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Abstract
This study seeks to find the factors associated with social workers' turnover intention and show us how to manage turnovers by looking for some rules affecting turnover intentions. Our investigation surveying 331 social workers reveals that social workers' turnover intentions are affected by organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and burnout. Our pattern analyses using fuzzy ID3 show that the higher their commitment, the higher their job satisfaction stemming from promotion opportunities, rewards, and personal relations with peers and bosses. In addition, turnover intentions decreases (even if burnouts—job-related stress—are very serious) when organizational commitment increases. Such results suggest that it would be necessary to consider how to improve social workers' organization-wide commitment rather than satisfaction and burnout related to jobs and environments.
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1. Introduction
Social welfare provides intangible services to clients through face-to-face contacts between clients and social workers. Because of such characteristics, social workers have been playing a key role in social welfare organizations. In this sense, social worker's turnover is very detrimental to stable and continuous services to clients, which can result in such negative consequences as the lower quality of services and the worse morale[1].

We need to get interested in social worker's turnover intention because it can lead to turnover behavior, lower job performance, and negative impact on organizational development and the quality of life. Our research reveals that 59.1% of social workers at social welfare facilities and 52.5% of employees working for related facilities have been considering either turnovers or termination of employment [2]. Although turnover intentions are negative consequences affecting working lives of employees, it can become a useful indicator or predictor to provide us good informations to minimize their negative effect. In this sense, a necessity arises to conduct in-depth studies about employees' turnover intentions.

Studies dealing with social welfare worker's turnover intentions have been concerned with such demographic factors as gender, age, marital status, and education[3-7]. As mentioned above, there are a variety of factors affecting social welfare workers' turnover intentions. Of these factors, this study attempts to focus on job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and burnouts. To serve this purpose, this study seeks to investigate those factors affecting turnover intentions through multiple regression analyses and then to find specific rules to affect turnover intentions. By doing so, we would come to suggest how to manage the problems associated with turnovers.

2. Trial Models to Evaluate Turnover Intentions
There are two kinds of research models with regard to turnover intentions. The first one considers job satisfaction as a critical moderating variable and then attempts to explain turnover intentions based on personal and organizational variables affecting job satisfaction. The second one tends to consider organizational commitment as an important variable together with job satisfaction.

It is widely accepted that these two variables play a critical role as a moderating variable even if the argument about the causation and interaction between the two variables are still going on.

Burnout of social workers, who can be defined as a one of the pivotal human service workers, has been known as a predictor of turnover intentions. As burnout is a long-term and complex response which could results from the un-resolvable stress experienced by human service workers (nurse, social workers, clinical psychologist), we make our evaluation model as shown in Fig. 1.

For the purpose of this study, we surveyed social workers in Daegu by mailing them questionnaires and then analyzed 321 data obtained from them. To examine the factors affecting turnover intentions, we conducted multiple regression analyses which poise turnover intentions as a dependent variable and then job satisfaction, organizational...
commitment, and burnouts as independent variables.

Since fuzzy ID3 has been known as a useful tool for the pattern analysis, we used the fuzzy tools to investigate turnover intentions. fuzzy ID3 can provides us decision making trees from fuzzy role if we input the numbers indicating turnover intentions and membership functions about fuzzy numbers.

Fig. 1 Evaluation Model of Turnover Intention

3. Key Concepts about Trial Models to Evaluate Turnover Intention

3.1 Turnover Intention

As turnover intentions play a role as a preceding variable to effectively predict turnover behaviors, it has been emphasized as a substitution concept for turnover behaviors which tend to be considered as one of the performance variables[8].

Turnover intentions, implying an intention to voluntarily leave organizations, can be divided into two categories, voluntary turnover and non-voluntary intention. This study primarily deals with voluntary turnover intentions. Questionnaires for this purpose consist of 3 items making use of Likert 5-point scales.

3.2 Job Satisfaction(A)

Locke's definition about job satisfaction is widely accepted with regard to what job satisfaction is. He defines job satisfaction as a pleasant and positive affective state resulting from job evaluation. Job satisfaction can be assessed by examining such multi-dimensional characteristics as job itself, pay, promotion opportunities, and human relations. In order to measure job satisfaction, we used an instrument developed by Prince and Mueller, which consists of Likert 5-point scale [9].

3.3 Organizational commitment(B)

Organizational commitment involves such three concepts as employees' trust on organizational goals and values, strong desires to serve for the organization, firm intentions to stay for their organization as a member. For this study, we made use of the 5-point scale developed by Mowdays and his associates[10].

