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Abstract

The conventional non-isolated boost converter has some drawbacks such as poor dynamic performance and a discontinuous output current, which make it unsuitable for battery charging applications. In spite of its compactness and lightness, it is not preferred as a charger of portable electronic devices. In this paper, a non-isolated boost converter topology for Li-ion batteries suitable for fuel cell powered laptop computers is proposed and analyzed. The proposed converter has an additional inductor at the output to make a continuous output current. This feature makes it suitable for charger applications by eliminating the disadvantages of the conventional non-isolated boost converter mentioned above. A prototype of the proposed converter is built for the Li-ion battery charger of a laptop computer to prove the validity and advantages of the proposed topology.

Key words: Battery Charger, Laptop Computer, Non-isolated Boost Converter, Output Inductor, Ripple Current

I. INTRODUCTION

These days, portable electronics are more fully-featured than ever before and users are becoming increasingly dependent on these mobile devices and spending ever-longer-periods of time without access to ac sources. However, today’s battery technology has only shown limited improvement and is unlikely to meet the ever increasing power demands in the near future. This is the so-called ‘power gap’, which is the difference between the ever-increasing power demands of portable electronic devices and the amount of power available in today’s battery technologies [1], [2].

Fuel cells are good candidates to replace batteries as power sources for the next generation of portable electronics owing to their high energy density which guarantees a longer operation time. In the future, a tiny fuel cell might replace the batteries in portable electronics such as laptop computers. A compact methanol cartridge can be developed so that it will fit into a small fuel cell package that can power these and other electronic devices for three to five times longer than conventional batteries of the same size and weight. In addition, it can be accommodated in containers of the same size and weight as conventional batteries, and the fuel cell system can be recharged by refilling a fuel cartridge. Existing research on these battery replacement fuel cells claims that they are safer for the environment than regular batteries. However, in order to use a fuel cell in parallel with a Li-ion battery as a new power source, the conventional power architecture needs to be modified. In this paper, a direct boost charger is introduced to charge the Li-ion batteries of a laptop computer from the fuel cell source. A boost converter can step-up the low voltage of a fuel cell stack to the battery voltage and directly charge the battery thereby reducing power loss. A suitable candidate for this function may be the conventional non-isolated boost converter [3].

The conventional boost converter is a well-known and simple topology which is widely used in many applications despite its limitations in continuous conduction mode (CCM), such as a poor dynamic response and a discontinuous output current, which makes it unsuitable for battery charging applications [4], [5]. It is known that a ripple current can have undesirable effects on a battery such as appreciable heating thereby reducing battery life. A report from a Lithium-ion battery manufacturer shows that appreciable battery heating is caused by the interaction between the ripple current and the internal AC impedance of a Lithium-Ion battery. This will accelerate the degradation of a Lithium-Ion battery since the
Fig. 1. Current power architecture for laptop.

Fig. 2. Proposed power distribution architecture of the fuel cell powered laptop computer.

degradation of battery occurs faster at higher temperatures. This also decreases the efficiency of the battery, resulting in less net current available to be drawn from the battery. Research into the ripple current effects shows that if the battery ripple current exceeds a battery manufacturer’s guidelines, the statistically expected life will be reduced by several percentage points [6], [7].

In this paper a non-isolated boost converter for a Li-Ion battery charger suitable for fuel cell powered laptop computers is proposed. To obtain the desired values for the ripple current and the ripple voltage, a supplemental inductor is added at the output of a conventional boost converter to make the output current continuous. An analysis and the design procedure for the proposed charger will be detailed in the following sections.

II. PROPOSED POWER DISTRIBUTION ARCHITECTURE FOR FUEL CELL POWERED LAPTOP COMPUTERS

Fig. 1 shows the fundamental power architecture of today’s laptop computers [8], [9].

The CPU Voltage Regulator Module (VRM), the main VRM, the memory VRM, the graphics VRM and the other VRMs are connected after the power selector, which selects between the battery packs and the adaptor. The most widely used battery packs have either three or four cells in series. This creates a voltage range of 8.7[V] to 16.8[V]. To charge the battery packs, the adaptor has a voltage of 19[V]. Today’s VRM solutions for laptops are a single phase Buck topology or a multphase interleaving buck topology. All of the VRMs in a laptop work at wide input voltage from 8.7[V] to 19[V]. In the Fig.1 all of the laptop’s element as well as the CPU, the main board, the graphic card, the LCD, the memory and the other

III. MODEL DEVELOPMENT OF THE PROPOSED BOOST CONVERTER TOPOLOGY

Fig.3 shows the proposed boost converter topology for a battery charger.

