Effects of different densities of wild oat (*Avena fatua* L.) and nitrogen rates on oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.) yield
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Abstract

A two-year field experiment was carried out in 2009 and 2010 to evaluate the effects of different densities of wild oat and nitrogen (N) rates on oilseed rape yield and yield components. Experimental design was split plot with three replications. Increased nitrogen rates up to 150 kg N/ha caused an increase in oilseed rape grain yield in weed-free plots (4.26 t/ha; 1t = 10³ kg), while even at the lowest density of wild oat (15 plants/m²), increasing N fertilizer only up to 50 kg/ha led to a significant increase in grain yield. Wild oat growth was favored by high levels of N. Intraspecific competition reduced biomass, 100-seed weight and number of tillers of wild oat. Both lower N rate and intraspecific competition had negative effect on wild oat growth but the weed was still able to produce a considerable number of tillers and vigorous seeds. It showed that wild oat possess a notable adaptive plasticity and can allocate a greater proportion of its resource toward reproductive organs even under resource shortage conditions and, thus, it may increase the competitive ability of the weed over the crop. Our results suggest that effective weed management should be done to prevent wild oat seed production in crops preceding oilseed rape as well as to control the weed at early season in oilseed rape fields.
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INTRODUCTION

Oilseed rape (*Brassica napus* L.), from Brassicaceae, is a broad leaved and could be grown as a break crop for continuous run of cereals, and thus can be an alternative for cereal-based agriculture systems (Kachatourians et al. 2001). It is a common oilseed crop in Iran, including Fars province due to its oil quality and quantity (Naderi and Ghadiri 2011).

*Avena* species has been considered as the most widespread and economically detrimental grass weed species of cereals in the world (O’Donovan et al. 2000). Wild oat (*Avena fatua* L.) is an annual grass and its control is difficult because its seed shattering occurs before crop maturity. It is a dominant weed in several crop fields such as oilseed rape and wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) which can cause major yield losses as well as lower crop quality (Daugovish et al. 2002).

Nitrogen (N) is the major element nutrient to increase crop yield in the production of non-legume crops and thus its management is essential due to high environmental risk and cost (Bosnic and Swanton 1997). It has been reported that many weeds are high N consumers (Hans and Johnson 2002, Blackshaw 2005), which can limit N resources for crop growth (Blackshaw 2005). They not only decrease soil N availability for crops but their growth and competitiveness also are increased by higher N rates (Blackshaw et al. 2003, 2004). It has been shown...
that weed-crop competitive interaction could be changed by N levels (Carlson and Hill 1985, Ampong-Nyarko and de Datta 1993, Santos et al. 1998, Dhima and Eleftherohorinos 2001, Andreasen et al. 2006, Naderi and Ghadiri 2011). In contrast, other researchers showed that N had little impact on weed-crop competition (Satorre and Snaydon 1992, Gonzalez Ponce 1998). Interestingly, some studies indicated that results might be crop and/or weed species specific. For example, Blackshaw and Brandt (2008) reported that competitiveness of Persian darnel (Lolium persicum) and Russian thistle (Salsola spp.) was not affected by N rate but the competitiveness of redroot pigweed (Amaranthus retroflexus) progressively improved as N rate increased. Increased N rates could enhance, unchanged or reduce weed biomass in barley (Hordeum vulgare) and winter wheat (T. aestivum), depending on the weed and crop (Jornsgard et al. 1996).

Growing competitive crops is one of the most important weed management strategies which can reduce environmental damage associated with agricultural production such as herbicides. Although some researchers have evaluated the competitiveness of wheat and barley with wild oat (Morishita et al. 1991, Ghadiri et al. 2008), there is limited information on wild oat and oilseed rape competitive interaction. Therefore, this research was carried out to evaluate the effects of different densities of wild oat and N rates on oilseed rape yield in order to determine the optimal rate of N application under competition conditions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

A two-year field experiment was carried out in 2009 and 2010 at the Research Field of Agricultural College of Shiraz University, Iran. Plots were located on a silty loam soil with 0.75% organic matter, 0.05% total N, 21.8 mg/kg phosphorus, 600 mg/kg potassium, pH of 7.56, and electrical conductivity (EC) of 0.52 dS/m in the surface horizon (0-20 cm). Commercial oilseed rape seeds, cultivar Talayeh, were sown on October 1, 2009 and 2010 in 3 × 6 m plots. Each plot consisted of 4 rows spaced 75 cm apart expecting a plant density of 80 plants/m². Experimental design was split plot with three replications. Main plots consisted of four N levels (0, 50, 100 and 150 kg N/ha), and sub plots consisted of four wild oat densities (0, 15, 30 and 45 plants/m²), resulting in a total of 48 plots. Appropriately weighed amount of wild oat seeds were hand broadcast to attain the desired density. Irrigation intervals were 8 days according to the concurrent local practice. All plots were kept free from pests, diseases and the other weeds during the experimental period. Meteorological data are given in Table 1.

