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Abstract

In machine learning and statistics it is often the case that some variables are not important, while some variables are more important than others. We propose a novel algorithm for selecting such relevant variables in the kernel Cox regression. We employ the weighted version of ANOVA decomposition kernels to choose optimal subset of relevant variables in the kernel Cox regression. Experimental results are then presented which indicate the performance of the proposed method.
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1. Introduction

Let $t_i$ be the response variables corresponding to input vector $x_i$ or transformation on it, where $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. In fact we can not observe $t_i$'s but the observed variable, $y_i = \min(t_i, c_i)$ and $\delta_i = I(t_i \leq c_i)$, where $I(\cdot)$ denotes the indicator function and $c_i$ is the censoring variable corresponding to $x_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. $c_i$'s are assumed to be independently distributed with unknown survival distribution functions.

The Cox regression model (proportional hazard model; Cox, 1972, 1975) includes the hazard function of the $i$th subject with input vector $x_i$ of the form such that

$$ h(t_i|x_i) = h_0(t_i) \exp(\beta'x_i), \tag{1.1} $$

where $h_0(t_i)$ is a unspecified baseline hazard function and $\beta$ is a $d \times 1$ regression parameter vector. We assume the following general Cox regression model, where the hazard function for the $i$th subject is modeled as

$$ h(t|x_i) = h_0(t) \exp(f(x_i)) \tag{1.2} $$

where $f(x_i)$ is an arbitrary nonlinear function of the input vector $x_i$. Li and Luan (2003), Evers and Messow (2008) applied the kernel methods to estimate the function $f(x_i)$.
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Variable selection is the method of selecting a subset of relevant variables (features) on the response. It can be shown that optimal variable selection requires an exhaustive search of all possible subsets of variables. If large numbers of variables are available, this is impractical. For the Cox regression, all the input variables may not affect the survival patterns so that some corresponding regression parameters may be zeros in true linear hazard function. Many variable selection techniques for linear regression models have been extended to the context of survival models, including the best-subset selection, stepwise selection, and Bootstrap procedures (Sauerbrei and Schumacher, 1992). LASSO (least absolute shrinkage and selection operator, Tibshirani; 1996) which belongs to the variable selection methods based on the penalized likelihood approach (Fan and Li, 2001) has been proposed for the Cox regression (Tibshirani, 1997). By shrinking some regression parameters to zero, this method provides the selection of important variables and the estimation of regression parameters simultaneously. Recently Zhang and Lu (2007) applied the adaptive LASSO for the Cox regression.

In this paper we propose a variable selection method in the kernel Cox regression, which uses ANOVA decomposition kernel (Schoelkpf et al., 1998), which can be used even for nonlinear hazard function. From the quadratic programming problem we obtain weights whose magnitudes imply the importance of variables on the kernel Cox regression. The rest of paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the kernel Cox model and model selection methods. In Section 3 we propose the variable selection method using ANOVA decomposition kernel. In Section 4 we perform the numerical studies with simulated datasets. In Section 5 we give the concluding remarks.

2. The kernel Cox regression model

Under the assumption of no ties, we can see that for each uncensored time $y_i$,

$$\begin{align*}
P(\text{a failure in } [y_i, y_i + \Delta y] | R_i) & \approx \sum_{j \in R_i} \exp(\beta^t x_j) h_0(y_i) \Delta y, \quad (2.1) \\
P(\text{a failure of } i \text{ at } y_i | \text{a failure in } R_i \text{ at } y_i) & = \frac{\exp(\beta^t x_i)}{\sum_{j \in R_i} \exp(\beta^t x_j)}, \quad (2.2)
\end{align*}$$

where $R_i$ is the risk set at time $y_i$. Cox (1972, 1975) proposed the proportional hazard regression model by treating the conditional likelihood (2.2) as an ordinary likelihood. The log partial likelihood of the Cox regression model is given by

$$l(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i [\beta^t x_i - \log \{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \exp(\beta^t x_j) \}]. \quad (2.3)$$

