Effects of comonomer with carboxylic group on stabilization of high molecular weight polyacrylonitrile nanofibrous copolymers
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Abstract
New precursors, poly(acrylonitrile-co-crotonic acid) (poly(AN-CA)) and poly(acrylonitrile-co-itaconic acid-co-crotonic acid) (poly(AN-IA-CA)) copolymers, for the preparation of carbon fibers, were explored in this study. The effects of comonomers with acidic groups, such as crotonic acid (CA) and/or itaconic acid (IA), on the stabilization of nanofibrous polyacrylonitrile (PAN) copolymers were studied. The extent of stabilization, evaluated by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy, revealed that the CA comonomer could retard/control the stabilization rate of PAN, in contrast to the IA comonomer, which accelerated the stabilization process. Moreover, the synthesized PAN copolymers containing CA possessed higher Mv than those of the IA copolymers and also showed outstanding dimension stability of nanofibers during the stabilization, which may be a useful property for improving the dimensional stability of polymer composites during manufacturing.
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1. Introduction
Carbon fibers are finding increased uses in a variety of applications because of their high tensile strength and modulus combined with their light weight, which make these fibers attractive for high volume applications ranging from sporting goods to aircraft structures [1]. It is well known that carbon fiber can be made from various precursors, such as polyacrylonitrile (PAN), rayon, pitch, etc., for industrial production at present [2]. Among these materials, PAN-based precursors are preferable since the resulting carbon fibers have relatively high strength and elongation, and have very low volume fraction of voids [3-5]. However, the use of pristine PAN homopolymer is restricted due to its low spinnability and stabilization [6,7]. An important strategy to improve the processability of pristine PAN is to incorporate small amounts of comonomers containing a carboxyl group, such as acrylic acid (AA), itaconic acid (IA), or methacrylic acid (MAA) into PAN during polymerization. The use of acidic comonomers is indeed preferable for significant reduction of the initiation temperature of cyclization; such addition also promotes the stabilization of PAN. In this study, we have evaluated PAN copolymers containing crotonic acid (CA), a structural analog of MAA, as new precursors for carbon fiber; these new materials are compared with PAN homopolymer and poly(AN-IA) copolymer as controls. The effects of carboxylic acid on the stabilization of PAN copolymers were examined. The synthesized PAN homopolymer, poly(AN-IA), poly(AN-CA), and poly(AN-IA-CA) copolymers were electrospun into fine fibers; this process was followed by stabilization. Structural evaluation was performed by Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis.
2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis of PAN homopolymer and poly (AN-CA), poly(AN-IA), poly(AN-IA-CA) copolymers

PAN homopolymer and poly(AN-IA), poly(AN-CA), poly(AN-IA-CA) copolymers were prepared using a conventional free-radical polymerization technique. Briefly, 3.92 g of acrylonitrile (AN) (98.0 wt%), 0.08 g of CA (2.0 wt%), and 12.4 mg of azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN, 0.2 mol% of monomers), were stirred in 16 g of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) under nitrogen atmosphere at room temperature for 30 min followed by reflux at 60°C for 24 h. The synthesized poly(AN-CA) copolymer was precipitated in deionized water, and then washed with deionized water and methanol in sequence. The solid product was recovered by filtration and dried under vacuum at 40°C for 24 h. Similarly, poly(AN-IA) copolymer was also synthesized. In the case of poly(AN-IA-CA), the total comonomer concentrations of IA (f_{IA}) and CA (f_{CA}) were controlled to be ca. 2.0 wt%. Each comonomer was kept at a concentration of 1.0 wt%. PAN homopolymer was synthesized using a similar procedure in the absence of comonomers.

**PAN:** Yield, 64.0%. ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 1.94-2.24 ppm (2H, -CH₂), 3.04-3.29 ppm (1H, -CH).

**Poly(AN-IA) copolymer:** Yield: 70.4%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 1.94-2.23 ppm (-CH₂ of AN and IA), 3.04-3.27 ppm (1H, -CH).

**Poly(AN-CA) copolymer:** Yield: 70.0%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 1.39 ppm (-CH₃ of CA), 1.95-2.25 ppm (-CH₂ of AN and IA), 3.03-3.27 ppm (1H, -CH).

**Poly(AN-IA-CA) copolymer:** Yield: 70.0%. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 1.39 ppm (-CH₃ of CA), 1.95-2.23 ppm (2H, -CH₂ of AN and IA), 3.05-3.27 ppm (1H, -CH).

