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Abstract Vehicular communication is one of main convergence technologies which combines information and communication technology (ICT) with vehicle and road industries. In general, vehicular communication adopts IEEE 802.11p standard which is commonly referred as wireless access in vehicular environments (WAVE). In this paper, we investigate a multi-hop communication scheme for IEEE 802.11p based communication systems which support both vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) and vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) communications. First, we briefly overview the performance of IEEE 802.11p based communication systems. Then, a multi-hop communication scheme is introduced for both broadcast and unicast. The performance of proposed scheme is presented via experimental measurements.
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practical data are collected in various vehicular environments\cite{2}. Throughput and frame error rate of IEEE 802.11a/b/g based system are investigated in \cite{3} and IEEE 802.11p based prototype is introduced in \cite{4}.

In the form of vehicle-to-vehicle (V2V) or vehicle-to-infrastructure (V2I) communications, vehicular communications provide numerous applications such as traffic information service, anti-collision warning, accident alarming, internet service, multimedia downloading, and etc, where the former is widely used for intelligent transport system (ITS)/Telematics services by adopting bidirectional communications, and the later is mainly used for safety services by using multi-hop communications. Among various applications, the most promising one is the public safety\cite{5}. Vehicular communications and multi-hop communications have been studied in the existing literature with various approaches\cite{6, 7, 8}. To adopt multi-hop scheme in vehicular communications, the characteristics of multi-hop communication in vehicular networks are investigated in \cite{9}. With location and delay information, a V2I multi-hop protocol for enhancing the performance of MAC and routing layers is introduced in \cite{10, 11}, and the reliability analysis for broadcast service in vehicular networks is carried out in \cite{12}. However, almost of existing work mainly deals with theoretical approaches and simulations. Furthermore, a multi-hop protocol with IEEE 802.11p standard is not thoroughly investigated.

In this paper, we first briefly review the IEEE 802.11p based communication system and its performance. Then, a vehicle multi-hop protocol (VMP) including practical measurement results is introduced. The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The review of communication system is introduced in Section II. In Section III, we present the proposed VMP, and performance measurement results are introduced in Section IV. The concluding remarks are given in Section V.

II. Reviews of IEEE 802.11p based communication systems

In general, vehicular communication systems consist of antenna, on-board-unit (OBU) vehicular terminal, road-side-equipment (RSE), and ITS center/server. Both OBU and RSE have the communication module which is equipped with Modem, MAC and RF module. The OBU provides both V2V and V2I communications, and multi-hop communication is supported. Our system is designed to support the IEEE 802.11p based system, where the system works 5.9GHz frequency band (5.835~5.925GHz) with 10MHz bandwidth, and modulation supports OFDM (BPSK, QPSK, 16QAM) with carrier sense multiple access/collision avoidance (CSMA/CA) and enhanced distributed channel access (EDCA) MAC protocol. With these system specifications, the following basic requirements are satisfied:

- Communication methods: Broadcast, unicast
- Communication range: Up to 1km
- Latency: Less than 100 msec
- Mobility: Up to 200km/h

With the above mentioned system, we measured the performance of system using QPSK with 5.85GHz center frequency where we consider various packet sizes and vehicle speeds for both V2I and V2V communications. For detailed measurement setup and results, we refer the reader to \cite{7}. The basic performance can be summarized as followings:

- Communication range: approximately 1 km
- Packet error rate: Less than 5% for broadcast, less than 0.15% for unicast
- Link setup time: Approximately 1msec
- Latency: Less than 10msec (various depending on the packet size)

III. Vehicle multi-hop communications

Most safety-related applications in vehicular
communications use broadcast method to disseminate safety related information to all surrounding vehicles. VMP provides an efficient broadcast/unicast schemes for fast dissemination of safety message within the critical area. The core of the VMP is to specify multiple reliable forwarders with differentiated forwarding delay and exploit cooperative forwarding mechanism when forwarders fail to transmit the messages. In this paper, the VMP provides a position–based routing scheme for delivering message from source to the fixed site or moving vehicle besides miles away with quality assurance. It utilizes the geographic location of the destination instead of using the network address. Fig. 1 depicts VMP scenarios in vehicular communications where (a) and (b) represent VMP-BROADCAST and VMP-UNICAST, respectively. VMP-BROADCAST is used for delivering messages in specific area, and VMP-UNICAST is used for transmitting message to the designated node (vehicle). In VMP-BROADCAST, the forwarder is chosen from several nodes within the communication range, whereas the forwarder of VMP-UNICAST is predetermined before the message is transmitted. The detailed scheme is discussed in the following section.

