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ABSTRACT

In this paper, initial value problem for dynamical astronomy will be established using parabolic cylindrical coordinates. Computation algorithm is developed for the initial value problem of gravity perturbed trajectories. Applications of the algorithm for the problem of final state predication are illustrated by numerical examples of seven test orbits of different eccentricities. The numerical results are extremely accurate and efficient in predicing final state for gravity perturbed trajectories which is of extreme importance for scientific researches as well as for military purposes. Moreover, an additional efficiency of the algorithm is that, for each of the test orbits, the step size used for solving the differential equations of motion is larger than $70\%$ of the step size used for obtaining its reference final state solution.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The application of the conventional equations of space dynamic for the motion of Earth's artificial satellites gives inaccurate prediction for their positions and velocities. This is because that these equations are unstable in the Liapunov sense (Stiefel & Scheifele 1971). In brief, the deficiency of these equations is due to the choice of the variables, which in turn has led some authors to propose successful methods to change of the dependent and/or independent variables so as to regularize the differential equations of motion. Of these is, the method established by Stiefel and Scheifele, in 1971. This method consists of changing the independent variable from time to a new variable, which is proportional to the eccentric anomaly in the elliptic case or its equivalent in hyperbolic case. The method then changes the coordinates from three-dimensional Cartesian space to a four-dimensional space by what they called the KS transformation. The resulting equations are four-dimensional harmonic oscillator. Applications of this transformation to problem in space dynamics showed its very great accuracy even for complex systems (e.g., Sharaf et al. 1987). In the present paper, initial value problem for dynamical astronomy will be established using parabolic cylindrical coordinates in which the independent variables are only, changed which in turn produce transformations from three dimensional space to another three dimensional space. Computation algorithm is developed for the initial value problem of gravity perturbed trajectories. Applications of the algorithm for the problem of final state predication are illustrated by numerical examples of seven test orbits of different eccentricities. The numerical results are extremely accurate and efficient in predicing final state for gravity perturbed trajectories which is of extreme importance for scientific researches as well as for military purposes. Moreover, an additional efficiency of the algorithm is that, for each of the test orbits, the step size of the independent variable (time) used for solving the differential equations of motion is larger than $70\%$ of the step size used for obtaining its reference final state solution.

II. MOTION IN PARABOLIC CYLINDRICAL COORDINATES

(a) Direct transformations

The transformations between Cartesian coordinates $(x, y, z)$ and parabolic cylindrical coordinates $(u_1, u_2, u_3)$ are given as (e.g., Margenau & Murphy 1966):

$$x = \frac{1}{2}(u_1^2 - u_2^2); \quad y = u_1 u_2; \quad z = u_3, \quad (1)$$

$$-\infty < u_1 < \infty, \quad 0 \leq u_2 < \infty, \quad -\infty < u_3 < \infty$$

Differentiating eq. (1) with respect to the time $t$, we get

$$\dot{x} = u_1 \dot{u}_1 - u_2 \dot{u}_2; \quad \dot{y} = u_2 \dot{u}_1 + u_1 \dot{u}_2; \quad \dot{z} = \dot{u}_3 \quad (2)$$

where the 'dot' is used to denote the differentiation with respect to the time $t$.

(b) Inverse transformations

The first two of eq. (1) could be written as:

$$(x + iy)^{1/2} = \frac{1}{2}(u_1 + iu_2); \quad i = \sqrt{-1},$$
then we get:

\[ u_1^2 + u_2^2 = 2(x^2 + y^2)^{1/2}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

Since \(-\infty < u_1 < \infty\), \(u_2 \geq 0\) and \(y = u_1 u_2\), it follows from eqs. (1) and (3) that:

\[ u_1 = \pm \left( (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2} + x \right)^{1/2}; \]
\[ u_2 = \left( (x^2 + y^2)^{1/2} - x \right)^{1/2}; \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)
\[ u_3 = z, \]

where the positive (negative, sign is when \(y \geq 0\))(< 0). Differentiating eq. (1) with respect to \(t\) and then solving for \(u_1\) and \(u_2\) we get:

\[ \dot{u}_1 = \frac{u_1 \dot{x} + u_2 \dot{y}}{u_1^2 + u_2^2}; \quad \dot{u}_2 = \frac{u_1 \dot{y} + u_2 \dot{x}}{u_1^2 + u_2^2}; \quad \dot{u}_3 = \dot{z}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

where \(u_1\) and \(u_2\) are given in terms of \((x, y, z)\) from eq. (4).