The proposed topology has an additional inductor at the output of the non-isolated boost converter. The additional inductor (Lo) produces a continuous current and makes the output current ripple smaller. In addition, this front-end inductor is designed to meet the ripple requirement of the fuel cell stack, which is less than 15% of the DC current. The battery is modeled with an R-C series circuit, where Rb and Cb represent the equivalent series resistance and the equivalent capacitance of the battery respectively [5]. Generally, a Lithium-Ion battery is charged by the constant
current/constant voltage (CC/CV) method. The CC/CV method is one of the best methods for charging a Li-ion battery because it offers the fastest charging time to fully charge a battery. Charging starts with the CC mode until the battery has reached its maximum voltage. The battery is switched into the CV mode once the maximum voltage is reached. Meanwhile, in the CV mode, the charging current is monitored to determine when the charging process can be terminated. Normally, the battery is considered to be fully charged when the charging current drops below 0.1C [10]-[13].

An important issue in the proposed boost charger is the output inductor design to meet the ripple requirements of the battery. In order to derive a suitable inductance value for the output inductor (L_o), the steady state analysis is performed when the switch is closed as in Fig. 4.

The voltage loop equation in the rear-end subcircuit can be expressed as (1).

$$\frac{1}{C_o} \int i_o(t) dt + L_o \frac{di_o}{dt} + R_s i_o + \frac{1}{C_p} \int i_o dt = 0$$

(1)

The above equation (1) can be rewritten as a second order differential equation, as shown in (2), because $C_o << C_p$.

$$\frac{d^2 i_o}{dt^2} + \frac{R_s}{L_o} \frac{di_o}{dt} + \frac{i_o}{L_o C_o} = 0$$

(2)

Thus the output current $i_o(t)$ can be expressed as (3).

$$i_o(t) = \Delta I_{\text{output ripple}} \sin(2\pi f_s t + \varphi) + I_o$$

(3)

By substituting $i_o$ into equation (1) during the switch on time, the following is obtained:

$$-\frac{1}{C_o} I_o DT + \sqrt{2} L_o \pi f_s \Delta I_{\text{output ripple}} + R_s \Delta I_o \int_{t-}^{t+} dt = 0$$

Since the ac component of equation (3) is equal to zero at $t_j$ and $t_j + DT$, the relationship between the output ripple current and the output inductance value can be obtained as (5) by solving (4).

$$L_o = \frac{I_o D}{2\sqrt{2\pi} \Delta I_{\text{output ripple}} C_o f_s^2}$$

(5)

Where, $I_o$ is the charge current, $D$ is the duty cycle, $C_o$ is the output capacitor of the converter, $f_s$ is the switching frequency, and $\Delta I_{\text{output ripple}}$ is the output ripple current of the converter.

![Fig. 4. Closed-switch sub-circuit of the proposed boost converter.]

![Fig. 5. Large signal model of the proposed boost converter topology.]

![Fig. 6. Small signal model of the proposed boost converter with a battery load operating in continuous conduction mode.]

Thus in order to implement the CC/CV mode controller, it is necessary to derive the duty cycle-to-input current and the duty cycle-to-output voltage transfer function of the proposed converter. The average modeling technique is used to develop the average model of the proposed boost charger as shown in Fig. 5[16].

The switch is modeled as a current-dependent current-source in CCM operation, where the total duty cycle is expressed as $d = D + \delta d$ and the input inductor current is expressed as $\overline{i}_{i_o} = I_{i_o} + \overline{i}_{i_o}$. The resulting switch model becomes $\overline{i}_{i_o} = d \times \overline{i}_{i_o}$. The diode can be modeled as a voltage-dependent voltage-source in CCM mode operation and the resulting model becomes $\overline{v}_{D} = d \times \overline{v}_{C_o}$. All the double small-signal terms can be neglected when the following conditions are met, $i_{i_o} \ll I_{i_o}, \overline{v}_{i_o} \ll V_{i_o}, d \ll D$.

