Improving Breakdown Voltage Characteristics of GDAs using Trigger Voltage
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Abstract – This paper investigates a method to improve the breakdown voltage characteristics of a gas discharge arrester (GDA) for a surge suppressor. The middle electrode is inserted between two terminal electrodes. Voltage application to the electrode synchronized and amplified by the impulse voltage decreases spark overvoltage from 45% to 57.6%. The decrease is caused by higher voltage slope, as opposed to applied impulse voltage (by 5.5 to 6.2 times). In addition, the GDA model using ATP-Draw was used to analyze the operation characteristics of GDAs. The test and simulation results agree to within 2% when the trigger source was used.
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1. Introduction

The use of integrated circuits in several technological applications has resulted in the rapid development of the electronics industry. Integrated technology has provided faster transmission and processing of digital data, as well as offered additional operational features. However, modern integrated electronics are very vulnerable to power abnormalities and, in particular, to power surges that cause damage (i.e., either partial or complete disruption of the network) and service interruption [1], [5]. The surge-induced interference from an electrical communication facility can cause significant economic damage. Protection against surges is critical, and hence, research and development of surge protectors is very important. One effective and economical approach to protect equipment from transient abnormal voltage is to limit the voltage under the insulation level of the protected equipment by installing surge suppressors at the input and output terminals. Gas discharge arrester (GDA) protectors are one of the oldest methods used against transient overvoltages in a circuit. Typical miniature spark gaps in a ceramic case can conduct transient current pulses of 5-20 kA for tens of microseconds without significant damage to the spark gap [2].

Spark gaps offer the smallest shunt capacitance of all known nonlinear transient protection devices, with typical capacitance between 0.5 and 2 pF. Low capacitance categorizes spark gaps as one of few nonlinear devices for use in circuit protection; their signal frequency is greater than 50 MHz [2]. GDAs with self-restoring characteristics are used in high frequency network interface devices to protect against lightning and alternating current power cross faults in telecommunication networks [3]. GDAs are robust and relatively inexpensive; moreover, they do not limit the bandwidth of high-frequency circuits as much as other nonlinear shunt components [3]. Compared with solid state protectors, GDAs can carry much higher currents. However, some major disadvantages have prohibited the use of GDAs in telecommunication applications involving sensitive equipment [1]. First, its use requires relatively high electric field for plasma ignition, which suggests a slowed down GDA operation resulting in high residual load voltages. Second, GDAs are difficult to turn off after a transient has ended, consequently causing temporary power interruption to the site. Lastly, the spark developed between electrodes in GDAs can damage the sensitive equipment. In relation, this power surge-sensitive equipment can be destroyed by higher breakdown voltages.

Currently, nonlinear surge protective devices are used to protect equipment from such damaging overvoltages. An efficient transient protection system should contain a protection circuit, which combines different surge protectors in order to gain their individual advantages [4]. Proper coordination of these protectors with other circuit components is essential to obtain adequate performance protection. If the breakdown voltage of GDAs becomes too low, the rated voltage of the nonlinear device that limits the residual voltage decreases. As a result, some of the devices connected with GDAs in series and in parallel (i.e., to limit overvoltage) can be omitted. In this paper, a trigger circuit is used to decrease the breakdown voltage of GDAs. Apart from an impulse test voltage applied to the terminal, a trigger voltage amplified and synchronized on the test voltage is placed simultaneously to the middle electrode. We measured and analyzed breakdown voltages at the electrode terminals independent of trigger voltage and created a GDA model to simulate GDA using ATP-Draw. Test results are then compared with simulation results.
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1.1 Operating mode of the GDA

In general, a spark-over occurs whenever the surge voltage exceeds the electrical strength of the system insulation. This discharge can limit surge voltage and reduce interference energy at a short period [6]. The electrical breakdown process of gases in uniform fields exhibits two phases: pre-breakdown and breakdown ionization. When the applied voltage is greater than the spark potential, the generalized phenomenon of breakdown, as described by Townsend [7], would include (i) primary ionization; (ii) secondary ionization, electron attachment, and detachment; and (iii) ion conversion processes in collisions [7].

The behavior of GDAs (Fig. 1) can be divided into four operating domains:

Range a: There are virtually no current flows, as the voltage rises to the dynamic breakdown voltage of the GDA. This domain is characterized by an almost infinite insulation resistance (R > 1 gigaohm). When applied voltage exceeds DC breakdown voltage, the processes preceding gas discharge between electrodes begins. Free electrons are accelerated by increasing electric-field strength (E). With sufficient kinetic energy, these electrons ionize atoms and cause secondary electron emission from the cathode [9]. Actual gas discharge occurs when the voltage reaches the value of the dynamic breakdown voltage (Vd). The time for the voltage to change from Vs to Vd is referred to as “statistical time delay of discharge” (Td). This value can be determined from the responses of the measurements performed on the GDA. The mathematical formulation of Td is given as [8]

\[ T_d = a \cdot S^{-b} \text{[s]} \]  

(1)

where \( T_d \) is the delay time, \( S \) is the voltage steepness of the front wave expressed in volts per second, and \( a \) and \( b \) are empirical coefficients for each type of GDA.

