Osteoarthritic changes and condylar positioning of the temporomandibular joint in Korean children and adolescents
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: To investigate the prevalence of osteoarthritic changes and condylar positioning of the temporomandibular joint (TMJ) in Korean children and adolescents with or without temporomandibular disorders (TMDs).

Materials and Methods: A total of 101 asymptomatic and 181 symptomatic children and adolescents aged 10 to 18 years old were included in the study. Osteoarthritic changes such as flattening, sclerosis, osteophytes, or erosion, and the parasagittal positioning of the condyle were assessed using cone-beam computed tomography (CBCT) images.

Results: The overall prevalence of osteoarthritic changes was higher in symptomatic (26.8%) than in asymptomatic adolescents (9.9%) (p < 0.05). In the symptomatic group, the frequency was higher in males (33.3%) than in females (23.0%) (p < 0.05). Erosion was the most common change for the symptomatic group (15.6%), whereas sclerosis was the most common change for the asymptomatic group (5.4%). Posterior condylar position was more frequently observed in the symptomatic group (p < 0.05). Erosion was more common in the samples with TMJ pain or mouth opening limitations as compared to those without them (p < 0.05).

Conclusion: This study showed that osteoarthritic changes in TMJ were common in children and adolescents, with a much higher prevalence in symptomatic patients. (Imaging Sci Dent 2012; 42 : 169-74)
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Introduction

Temporomandibular joint (TMJ) disorders are common problems among children and adolescents. Their incidence has been reported as being between 6% and 68% in previous studies.1-5 Radiographic examination may serve to provide additional information along with clinical findings. Despite the high incidence of TMJ dysfunction in children and adolescents, radiographic studies have rarely been carried out. It has been reported that osteoarthritis of the TMJ is an age-related change and is more frequent in older persons than in younger persons.6,7 However, a study reported that the pediatric age group with TMJ pain and dysfunction showed evidence of degenerative arthritis in 37% of cases.8 Osteoarthritis (OA) of the TMJ in children might potentially have an effect on mandibular growth and lead to an altered skeletal structure.9 Therefore, attention should be paid to any osteoarthritic changes in the TMJ in children.

The clinical significance of condylar positioning in the glenoid fossa is controversial. It seems that TMJ disorder (TMD) patients are more likely to have posterior condylar positioning.10-13 However, some investigators have suggested no significant association between condylar positioning and clinical or radiographic findings.14-18

This study was performed to assess the prevalence of osteoarthritic changes and the parasagittal condylar positioning of TMJ in children and adolescents with or without TMJ symptoms.
Materials and Methods

Subjects

We retrospectively reviewed the data of 10- to 18-year-old patients who underwent cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) images in our hospital between October 2009 and March 2011, and collected 181 symptomatic and 101 asymptomatic patients (Table 1). The symptomatic group consisted of 66 males and 115 females who presented for the treatment of TMDs. A clinical examination was performed to assess the presence of mouth opening limitation, pain, and TMJ noise in each patient. A maximum opening under 40 mm was considered to be limited mouth opening. TMJ pain was assessed by asking the patient if he or she felt joint or muscle pain during mandibular function. TMJ noise was based on either crepitation or a clicking sound.

The asymptomatic group was composed of 40 males and 61 females who had no TMJ signs or symptoms. All of them had undergone CBCT scans for the thorough examination of tooth impaction or an orthodontic evaluation.

In both groups, the patients who had any conditions that could affect TMJ components such as skeletal abnormalities, TMJ tumors, or other infectious disease were excluded.

Methods

CBCT scans were obtained with PaX-Zenith3D (Vatech, Kihung, Korea) in the maximal intercuspal position, with a rotation of 360 degrees for data acquisition. The exposure factors were a 24 × 19 cm field of view, 120 kVp, 5-6 mA, and a 24 seconds exposure time. Images were reconstructed using a high spatial frequency reconstruction algorithm immediately after acquisition, and the acquired image data consisted of a 14-bit scale with a 0.3 mm³ voxel size. For interpretation, real-time reconstruction was performed using an Ez3D 2009 3D image viewer (Vatech, Kihung, Korea), and the axial, coronal, and sagittal two-dimensional (2D) multi-planar reformatted slices were provided.

