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Abstract—In the Host Identity Protocol (HIP), the existing host-based handover scheme tends to induce large handover delays and packet loss rates. To deal with this problem, we are proposing a network-based handover scheme for HIP in the mobile networks, in which the access routers of the mobile node will establish a handover tunnel and will perform the route optimization for data transmission. We also discuss how to extend the HIP Update message to use the proposed handover scheme. From ns-2 simulations, we can see that the proposed handover scheme can significantly reduce the handover delay and packet losses during handover, as compared to the existing handover schemes.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Mobility management is one of the primary functions in future mobile networks. The Host Identity Protocol (HIP) has been proposed for identifier-locator (ID-LOC) separation [1, 2]. In HIP, a 128-bit Host Identity Tag (HIT) is used as the ID and the IP address of the host is used as the LOC. To support the mobility and multi-homing feature, the Rendezvous Server (RVS), which manages the HIT-LOC mappings of hosts, is employed [3, 4].

In the existing HIP handover [5], the HIP Update operations are performed between the two concerned hosts for route optimization after a handover takes place. However, this host-based handover scheme tends to induce large handover delays and packet loss rates. In [6], the authors proposed a localized handover scheme, in which the access routers in a mobile domain integrate the HIP proxy function, and the HIP Update operations are performed between the mobile host and the Local RVS (LRVS) after handover. In the meantime, a network-based HIP handover scheme was proposed in [7], in which a new mobility agent called the Local Mobility Management Server (LMMS) is employed. It combines the function of the RVS of the HIP with the Local Mobility Anchor of Proxy Mobile IPv6 (PMIPv6) [8].

In this paper, we propose a network-based handover scheme for handover in the HIP-based mobile networks and also discuss how to extend the HIP Update message so as to support the proposed scheme. As compared to the existing handover schemes, our proposed scheme can reduce handover delays and packet losses during handover.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: in Section 2, we review the existing HIP hando-
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ver schemes. Section 3 describes the proposed network-based handover scheme. In Section 4, we compare the existing and proposed handover schemes in terms of handover delay and packet loss rate by ns-2 simulations. Section 5 concludes this paper.

2. RELATED WORKS

In this section, we review the following existing handover schemes that have been proposed so far: HIP [4, 5] and a Mobility-enabled HIP Proxy [6]. The PMIPv6-based scheme [7] is not considered, since the scheme depends on the PMIPv6 from the perspective of mobility control.

2.1 HIP

Fig. 1 shows the HIP operations for initial signaling and handover support in mobile networks [4, 5]. In the figure, the network consists of access routers (ARs), RVS, a mobile node (MN) and a correspondent node (CN).

Initially, the CN communicates with the MN through the HIP signaling operations for session setup (Steps 1, 2, and 3). Next, we considered the handover scenario, in which the MN moves from the ARold to the ARnew during data transmission with the CN (Step 4). When the MN is connected to the ARnew, it shall configure a new LOC using an IP address configuration scheme. After that, the MN updates its LOC with RVS for another CN (re-registration) by using the normal HIP Update messages (Step 5). Then, the MN sends a HIP Update message, which contains the new LOC of the MN, to the CN for data path optimization after handover (Step 6). After that, two more HIP Update (ACK) messages will be exchanged for the LOC validation between the MN and the CN, as per the HIP protocol (Step 6). The data packet is now delivered directly between the CN and the MN via the ARnew.

Fig. 1. Existing host-based HIP operations in mobile networks

2.2 Mobility-Enabled HIP Proxy

In the mobility-enabled HIP proxy scheme [6], it is assumed that each AR implements the HIP proxy functionality for mobility support. The AR with the HIP proxy performs the tracking and
update of the MN location, security signaling, and the assigning of a network prefix per host identifier. In addition, a Local RVS (LRVS) is employed over the gateway (GW) to support local mobility in the mobile domain. To set up the HIP security association, the I1, I2, R1, and R2 messages are exchanged between the MN and the CN. During the establishment of the security association, all of the ARs along the path between the MN and the LRVS use the HIP proxy function.