Range b: After ignition, the voltage drops to the glow voltage level (90 to 300 V, depending on type, with current of 0.2 A up to about 1.5 A) [2]. When a conductive path between the electrodes is established, a streaming discharge occurs, causing the gas between the electrodes to become ionized. The passing current is then increased, causing the diameter of the conductive stream to rise; subsequently, secondary ionization of the gas is also increased. In the final phase, the stream changes into an electric arc. This rising current can be modeled by changing arc resistance in accordance with the Toepler equation [4], [8]:

\[ R(t) = \frac{K \cdot d}{\int_0^t i dt} \]  

(2)

where \( K \) is the Toepler constant. Incidentally, 0.005±20% Vs/m is not constant; the value changes depending on gas composition and pressure, as well as on distance \( d \) between electrodes [9]. The denominator in Eq. (2) represents electric charge (electrons, ions) transmitted over a time-period through the stream. In the breakdown phase, the electric current between electrodes rises steeply, and simultaneously, resistance decreases according to Eq. (2). When the current exceeds a certain value, the stream turns into an electric arc.

Range c: With further increase in current, transition occurs at the arc range. The extremely low arc voltage of 10–35 V that is typical for this mode is virtually independent of the current over a wide range of voltages. As current increases, GDA shifts from glow voltage to arc voltage. The GDA is most effective in this domain because the discharged current can reach several thousand amperes without any commensurate increase across the terminals of the arc voltage. The conductive channel is composed of a plasma consisting of hot ionized gases; the main source of the electric charge carriers corresponds to the thermionic emission of the electrons from the hot cathode (T=3000 K) [10].

Range d: As overvoltage decreases, the current through the GDA decreases accordingly until it drops below the minimum value necessary to maintain the arc. When the current falls below 0.03 A, thermionic emission ends, causing the cathode to cool down. Consequently, the arc discharge stops immediately; after passing through the glow range, the GDA extinguishes at a voltage above a certain range. In the applied test voltage that is set roughly equal to glow voltage (≈100 V), the GDA recovers its initial insulating properties [11].

![Fig. 1. Impulse voltage and discharge mode as a function of time at the GDA.](image-url)
2. Experimental Setup and Procedure

Fig. 2 shows the configuration of the GDA. Labels (A) and (C) in the experimental setup are for brass metal electrodes. Discharge was carried out in the Pyrex tube. After the tube was evacuated by a vacuum pump, nitrogen gas (99.99%) was inserted through a moisture adsorption meter. The pressure in the tube was 0 Torr at room temperature. Label (B) represents the middle electrode where high trigger voltage was applied. The distance between electrodes (A) and (C) was 0.5 mm.

The schematic of the impulse generator for the experiment is shown in Fig. 3. The charged voltage in capacitor C1 was applied to the GDA using switch S1. In addition, a trigger voltage was amplified and synchronized to high voltage capacitor C2 and transformer Tr.

The slope of the amplified voltage was about 5.6 times greater than the applied voltage. The amplified voltage was applied to the middle electrode and discharged before the applied voltage reached the breakdown voltage.

The applied voltage corresponds to an impulse with a positive voltage waveform of 1.2/50 μs. The experimental procedure that involved applying an impulse voltage to the middle electrode was not connected. During this phase, both GDA terminal voltage and the secondary winding were measured.

The procedure was repeated after the middle electrode was closed. GDA peak voltage was compared with the case prior the application of trigger voltage.

3. Results and Discussion

Fig. 4 shows the applied impulse voltage wave with a slope of 18.5 kV/μs and a peak voltage of 4 kV. Experimental equipment was discharged between 100 and 300 ns. When impulse voltage was applied to the GDA, the secondary voltage of the transformer decreased with decaying oscillation. To visualize the slope of the voltage wave amplified by the capacitor and the transformer, the voltages were extended up to 200 ns. For the extended wave after 50 ns, an increase in difference between trigger voltage and applied impulse voltage, as exhibited by the voltage slope, could be observed. The first peak voltage was used as the trigger. The applied impulse voltage and the voltage of the amplified slope are shown in Fig. 5. In Fig. 5(A), notations (a) through (d) are the synchronized and amplified voltage profiles corresponding to impulse voltages (e)-(h) in Fig. 5(B); these were subsequently applied in the GDA. Table 1 presents the raw data. Note that the slope of the amplified voltage increased by 5.5 to 6.2 times.