(c) Equations of motion

In the present paper we shall suppose that the motion is controlled by a gravitational potential, \(V = V(x, y, z)\), so the equations of motion in Cartesian coordinates \((x, y, z)\) are:

\[ \ddot{x} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}; \quad \ddot{y} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}; \quad \ddot{z} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

From the above equations we get:

\[ \ddot{u}_1 = \frac{1}{U^2} \left( -u_1^2 \dot{u}_1 + u_1 \dot{u}_2 - 2 u_2 \dot{u}_1 \dot{u}_2 + \frac{\partial V}{\partial u_1} \right), \]  \hspace{1cm} (7a)
\[ \ddot{u}_2 = \frac{1}{U^2} \left( u_1^2 \dot{u}_2 - u_2 \dot{u}_2^2 - 2 u_1 \dot{u}_1 \dot{u}_2 + \frac{\partial V}{\partial u_2} \right), \]  \hspace{1cm} (7b)
\[ \ddot{u}_3 = \frac{\partial V}{\partial u_3}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (7c)

where \(U^2 = u_1^2 + u_2^2\). The partial derivatives \(\frac{\partial V}{\partial u_j}\); \(j = 1, 2, 3\) are given in terms of the known partial derivatives \(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}\) and \(\frac{\partial V}{\partial z}\) by:

\[ \frac{\partial V}{\partial u_1} = u_1 \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + u_2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (8a)
\[ \frac{\partial V}{\partial u_2} = -u_2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} + u_1 \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}, \]  \hspace{1cm} (8b)
\[ \frac{\partial V}{\partial u_3} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial z}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (8c)

It should be noted that the equations of the present section are general in the sense that it could be applied for any dynamical system. In what follows we shall consider the applications of these equations for the motion of \(J_2\) gravity perturbed trajectories.

III. APPLICATION TO GRAVITY PERTURBED TRAJECTORIES

(a) The potential \(V\) and its partial derivatives

For \(J_2\) gravity perturbed trajectories, the potential \(V\) is given as:

\[ V = V(x, y, z) = \frac{\mu}{r} + \frac{c}{r^3} \left[ 3 \left( \frac{z}{r} \right)^2 - 1 \right], \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)

where

\[ c = J_2 \mu R_\oplus^2 / 2; \quad r = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{1/2}, \]

with the constants: \(\mu\) the gravitational parameter, which is universal gravitational constant times the Earth’s mass, \(J_2\) the Earth’s dynamic oblateness (the lowest-degree harmonic component of the gravity field), and \(R_\oplus\) is the mean Earth’s equatorial radius. The numerical values of these constants are:

\[ \mu = 398600.8 \text{ km}^3 \text{ sec}^{-2}; \]
\[ J_2 = 1.0826157 \times 10^{-3}; \]
\[ R_\oplus = 6378.135 \text{ km}. \]

From Equation (9) we have:

\[ \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} = \frac{\mu x}{r^3} + 3c \left( \frac{x}{r} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{5z^2}{r^2} \right), \]  \hspace{1cm} (10a)
\[ \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} = \frac{\mu y}{r^3} + 3c \left( \frac{y}{r} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{5z^2}{r^2} \right), \]  \hspace{1cm} (10b)
\[ \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} = \frac{\mu z}{r^3} + 3c \left( \frac{z}{r} \right) \left( 3 - \frac{5z^2}{r^2} \right). \]  \hspace{1cm} (10c)

(b) Initial value algorithm

In what follows, the initial value algorithm for \(J_2\) gravity perturbed trajectories in parabolic cylindrical coordinates will be considered. The algorithm is described through its basic points: input, output and computational steps.

