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Abstract

In this paper, an approach to extend the life of lead-acid batteries through the elimination of sulfation with “rest time” using the pulse current of a conventional DPV (Differential Pulse Voltammetry) method is discussed. A new rest time without “0” current in “saw-tooth” pattern pulses is proposed to overcome the “0” current (blackout period), which is a shortcoming of DPV. This will enable the proposed method to be used in the loaded state. In the proposed approach, ESSs (Energy Storage Systems) were discharged for 5 h twice per day for 6 months on weekdays. To observe the changes in the lifespan of the systems, for the same period, the changes in the impedances of lead-acid batteries which were being charged without charging and discharging were measured and compared. This study is focused on determining the effectiveness of lead-acid batteries as ESSs.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, there have been many blackouts during summer periods due to lower power reserve margins. These blackouts have the potential to be a major socio-economic issue. Various solutions have been implemented to prevent these blackouts. These range from improving the power-generation infrastructure on the supply side to reducing the power consumption during peak hours on the consumer side.

According to Korean energy-consumption patterns, the average diurnal rate of change in power consumption has reached approximately 57%. To compensate for this gap in peak demand, the country relies on power generation using imported raw materials (LPG and oil), which are expensive. In other words, to satisfy the corresponding load fluctuation of 57%, excluding the nuclear base load, costly raw materials are required in order to meet the power generation capacity [1]. In many countries, this fluctuation often leads to the introduction of tariffs that are based on the time of use.

The solid red line in Fig. 1 illustrates the national power usage at different times of the day. The dotted red line shows the maximum capacity with a power generation of 87 GW. The grid is observed to be vulnerable to blackouts if a marginal reserve rate of less than 5% is maintained during the summer season. The use of ESSs (Energy Storage Systems) to store energy in batteries during the daytime, after which it is discharged during peak hours, would guarantee a sufficient energy reserve rate, leading to economic sustainability, as shown by the blue dotted line.

Recently, the IT infrastructure in various industries such as telecommunications companies, banks, IDCs (Internet Data Centers), industrial complexes, and even government offices have installed large numbers of lead-acid batteries for use as emergency backup batteries to ensure system reliability. When compared to lithium batteries, lead-acid batteries are more economical in terms of their durability and safety.

When these pre-installed batteries are applied as ESSs, a significant advantage is expected without the need for any additional investment. However, when lead-acid batteries are
used as ESSs, the frequent charge and discharge cycles will result in a significant decline in the lifespan of the batteries [2], [3]. In this paper, an enhanced method to improve the performance of lead-acid batteries is proposed and its practical implementation is discussed.

II. INHERITED PROBLEMS AFFECTING LEAD-ACID BATTERY USED AS ESSS

For lead-acid batteries to be used as ESSs, the following problems need to be resolved.

First, according to Peukert’s law, the maximum dischargeable capacity of a lead-acid battery is proportional to the discharged current and the duration of the discharge. The maximum current that can be discharged per hour can be represented by Equation (1) [4].

\[
C_p = I^k t
\]

where:
- \(C_p\) is the capacity at a one-ampere discharge rate, which is expressed in ampere-hours.
- \(I\) is the actual discharge current (i.e., the current drawn from the load) in amperes.
- \(k\) is Peukert’s constant (dimensionless).
- \(t\) is the actual time required to discharge the battery, which is expressed in hours.

Based on Peukert’s law, Table I shows the relationship between the total dischargeable constant current over discharge time [5].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Battery Capacity</th>
<th>15min</th>
<th>30min</th>
<th>1h.</th>
<th>2h.</th>
<th>3h.</th>
<th>4h.</th>
<th>5h.</th>
<th>8h.</th>
<th>10h.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VGS 1000</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>587</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>176</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGS 1400</td>
<td>797</td>
<td>687</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGS 1600</td>
<td>881</td>
<td>786</td>
<td>652</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGS 2000</td>
<td>1085</td>
<td>983</td>
<td>803</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>479</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGS 2400</td>
<td>1301</td>
<td>1180</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>559</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGS 2600</td>
<td>1411</td>
<td>1279</td>
<td>1043</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>606</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGS 2800</td>
<td>1519</td>
<td>1375</td>
<td>1123</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>653</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VGS 3000</td>
<td>1628</td>
<td>1474</td>
<td>1204</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Amperes to F.V 1.80 V per cell

