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Abstract

In this paper, a hybrid booster power module with Si IGBT and Silicon Carbide (SiC) Schottky Barrier Diode (SBDs) is presented. The switching characteristics of the hybrid booster module are compared with commercial Silicon IGBT/Si PIN diode based modules. We applied the booster power module into a non-isolated on board vehicle charger with a simple buck-booster topology. The performances of the on-vehicle charger are analyzed and measured with different power modules. The test data is measured in the same system, at the same points of operation, using the conventional Si and hybrid Si/SiC power modules. The measured power conversion efficiency of the proposed on-vehicle charger is 96.4 % with the SiC SBD based hybrid booster module. The conversion efficiency gain of 1.4 % is realizable by replacing the Si-based booster module with the Si IGBT/SiC SBD hybrid boost module in the 6.6 kW on-vehicle chargers.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Hybrid electrical vehicles (HEVs), plug-in hybrid electrical vehicles (PHEVs), and battery electrical vehicles (BEVs) are now revealed as one of the promising environment friendly vehicle technologies. These xEVs will reduce the usage of petroleum, air pollution, and the emission of carbon dioxide. Since these xEVs are critically depend on the availability of batteries and battery charging infrastructure, the progress of low cost reliable batteries is the key element to increase deployment of the xEVs near future [1], [2]. Battery chargers are another key component required for the emergence and acceptance of PHEVs/BEVs. For PHEVs/BEVs applications, the automotive industry accepted using an on-vehicle charger. An on-vehicle 3.3/6.6 kW charger can charge a 16 kWh depleted battery pack in PHEV’s to 80% charge in less than eight/four hours from a 120/220 VAC supply [3].

High power conversion efficiency and high operating temperature capability is essential for on-vehicle battery charger to minimize recharging time and to withstand the brutal high temperature environments [4]. Silicon Carbide (SiC) is a wide band-gap semiconductor technology that offers high temperature capability and fast switching speeds in vehicle charger applications [5]. Therefore, SiC semiconductor devices, especially Schottky Barrier Diodes (SBDs) for booster with power factor correction function, have the potential to realize efficiency gains in on-vehicle chargers and other applications [6]-[9]. SiC SBDs have negligible reverse recovery which virtually eliminates large portion of switching loss. While conduction losses and switching losses of the Si IGBTs could be optimized in high voltage circuits which will show substantial improvement in terms of lower power conversion losses. SiC has replaced most junction and metal barrier diodes in power factor correction converters and are both reliable and low cost. By combining the advantages of generation 3 IGBTs and the zero reverse recovery of SiC rectifiers, we can make a high speed, low loss, reliable, low cost power module that will improve the overall efficiency of the power electronics and also shrink the size of high power converters by increasing the switching frequency.

The designed hybrid Si IGBT-SiC SBDs power module utilized the latest high speed, high efficient, silicon IGBTs and SiC freewheeling SBD diodes. The module package is form, fit, and functionally equivalent to an automotive or commercial module package currently being sourced in high volume from a leading power module manufacturer. The strategy of using a widely accepted commercial module package with improved semiconductors will shorten...
development time, enhance acceptance, and increase chances for commercialization.

This paper presents design and characterization of the Si IGBTs / SiC SBDs based a hybrid booster module in section II. Section III describes the non-isolated 6.6 kW on-vehicle charger, followed by experimental results in section IV, and the conclusions are presented in section V.

II. HYBRID BOOSTER POWER MODULE

GPE has developed a Hybrid Si IGBTs / SiC SBDs boost power module with a SOT-227 package as shown in Table 1. The boost module can support up to rated voltage of 1200 V and at diode current of 60 A. The module is integrated with the field-stop trenched Si IGBTs (40 A per IGBT), the fast recovery Si PIN freewheeling diodes (15 A per PIN diode), and the SiC boost SBDs (30 A per SBD).