Input:

- \(x_0, y_0, z_0, \dot{x}_0, \dot{y}_0, \dot{z}_0\) at \(t = t_0\),

- the flight time, \(t = t_f\),

- \(\frac{\partial V}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial V}{\partial y}, \text{ and } \frac{\partial V}{\partial z}\); (eq. 10)

Output: \(x_0, y_0, z_0, \dot{x}_0, \dot{y}_0, \dot{z}_0\) at \(t = t_f\)

Computational steps:

1- Using eqs. (1) and (10) into eq. (8), to find the
analytical expressions of the partial derivatives \( \frac{\partial V}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \) and \( \frac{\partial V}{\partial z} \) as functions in \( u_j; j = 1, 2, 3 \) as:

\[
\frac{\partial V}{\partial u_1} = \frac{4u_1U^2 \left( -U^4 A - 8Bu_3^2 - 16\mu u_3^2 \right)}{(U^4 + 4u_3^2)^{7/2}},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial V}{\partial u_2} = \frac{4u_2U^2 \left( -U^4 A - 8Bu_3^2 - 16\mu u_3^2 \right)}{(U^4 + 4u_3^2)^{7/2}},
\]

\[
\frac{\partial V}{\partial u_3} = \frac{8u_3 \left( -240\mu^2 + 36\mu D - \mu D^2 \right)}{(U^4 + 4u_3^2)^{7/2}},
\]

(11a)

where

\[ A = -12c + \mu U^4; \quad B = 24c + \mu U^4; \quad D = U^4 + 4u_3^2. \]

2- The Equations of motion in eq. (7) can be written as first order system in the form:

\[
\dot{u}_1 = u_4,
\]

\[
\dot{u}_2 = u_5,
\]

\[
\dot{u}_3 = u_6,
\]

\[
\dot{u}_4 = \frac{1}{U^2} \left( -u_4^2 u_1 + u_1 u_5^2 - 2u_2 u_4 u_5 + \frac{\partial V}{\partial u_1} \right),
\]

\[
\dot{u}_5 = \frac{1}{U^2} \left( u_4^2 u_2 - u_2 u_5^2 - 2u_1 u_4 u_5 + \frac{\partial V}{\partial u_2} \right),
\]

\[
\dot{u}_6 = \frac{\partial V}{\partial u_3}.
\]

3- Compute the initial conditions, \( u_0; j = 1, 2, \ldots, 6 \) for the above system by applying the transformations: \((x, y, z) \rightarrow (x_0, y_0, z_0)\) and \((\dot{x}, \dot{y}, \dot{z}) \rightarrow (\dot{x}_0, \dot{y}_0, \dot{z}_0)\) in eqs. (4) and (5).

4 - Using these initial conditions to solve numerically the above differential system for \( u_j; j = 1, 2, \ldots, 6 \) at \( t = t_f \) where \( u_4 \equiv \dot{u}_1, u_5 \equiv \dot{u}_2 \) and \( u_6 \equiv \dot{u}_3 \) at \( t = t_f \).

5 - Using \( u_j; \dot{u}_j; j = 1, 2, 3 \) to compute \( x, y, z \) and \( \dot{x}, \dot{y}, \dot{z} \) at \( t = t_f \) from the direct transformations of Equations (1)

6 - End

(c) Numerical applications

The purpose of this section is to demonstrate the efficiency of the initial value problem using parabolic cylindrical coordinates in producing very accurate final state predictions for \( J_2 \) gravity perturbed trajectories. 

i) Test orbits

For the applications of the above formulations, we consider seven test orbits given in the Appendix C of Vinti’s book, 1998. All these orbits have the initial time \( t = t_0 \) and each of different flight time \( t_f \), they cover the three basic types of conic motion - elliptic, parabolic and hyperbolic orbits characterized by the initial conditions listed together with \( t_f \), in the first columns of the tables of Appendix A of the present paper. The components of the position vector for each orbit are in km, while the corresponding components of the velocity vector are in km/sec.