Two experienced oral and maxillofacial radiologists independently assessed the images on Coronis 5MP monitors (Barco, Brussels, Belgium 2,048 × 2,560 image matrices, 10-bit viewable gray scale, and 145.9-ft-lambert luminescence). Interpretation was made using a combination of all three plane images via an interactive multi-planar display. They were allowed to adjust the window width, window level, and magnification. No demographic data or clinical features were available to them.

Radiographic osteoarthritic changes of flattening, sclerosis, osteophytes, and erosion for both the temporal part and condyle of the TMJ were evaluated.

The criteria were as follows: flattening-a loss of the round contour of the condyle at the load bearing area, sclerosis-an increased density of the cortical lining or the subchondral bone, osteophyte-a marginal bone outgrowth, and erosion-an interruption or absence of the cortical lining.

Parasagittal condylar positioning was assessed in accordance with the method of Pullinger and Hollender, suggesting linear measurement of the subjective closest anterior and posterior interarticular space (Fig. 1). Parasagittal plane perpendicular to the long axis of the condyle on axial plane was created and the image passing through the center was used to evaluate condylar positioning. The values of the narrowest anterior (A) and posterior (P) interarticular space were transferred to the following formula from Pullinger et al.

\[
\frac{(P - A)}{(P + A)} \times 100
\]

The position was expressed as a percentage of the anteri-
or or posterior displacement from absolute concentricity (zero). The range of ±12% was considered to be concentric. Values smaller than −12 indicated that the condyle was in a posterior position, and values greater than +12 indicated that the condyle was in an anterior position.

**Statistical analysis**

First, we calculated the intersubject agreement by using Cohen’s kappa coefficient, which showed substantial agreement (κ = 0.67). Then, the two observers discussed the disagreed-upon cases together and reached an agreement. We used these results for further statistical analysis.

A comparison was carried out to evaluate differences between the asymptomatic and the symptomatic groups. Additionally, gender and age differences were analyzed within each group. For the symptomatic group, differences in the clinical variables of mouth opening limitation, TMJ pain, and noise were assessed. The statistical analysis was performed using χ² test and the Fisher exact test. The level of statistical significance was set at .05. The calculations were performed with PASW Statistics 18 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

The occurrence of osteoarthritic change was much higher in the symptomatic group (26.8%) than in the asymptomatic group (9.9%), showing a significantly higher prevalence of sclerosis, osteophytes, and erosion in the symptomatic group (p < 0.05) (Fig. 2). The concentric condylar position was most common in the asymptomatic group (72.3%), while posterior positioning of the condyle was dominant in the symptomatic group (52.8%) (p < 0.05) (Fig. 2).

Gender differences were found in the symptomatic group; sclerosis and overall osteoarthritic changes were more frequently observed in males than in females (p < 0.05) (Table 2). In both the asymptomatic and symptomatic groups, there were no significant differences between the two age groups of 10 to 14 and 15 to 18 (Table 3).

In relation to the clinical findings, the patients with mouth opening limitation or TMJ pain showed more erosion than those without them (p < 0.05). TMJ noise showed a statistically significant difference in terms of condylar positioning; posterior positioning of the condyle was observed more often in cases of TMJ noise (p < 0.05) (Table 4).

![Fig. 2. Comparison of osteoarthritic changes of TMJs and parasagittal positioning of the condyles between the asymptomatic and the symptomatic groups.](image)