Sulfation, which appears spongy in the initial stages, becomes crystallized in the barrier sulfation state and acts as an adhesive in the crystallized sulfation state as time passes. That is, unless the efficiency problems in the first stage and the sulfation problem in the second stage are resolved, lead-acid batteries cannot be used as ESSs.

III. STUDY ON LEAD-ACID DISCHARGE EFFICIENCY AND LIFE-SPAN ENHANCEMENT

A. Study on Discharge Efficiency Using HESS

Recently, to solve the problem of the shorter life cycles of the lead-acid batteries for EVs (electric vehicles), which is caused by continuous charging and discharging, several studies have been performed using discharge control circuits to improve their efficiency and longevity [13]-[23]. Fig. 3 shows an HESS (Hybrid ESS) circuit that was designed to carry out a performance test for batteries when the number of rapid charge and discharge cycles is reduced using the ultra-capacitors in existing hybrid EVs [20].

The charging and discharging of lead-acid batteries is done through a switch connected in series to a 36 V battery that...
works only under the rated capacity of the charging/discharging current. Moreover, an “ultra-capacitor” traces the current above the rated current that is caused by load fluctuations. The EV used in the experiment is a mild hybrid EV that adopted a 3.5 kW rated ISG (Idle Stop and Go) considering the charging situation through the ESS [20].

Fig. 4 shows the charge/discharge wave patterns of the current in the case where only a VGS battery is connected. Fig. 5 shows the charge/discharge wave patterns of the current in the case where a VGS battery and an ultra-capacitor are connected in parallel.

In Fig. 4, the battery needs to supply a large discharge current of approximately 239 A in order to crank the EV. However, in Fig. 5, the battery alone supplies current that is less than 150 A. The remaining current of 89 A and the 3rd cranking current are all supplied by the ultra-capacitor.

Moreover, in Fig. 4, in the case of regenerative braking, several cases involve overcharging of the batteries by more than +50 A. However, while there were no such overcharging cases in Fig. 5, they were only charged to less than +50 A. Thus, tests were performed to extend the life spans of lead-acid batteries by preventing rapid discharges during cranking and rapid charges during regenerative braking. This was done by applying ultra-capacitors [20]-[22].

After experiments for cyclic discharges were performed, it was shown that the lead-acid batteries retained less than 80% of their initial capacity with only 7,104 discharge cycles. However, with the HESSs, the batteries retained 93% of their initial capacity even after 19,694 discharge cycles [20]. This study shows that the required number of discharge cycles increased when the rapid charge/discharge cycle of lead-acid batteries was suppressed. In Section 4, a method that has the same effect in power supply systems without the need for an ultra-capacitor is proposed.

B. Study on the Suppression of Sulfation Using DPV

Lead-acid batteries realize the effect of recycling by discharging impulse-type currents. This method is called DPV (Differential Pulse Voltammetry), and various studies on this method are being carried out. DPV is based on the principle that a battery is recovered by performing a pausing action called “rest time” [23]-[27]. Fig. 6 shows the wave pattern of a current being discharged by discharging an impulse-type voltage using the DPV method [28].

Another experiment performed using this DPV recycling method shows that the change of sulfation can be verified visually. Fig. 7(a) shows the surface of a lead pole after 600 charge/discharge cycles, Fig. 7(b) shows the surface of a lead-acid battery after the recycling process with the application of an impulse current using the proposed DPV method. When compared to Fig. 7(a), Fig. 7(b) shows that the crystallized lead-pole surface decreased after DPV. This confirms that the crystallized sulfation on the surface of an electrode becomes spongy because of the impulse discharge [6], [7].