At crop maturity, the two middle rows from each plot were sampled for determination of yield and yield components. Additionally, weeds were harvested from a 2 m² area per plot and dried at 75°C for 48 h. Wild oat tillers and 100-seed weight was also measured. Analysis of variance over years indicated that year by nitrogen rates by wild oat density interaction was not significant ($P \geq 0.05$) for all data. Thus, data collected from both years of the experiment were combined. Linear, quadratic, exponential, and logarithmic equations were tested for their suitability to describe the relationship between oilseed rape yield or wild oat biomass response and wild oat density. The equation with the highest adjusted coefficient of determination and F values was known to be the most appropriate (Vasilakoglou and Dhima 2012). In the regression equations, oilseed rape grain yield (t/ha) or wild oat biomass (t/ha) considered as the dependent variables (y), while wild oat density as the independent variable (x). Regression analyses and analysis of variance were performed using SPSS ver. 17 and SAS ver. 9.1, respectively. Fisher’s protected least significant difference (Fisher’s PLSD) test was used to detect and compare mean treatment differences at the 5% level of probability.

**RESULTS**

**Oilseed rape grain yield**

Oilseed rape grain yield was varied according to wild oat density and N rate (Fig. 1). Models were fitted to oilseed rape grain yield data, and estimated parameters are given in Table 2. Grain yield at weed-free plots receiving

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Temperature</th>
<th>Precipitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>October</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>November</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>December</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Wild oat and nitrogen effects on oilseed rape yield

100 and 150 kg N/ha caused a significant increase in oilseed rape grain yield, even at the lowest wild oat density (15 plants/m²), increasing N fertilizer up to 50 kg/ha led to a significant increase in grain yield (P < 0.05). Generally, oilseed rape grain yield in weed-infested plots decreased with fertilization while wild oat density increased (Fig. 1).

Interaction of N rate and wild oat density was significant for wild oat biomass (Table 3 and Fig. 2) (P < 0.05). Models were fitted to these data and parameter estimates are given in Table 4. N fertilization resulted in positive wild oat response up to 100 kg N/ha (1.34 t/ha). Wild oat biomass at 45 plants/m² was higher than the other weed densities in fertilized plots (Fig. 2).

**Wild oat biomass**

Interaction of N rate and wild oat density was significant for wild oat biomass (Table 3 and Fig. 2) (P < 0.05). Models were fitted to these data and parameter estimates are given in Table 4. N fertilization resulted in positive wild oat response up to 100 kg N/ha (1.34 t/ha). Wild oat biomass at 45 plants/m² was higher than the other weed densities in fertilized plots (Fig. 2).

**Wild oat tillers and 100-seed weight**

Nitrogen application consistently increased wild oat tillers and 100-seed weight (P < 0.05) (Fig. 3 and 4). Weed density had also a significant effect on weed tillers and 100-seed weight (P < 0.05), so that maximum wild oat til-

---

**Table 2.** Estimated parameter values of linear models for oilseed rape yield as a function of wild oat density at the various nitrogen rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nitrogen rates (kg/ha)</th>
<th>a¹</th>
<th>b¹</th>
<th>R²†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>-0.046</td>
<td>3.59</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>-0.0065</td>
<td>4.108</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Oilseed rape yield data were fit to the linear model y = ax + b, where y is the predicted oilseed rape yield, x is the density of wild oat, a is the intercept value, b is the estimated parameters, and R² is the coefficient of determination.

---

**Table 3.** Analysis of variance results for oilseed rape grain yield, wild oat biomass, wild oat tillers, and wild oat 100-seed weight

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of variation</th>
<th>Degree of freedom (df)</th>
<th>Crop yield</th>
<th>Weed biomass</th>
<th>Weed tillers</th>
<th>Weed 100-seed weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen fertilizer (N)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.23⁵</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>81.50</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weed density (D)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.05⁵</td>
<td>8.32⁹</td>
<td>253.50⁹</td>
<td>44.00⁹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N x D</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.15⁴</td>
<td>1.17³</td>
<td>13.00³</td>
<td>0.14⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁵P < 0.05, ⁹P < 0.01.
Wild oat tillers and 100-seed weight were attained at 15 plants/m². Wild oat tillers and 100-seed weight was affected by the interaction of N rate and wild oat density \((P < 0.05)\). These traits were decreased as weed density increased but they increased as N levels increased, showing intraspecific competition among wild oat plants in higher plant density. Maximum wild oat tillers and 100-seed weight was gained at 150 kg N/ha and 15 plants/m². However, no significant differences was found between 150 kg N/ha and 15 plants/m² and 100 kg N/ha and 15 plants/m².

