of fuzzy set. By using these fuzzy sets, the nonlinear system can be presented by the following T-S fuzzy model

**Plant Rule 1:** If \(x_2(t)\) is \(M_{11}\), THEN
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) &= A_1 x(t) + A_d x(t - d(t)) + B_1 u(t) \\
\gamma(t) &= C_1 x(t) + D_1 u(t) \\
e(t) &= C_d x(t)
\end{align*}
\]

**Plant Rule 2:** If \(x_2(t)\) is \(M_{12}\), THEN
\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x}(t) &= A_2 x(t) + A_d x(t - d(t)) + B_2 u(t) \\
\gamma(t) &= C_2 x(t) + D_2 u(t) \\
e(t) &= C_d x(t)
\end{align*}
\]
where \(x(t) = [x_1(t) \ x_2(t)]^T\),
\[
\begin{align*}
A_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} -5.125 & -2 \\
-0.5 & -0.2 \end{bmatrix}, \\
A_d &= \begin{bmatrix} -5.125 & -17.075 \\
-0.5 & -1.71 \end{bmatrix}, \\
A_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} -5.125 & -2 \\
-0.5 & -0.2 \end{bmatrix}, \\
A_d &= \begin{bmatrix} -5.125 & -17.075 \\
-0.5 & -1.71 \end{bmatrix}, \\
B_1 &= B_2 = [1 \ 0]^T, \\
C_1 &= C_2 = [0 \ 1].
\end{align*}
\]

Let \(\tau = 3, \ \bar{\tau} = 1.5, \ Q_1 = Q_2 = \text{diag}(0.03, 0.03)\), then filter gains obtained from Theorem 1 are
\[
\begin{align*}
F_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} -5.0082 & 0.3292 \\
0.7196 & -1.3822 \end{bmatrix}, \\
F_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} -4.2479 & 2.81112 \\
0.5813 & -2.0899 \end{bmatrix}, \\
G_1 &= \begin{bmatrix} -3.4168 \\
1.6855 \end{bmatrix}, \\
G_2 &= \begin{bmatrix} -19.3688 \\
1.2532 \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

The simulation result of nonlinear system with time delay is shown in Fig. 1.

For these simulation, the noise signal \(u(t)\) is
\[
u(t) = 0.1 \cdot \cos(\pi t)
\]
and the initial value of the state is assumed by
\[
[x_1^0(t) \ x_2^0(t)]^T = [1 \ -1]^T, \quad t \leq 0.
\]
The designed fuzzy filter estimate the states of the nonlinear system without the steady state errors and attains disturbance attenuation effect. The value of γ in the delay independent fuzzy $H_\infty$ filter design method[9] is $\gamma = 10$. Thus we can see that delay dependent fuzzy $H_\infty$ filter design method is less conservative compared to delay independent fuzzy $H_\infty$ filter design method[9].

V. Conclusion

In this paper, we have developed delay dependent fuzzy $H_\infty$ filter design method for delayed fuzzy dynamic systems. Using delay-dependent Lyapunov function, we have obtained sufficient conditions for the existence of fuzzy filters such that the estimation error system is globally exponentially stable and achieves $H_\infty$ performance simultaneously. The filter design has utilized the concept of parallel distributed compensation and the filter gains can also be directly obtained from the LMI solutions. One example was provided to explain the ideas involved in the presented approaches. From the example, we could see that delay dependent fuzzy $H_\infty$ filter design method is less conservative compared to delay independent fuzzy $H_\infty$ filter design method.
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