In this study, the effect of recycling lead-acid batteries by applying the DPV method is confirmed. However, in DPV, because there may be instances with “0 current” during the...
“rest time,” which means that there is no power supplied to
the load, there may be a limited number of applications for
batteries connected to loads that require a constant supply of
power.

IV. USING LEAD-ACID BATTERIES AS ESSS

A. Controlling the Current Discharge of Lead-Acid
Batteries Using a Saw-Tooth Pulse

To use a large-capacity lead-acid battery as an ESS, the
following study was performed. First, to prevent rapid discharg es,
as shown in Table I, which leads to a drastic reduction in the
discharge efficiency, a new method was applied to a large-capacity lead-acid battery, and
the result obtained are presented in Fig. 5.

Secondly, to prevent the shortening of the life span due to
sulfation on the lead-acid surface as the number of discharge
cycles increases (as shown in Fig. 7(a)), an impulse-type
voltage was applied to realize a reduction of sulfation in
terms of the impedance change. However, as shown in Fig. 6, the conventional DPV, which
has a “0 current” time with an “on-off”-type pulse current,
adversely affects the load, which requires a constant power
supply. Considering this kind of load, a new method was
proposed without the “0 current” time using a saw-tooth
pulse.

An attempt was made to observe whether there was any
possibility of achieving the same “rest time” effect in order to
extend battery life in the saw-tooth pulse method, while the
total current required for the load remains constant [29].

Fig. 8 shows an equivalent circuit of a telecommunication
power supply system that requires a constant power supply,
and which was implemented by applying the proposed
method. Because batteries cannot autonomously control
discharged currents, the role of the rectifier was changed to
enable it to perform the role of the ultracapacitor of the EV in
Fig. 3 for both over-charging and over-discharging. The
embedded system was changed for the management of the
rectifier. From Fig. 8, the proposed saw-tooth pulse applied to
the battery current discharge method can be explained as
follows. The current flowing through each resistor in an
electrical circuit can be obtained as a solution of
simultaneous equations that represent the first and second
laws.

\[ V_B = \text{Voltage across the Battery} \]

\[ V_R = \text{Voltage across the Rectifier} \]

\[ I_1 = \text{Current through the Rectifier} \]

\[ I_2 = \text{Current through the Battery} \]

\[ I_3 = \text{Current through the Load} \]

\[ r_R = \text{Internal Impedance of the Rectifier} \]

\[ r_B = \text{Internal Impedance of the Battery} \]

The equations for the current flowing through and the
voltage across the individual impedances are as follows.

\[ V_B + (I_1 \times r_R) + (I_1 + I_2) \times R = 0 \]

\[ V_B + (I_2 \times r_B) + (I_1 + I_2) \times R = 0 \] (2)

The following current formula is obtained from Equation (2).

\[ I_1 = \frac{(V_B - V_D) - r_B}{r_R} \] (3)

\[ r_R \text{ and } r_B \text{ can be changed dependently by changing the} \]
ambient temperature of the rectifier, which is located in the
same constant ambient temperature space. Then, Equation (4)
is obtained as follows.

\[ I_1 = k(V_B - V_B) \] (4)

Because the current \( I_1 \) is determined by \( V_B \) and \( V_B \), \( I_1 \)
can be adjusted by the rectifier voltage \( V_R \). Note that \( V_B \)
depends on the battery type, ambient temperature, SOC (State
Of Current), and discharge duration. In addition, \( r_B \) varies
with the discharge characteristics, ambient temperature, and
load conditions, and \( V_B \) is also variable. Subsequently, by
changing \( V_B \) according to the variable \( V_B \) and the load
current \( I_3 \), it is necessary for \( I_2 \) to give a saw-tooth pulse
current or a desired current wave pattern.

A simulation was conducted as explained below under the
following conditions.

- The batteries are VGS 3000 AH, 2.0 V, 24 cell batteries, 2
  sets, for 6000 AH.
- The rectifier is an HFRU Rectifier with an input of 380 V
  and an output of 53.5 V.
- The actual load current averages 1200 A.
- The discharged current is limited to 0.1 CA ± 15% of the
  saw-tooth pulse type.
Fig. 9. Current wave in conventional method.