3.4 Burnout(C)

Burnout is a long-term and complex response which results from the unresolvable stress experienced by employees. Burnout can be seen as an important job-related syndromes responding to the stress experienced by such professional human-service providers as nurses, social workers, clinical psychologists. Burnout can occur at the final stage where employee can not resolve the stress that could be escalated into the marginal level. This study make use of the Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) developed by Maslach and Jackson. This scale consists of three sub-scales, that is, emotional and physical burnout, dehumanization, and personal achievements[11].

4. Multiple Regression Analyses about Turnover Intention

Table 1. Multiple Regression Analyses about Turnover Intention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unstandardized</th>
<th>Standardized</th>
<th>t</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Job Satisfaction</td>
<td>-0.211</td>
<td>-0.211*</td>
<td>-1.905</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commitment</td>
<td>-0.401</td>
<td>-0.431***</td>
<td>-7.964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burnout</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>0.189*</td>
<td>1.275</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 contains the results of multiple regression analyses dealing with turnover intentions. They suggest that job satisfaction, organizational commitment, and burnout turns out to have a statistically significant relations with turnover intentions. In other word, the higher the level of job satisfaction and organizational commitment, the lower the level of turnover intentions, and the higher the level of burnout, the higher the level of turnover intentions. In particular, our investigation reveals that organizational commitment tends to be a more important variable than other variables.

5. Pattern Analyses about Turnover Intention

Our fuzzy ID3 evaluations of 331 data reports its results in Table 3. We applied fuzzy ID3 algorithm to the evaluations of turnover intention by dividing it into two categories[12].
Table 2. Evaluation Data of Turnover Intention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>CLASS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Grade of Turnover Intention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Turnover Intention High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Turnover Intention Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 expresses the virtual values and summation of membership with regard to the 4 points which implies turnover intention of 331 social workers. This derives from the values of fuzzy sets of turnover intentions which have been obtained from expert's professional knowledge.

Table 4. Fuzzy Sets of Job Satisfaction, Organizational Commitment, and Burnout

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>point</th>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>2 point</th>
<th>3 point</th>
<th>4 point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>function values</td>
<td>function values</td>
<td>function values</td>
<td>function values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>point</td>
<td>membership</td>
<td>point</td>
<td>membership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>80.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2 Fuzzy Sets of Turnover Intention Model

After making use of fuzzy ID3 algorithm, we can obtain the following results based on Table 3 and Table 4.

1. (B,BIG [p:99.972])
   \[ \rightarrow \text{L (L:0.83, H:0.17)} \]

2. (B,MEDIUM [p:99.972])
   (A,BIG [p:99.972])
   (C,MEDIUM [p:2.683])
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About our if-then fuzzy rule, we can obtain the following decision-making trees explained in Figure 3 which can be applied to the field situation of social welfare.

![Decision Tree Diagram]

Table 5. Recognition Ratio of Fuzzy ID3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of recognition</th>
<th>No. of mis-recognition</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of social workers</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ratio</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion

Social worker's turnover intention is an important variable from the perspective of human resource management. It
has been widely recognized that, because of poor working conditions, social workers' turnover intentions are much stronger compared to workers' intentions employed at different sectors. As a result of our sincere consideration about this problem, we sought to analyze their real effects.

To serve this purpose, we employed fuzzy ID3 to perform pattern analyses. By doing so, we sought to obtain specific rules with regard to the evaluation of social worker's turnover intentions and show the structure through decision making tree. As a result, we came to understand that the number of rules is 14 and the recognition ratio reaches 74%.

The regression results show us that social worker's turnover intention is affected by organizational commitment, job satisfaction, and burnout. In particular, organizational commitment turns out to be a more important variable since its regression coefficient reaches -0.41.

The parroters analyses based on fuzzy ID3 indicate that if organizational commitment improves, job satisfaction (associated with promotion opportunity, reward, human relations with peers and bosses) increases and turnover intentions also decrease even if burnout (which results from job stress) deteriorates.

This study seems to suggest that organizational commitment is more important variable rather than job satisfaction and burnout. In this sense, this study seem to imply that social welfare organization should reconsider the significance of such organization-wide variables as organizational relations and attitudes rather than job satisfaction and job stress which are specifically associated job environments.

This study sought to indirectly investigate employee's turnover intentions rather than directly observing turnover behaviors. Even if we can agree that turnover intention is the strongest predictor of turnover behavior, the future study need to deal with the longitudinal and real world data dealing with employees' real turnover behaviors.
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