Fig. 6 compares the results when the trigger voltage is applied and not applied to the middle electrode. Fig. 6(a) is the trigger voltage resulting from the applied impulse voltage. The trigger voltage is the secondary voltage of the transformer when the middle electrode is not connected to the trigger electrode. Fig. 6(b) is the terminal voltage of the GDA when the trigger voltage is not applied. Fig. 6(c) is the trigger voltage when the middle electrode is connected to the trigger electrode. Finally, Fig. 6(d) is the terminal voltage.
Table 1. Voltage slopes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trigger volt. (kV/μs)</th>
<th>(a)</th>
<th>(b)</th>
<th>(c)</th>
<th>(d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test volt. (kV/μs)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Δ volt.</td>
<td>5.6 times</td>
<td>6.2 times</td>
<td>5.7 times</td>
<td>5.5 times</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Voltage of the GDA when trigger voltage is applied. This terminal voltage is a very important parameter because it can affect the protection characteristics of devices.

In Fig. 6(b), the breakdown voltage of the GDA is 1825 V at 511 ns. However, in Fig. 6(d), the breakdown voltage of the GDA is 1137.5 V at 275 ns, corresponding to a reduction in breakdown voltage and breakdown time of 687.5 V and 236 ns, respectively. Therefore, the effects of applying the trigger voltage to the middle electrode are evident. In Fig. 6(c), the trigger is activated at 918 V at 163 ns. The breakdown voltage of the GDA, compared with the activated trigger voltage, increased by as much as 219.5 V. Response time lagged by as much as 112 ns. Several reasons have been provided to explain the results. First, breakdown was initiated between the middle electrode and the other electrodes, but sufficient ionization was not generated. Second, even when the ionization between the middle electrode and the other electrodes was sufficient, the electric field discharge between the end electrodes of the GDA was weak. Third, although the electric field between the end electrodes of the GDA and the power of the trigger source to induce ionization were sufficient, it required a time delay to stimulate avalanche and arcing. This mechanism is called “statistical time delay of discharge.” However, if the breakdown of the GDA occurred simultaneously with the initiation of trigger discharge, the breakdown voltage of the GDA would decrease as much as 907 V, and the time to breakdown would decrease as much as 348 ns. Fig. 7 shows the results of breakdown voltage and voltage slope, both with and without an applied trigger voltage. The dotted line corresponds to the regression curve achieved by curve-fitting.

The left axis shows the terminal voltage of GDA. Curve (b) is the voltage of the middle electrode and curve (c) is the voltage after the impulse voltage is applied, given that the trigger voltage has also been applied.
The right axis is the terminal voltage of the GDA. Curve (a) is the voltage after the impulse voltage is applied without application of the trigger voltage. The x-axis is the slope of the trigger voltage. In curve (a), at voltage slope \( \text{dv/dt} = 18.5 \text{ kV/μs} \), breakdown voltage is 2356 V. As voltage slope decreases, the breakdown voltage would decrease as well. At \( \text{dv/dt} = 9.8 \text{ kV/μs} \), breakdown voltage discharge is at 1825 V. However, discharge is not generated at slope = 4.4 kV/μs with peak voltage = 1 kV because the electric strength for the insulation breakdown at the gap between the electrodes is too weak.

In this experiment, the minimum breakdown voltage was 1825 V for a fixed electrode distance and a given dv/dt, gas pressure, and gas type. The voltages obtained when the trigger voltage was applied to the middle electrode (see left axis, Fig. 6). In curve (c), at dv/dt = 18.5 kV/μs, the breakdown voltage between the middle electrode and others is 1106 V. As voltage slope decreases, the breakdown voltage decreases linearly. However, in curve (b), because a trigger voltage is applied, the breakdown voltages of the GDA are generated at around 1000 V independent of voltage slope. Thus, breakdown voltage induced when using the trigger can be lowered by up to 1356 V compared with the results in curve (a).

Discharge voltage must also be decreased because of the larger dv/dt of the trigger voltage used between the middle electrode and the other electrodes compared with the voltage applied at the terminal of GDA. However, in curve (c), when voltage slope = 4.4 kV/μs, the trigger discharge is initiated at 950 V, but the terminal voltage of the GDA is even higher at 1003 V. When voltage slope = 9.8 kV/μs, the trigger discharge is initiated at 980 V, but the terminal voltage of the GDA is also higher at 1000 V.