When ties are present, the technique in Breslow (1974) can be used. The maximum likelihood estimate of $\beta$ is obtained by solving $\partial l(\beta) / \partial \beta = 0$, which usually needs the iterative methods. Under the Cox regression model, the survival function is obtained as follows,

$$S(t : x) = S_0(t) \exp(\beta^t x), \quad \text{where } S_0(t) = \exp(-H_0(t)), \quad (2.4)$$

where $H_0(t)$ is the baseline cumulative hazard function. Breslow (1974) proposed the estimates of the survival function by assuming the piecewise constant baseline hazard functions.
Tsiatis (1978) obtained the estimate of the survival function by assuming that the cumulative baseline hazard function is a step function. With a nonlinear feature mapping function $\phi(x_i)$ the hazard function (1.2) can be written as

$$h(t_i|x_i) = h_0(t_i) \exp(w^T \phi(x_i)),$$

where $w$ is a corresponding weight vector. Known that $\phi(x_i)^T \phi(x_j) = K(x_i, x_j)$ which are obtained from the application of Mercer’s (1909) conditions. Under the assumption of no ties, the log partial likelihood of the kernel Cox model (Evers and Messow, 2008) is given by

$$l(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_i [K_i^{\alpha} - \log \{ \sum_{j=i}^{n} \exp(K_j^{\alpha}) \}],$$

where $K_i$ is the $i$th row of $K = K(x, x)$. We consider the minimization of the penalized log partial likelihood function,

$$L(\alpha) = -l(\alpha) + \frac{\lambda}{2} \alpha^T K \alpha,$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is the regularization parameter.

The optimal values of $\alpha$ is usually obtained from the penalized log partial likelihood function (2.7) by Newton-Raphson method, in which $\alpha$ at $(t+1)$th iteration can be obtained from

$$\alpha^{(t+1)} = \alpha^{(t)} - (H + \lambda K)^{-1} \left( G + \lambda K \alpha^{(t)} \right),$$

where $G$ is the gradient vector of $-l(\alpha^{(t)})$ and $H$ is the Hessian matrix of $-l(\alpha^{(t)})$. Using first order Taylor expansion of $L(\alpha)$, we can express the Newton-Raphson method (2.8) as the iterative reweighted least squares (IRWLS) procedure as follows:

$$\alpha^{(t+1)} = \left( K + \frac{1}{\lambda} H \right)^{-1} z,$$

where $z = (H \alpha^{(t)} - G)/\lambda$. Note that $\alpha$ in (2.9) is the minimizer of

$$\frac{1}{2} (z - K \alpha)^T H^{-1} (z - K \alpha) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} \alpha^T K \alpha$$

which will be used in the model selection and the variable selection.

The functional structures of the penalized log partial likelihood for the kernel Cox regression model is characterized by the regularization parameter $\lambda$ and the kernel parameter. Li and Luan (2003) proposed the leave-one-out cross validation (CV) function based on the partial likelihood of the Cox regression and choose the optimal parameters which maximizes the leave-one-out cross validation (CV) function,

$$CV(\theta) = \prod_{i=1, \delta_i=1}^{n} \frac{\exp(f^{(t-i)}(x_i|\theta))}{\sum_{j \geq i} \exp(f^{(t-i)}(x_i|\theta))},$$

where $f^{(t-i)}(x_i|\theta)$ is the fitted value of the Cox regression model.
where $\theta$ is the set of the regularization parameter $\lambda$ and the kernel parameter, and $\hat{f}^{(-i)}(x_i|\theta)$ is the function estimated without $i$th observation uncensored.

Since for each candidate of sets of parameters, $\hat{f}^{(-i)}(x_i|\theta)$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n_0$ (number of the uncensored), should be evaluated, selecting parameters using CV function is computationally formidable.

With the final estimate of $z$ given from (2.9), the optimal values of hyper parameters can be chosen by minimizing the generalized cross validation (GCV) function (Craven and Wahba, 1979) as follows:

$$GCV(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} (z - K\alpha)^TH^{-1}(z - K\alpha) + \lambda tr\{K(K + H/\lambda)^{-1}\}/n^2,$$

(2.12)

which has a similar formular as GCV function used in kernel regression of Cho et al. (2010), Hwang and Shim (2010), Shim (2005), Shim and Lee (2009).