Then, the following is obtained:

$$\overline{i}_{i_o} = D I_{i_o} + \overline{d I}_{i_o}$$

(6)

$$\overline{v}_{D} = D V_{C_o} + \overline{d V}_{C_o}$$

(7)

With the results from (6) and (7), the small-signal model of the proposed converter can be redrawn as Fig. 6.

i) Control-to-output voltage transfer function

By using KCL and KVL, equations (8) and (9) can be obtained.

$$\overline{V}_{C_o} + \overline{V}_{i_o} = \overline{V}_{o}$$

(8)
Substitution of the small-signal into (10) and (11) yields:
\[ \ddot{i}_c + \dot{i}_o = -T_s \ddot{d} + \dot{i}_k (1 - D) \]  
(10)

\[ -\ddot{v}_i + \ddot{v}_c + \ddot{v}_o (1 - D) - D\dot{v}_o - \ddot{d}V_o + \ddot{v}_o = 0 \]

From (10) the following is obtained:
\[ \ddot{i}_c = \frac{1}{1 - D} \left( sC_o (\ddot{v}_c + \ddot{v}_o) + \ddot{v}_o + \frac{J_o}{R_o} \ddot{d} \right) \]  
(12)

The small-signal voltage on the input inductor and the output inductor can be obtained as (13) and (14).
\[ \ddot{v}_i = sL \ddot{i}_k = \frac{sL_o}{1 - D} \left( sC_o (\ddot{v}_c + \ddot{v}_o) + \ddot{v}_o + \frac{J_o}{R_o} \ddot{d} \right) \]  
(13)

\[ \ddot{v}_o = \frac{sL_o}{R_o + sC_b} \ddot{v}_o \]  
(14)

By substituting (13) and (14) into (11), the control-to-output voltage transfer function can be obtained as (15).
\[ G_{V_o|V_i} = \frac{\frac{\ddot{v}_o}{1 - D}}{1 + s \left( \frac{C_o - \frac{L_o}{V_o}}{R_o (1-D)^2} \right) - sC_o R_o \frac{L_o}{R_o (1-D)^2}} \]  
(15)

ii) Control-to-input current transfer function

By using KCL the following is obtained:
\[ \ddot{i}_c = (D \ddot{i}_k + \ddot{d} \ddot{i}_k) + \frac{\ddot{v}_o Z_o}{Z_b} sC_o + \ddot{v}_o \]  
(16)

where:
\[ Z_b = R_b + \frac{1}{sC_b} \]  
(18)

\[ Z_o = \frac{1}{Z_b} \left( sL_o + R_b + \frac{1}{sC_b} \right) \]  
(19)

\[ \frac{1}{Z_2} = \frac{Z_o^2}{Z_o} sC_o + \frac{1}{Z_b} \]  
(20)

For the loop with the input inductor, the diode and the output capacitor, KVL can be obtained as:
\[ -\ddot{i}_k sL_o + D \ddot{v}_o + \ddot{d}V_o - \ddot{v}_o = 0 \]  
(21)

\[ \ddot{v}_o = \frac{Z_k}{Z_o} \ddot{d}V_o + \ddot{v}_o \]  
(22)

By using (17) and (22) the control-to-input current transfer function is derived as (23).
\[ G_{I_k|V_i} = \frac{J_o}{1 - D} + s \left[ \frac{2L_o R_o C_o}{(1-D)^2} + \frac{sL_o C_o R_o}{1-D} + s^2 V_c L_o C_o I_o \right] \]  
(23)

The control-to-output voltage transfer function shows a second order numerator and a forth order denominator. Analyzing the numerator, there are two zeroes, one on the left half plane (LHP) and the other on the right half plane (RHP). For a converter with the RHP zero, the crossover frequency is constrained by the power stage dynamics and it is recommended that the crossover frequency should not exceed one third of the RHP zero frequency for a reasonable ripple. This is especially important for fuel cell applications since the ripple current may have undesirable effects on the fuel cell operation such as power losses and lifetime reduction [15-17].

The RHP zero frequency and the maximum crossover frequency can be derived as (24) and (25) by using the system design parameters in Table I, respectively.
\[ f_{RHP-zero} = \frac{R_o}{2 \pi L_o \left( 1 - \frac{V_o}{V_o} \right)^2} \approx 2.37 \text{kHz} \]  
(24)

\[ f_c^{max} = \frac{1}{3} f_{RHP-zero} \approx 90 \text{Hz} \]  
(25)

By using the control-to-output voltage transfer function, the RHP-zero in the Pole-zero map can be seen graphically. This Pole-zero map can appreciate the interrelation of classical-control analysis tools and measures of relative stability. In the map, the blue circles show the poles and the red parallelograms mark the zeros.