Fig. 10. Current wave in proposed method.

Fig. 11. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 12. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 13. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 14. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 15. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 16. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 17. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 18. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 19. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 20. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 21. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 22. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 23. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 24. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 25. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 26. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 27. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 28. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 29. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 30. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 31. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 32. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 33. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 34. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 35. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 36. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 37. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 38. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 39. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 40. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 41. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 42. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 43. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 44. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 45. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 46. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 47. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 48. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 49. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 50. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 51. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 52. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 53. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 54. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 55. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 56. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 57. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 58. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 59. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 60. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 61. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 62. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 63. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 64. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 65. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 66. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 67. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 68. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 69. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 70. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 71. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 72. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 73. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 74. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 75. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 76. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 77. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 78. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 79. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 80. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 81. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 82. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 83. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 84. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 85. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 86. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 87. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 88. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 89. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 90. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 91. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 92. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 93. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 94. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 95. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 96. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 97. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 98. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 99. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 100. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 101. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 102. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 103. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 104. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 105. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 106. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 107. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 108. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 109. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 110. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 111. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 112. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 113. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 114. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 115. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 116. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 117. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 118. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 119. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 120. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 121. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.

Fig. 122. Current due to saw-tooth pulse method per 24 h.
This was due to the indirect control through the rectifier, which is limited since the fine control cannot be the same as that for the ultra-capacitor module, as shown in Fig. 4.

V. IMPEDANCE CHANGE AND OPERATIONAL ACHIEVEMENT EXPERIMENT

An actual test was carried out under the same conditions as those of the simulation. The data acquisition period before the discharge test was from 10/10/12 to 12/30/12 (3 months) and from 01/02/13 to 03/30/13 (3 months, 61 working days) after the application of SPDV. For the same period from 10/01/12 to 03/31/13 (6 months), data was acquired and sorted into groups that maintained similar charging states; i.e., groups involving the application of the proposed method and the use of batteries having the same type and manufacture date.

First, the battery discharge efficiency for the proposed method is given as follows. In Fig. 11, \( I_d \) is the discharge current, \( I_c \) is the charge current, and the efficiency \( \eta \) can be described as \( \eta = I_c / I_d \). The results are shown in Table II.

The average efficiency for the working days from Tuesday to Friday ranges from 91.9%–93.4%. On Saturday, no discharge took place, and the current discharged on Friday was recharged up to 12%. On Monday, because the batteries were already fully charged, the ratio of the charged current to the discharged current is 107.7%. The average efficiency was 92.8% when calculated in terms of the input/output efficiency.

Subsequently, as shown in Table I, the efficiency realized by the design capacity ranges from 9.8% to 39.7% after discharging for at most 15 min and 60 min, respectively. However, an efficiency of 92.8% was confirmed when the proposed method was applied under the same conditions.

Secondly, an analysis of the impact of the proposed method on battery life is presented below. The technical standards committee of the IEEE made recommendations outlined in Std. 1188-1996 [33]. The technical standards were applied for the lead-acid battery conductance measurements. By doing this, non-destructive and indirect methods to determine the time-to-replacement for lead-acid batteries are recommended by performing indirect measurements of their internal impedances. According to the standards, when a battery’s internal impedance increases by more than 20%, followed by a sharp decrease in capacity, battery replacement is strongly recommended [34]-[40].

Under these conditions, changes in the battery life are assessed by measuring the battery impedance for the group both with and without SPDV. During the test period, checks are made to see whether there is any chance of recovering the final impedance to the initial levels.

Owing to seasonal factors, especially during the period from December to January [5], the impedance tends to increase as the ambient temperature drops, and it decreases when the ambient temperature increases. In the test, the battery groups used for the SPDV application are called facilities A, B, C, and D, and the impedance of the first cell in each group was measured, as shown in Figs. 12(a) to 12(d).