3. Variable selection using ANOVA decomposition kernels

The ANOVA decomposition kernels are inspired by ANOVA in Statistics, which can be seen as the sum of kernels constructed by different subsets of variables (Schoelkopf et al., 1998). The ANOVA decomposition kernel is known to has two main advantages (Saunders et al., 1998) - the improvement of prediction performance by considering the different subsets as group together like variables and the avoidance of overfitting by considering only some input variables.

Let $x$ be the $n \times d$ input matrix and $x_{:,k}$ is the $n \times p$ ($\leq d$) submatrix of $x$ consisting of the $k$th subset of $I_p = \{(k_1, \cdots, k_p)|1 \leq k_1 < \cdots < k_p \leq d\}$ and $d_p = \binom{d}{p}$ is the size of $I_p$, the ANOVA decomposition kernel is given as

$$K_A = \sum_{k=1}^{d_p} K(x_{:,k}, x_{:,k})$$

(3.1)

In this paper we modify the ANOVA decomposition kernel as the weighted version such as

$$K_A = \sum_{k=1}^{d_p} v_k K(x_{:,k}, x_{:,k})$$

(3.2)

where $x_{:,k}$ is the $n \times p$ submatrix of $x$ consisting of the $k$th subset of $I_p$, $v_k \geq 0$, $\sum_{k=1}^{d_p} v_k = 1$, and $v_k$ is the weight representing the magnitude of influence of $k$th set of input variables on the hazard function. The important set of input variables can be selected according to magnitude of weight $v_k$.

The weights $v_k$'s and $\alpha$ cannot be obtained in a step but by the iterative procedure since $\alpha$ contains $v_k$. The iterative procedure of variable selection in the kernel Cox regression can be carried out as follows:

(i) Initialize weights $v = (1/d_p, \cdots, 1/d_p)'$.
(ii) Find $\alpha$ from the IRWLS procedure (2.9) by replacing $K$ with $K_A$ in (3.2).
(iii) With $\alpha$, find weights $v$ from the quadratic programming problem,

$$
\min_{v} \frac{1}{2} v' A' H^{-1} A v - z' H^{-1} A v
$$

subject to $0 \leq v \leq 1$ and $1' v = 1$,

where $A_k = K(x_k, x_k)\alpha$ is the $n \times 1$ vector, $A = (A_1, \cdots, A_d)$. Note that (3.3) is derived from $\frac{1}{2}(z - K_A\alpha)' H^{-1} (z - K_A\alpha)$.

(iv) Iterate (ii) and (iii) until $||v^{(t)} - v^{(t+1)}|| < \text{tolerance}$.

4. Numerical studies

We illustrate the performance of the proposed method of variable selection in the kernel Cox regression by comparing its performance with adaptive LASSO (Zhang and Lu, 2007) and the exhaustive search using kernel Cox regression via 50 simulated datasets, respectively.

**Example 4.1** In each dataset of size $n = 44$, the hazard function of $i$th subject is set to $h(t_i|x_i) = 0.1 \exp(x_i' \beta)$ with $\beta' = (1, 0.1, 0.0, 0.0)'$. The input vector $x_i$ is generated from $N(0, 6 \times 1, I_6 \times 6)$, the survival time $t_i$ is set to $t_i = -\log(u_i)/h(t_i|x_i)$ with $u_i$ generated from $U(0, 1)$ and the censored time $c_i$ is generated from $U(0, 6)$ and the observed time $y_i$ is $y_i = \min(t_i, c_i)$ and $\delta_i = I(t_i \leq c_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, 44$. In each dataset of 50 datasets, we employ the linear kernel and consider the selection of two important variables, which leads to 15 weights $v_k$, $k = 1, \cdots, \binom{6}{2} = 15$. The box plots of weights of sets of two variables and the estimated regression parameters by the Cox method with adaptive LASSO (Zhang and Lu, 2007) are shown as in Figure 4.1. From the figure we can see that the proposed method agree with adaptive LASSO (Zhang and Lu, 2007) in the variable selection of $x_1$ and $x_2$.
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Example 4.2 In each dataset of size \( n = 44 \), the hazard function of \( i \)th subject is set to \( h(t_i | x_i) = 0.1 \exp(\{\sin(6\pi x_2 + 6\pi x_3)\}) \). The input vector \( x_i \) is generated from \( N(0_6 \times 1, I_6 \times 6) \), the survival time \( t_i \) is set to \( t_i = -\log(u_i)/h(t_i | x_i) \) with \( u_i \) generated from \( U(0, 1) \) and the censored time \( c_i \) is \( y_i = \min(t_i, c_i) \) and \( \delta_i = I(t_i \leq c_i), \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, 44 \). In each dataset of 50 datasets, we employ RBF kernel,