Fig. 2 shows the handover operations of the mobility-enabled HIP proxy scheme. Initially, the CN communicates with the MN through the HIP signaling operations (Steps 1-5). The HIP signaling operation is performed with a security association establishment between the MN and the CN. By handover, the MN moves from the ARold to the ARnew during data transmission with the CN (Step 6). When the MN is connected to the ARnew, it shall configure a new LOC by using an IP address configuration scheme. Then, the MN updates the LOC to LRVS via the AR for re-registration and handover support (Step 7). The data packet is now delivered directly from the GW to the MN.

In Fig. 1 and Fig. 2, the handover delay occurs during the time interval from the time that the MN loses its connection to the ARold until the time that the MN receives the first data packet from the ARnew. This handover operation tends to induce a large handover delay. Moreover, during handover, the data packets of the CN may be lost. Based on this observation, we propose a network-based handover scheme for the HIP to reduce its handover delay and also to reduce the associated packet losses.

3. Proposed Scheme

In this section, we describe the proposed handover scheme in HIP-based mobile networks.

3.1 Handover Operation

Fig. 3 shows the network model for the proposed network-based handover scheme in a mobile network that consists of access routers (ARs), RVS, a mobile node (MN), and a correspond-
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ent node (CN). In the proposed scheme, we assume that each AR implements a function for establishing the HIP security association, as done in [6]. Initially, the CN communicates with the MN through the HIP signaling operations. Then, the MN is moved from the ARold to the ARnew region by handover.

Fig. 4 shows the HIP operations of the proposed network-based scheme for initial signaling and handover support. First, the CN is communicating with the MN in the ARold region. To set up the HIP security association between the CN and the MN, the I1, I2, R1, and R2 messages are exchanged between the MN and the CN via the RVS and the ARold (Steps 1-5).

The MN is attached to the ARnew by a handover, Then, the MN sends an HIP Update message to the ARnew (Step 6). This HIP Update message shall contain the LOC parameters of the ARold and CN. Then, the ARnew sends a HIP Update (LOC) to the CN for handover support.

Fig. 3. Network model for our proposed scheme

Fig. 4. The proposed network-based HIP handover
(LOC update) and also HIP Update (Re-registration) messages to the RVS at the same time (Step 7). Note that the HIP Update (LOC) is for route optimization, whereas the HIP Update (Re-registration) is performed for a new (another) CN.

Then, the ARnew shall also send a HIP Update (with HO-Request) message to the ARold to establish a handover tunnel (Step 8), and the ARold will respond with a HIP Update (with HO-ACK) messages to ARnew (Step 9). By this operation, a handover tunnel is established between the ARold and the ARnew. By using the handover tunnel, the ARold can forward the data packets to the ARnew, and then further on to the MN. Now, for completing the handover operation, the HIP Update (ACK) message is exchanged between the CN and the MN via the ARnew (Steps 10-13). The CN and the MN can now deliver the data packets over the optimized route.

It has been noted that the proposed handover scheme can reduce the handover delay and packet loss, as compared to the existing handover scheme, by using a handover tunnel between the ARold and the ARnew during handover.

3.2 Extension of the Packet Format

To support the proposed handover scheme, the HIP Update message is extended by adding the two flags, N and H, to the existing HIP Update message, as shown in Fig. 5. In the figure, the N flag indicates that this message is used for the “network-based” handover scheme. The N flag shall be set to 1 in all of the HIP Update messages. On the other hand, the H flag indicates that this HIP Update message is being used for the establishment of a handover tunnel. The H flag shall be set to 1 in the HIP Update (HO-Request and HO-ACK) messages that are exchanged between the ARnew and the ARold. In addition, each HIP Update message contains the LOC parameters of the ARold and the CN, as indicated in Fig. 5.

| 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 0 | 1 |
| Next header | Header Length | 0 | Packet Type | VER. | RES. | N | H | 1 |

Checksum

Senders HIT

Receivers HIT

HIP Parameters (LOC-ARold, LOC-CN, ACK, etc.)

Fig. 5. Extension of the HIP Update message format

4. Performance Analysis by Simulation

To conduct a performance analysis, we compared the existing and proposed handover schemes by using the ns-2 network simulator [9].