As shown in Fig. 1, The Si IGBTs, Si FRED, and the SiC dies are attached on the 9 % ZrO₂ doped Al₂O₃ direct bond copper (DBC) substrate with minimum inductance loop between IGBTs and the boost SiC SBDs. The isolation voltage of the ceramic substrate provides 2500 V isolation between the power devices and the baseplate. For the automotive applications, the 0.32 mm thickness of the substrate is selected with the 0.2 mm copper on the top and 0.127 mm copper on the bottom side of the DBC substrate. The solder joints both die & substrate and the substrate & baseplate are formed at a reflow solder systems with 96.5Sn3.5Ag eutectic solder. The top-side die contact is done with 12 mil Aluminum wires except for the gate contact 8 mil Aluminum wire. The selected mold compound is Hysol MG15F-140 from Henkel.

The performances of the hybrid module are compared with the commercially available Si-based power modules with the same SOT-227 package as shown in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Specifications</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collector - Emitter Breakdown Voltage</td>
<td>$V_{CES}$</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Continuous Collector Current</td>
<td>$I_{C@one IGBT}$</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max Gate-Emitter Voltage</td>
<td>$V_{GES}$</td>
<td>±20</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiC Diode Forward Voltage @ 30A</td>
<td>$V_F$</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Reverse Leakage Current</td>
<td>$I_{RM}$</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>µA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating Junction Temperature</td>
<td>$T_j$</td>
<td>-55 ~ +150</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(a) Chematic drawing.  (b) Boost module layout.  (c) Picture of the hybrid boost module.

Fig. 1. Hybrid boost module with SOT-227 package.

TABLE II

LIST OF BOOST MODULES UNDER EVALUATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendors</th>
<th>Part Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global Power Electronics, Inc.</td>
<td>GHIS030A120S-A1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microsemi Corporation</td>
<td>APT75GT120JU2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vishay Intertechnology, Inc.</td>
<td>GB50LA120UX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The switching losses of the boost module were measured using a double pulse tester (DPT) with 200 µH inductive load as shown in Fig. 2 [10]. In the experiments, the module is tested at a 600 V DC bus voltage, 40 A current, and 150 °C junction temperature. The self-heating of the devices is not considered and the junction temperature is assumed the same as the case temperature because of the slow thermal time constant compared to the double pulse duration, repetition time 2 ms, turn-on pulse time 2~5 µs.

The measured switching losses of the GPE hybrid module with different gate resistors are shown in Fig. 3. The turn-on switching loss (solid lines) is linearly depend on the gate resistor, however, the turn-off switching loss (dotted lines) changes are very small since the long turn-off tail of the IGBT is not effected by the gate resistor values. Fig. 4 shows the rise time ($t_r$) and fall time ($t_f$) of the switching corrector current from 10 % to 90 % changes. Degradation of the falling time at high junction temperature is a typical behavior of the designed field-stop trenched Si IGBT device [11].
The switching characteristics of the hybrid booster module are compared with Si IGBT/Si PIN based booster power modules. As shown in Fig. 5(c), the turn-on overshoot current of the Si based module is 90 A at 150 °C due to the discharging current of reverse recovery charge of the boost diodes. It is verified in [12] that the use of SiC SBD, which has negligible reverse recovery current, helps to reduce the turn-on overshoot current of the IGBT. The IGBT chip accommodated in Vishay’s booster module has very good switching waveforms, however, the IGBT is designed with high forward voltage drop [13].

The waveforms of the turn on/off switching losses are shown in Fig. 6. The turn-on loss is dominated by the overshoot current due to the discharging current of reverse recovery charges of the boost diode. On the other hand, the switching tail current of the IGBT as shown in Fig. 5 (d) is a significant impact on the turn-off loss. In the GPE Rev2 module, the field-stop trenched IGBT design is optimized between the forward voltage drop and the turn-off tailing current[9]. By replacing a Si PIN boost diode with the SiC SBD, the peak current at the turn-on transient instant was reduced from 90 A to 50 A. It is a significant impact on not just the turn-on switching losses, but also on the EMI/EMC performances.