2.2. Stabilization of electrospun PAN homopolymer and its copolymer nanofibers

All the synthesized polymers were dissolved in DMF under magnetic stirring with a polymer content of 9.0 wt%. The solution was supplied through a 10-mL syringe having a plastic tip with an inner diameter of 0.6 mm. Electrospinning [8] was carried out at 8 kV using a NanoNC power supply with a tip-to-collector distance of 15 cm at about 23°C-27°C and relative humidity of 45%-55%. The electrospun fibers were collected on an aluminum foil and dried under vacuum at room temperature. The stabilizations of the electrospun PAN homo- and copolymer nanofibers were performed by increasing from room temperature to 280°C at a heating rate of 1.0°C/min; samples were kept at 280°C for 1 h under aerobic condition [9,13].

2.3. Characterization

The Ubbelohde viscometry method was used to measure the viscosity average molecular weight (M_v) of the synthesized PAN homopolymer and its copolymers at 25 ± 1°C. M_v was calculated with the following Eq. (1) [10].

$$[\eta] = 2.33 \times 10^{-4} \times [M_v]^{0.75}$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where [\eta] is the intrinsic viscosity obtained by extrapolating the concentration-reduced viscosity plot to zero concentration.

The synthesized polymers were characterized by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (FT-NMR, JNM-EX400, 400 MHz) in DMSO-d₆ solvent. The morphologies of the as-spun and stabilized nanofibers were observed under a JEOL JSM-5900 scanning electron microscope (SEM) after sputtering the samples with platinum for 120 s. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were conducted from room temperature to 800°C using a TA Q600 at a heating rate of 10°C/min. XRD patterns were recorded on a Rigaku X-ray diffractometer (Cu Kα radiation) with a scanning rate of 4°/min.

### Table 1. Synthesis and characteristics of PAN homopolymer, and poly(AN-IA), poly(AN-CA), poly(AN-IA-CA) copolymers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymers</th>
<th>$f_{CA}^{i}$ (wt%)</th>
<th>$f_{IA}^{i}$ (wt%)</th>
<th>$f_{CA+AN}^{i}$ (wt%)</th>
<th>$M_v$ (g/mol)</th>
<th>$E_r^{\phi}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAN</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>245 471</td>
<td>1.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(AN-IA)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>240 536</td>
<td>7.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(AN-CA)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>333 426</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(AN-IA-CA)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>291 072</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


$f_{CA}^{i}$ = [IA]×100/ [IA+AN] in feed.

$f_{CA+AN}^{i}$ = [CA+AN]×100/ [CA+AN] in feed.

$E_r^{\phi}$ = A_{diffusion}/ A_{contraction} in polymer calculated from Fourier transform infra-red spectroscopy.
tion of a ladder structure [14]. As the stabilization proceeded, the 2245 cm\(^{-1}\) band of the nitrile groups decreased in intensity. The 1595 cm\(^{-1}\) band continued to increase, showing an enhanced stabilization reaction [15]. Here, the \(E_s\) parameter was defined to evaluate the extent of stabilization:

\[
E_s = \frac{A_{1595\text{cm}^{-1}}}{A_{2245\text{cm}^{-1}}}
\]

where \(A\) is the absorbance, defined as \(A = \log(T/T_o)\); \(T_o\) and \(T\) are the transmittances at baseline and maximum, respectively. In accordance with Lambert-Beer’s law: \(A = abc\), where \(a\) is the molar absorption coefficient, \(b\) is the thickness of the film (cm), and \(c\) is the molar concentration (mol/cm). Since the absorbances \((A_{1595\text{cm}^{-1}}, A_{2245\text{cm}^{-1}})\) of the two peaks at the 2245 and 1595 cm\(^{-1}\) bands were determined using the same fiber, namely, a fiber with the same value of \(b\), the ratio of the absorbance of these two bands is approximately equal to the ratio of the content of the generated C=N groups to the residual C≡N groups. The inset in Fig. 2b shows the \(E_s\) values of the PAN homopolymer and its copolymers. AN: acrylonitrile, IA: itaconic acid, CA: crotonic acid.
Effects of comonomer on stabilization of HMW-PAN nanofibers

Fig. 3. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) curves of electrospun polyacrylonitrile homopolymer (a), poly(AN-IA) (b), poly(AN-CA) (c), poly(AN-IA-CA) (d) copolymer nanofibers before (A) and after (B) stabilization at 280°C for 1 h. The inset shows the magnified view of the TGA curves at the first step during heating. AN: acrylonitrile, IA: itaconic acid, CA: crotonic acid.

of its copolymers with different weight ratios of CA and IA comonomer contents. The E_s value of the PAN copolymers was higher than that of the PAN homopolymer. That is, the poly(AN-IA) copolymer had an E_s value higher than that of the other copolymers. Moreover, the E_s value of the poly(AN-IA-CA) copolymer was somewhat larger than that of the poly(AN-CA) copolymer. This indicates that the CA comonomer can retard/control the extent of stabilization of PAN; this is in contrast to the case of the IA comonomer, which accelerates the stabilization. It is most important to control the rates of physical and chemical changes due to the presence during the stabilization of a variety of exothermic chemical reactions, including cyclization, dehydrogenation, oxidation, crosslinking, and fragmentation. Among these processes, the cyclization reactions, which convert the polymer chains into an infusible stable ladder structure, were of highest importance, playing a key role in the preparation of the carbon fibers [16].