ii) Reference orbits

For each orbit, the \( J_2 \) gravity perturbed equations of motion in Cartesian coordinate [eqs. (6) and (10)] are solved by the classical Runge-Kutta integrator with variable step size. A final state prediction was determined by reducing the step size until at least five decimal places (less than \( 10^{-2} \) meter (m) stabilized in \( x(t_f), y(t_f) \) and \( z(t_f) \)). These values are considered as reference final states solutions to the orbit they refer and are denoted by:

\[
r_R \equiv (x_R(t_f), y_R(t_f), z_R(t_f))
\]

and \( r_R \equiv (\dot{x}_R(t_f), \dot{y}_R(t_f), \dot{z}_R(t_f)) \)

(12)

for the reference position and velocity vectors respectively. The components of these vectors are listed for each orbit in the second columns of the tables of Appendix A.

iii) Efficiency of parabolic cylindrical coordinates

Upon the above reference solutions the efficiency of the initial value problem for \( J_2 \) gravity perturbed trajectories using the parabolic cylindrical coordinates (PC- solution) may be checked by testing its ability in predicting final states within certain tolerances as follows. Let \( r \equiv (x(t_f), y(t_f), z(t_f)) \) and \( \dot{r} \equiv (\dot{x}(t_f), \dot{y}(t_f), \dot{z}(t_f)) \) are the final state of the PC-solution of a given orbit. The efficiency of the PC-solution are then checked by the magnitude of the error criteria \( \Delta R \) and \( \Delta v \) as:

\[
\Delta R = \left( (x - x_R)^2 + (y - y_R)^2 + (z - z_R)^2 \right)^{1/2} \times 1000 \text{ in m}
\]

(13)

\[
\Delta v = \left( (\dot{x} - \dot{x}_R)^2 + (\dot{y} - \dot{y}_R)^2 + (\dot{z} - \dot{z}_R)^2 \right)^{1/2} \times 1000 \text{ in m/sec}
\]

(14)

such that, the small the values of \( \Delta R \) and \( \Delta v \), the higher the efficiency will be, in this respect, we may define an acceptable solution set (SS) to the problem at hand as:
\[ S.S = \{(r, \dot{r}) : \Delta R \leq \epsilon_1, \Delta v \leq \epsilon_2\} \quad (15) \]

where \( \epsilon_{1,2} \) are given tolerances. For the very accurate predictions required nowadays we may consider the tolerances \( \epsilon_{1,2} \) as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\epsilon_1 &= 1 \text{ m } \pm 10\text{cm}, \quad (16a) \\
\epsilon_2 &= 0.25\text{m/sec.} \quad (16b)
\end{align*}
\]

The components of the position and velocity vectors \( r \) and \( \dot{r} \) of the PC solution are listed for each of the test orbits in the third columns of the table of Appendix A., while the values of the errors \( \Delta R \) and \( \Delta v \) of eqs. (13) and(14) are given at the bottom of each table. These values indicated in accordance with the acceptance solution set that, the PC solution is very accurate and efficient in predicating final state for \( J_2 \) gravity perturbed trajectories which is of extreme importance for scientific researches as well as for military purposes. Moreover, an additional efficiency of the algorithm is that, for each of the test orbit, the step size of the independent variable(time) used for solving the differential equations of motion is larger than 70% of time step size used for obtaining its reference final state solution.
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APPENDIX: NUMERICAL RESULTS

| Low Earth Orbit |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Initial Conditions | Reference Solution | PC-solution |
| \( x_0 = 2328.96594 \) | \( x_R = 516.459039 \) | \( x = 516.459038 \) |
| \( y_0 = -5995.21600 \) | \( y_R = 3026.5115474 \) | \( y = 3026.5115496 \) |
| \( z_0 = 1719.97894 \) | \( z_R = 584.8117544 \) | \( z = 584.8117543 \) |
| \( \dot{x}_0 = 2.911101130 \) | \( \dot{x}_R = 3.96659 \) | \( \dot{x} = 3.966599 \) |
| \( \dot{y}_0 = -0.981640553 \) | \( \dot{y}_R = -6.121618 \) | \( \dot{y} = -6.121618 \) |
| \( \dot{z}_0 = 7.090492220 \) | \( \dot{z}_R = -3.274866 \) | \( \dot{z} = -2.754866 \) |
| \( t_f = 10000 \text{ sec} \) | | |
| \( \Delta R = 0.00302 \text{ (m)} \) | \( \Delta v = 0.0 \text{ (m/sec)} \) |