4.1 Test Network

For ns-2 simulations, a test network was configured, as shown in Fig. 6. In the network, the CN is initially communicating to the MN, and then the MN moves into another AR region via handover.
In the figure, the access link between the CN and the AR has a bandwidth of 100 Mbps and a link delay of 10 ms, whereas, the wired links in the backbone network between the AR and the GW or between the ARs are configured with a bandwidth of 100 Mbps and a transmission delay of 50 ms. On the other hand, the wireless link between the AR and the MN has a bandwidth of 11 Mbps and a link delay of 10 ms. The wired link between two ARs in the mobile network has a bandwidth of 100 Mbps and a transmission delay of 6 ms. During simulation, the CN transmits data packets over the UDP with a packet size of 1,000 bytes, at the rate of 250 packets per second.

4.2 Results and Discussion

Fig. 7 shows the traces of the data packets that the MN receives from the CN during simulation. From the figure, we can see the handover delays that are experienced by the MN for the three candidate schemes. In the existing HIP scheme, the handover occurs during the time interval from 7 seconds to 7,224 seconds, which corresponds to handover delay of 224 ms. In the existing mobility-enabled HIP proxy scheme, the handover occurs during the time interval from 7 seconds to 7,104 seconds, which corresponds to the handover delay of 104 ms. On the other hand, the proposed scheme performs the handover during the time interval from 7 seconds to 7,018 seconds, which corresponds to a handover delay of 18 ms. Accordingly, the proposed scheme can reduce the handover delay of the existing HIP scheme by approximately 206 ms, and by 86 ms by the mobility-enabled HIP proxy scheme.

Fig. 8 compares the existing and proposed schemes in terms of handover delay. Fig. 8(a) shows the handover delays of candidate schemes for different transmission delays between two ARs. From the figure we can see that the transmission delay makes a significant impact on the proposed scheme. This is because the proposed scheme uses a handover tunnel between the
two neighboring ARs. From the figure, we can see that the proposed scheme can reduce the handover delays of the existing schemes by using the handover tunnel.

Fig. 8(b) shows the impacts of the transmission delay between the AR and the GW on the handover delay. In this case, the transmission delay between the AR and the GW makes a significant impact on the existing HIP and mobility-enabled HIP proxy schemes. This is because the existing HIP handover scheme performs the HIP Update operation through the backbone network between the MN and the CN. In the case of the mobility-enabled HIP proxy scheme, the HIP Update operation for handover is performed with the LRV/S/GW. In the meantime, the proposed scheme is not affected by the transmission delay between the AR and the GW. Overall, the proposed scheme provides better performance than the existing schemes, in terms of handover delay. The gap in the performance between the existing and proposed schemes tends to get larger, as the transmission delay between the AR and the GW increases.

Fig. 9 compares the three candidate schemes in terms of the packet loss rate that is incurred by
handover, Fig. 9(a) shows the impact of the transmission delay between ARs on the packet loss rate. We can observe that the packet loss rate slightly increases for the proposed scheme, as the delay between ARs gets larger. This is because the proposed scheme uses a handover tunnel between the ARs. Nevertheless, we can see that the proposed scheme can provide a better performance than the existing schemes. This is because in the proposed scheme the data packets can be delivered to the MN by using the handover tunnel during handover.

Fig. 9(b) shows the impacts of the transmission delay between the AR and the GW on the packet loss rate. We can see that the existing schemes are severely affected by the delay between the AR and the GW, whereas the proposed scheme is not affected by the transmission delay. This is because in the proposed scheme the HIP update operation for handover support is performed between the two neighboring ARs. Overall, we can see that the proposed scheme can reduce the packet loss rate, as compared to the existing handover schemes.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented a network-based handover scheme for conducting handovers in HIP-based mobile networks. In the proposed scheme, the access routers associated with the handover are used to establish a handover tunnel to reduce handover delays and packet losses during a handover. It has been suggested that the associated HIP Update message format needs to be extended to support the proposed network-based handover scheme.

By conducting a simulation analysis, the proposed scheme was compared with the existing scheme in terms of the handover delay and packet loss rate. From the simulation results, we can see that the proposed network-based scheme provides a better performance than the existing host-based schemes, in terms of handover delays and packet loss rates.
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