As shown in Fig. 7, the control-to-output transfer function has four poles and two zeroes. The control-to-output voltage transfer function has four poles and two zeros. The RHP zero is located at 2.37[kHz] as calculated by (24). Thus the maximum crossover for the voltage loop should be limited to less than 790[Hz] as (25).

The Pole-zero map of the control-to-input current transfer function is shown in Fig. 8 and it has four poles and three zeros. Since there is no RHP zero, the current control loop can be designed to have a higher bandwidth. It has been chosen at 6[kHz], which is one tenth of the switching frequency.

As mentioned in the section I, the output ripple value is one of the critical design factors for charge applications, since it affects the reliable operation of a battery and its lifetime. In order to select a suitable value for the output inductor to meet the ripple requirement of the battery during CC/CV charge, a 3-D plot was drawn by using (5) to show the relationship between the duty cycle, the output ripple current and the
In this graph, the duty value varies from 0.44 to 0.55 (corresponding to the minimum and maximum duty cycle in the constant current mode, while the input voltage of the boost converter is 6[V] and the output voltage varies from 10.8[V] to 12.6[V]).

In order to find the minimum inductance value to meet the ripple requirement for the battery, Fig. 9 is redrawn for the maximum duty cycle and output current value to show the relationship between output inductance and the output ripple current as Fig. 10. Since the maximum allowable ripple current for the battery used in this paper is 0.26[A] (0.05C) as in Table I, 8.5[µH] is the minimum inductance value for the output inductor of the boost charger.

**IV. DESIGN THE CHARGE CONTROLLER**

In this section, the control algorithm of the proposed boost converter is discussed. Usually the charge requires two different control modes, CC & CV, but in this study a single control loop is used for both control modes to reduce the complexity. Fig. 11 is a block diagram of the battery charge control algorithm using double control loop. It consists of an outer control loop, regulating the converter output voltage (CV Mode) and an inner control loop, serving for the output current control (CC Mode).

In the CC mode only the charge current is controlled and the output voltage of the converter is the same as the battery voltage. In this case, the battery voltage is slowly increased up to its nominal value as the battery is charged. Once the battery voltage reaches to its nominal value (12.6[V] in this case), the controller changes its mode to voltage control mode. In this case the output voltage is maintained at its nominal value and the controller changes its duty to maintain the output voltage even if the input voltage from the fuel cell varies. The design
of the converter and the controller was performed considering input voltage variations, and the charge operation was successfully performed while the converter input voltage (fuel cell output voltage) varied.

In the control, the output voltage ($V_o$) is detected and compared with the reference voltage ($V_{o*}$). Then the error signal is generated and amplified to generate the current reference ($I_{i*}$). Since the charge control starts with constant current (CC Mode), the current reference should be limited at an appropriate value for the inductor current. The current reference ($I_{i*}$) is then compared with the measured input current to generate the error signal, which is transmitted to the current controller [18-19-20-21]. The output of the current controller is then compared with the triangular wave to generate the PWM signal for the switch. At this time, the loop gain of the internal current loop and the external voltage loop can be expressed as follows:

The loop gain of the current control loop and voltage control loop can be expressed as (26) and (27), respectively.

$$T_i(s) = G_{ic} \frac{1}{V_m} G_{ic} H_i$$  (26)

$$T_v(s) = G_{ic} G_{ic} \frac{1}{V_m} G_{ic} H_i$$  (27)

where, $G_{ic}$ is the current controller gain, $H_i$ is the current feedback gain, $1/V_m$ is the comparator gain, $G_{ic}$ is the voltage controller gain, and $H_i$ is the voltage feedback gain.

Fig. 12 and Fig. 13 show the design process for the voltage and current controller using a Bode plot. As can be seen in Fig. 11, the crossover frequency of current controller is 6[kHz]. At the crossover frequency, the phase of the open loop transfer function is -93 degree. It can be seen that the phase margin is enough as it is. However, the gain in the low frequency domain is not high enough because of the huge capacitance value of the battery. Therefore, a three-poles, two-zeros controller is selected to raise the low frequency gain by locating one pole at the origin. The zero is located before the system double pole and the other pole is located before the half of the switching frequency so that the system may become insensitive to high frequency noise. The design also secures a sufficient phase margin of 80 degree at the crossover frequency for the stability of the system.