\[
K(x_1, x_j) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\sigma^2}||x_i - x_j||^2\right)
\]

and use 15 sets of two variables \( v_k, k = 1, \cdots, \binom{6}{2} = 15 \), which are shown in Table 4.1. For the comparison we apply the kernel Cox model (Evers and Messow, 2008). We divide each dataset into 15 sub-datasets according to 15 sets of two variables such as \( \{y_i, \delta_i, x_{1i}, x_{2i}\}_{i=1}^{44}, \{y_i, \delta_i, x_{1i}, x_{3i}\}_{i=1}^{44}, \cdots, \{y_i, \delta_i, x_{15i}, x_{6i}\}_{i=1}^{44} \) and obtain 15 likelihoods \( l(\alpha) \)'s in (2.6) for 15 sub-datasets. We compute the averages of 50 \( l(\alpha) \)'s for each set of two variables to choose two most important variable, which are shown in Table 4.1. From the table we can see that the proposed method agree with the exhaustive search using the kernel Cox model in the variable selection of \((x_2, x_3)\) as the first important set of two variables and \((x_3, x_5)\) as the second important set of two variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variables</th>
<th>( \text{average of } v_k )</th>
<th>( \text{average of } l(\alpha) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 2</td>
<td>0.0761 (0.1481)</td>
<td>46.1136 (9.1283)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 3</td>
<td>0.0748 (0.1554)</td>
<td>46.0041 (9.5062)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 4</td>
<td>0.0421 (0.1049)</td>
<td>49.8397 (9.8303)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 5</td>
<td>0.0682 (0.1564)</td>
<td>50.0529 (10.0471)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 6</td>
<td>0.0343 (0.0876)</td>
<td>50.2795 (9.7087)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 3</td>
<td>0.1403 (0.2221)</td>
<td>42.4665 (9.0329)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 4</td>
<td>0.0942 (0.2085)</td>
<td>46.0914 (9.5227)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 5</td>
<td>0.0712 (0.1487)</td>
<td>46.1671 (9.8717)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 6</td>
<td>0.0561 (0.1312)</td>
<td>46.4810 (9.6283)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 4</td>
<td>0.0854 (0.1556)</td>
<td>46.0569 (9.0557)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 5</td>
<td>0.1358 (0.1973)</td>
<td>45.9369 (9.1771)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 6</td>
<td>0.0701 (0.1342)</td>
<td>46.4461 (8.9433)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 5</td>
<td>0.0231 (0.0678)</td>
<td>50.4073 (10.2590)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 6</td>
<td>0.0376 (0.1024)</td>
<td>50.2113 (9.5618)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 6</td>
<td>0.0078 (0.0239)</td>
<td>50.5981 (10.0352)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Concluding remarks

In this paper we dealt with variable selection in the kernel Cox regression model. We modify the penalized log partial likelihood function of the kernel Cox regression model into the objective function of penalized least squares regression consisted of working variable. This provides not only easy derivation of the generalized cross validation function which enables the model selection faster than the leave-one-out cross validation function but also easy variable selection method for high-dimensional data. From the simulated data we found that the proposed method provides the satisfying results.
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