VMP-BROADCAST

VMP-BROADCAST is used for broadcasting the data to all nodes in the specific area. If the designated nodes are located within the communication range, the source node simply transmits data by broadcasting. Otherwise, the data is transmitted by using multi-hop communication of the forward nodes (forwarder) where the forwarder is predetermined by the source node. The destination node of VMP-BROADCAST is based on the location not identification number. Therefore, all nodes located in the designated area are regarded as the target nodes and receives the data. The nodes check the location information using the header information. Depending on the location information, each node set the node as the target node or deletes the received information.

Fig. 2. Data flow of VMP-BROADCAST

Fig. 2 represents the data flow of VMP-BROADCAST. Before transmitting VMP-BROADCAST message, each node, i.e., a vehicle, broadcasts “hello” message to all nodes. The “hello” message is transmitted every 100msec. Then, each vehicle knows the location, moving direction and speed of neighbor nodes using “hello” message. The source node can predetermine the destination as the designated area or the number of hop. In Fig. 2, the vehicle 1 broadcasts the data to the designated area. Since the vehicle 1 has the information of neighbor vehicles, vehicle 1 can select the forwarder for multi-hop communication; the vehicle 3 is selected as the forwarder in Fig. 2. When the vehicle 1 broadcasts the data, both the vehicle 2 and...
3 receive the data, and the vehicle 2 deletes the received data, whereas the vehicle 3 forwards the received data. The vehicle 3 can also decide the next target nodes. In our example, the vehicle 4 is the destination node, and vehicle 3 broadcasts the data to the vehicle 4.

IV. Experimental measurements

In this section, we represent experimental measurement results of VMP. The performance of VMP is measured with respect to packet delivery ratio, end-to-end delay, and throughput. Due to the long communication range, it is hard to establish the measuring environment for broadcast. Therefore, our measurement is carried out only using unicast.

1. Measurement setup

Fig. 4 represents measurement setup for multi-hop communication. We use 5 OBUs and measure the performance up to 4 hops. To measure the performance, 5 OBUs are located sequentially, and each OBU is set up to know only the information of adjacent OBU(s). Then, the source node generates and transmits the data using Smart bit instrument. Notice that although we use OBU for measurement, OBU can replace with RSE.

2. Measurement results

(1) Packet delivery ratio

For packet delivery ratio, the length of 64 bytes and 1024 bytes frame is used with 16 QAM signal. For each length, 100,000 frames are transmitted. Fig. 5 represents the measurement results of packet delivery ratio depending on the number of hop. The results show that the packet delivery ratio decreases as the number of hop increases and the packet length is long. This is due to the increment of collisions between the nodes, and the probability of collision increases as the packet length increases. Although the more results of packet
delivery ratio are not presented, it is measured that the packet delivery ratio is 100% for both 64 and 1024 bytes when the number of transmitted frame is 100 and 1000, which indicates that small amount of data traffic cannot induce packet loss.

(2) End-to-end delay

End-to-end delay is measured using the same set up of packet delivery ratio with 100 packet transmissions. The Fig. 6 reveals that end-to-end delay increases abruptly in some situations. In these situations, PHY errors increase dramatically. Therefore, the decrement of end-to-end delay is also related contention of packets when the number of hops increases, and the effect of number of hops is more critical in delay than the packet delivery ratio.

(3) Throughput

For throughput measurement, we use 1024 bytes with 16 QAM signal. Fig. 7 depicts the throughput depending on the number of hops. Similar to the Figs. 5 and 6, the throughput decreases as the number of hop increases. This is also due to the nature of CSMA/CA. For increasing the packet delivery ratio, end-to-end delay, and throughput, an advanced MAC may be required, which is directly related to the collision issue in IEEE 802.11 based standard.

V. Conclusions

In this paper, we investigated the multi-hop communication schemes for IEEE 802.11p based communication systems. We first introduced the general system architecture and showed some basic performance measurement results of V2V communications. Then, a multi-hop scheme for broadcast and unicast is studied. Practical measurement results showed that the overall performance of multi-hop communication decreases as the number of hop increases, a new MAC may be required to increase overall communication performance in multi-hop communication.
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