This phenomenon occurs because of the required time to initiate an electron avalanche [2], [11]. In this case, the discharge by the applied trigger voltage is initiated. However, if the electric strength between the terminal electrodes of the GDA after triggering is not strong enough, the actual breakdown voltage becomes higher than trigger voltage. Therefore, triggering is rendered ineffective under this condition.

Fig. 8 shows the results of response time and voltage slope. Curve (b) corresponds to the applied trigger voltage used between the middle electrode and the other electrodes compared with the voltage applied at the terminal of GDA. However, in curve (c), when voltage slope = 4.4 kV/μs, the trigger discharge is initiated at 950 V, but the terminal voltage of the GDA is even higher at 1003 V. When voltage slope = 9.8 kV/μs, the trigger discharge is initiated at 980 V, but the terminal voltage of the GDA is also higher at 1000 V.

4. Simulation

In this paper, the GDA model was easily generated using ATP-Draw, a graphical mouse-driven preprocessor for ATP; it estimates the breakdown characteristics of GDAs with source triggers. The ATP-EMTP model of the GDA (Fig. 9) is composed of four parts. The surge voltage generator produced the standard 1.2/50 μs lightning impulse voltage for the open circuit and a standard 8/20 μs current for the short circuit. Measurements were collected with a shunt resistor and a shunt capacitor.

\[
T_R = 8.242E-6 - 3.205E-17 \cdot (\text{dv/dt}) + (-7.568E-9 \cdot V_d)
\]  
\[
V_d = 1007.016 - 5.141E-10 \cdot (\text{dv/dt}) + (-2819030.142 \cdot T_R)
\]
These elements for measurement did not influence the obtained results because resistance has a much higher value than the parallel resistor of the generator. Moreover, the capacitance was too small to affect significantly the steepness of the voltage [5], [8]. The trigger voltage generator was applied to the middle electrode of the GDA. The right portion of the model corresponds to the GDA itself, while time delay is represented by a voltage controller. Eq. (1) was implemented using the MODELS function. The plasma resistance is represented by a resistance controller. The resistance controller box utilized a MODELS module implementing Eq. (2) and which considers voltage as input (the current can be obtained by dividing voltage by measured resistance $R_s$). In the model, $R_2$ and $C_1$ are the leakage resistance and the capacitance of the GDA, respectively. $L_1$ is the inductance of the leads. $R_4$ is the contact resistance and $R_1$ is a negligible resistor that has been introduced in order to create a node inside the GDA module connected to the voltage controller.

Fig. 10 shows the simulation results for an applied impulse voltage wave with a slope of 18.5 kV/μs and peak voltage of 4 kV. Upon application of the impulse voltage to the GDA, the secondary voltage of the transformer decreased as oscillation decayed. These results were compared with those in Fig. 4 where the slope of the voltage wave has been amplified by the capacitor and transformer, and at which voltages were measured with additional 200 ns. The obtained results were similar to those shown in Fig. 4.

Fig. 11 shows the GDA operation characteristics when the trigger voltage is applied and not applied with a slope of 18.5 kV/μs and a peak voltage of 4 kV.

Fig. 11(a) shows as case where the trigger voltage is not applied to the middle electrode of the GDA, whereas in Fig. 11(b), trigger voltage is applied. A comparison of simulation results and the experimental results is shown in Table 2. Evidently, as the difference between the results is less than 2% upon inducing the trigger source, this model can be used to estimate the breakdown characteristics of GDAs.

### 5. Conclusion

1. Response time decreases as the slope of the applied impulse voltage increases. However, the commensurate increase in breakdown voltage caused by this time increase can damage devices that are sensitive to voltage surges. In this paper, the high voltage amplified and synchronized from the test voltage is applied to the middle electrode as a trigger. As a result, the time to discharge and the starting breakdown voltage decreased.

2. In applying the trigger source, the slope of the trigger voltage is increased to 6.2 times than the slope of the test voltage. Therefore, using a trigger electrode enables breakdown voltage to decrease from 45% to 57.6%.

3. If faster sensing of the applied surge and a higher-induced $dv/dt$ of the applied surge are triggered at the middle electrode, a lower breakdown voltage is achieved.

4. A GDA model based on the experimental results has been developed. This model, created using ATP-Draw, is more convenient to use than the conventional method and can be used to calculate GDA operation characteristics. In this paper, when the trigger source is used, the actual test results and the simulation results agrees within a 2% range.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Experiment (Figs. 8 and 9)</th>
<th>Simulation (Fig. 12)</th>
<th>Δ%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Without trigger</td>
<td>Voltage (V)</td>
<td>2356</td>
<td>2043</td>
<td>13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time (ns)</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With trigger</td>
<td>Voltage (V)</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>984</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time (ns)</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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