The following device specifications were derived to measure the impedance:

- Device name: BDS (Battery Diagnosis System)
- Measurement method: pulsating wave discharge method
- Input frequency: 200~300 Hz
- Measurement range: 0.1 mΩ~99.9 mΩ
- Resolution: 0.001 mΩ
- Measurement period: once per 720 min [twice daily]
- Accuracy: 1%

Fig. 12(a), shows the changes that occur from 0.31 mΩ to 0.31 mΩ in facility-A.

The variations that occur from 0.32 mΩ to 0.33 mΩ in facility-B, from 0.335 mΩ to 0.335 mΩ in facility-C, and from 0.36 mΩ to 0.36 mΩ in facility-D are shown in Figs. 12(b), 12(c), and 12(d), respectively.

As illustrated in Figs. 12(a) to 12(d), the impedance increased from 10/21/12, when the test started for all of the batteries, and it decreased from 01/02/13, when SPDV was applied.

In the test, batteries were employed with the same manufacturer, capacity, and manufacture date that have been installed nationwide without applying the saw-tooth pulse method, as shown in Figs. 13(a) to 13(d). For convenience, the employed batteries were called CC-A, CC-B, and CC-C, respectively.

In addition, because battery impedance is sensitive to temperature and environmental conditions, the batteries of UPS groups 1 and 2 were installed in the same location. They are VGSs with a capacity of 1000 AH each, which were manufactured on 03/01/05, and which are named as CC-D. Figs. 13(a) to 13(d) show the measured impedances from the cells of the individual group of batteries.

Fig. 13(a) shows the waveform segment for CC-A. The impedance change between the starting point and the ending point ranges from 0.156 mΩ to 0.1575 mΩ. Fig. 13(b) shows the CC-B battery impedance change for 0.1415 mΩ to 0.143 mΩ. Fig. 13(c) shows the CC-C battery impedance change for 0.140 mΩ to 0.141 mΩ. Fig. 13(d) shows CC-D for an impedance change of 0.322 mΩ to 0.328 mΩ.
Fig. 12. Impedance variation due to SPDV. (a) Impedance variation in facility-A. (b) Impedance variation in facility-B. (c) Impedance variation in facility-C. (d) Impedance variation in facility-D.

Fig. 13. Impedance variation without SPDV were adopted. (a) Impedance variation in CC-A. (b) Impedance variation in CC-B. (c) Impedance variation in CC-C. (d) Impedance variation in CC-D at the same location with the proposed method applied.
TABLE III
RATE OF IMPEDANCE CHANGE DUE TO THE PROPOSED SPDV METHOD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Fab</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facility-A</td>
<td>0.3366</td>
<td>0.3445</td>
<td>0.3410</td>
<td>0.3373</td>
<td>0.3351</td>
<td>0.3487</td>
<td>99.90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility-B</td>
<td>0.3482</td>
<td>0.3573</td>
<td>0.3542</td>
<td>0.3495</td>
<td>0.3479</td>
<td>0.3616</td>
<td>99.93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility-C</td>
<td>0.3453</td>
<td>0.3551</td>
<td>0.3519</td>
<td>0.3474</td>
<td>0.3444</td>
<td>0.3570</td>
<td>99.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facility-D</td>
<td>0.3462</td>
<td>0.3543</td>
<td>0.3644</td>
<td>0.34686</td>
<td>0.3572</td>
<td>0.3486</td>
<td>98.85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.3441</td>
<td>0.3528</td>
<td>0.3529</td>
<td>0.3453</td>
<td>0.3462</td>
<td>0.3540</td>
<td>99.58%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE IV
IMPEDANCE CHANGE RATE WITHOUT THE APPLICATION OF ANY METHODS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Oct</th>
<th>Nov</th>
<th>Dec</th>
<th>Jan</th>
<th>Fab</th>
<th>Mar</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC-A</td>
<td>0.1561</td>
<td>0.1571</td>
<td>0.1591</td>
<td>0.1589</td>
<td>0.1582</td>
<td>0.1575</td>
<td>100.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-B</td>
<td>0.1415</td>
<td>0.1419</td>
<td>0.1432</td>
<td>0.1434</td>
<td>0.1438</td>
<td>0.1433</td>
<td>100.91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-C</td>
<td>0.1401</td>
<td>0.1408</td>
<td>0.1419</td>
<td>0.1416</td>
<td>0.1423</td>
<td>0.1412</td>
<td>100.54%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-D</td>
<td>0.3229</td>
<td>0.3303</td>
<td>0.3357</td>
<td>0.3341</td>
<td>0.3289</td>
<td>0.3286</td>
<td>100.27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-E</td>
<td>0.3380</td>
<td>0.3442</td>
<td>0.3507</td>
<td>0.3490</td>
<td>0.3458</td>
<td>0.3461</td>
<td>100.77%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-F</td>
<td>0.1617</td>
<td>0.1621</td>
<td>0.1635</td>
<td>0.1641</td>
<td>0.1638</td>
<td>0.1623</td>
<td>100.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>0.2030</td>
<td>0.2051</td>
<td>0.2077</td>
<td>0.2077</td>
<td>0.2068</td>
<td>0.2062</td>
<td>100.79%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figs. 13(a) to 13(d) show that the impedance of all of the batteries started to increase from 10/21/12, when the measurements began, and reached a maximum between December and January.