Table 2. Prevalence of osteoarthritic changes of TMJs and parasagittal positioning of the condyles by gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asymptomatic</th>
<th>Male (n=80) (%)</th>
<th>Female (n=122) (%)</th>
<th>Symptomatic</th>
<th>Male (n=132) (%)</th>
<th>Female (n=230) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condylar changes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flattening</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>5 (4.1)</td>
<td>8 (6.1)</td>
<td>13 (5.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sclerosis</td>
<td>3 (3.8)</td>
<td>8 (6.6)</td>
<td>25 (18.9)</td>
<td>22 (9.6)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteophyte</td>
<td>3 (3.8)</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
<td>10 (7.6)</td>
<td>14 (6.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>2 (2.5)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>27 (20.5)</td>
<td>33 (14.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall OA</td>
<td>7 (8.8)</td>
<td>13 (10.7)</td>
<td>44 (33.3)</td>
<td>53 (23.0)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condylar positioning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centered</td>
<td>58 (72.5)</td>
<td>88 (72.1)</td>
<td>49 (37.1)</td>
<td>100 (43.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>6 (7.5)</td>
<td>14 (11.5)</td>
<td>5 (3.8)</td>
<td>17 (7.4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>16 (20.0)</td>
<td>20 (16.4)</td>
<td>78 (59.1)</td>
<td>113 (49.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistically significant difference between two gender groups (p < 0.05)
Overall OA: one or more osteoarthritic changes of flattening, sclerosis, osteophyte, or erosion
CBCT is an excellent imaging modality for the assessment of bony TMJ components.\(^{21,22}\) It provides 2D multi-planar reformatted images with submillimeter spatial resolution and allows the observer to customize the slices interactively by using a volumetric data set. Honey et al\(^{23}\) reported that CBCT images gave superior reliability and great accuracy in evaluating the TMJ, and when the observers interpreted the images through an interactive display, the diagnostic accuracy was much higher than that achieved through static captured images. In this study, the observers were allowed to access the volumetric data and evaluate osteoarthritic changes by scrolling the multi-planar images interactively.

TMJ osteoarthritis is very common in adults. The radiographic changes corresponding to osteoarthritis can be observed in 12-44% of the general adult population.\(^{1,24}\) However, aging is not the crucial factor in the pathogenesis of osteoarthritis.\(^{25}\) Our results show that TMJ osteoarthritis is also common among children and adolescents. In the asymptomatic group, the prevalence was 9.9%, comparable to that of Petrikowski and Grace’s study.\(^{26}\) They reported that the overall occurrence of TMJ radiographic abnormalities in preorthodontic patients was 6.9% in the 9 to 11 age group and 7.5% in the 12 to 15 age group.

In the symptomatic group, the prevalence of TMJ osteoarthritis was 26.8%, being significantly higher than that of the asymptomatic group. Sanchez-Woodworth et al\(^{8}\) studied pediatric patients (7 to 16 years) with TMJ pain and dysfunction and indicated that 37% of the patients showed evidence of degenerative arthritis on one or both sides. Zhao et al\(^{25}\) reported a lower prevalence of TMJ osteoarthritis. In their study using conventional radiography, the frequency was 13.3% in girls and 8.0% in boys over the range of 11 to 14 years, and it was 17.5% in girls and 10.7% in boys over the range of 15 to 19 years. We attributed the variation in prevalence mainly to the difference in diagnostic criteria, population sampling, and radiographic examination method used. However, all those studies showed that TMJ osteoarthritis was a common finding in

### Table 3. Prevalence of osteoarthritic changes of TMJs and parasagittal positioning of the condyles by age group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>10-14 (n=102) (%)</th>
<th>15-18 (n=100) (%)</th>
<th>10-14 (n=90) (%)</th>
<th>15-18 (n=272) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condylar changes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flattening</td>
<td>2 (2.0)</td>
<td>3 (3.0)</td>
<td>3 (3.3)</td>
<td>18 (6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sclerosis</td>
<td>7 (6.9)</td>
<td>4 (4.0)</td>
<td>13 (14.4)</td>
<td>34 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteophyte</td>
<td>1 (1.0)</td>
<td>4 (4.0)</td>
<td>3 (3.3)</td>
<td>21 (7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>2 (2.0)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>11 (12.2)</td>
<td>49 (18.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall OA</td>
<td>11 (10.8)</td>
<td>9 (9.0)</td>
<td>19 (21.1)</td>
<td>78 (28.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condylar positioning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centered</td>
<td>76 (74.5)</td>
<td>70 (70.0)</td>
<td>36 (40.0)</td>
<td>113 (41.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>9 (8.8)</td>
<td>11 (11.0)</td>
<td>1 (1.1)</td>
<td>21 (7.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>17 (16.7)</td>
<td>19 (19.0)</td>
<td>53 (58.9)</td>
<td>138 (50.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall OA: one or more osteoarthritic changes of flattening, sclerosis, osteophyte, or erosion