Fig. 3. Switching Loss vs. gate resistor with $V_{CE}=600$ V, $I_C=40$ A, $T_J=25~150$ °C, and $V_{GE}=15$ V.

Fig. 4. Rise ($t_r$) and fall time ($t_f$) vs. collector current with $V_{CE}=600$ V, $R_G=5$ ohm, and $V_{GE}=15$ V.

Fig. 5. Transient switching waveforms at 600 V and 40 A.

Fig. 6. Waveforms of switching power losses at junction temperature 150 °C.
Fig. 7 shows the turn-on switching loss ($T_{\text{on}}$) and the turn-off switching loss ($T_{\text{off}}$) as a function of junction temperatures at $V_{CE} = 600$ V and $I_D = 40$ A. From the DPT test results of GPE’s generation 2 hybrid module, the measured IGBT turn-on loss ($T_{\text{on}}$) is 0.93 mJ at 25 °C and 1.08 mJ at 150 °C. The turn-off loss ($T_{\text{off}}$) is 0.65 mJ and 1.17 mJ at 25 °C and 150 °C, respectively. In Vishay’s Si booster module, the turn-off losses show very similar results to GPE’s module; however, the turn-on losses are more than double.

Since the discharge current of the SiC SBD’s reverse recovery charge is independent of junction temperature, the increase to the turn-on loss of GPE’s hybrid power module at a junction temperature 150 °C is only 16% when compared to the measured data at a junction temperature of 25 °C. On the other hand, the turn-on loss of the Si boost diode based power modules (Microsemi: MS and Vishay: V) at a junction temperature of 150 °C is increased about 60% when compared to the value of the turn-on loss at a junction temperature of 25 °C.

The total power losses are analyzed including diode conduction loss ($L_{\text{COND}}$), IGBT conduction loss ($L_{\text{COND}}$), turn-on switching loss ($L_{\text{ON}}$), and turn-off switching loss ($L_{\text{OFF}}$) at different switching frequency of $F_{SW}=25$ kHz, 50 kHz, and 100 kHz with the fixed $V_{CE}=600$ V, $T_J=150$ °C, and $I_C=10$–40 A as shown in Fig. 8.

In GPE’s rev. 2 hybrid power module, by optimizing the doping concentration of the field stop trenched IGBT, the conduction losses and switching losses are compromised. The normalized total power losses of the hybrid booster power module are shown in Fig. 9. When the power modules were operated at the same switching frequency, the GPE’s Gen 2 hybrid booster module could reduce the power losses to 50 % ~ 400 % depend on the switching frequencies when compared to the commercial Si based booster power modules.
In order to confirm the benefits of the Si IGBTs/ SiC SBDs hybrid booster power module, we developed and demonstrated the power conversion efficiency of the 6.6 kW non-isolated on-vehicle charger as a test platform. The functional block diagram of the on-vehicle charger is shown in Fig. 10. It is a Buck/Boost non-isolated topology that utilizes a single Buck/Boost Inductor. During normal operation where the battery voltage exceeds the peak of the AC input voltage, the charger operates in Boost mode and the Buck stage is turned on full. The Buck mode is only used when the peak of the AC input voltage is greater than the battery voltage and the Boost stage is turned off.

The on-vehicle charger specifications are shown in Table 3. The charger is designed with 6.6 kW rated power to meet the level 1 & 2 charging requirement of PHEVs. The power factor, output voltage, and output current are controlled by the TMS320F28035 micro-controller from Texas Instrument.