As the temperature increased, it was observed that the variation in the impedance decreased. However, unlike the group involving the application of SPDV, it did not return to the initial state.

The results presented in Tables III and IV were compared. Table III shows increments of the average impedance of the total batteries that were realized with SPDV. As shown in Figs. 12(a) to 12(d), the relative impedance decreased to 99.58% before and after SPDV implementation.

Table IV shows a comparison of the rate of change of the impedance for all of the batteries as they maintain their original purpose of serving as emergency backups that are mainly used for telecommunication systems.

The same manufacturer also put forward additional battery groups of CC, E, and F, which were not mentioned earlier, and the capacity and date of manufacture were assessed. The total average impedance increased to 100.79%.

Upon application of the SPDV method, the impedance of the 8-year old batteries under experiment whose impedance degraded by more than 10% could be decreased by 0.42% over an average of only 3 months. If this is calculated for a 6-month period, an average of 0.79% of the impedance increase is suppressed. On the other hand, a total renewal effect of 0.84% can be achieved.

Table IV, the relative impedance decreased to 99.58% before and after SDPV implementation. As shown in Table IV shows a comparison of the rate of change of the impedance for all of the batteries as they maintain their original purpose of serving as emergency backups that are mainly used for telecommunication systems.

Considering the 14-year lifespan recommended by the battery manufacturer (20% impedance increase), an increase of 0.79% in a 6-month period corresponds to the normal aging process. In other words, it is possible to confirm the hypothesis that the application of normal lead-acid batteries as ESSs using the SPDV method can enhance the discharge efficiency to a level that is equivalent to that of lithium. In addition, it is possible to recover the initial impedance proportional to the service period. Nevertheless, the aging of batteries may also be affected by various physical or electro-chemical conditions. Thus, there is a need for additional long-term studies under various conditions.

VI. CONCLUSION

By applying the SPDV method in this study, the following conclusions can be made.

First, the discharge efficiency can be enhanced by up to 92.8% under the same conditions when compared to the design efficiency of 9.8% to 39.7%.

Secondly, the proposed SPDV method without the period of “0 current” time is applicable to a constant power supply facility.

Thirdly, for experiments on batteries that are more than 8 years old, the SPDV method is shown to lower the impedance of lead-acid batteries because of the “rest time” effect through pulse current. This means that the proposed SPDV method enables lead-acid batteries to be recycled and to be simultaneously utilized as ESSs.

It has been confirmed that the proposed method makes it possible to use lead-acid batteries as ESSs with a longer life, higher efficiency, and superior utilization, even for constant power supply systems.
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