**V. SIMULATION**

A PSIM simulation was performed to verify the validity of the developed laptop computer battery charger and its control algorithm. The system parameters for the simulation can be found in Table I. In the PSIM simulation, a PI controller was used for the current control loop and a Type II controller was used for the
For a laptop, a three series verified by the CC and CV Mode
The validity of the proposed topology and algorithm was then
CV mode, the battery voltage is kept constant at 12.6[V] and
t until it reaches to the upper limit of the charge voltage and then
current is regulated at a reference value of 4[A] during the CC
mode. The voltage of the laptop battery gradually increase
the proposed boost charger in the constant current (CC) mode
voltage control loop. Fig. 14 shows the simulation results of the proposed boost charger.

![Fig. 14. PSIM simulation results of the proposed boost charger.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE I</th>
<th>SYSTEM PARAMETERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Input voltage</td>
<td>VI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output voltage</td>
<td>VO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output power</td>
<td>PO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>f0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input inductor</td>
<td>LI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacitor</td>
<td>Ci</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output inductor</td>
<td>LO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samsung Battery - 3S2P</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal Current</td>
<td>ICONST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging Current</td>
<td>IO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charging Voltage</td>
<td>VO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery Initial Voltage</td>
<td>VB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Ripple Voltage</td>
<td>ΔVoutput_ripple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Ripple Current</td>
<td>ΔIoutput_ripple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent capacitance of the battery</td>
<td>Cb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equivalent series resistance of the battery</td>
<td>Rs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 15. Flow chart of control algorithm.

pack. The voltage of a single battery by itself is not constant and varies from a minimum of 3.6[V] to a maximum of 4.2[V]. This creates a 3S2P battery voltage range from 10.8[V] to 12.6[V].

To implement the CC/CV mode control algorithm mentioned in the previous section, a digital signal processor (DSP) “TMS320F28335” from TI was used for full digital control of the proposed boost charger and its charge algorithm.

For the digital implementation of the design analog controller, the bilinear transformation is used and the resulting equations are as follows.

\[
T_{dc}(z) = \frac{0.2571 + 0.03605 \times z^{-1} - 0.221 \times z^{-2}}{1 - 0.8665 \times z^{-1} - 0.1335 \times z^{-2}} \tag{28}
\]

\[
T_{cc}(z) = \frac{5.826 - 5.778 \times z^{-1}}{1 - z^{-1}} \tag{29}
\]

A flow chart of the control algorithm implemented in the digital signal processor is shown in Fig.15.

The battery charge profile of the experimental data is shown in Fig. 16 for both the CC and CV charge mode. The charge current is regulated at a reference value of 4[A] and the voltage of the battery increase gradually until it reaches the nominal battery voltage 12.6[V]. Then the charger changes its mode to CV mode charge by the control algorithm. In the CV mode, the voltage of the battery is maintained at 12.6[V] and the current decrease exponentially.

VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

A 50[W] boost charger was implemented for experiments. The validity of the proposed topology and algorithm was then verified by the CC and CV Mode charging of an actual battery for a laptop, a three series – two parallel (3S2P) Li-Ion battery
The efficiency is 85.46% under the rated load and the maximum efficiency is 94.88% under a lighter load.

Fig. 18 and Fig. 19 show the output ripple current of a conventional boost converter and the proposed converter, respectively. From the experimental results, the difference between the values of the ripple current in the conventional boost charger and the proposed boost charger can be seen. As can be seen in the figures, the ripple current was reduced to 0.228[A] due to an output inductor with a value of 10[µH].

Fig. 20 shows the output voltage waveforms of the conventional non-isolated boost converter. As can be seen in the figure, the output voltage ripple exceeds the allowable maximum ripple voltage of the battery (0.126V). It can also be seen that there is a 3.6V voltage spike due to the switching. However, as can be seen in Fig. 21, the output voltage ripple of the proposed converter is 0.12V, which is acceptable for a Li-Ion battery, and the voltage spike is also removed by the filter effect caused by the additional inductor and the capacitor inside the battery.

VII. CONCLUSION

In this paper a non-isolated boost converter suitable for boost charge applications was proposed and its validity was proved by experiments. In addition, the modeling and control of the proposed converter has been detailed. Due to the additional inductor at the output, the charge current becomes...
continuous and ripples free, thereby making this converter suitable for battery charge applications.
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