In order to investigate the thermal degradation behaviors of the synthesized PAN homopolymer and its copolymers, TGA analysis was carried out. Fig. 3 shows the TGA results for the synthesized PAN homopolymer, and for the poly(AN-IA), poly(AN-CA), poly(AN-IA-CA) copolymers. TGA data can be separated into three main steps based on the degree of weight loss. The first step was prior to 260°C, during which the weight loss was tiny and cyclization occurred. Clearly, the PAN copolymers had higher levels of weight loss than did PAN homopolymer. It was apparent that IA and CA as acidic comonomers accelerated the extent of cyclization during the stabilization, which also led to the lower E_s value (inset of Fig. 2b). The second step was around 260°C–450°C, during which the curves exhibited a sharp decline, mostly due to dehydrogenation [16,17]. After the formation of a stable ladder structure via cyclization, the PAN homopolymer and poly(AN-CA) copolymer showed higher levels of decomposition. This suggests that the greater cyclization for the case of the poly(AN-IA) copolymer resulted in greater thermal stability. However, the poly(AN-IA-CA) copolymer with the CA of 1.0 wt% showed a thermal stability similar to that of the poly(AN-IA) copolymer, indicating that the CA comonomer improved the thermal stability during the second step (Fig. 2). During the third step, above 450°C, the weight loss tended to be stable. Furthermore, the poly(AN-IA) and poly(AN-IA-CA) copolymers exhibited higher residues at 800°C than did the PAN homopolymer or the poly(AN-CA) copolymer.

The typical XRD pattern of the as-spun PAN homopolymer showed a strong peak at 20 = 17°; its copolymer nanofibers showed relatively weak peaks around 20 = 24° (for the PAN homopolymer) and 29° (for the PAN copolymers); these last two peaks were assigned to the (100) and (010) crystalline planes of the pseudohexagonal lattice. Compared to the PAN homopolymer, the peak at 20 = 17° in the case of the PAN copolymers was relatively weak. This indicates that there were fewer intermolecular nitrile group pairings in the PAN copolymers because of the random/irregular arrangement of the molecular chains [14]. After stabilization of the poly(AN-IA) copolymer fibers, the intensity of the main peak at 20 = 17° nearly disappeared (data not shown). Similar observations have been reported in other studies [14]. On the other hand, poly(AN-CA) and poly(AN-IA-CA) copolymer fibers still showed weak diffraction, suggesting that the incorporated CA comonomers were able to sustain the crystal structure of the polymers.

Scheme 1 shows the cyclization in the poly(AN-IA-CA) copolymer, initiated through an ionic mechanism. It is widely accepted that the cyclization of nitrile groups in PAN can be initiated only at a higher temperature through a free radical mechanism, while the cyclization in poly(AN-IA) copolymer can be initiated by the carboxylic groups of IA units at a lower temperature through an ionic mechanism [16]. As can be seen in Scheme 1, the hydroxyl oxygen of ─COOH in the IA or CA units makes a nucleophilic attack on the carbon atom of an adjacent nitrile group and induces it to cycle. Such an oxygen uptake
reaction can be confirmed by FT-IR analysis (Fig. 1b), which shows two shoulders at 1718 and 1660 cm\(^{-1}\), which were due to the oxygen uptake reactions. The first shoulder was assigned to C=O stretching vibrations of a free ketone; the second was assigned to stretching vibrations of a conjugated ketone [16,17]. In this work, the major difference between IA and CA when used as comonomers containing acid groups is the number of carboxylic groups, which provides more opportunities to induce the nitrile group to cyclize following the ionic mechanism. Therefore, it was expected that the copolymer containing IA experienced more cyclization than did the copolymer containing CA, promoting the extent of stabilization.

4. Conclusions

New precursors, poly(AN-CA) and poly(AN-IA-CA) copolymers, for the preparation of carbon fibers, were synthesized via a conventional free-radical polymerization. The synthesized PAN copolymers, which contain CA, showed higher \(M_v\) values than those of the PAN copolymers; they also showed outstanding dimensional stability of nanofibers at higher temperature and hence may be useful in improving the dimensional stability of polymer composites during manufacturing processes. As a result, it was determined that the CA comonomer can retard/control the stabilization rate of PAN, in contrast to the case of the IA comonomer, which accelerates the stabilization process. IA and CA as acidic comonomers accelerate the extent of cyclization during stabilization, which also corresponds to lower \(E_c\) values.
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