**DISCUSSION**

Oilseed rape grain yield data showed that applying high levels of N can increase the weed competitiveness. Under weed-free conditions, a higher rate of N fertilizer increased oilseed rape yield. However, in the presence of wild oat, oilseed rape yield was reduced at each N rate. Similarly, it has been found that fertilizer usually stimulates weed growth to the crop’s detriment in most cases. Crop yield in weedy, fertilized plots are usually equal to those in weed-ed, un-fertilized plots (Zimdahl 2004). It has been shown that increased nitrogen could enhance the competitiveness of weeds more than crops, and crop yield remained either unchanged or decreased in some cases (Carlson and Hill 1985, Ampong-Nyarko and de Datta 1993, Santos et al. 1998, Dhima and Eleftherohorinos 2001, Andreasen et al. 2006). Studying competition between wild mustard \((Sinapis arvense)\) and oilseed rape under different rates of nitrogen fertilizer, Naderi and Ghadiri (2011) reported that increase in nitrogen levels only increased the competitiveness of wild mustard over oilseed rape. Dhima and Eleftherohorinos (2001) also showed that nitrogen fertilization at the rate of 150 kg N/ha slightly enhanced yield of crops grown in weed-free plots, while the same treatment increased sterile oat \((A. strilis)\) biomass and its competitiveness against wheat and triticale.

Significant interaction between wild oat density and the N rate for oilseed rape yield showed that N was an important factor in the weed crop competition. Generally, weeds have a large nutrient demand and they will absorb as much or more than crops (Zimdhal 2007). Similarly, Daugovish et al. (2002) found that canola was a poor competitor with wild oat and it was not able to suppress wild oat reproduction effectively. We found that, wild oat was better able to exploit the added nitrogen and thus could obtain a superior competitiveness over the oilseed rape. A negative wheat yield response to nitrogen at high wild oat density has also been found (Carlson and Hill 1985, Ghad-
Wild oat and nitrogen effects on oilseed rape yield

irri et al. 2008). However, this is in contrast to the results obtained by Blackshaw and Brandt (2008) who reported that wild oat competitiveness was uninfluenced by N fertilizer rate. Since wild oat seedlings are initially taller than oilseed rape, they can suppress the crop's production by competing effectively at the early stage of oilseed rape development (Chow and Dorrell 1979). Additionally, the lag phase in oilseed rape elongation is likely responsible for its lower competitiveness versus wild oat. This could also be associated with tillering ability of wild oat as well as its superior elevation above the canopy of oilseed rape that may make them better competitors over the crop for light (Daugovish et al. 2002, Vasilakoglou and Dhima 2012).

Wild oat dry weight in the high density was higher under high N conditions than under low N conditions, showing that high level of N application was not able to increase competitive ability of oilseed rape in the present study. In our study, the best description of the relationship between wild oat density and biomass was provided by quadratic model. It has been also reported that the quadratic model is the best fit for the relationship between density and wild mustard (Naderi and Ghadiri 2011) and wild radish (Raphanus raphanistrum) (Blackshaw et al. 2002) dry weight in oilseed rape. In contrast, linear model have been reported as the best fit for the relationship between weed density and dry weight in barley (H. vulgare) (Scursoni and Satorre 2005) and in field pea (Pisum sativum L.) (Vasilakoglou and Dhima 2012).

Weed dry weight data also indicated that there was a high intraspecific weed competition at higher weed density. Although both lower N rate and intraspecific competition had negative effect on wild oat growth, the weed was still able to produce a considerable number of tillers and vigorous seeds. This is indicating that wild oat possesses a notable adaptive plasticity and can allocate a greater proportion of its resource toward reproductive organs even under resource shortage conditions and, thus, it may increase the competitive ability of the weed over the crop.

CONCLUSION

Our results showed that wild oat could cause major yield losses in oilseed rape. Additionally, increased nitrogen rates caused an increase in oilseed rape grain yield but no significant difference between 100 and 150 kg N/ha was found. Since weed competition with crops can be affected by N rate application, soil fertility manipulation should be noted as an important component of integrated weed management. These findings confirm that wild oat is highly responsive to higher N rates and thus could be stronger competitors at higher N fertilizer rates. Furthermore, it can produce a considerable biomass and seed at various densities and N rates. Thus, effective weed management should be done to reduce wild oat populations and to prevent its seed production in crops preceding oilseed rape as well as to control the weed at early season in the crop fields. Future works should investigate whether different methods of fertilization, e.g., placement and/or timing, could affect oilseed rape grain yield and/or wild oat growth and as a result weed-crop interaction.
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