III. 6.6 kW ON-VEHICLE CHARGER

Fig. 11 shows the state transition diagram of Buck/Boost control. Boost/Buck sub-modes are internally determined based on input/output voltage. Note that the charger only operates in Boost or in Buck Mode, and never switches both the Buck and Boost IGBTs at the same time. The charger operates most of the time in Boost Mode at nominal conditions, thus the power conversion efficiency in Buck Mode is not considered herein. For the performance comparison, a commercially available Silicon based boost module (both IGBT and PIN Boost Diode are Silicon) are used. The boost module used in the experiment is made by MicroSemi (MS) with the part number APT75GT120JU2 [14].

The developed 6.6 kW on-vehicle charger is shown in Fig. 12. The volume and weight of the charger is ~5.4 litter (230 x 260 x90 mm³) and ~5.5 kg, respectively. The hybrid Booster power module is replaced with Silicon based booster module for the performance comparison without change of any other system components or testing parameters.

**TABLE III**

ON-VEHICLE CHARGER SPECIFICATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Specifications</th>
<th>Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rated Power</td>
<td>$P_{OUT}$</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>kW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Input voltage range</td>
<td>$V_{IN}$</td>
<td>110–240</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output voltage</td>
<td>$V_{OUT}$</td>
<td>200–500</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum input current</td>
<td>$I_{IN,MAX}$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switching frequency</td>
<td>$F_{SW}$</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operating cooling temperature</td>
<td>$T_C$</td>
<td>-20 ~ +65</td>
<td>°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Baseline data using the Si IGBT / Si Boost Diode Module is compared below with the same operating points and operating temperatures as the GPE Si IGBT / SiC SBD based hybrid booster module as described in section II.

Fig. 13 shows the test setup to measure the performances of the on-vehicle charger. The charging conditions are controlled by the vehicle control unit through a Car Area Network (CAN) bus. The resistor bank with paralleled 2000uF capacitor is used to emulate the battery. The power factor, power conversion efficiency, and total harmonic distortion data are measured with a Yokogawa Precision Power Analyzer (WT3000). The input AC voltage was adjusted from 110 Vac~240 Vac with a VARIAC.

While operating the on-vehicle charger with 125 Vac input voltage and constant 400 V\text{DC} output voltage, the measured power conversion efficiencies are shown in Fig. 14. The power conversion efficiency measured using Yokogawa WT3000 is improved about 2 % across the operating powers and temperature ranges. As shown in Fig. 15, when the operation voltage was at 208 Vac and the SiC SBD based hybrid Boost Module installed, the maximum power conversion efficiency of the on-vehicle charger was recorded 96.4 %. The hybrid boost module also showed much less sensitive at the elevated operating temperature when compared to the Si-based boost module.

Fig. 16 shows the input voltage, input current and the output voltage waveforms. The variation of 400 V\text{DC} output voltage is less than 3 V\text{p-p} with 2000 uF/62.5 \Omega load. The measured power factor is 0.98–0.993 over the output power range as shown in Fig. 17. No significant difference in power factor is observed between MS and GPE modules. The total harmonic distortion (THD) of the input AC current was not fully optimized. However, the THD value was stable and improved at higher output power as shown in Fig. 18.
CONCLUSIONS

We designed and developed a hybrid boost power module for on-vehicle charger applications. Based on analysis and evaluation results of the boost module, it is clear that replacing a Si PIN boost diode with a SiC SBD boost diode offers approximately 2% system efficiency improvement in the on-vehicle charger across the power and temperature operating range. This is considerable for a drop in replacement part in a practical design.

Utilizing SiC SBDs greatly reduced reverse recovery properties in power converters including on-vehicle chargers, DC/DC converters, and traction inverters will significantly improve overall power conversion efficiency of the HEVs/PHEVs/BEVs. For high temperature capability and robustness of power subsystems, SiC SBDs are certainly a natural choice for higher efficiency in fast switching applications. With the recent progress in the development of SiC switching devices like MOSFETs and IGBTs, the power converter will improve in efficiency, higher frequency switching, packaging size, and weight. Cost reduction of SiC devices will follow with increased utilization, economies of scale production, and improvements of device process with 150 mm SiC wafers.
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