### Table 4. Prevalence of osteoarthritic changes of TMJs and parasagittal positioning of the condyles according to clinical features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mouth opening limitation</th>
<th>Pain</th>
<th>Noise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Negative (n=174) (%)</td>
<td>Positive (n=188) (%)</td>
<td>Negative (n=46) (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Osseous changes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flattening</td>
<td>8 (5.0)</td>
<td>13 (6.9)</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sclerosis</td>
<td>17 (9.8)</td>
<td>30 (16.0)</td>
<td>3 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteophyte</td>
<td>9 (5.2)</td>
<td>15 (8.0)</td>
<td>4 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosion</td>
<td>20 (11.5)</td>
<td>40 (21.3)*</td>
<td>3 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall OA</td>
<td>39 (22.4)</td>
<td>58 (30.9)</td>
<td>6 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Condylar positioning</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centered</td>
<td>72 (41.4)</td>
<td>78 (41.5)</td>
<td>18 (39.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior</td>
<td>9 (5.2)</td>
<td>12 (6.9)</td>
<td>5 (10.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior</td>
<td>93 (53.4)</td>
<td>97 (51.6)</td>
<td>23 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*statistically significant difference between negative and positive groups (p<0.05)
Overall OA: one or more osteoarthritic changes of flattening, sclerosis, osteophyte, or erosion

---

---
children as well.

A number of studies\textsuperscript{1,7,14,21} have found that degenerative arthritis of TMJ increased with age. However, that would not always be the case for young people. In this study, there was no significant difference between the two age groups (11-14 and 15-18), although there was a tendency toward a higher prevalence of osteoarthritic changes in the older age group. This finding was in agreement with previous studies that sampled similar age groups.\textsuperscript{25,26}

It is widely accepted that TMD is more common in females. Many studies also claimed that the frequency of osteoarthritic changes was higher in females.\textsuperscript{14,25,26} Some investigators, however, found no gender differences.\textsuperscript{7} In our study, the asymptomatic group showed no significant gender differences, however in the symptomatic group, the prevalence of osteoarthritic changes was higher in males than in females. We speculated that the results arose from gender differences in the willingness to seek help. Boys might be less likely to seek treatment than girls and only visit a hospital in a more advanced stage of the disease.

There has been controversy regarding whether clinical signs and symptoms have effects on radiographic findings. There were several previous studies\textsuperscript{7,27-29} that indicated a poor correlation between TMJ osteoarthrosis and the signs and symptoms of TMD. Wiese et al\textsuperscript{14} reported that pain was not associated with the increased risk of degenerative findings in TMJ tomograms. On the other hand, Kurita et al\textsuperscript{30} reported a significant relationship between the presence of TMJ pain upon mandibular function and osteoarthritic changes at the articular surface. Among other degenerative findings, erosion seems to be associated with subjective symptoms. In this study, erosion was the most common finding in the symptomatic cases, which was consistent with a previous study.\textsuperscript{31} Zhao et al\textsuperscript{25} suggested that the presence of TMJ pain was associated with erosive changes, and Yamada et al\textsuperscript{3} found that erosive bone change was usually accompanied by pain and difficulty in mouth opening. In accordance with these studies, our study demonstrated that erosion was more frequently observed in the subjects with pain or limited mouth opening. Another controversy existed over the clinical significance of condylar positioning in the glenoid fossa. There have been many studies showing no significant association between condylar positioning and clinical and radiographic manifestations.\textsuperscript{14-18} On the other hand, several studies found that TMD patients appeared to have posterior condyle positioning.\textsuperscript{10-13} For the asymptomatic patients, there have been also conflicting reports concerning condylar positioning.

One study indicated that asymptomatic volunteers showed almost randomly distributed condylar positions in the glenoid fossa,\textsuperscript{32} while another claimed that the majority of joints had condyles centered in the glenoid fossa. Our study showed a significantly different distribution between the asymptomatic and the symptomatic groups; a concentric position was more common in the asymptomatic group, and a retruded position was more common in the symptomatic group. It is usually accepted that a retruded condyle is not always associated with TMD. Nonetheless, the posterior positioning of the condyle is commonly observed in TMD patients.

In conclusion, our retrospective study demonstrated that TMJ osteoarthrosis was not unusual in children and adolescents, whether they were complaining of TMD symptoms or not, and considering their age, dentists should pay more attention to monitoring the progression of degenerative changes of TMJ until the stability of mandibular growth would be established.
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