SINGULAR POTENTIAL BIHARMONIC PROBLEM

TACKSUN JUNG AND Q-HEUNG CHOI

Abstract. We investigate the multiplicity of the solutions for a class of the system of the biharmonic equations with some singular potential nonlinearity. We obtain a theorem which shows the existence of the nontrivial weak solution for a class of the system of the biharmonic equations with singular potential nonlinearity and Dirichlet boundary condition. We obtain this result by using variational method and the generalized mountain pass theorem.

1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with smooth boundary $\partial \Omega$. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $D$ be an open subset in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with compact complement $C = \mathbb{R}^n \setminus D$, $n \geq 2$. Let $G$ be a $C^2$ function defined on $\Omega \times D$ and $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$. In this paper we investigate the multiplicity of the solutions for a class of the system of the nonlinear biharmonic equations with Dirichlet boundary condition:
\[
\Delta^2 u_1(x) + c \Delta u_1(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_1} G(x, u(x)) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.1)
\]
\[
\Delta^2 u_2(x) + c \Delta u_2(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_2} G(x, u(x)) \quad \text{in } \Omega,
\]
\[
\vdots \quad \vdots \quad \vdots
\]
\[
\Delta^2 u_n(x) + c \Delta u_n(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_n} G(x, u(x)) \quad \text{in } \Omega,
\]
\[
u_1 = \cdots = u_n = 0, \quad \Delta u_1 = \cdots = \Delta u_n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\]
where \(\text{grad}_u G(x, u(x)) = (G_{u_1}(x, u), \ldots, G_{u_n}(x, u))\). We assume that \(G \in C^2\) satisfies the following conditions:

(G1) There exists \(R_0 > 0\) such that
\[
\sup \{|G(x, u)| + \|\text{grad}_u G(x, u)\|_{R^0} | (x, u) \in \Omega \times (R^n \setminus B_{R_0})\} < +\infty.
\]

(G2) There is a neighborhood \(U\) of \(C\) in \(R^n\) such that
\[
G(x, u) \geq \frac{A}{d^2(u, C)} \quad \text{for } (x, u) \in \Omega \times U,
\]
where \(d(u, C)\) is the distance function to \(C\) and \(A > 0\) is a constant.

The system (1.1) can be rewritten as
\[
\Delta^2 u(x) + c \Delta u(x) = \text{grad}_u G(x, u(x)) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (1.2)
\]
\[
u = (0, \ldots, 0), \quad \Delta u = (0, \ldots, 0) \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega.
\]

Let \(\lambda_j, j \geq 1,\) be the eigenvalues and \(\phi_j, j \geq 1,\) be the corresponding eigenfunctions suitably normalized with respect to \(L^2(\Omega)\) inner product and each eigenvalue \(\lambda_j\) is repeated as often as its multiplicity, of the eigenvalue problem \(\Delta u + \lambda u = 0\) in \(\Omega,\) \(u = 0\) on \(\partial \Omega.\) The eigenvalue problem
\[
\Delta^2 u + c \Delta u = \Lambda u \quad \text{in } \Omega,
\]
\[
u = 0, \quad \Delta u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial \Omega,
\]
has also infinitely many eigenvalues \(\Lambda_j = \lambda_j(\lambda_j - c), j \geq 1,\) and corresponding eigenfunctions \(\psi_j, j \geq 1.\) We note that \(\Lambda_1 < \Lambda_2 \leq \Lambda_3 \ldots, \Lambda_j \to +\infty.\)

Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that \(\lambda_j < c < \lambda_{j+1}, j \geq 1,\) and the nonlinear term \(G \in C^2\) satisfies the conditions (G1)–(G2). Then the system (1.1) has at least one nontrivial weak solution.
For the proof of Theorem 1.1 we approach the variational method and use the critical point theory. In Section 2, we introduce a Banach space and the associated functional $I$ of (1.1), and recall the generalized mountain pass theorem. In Section 3, we prove that $I$ satisfies the geometric assumptions of the generalized mountain pass theorem and prove Theorem 1.1.

2. Banach space spanned by eigenfunctions and associated functional

Let $L^2(\Omega)$ be a square integrable function space defined on $\Omega$. Any element $u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ can be written as

$$u = \sum h_k \psi_k$$

with

$$\sum h_k^2 < \infty.$$ 

We define a subspace $E$ of $L^2(\Omega)$ as follows

$$E = \{ u \in L^2(\Omega) \mid \sum |\Lambda_k| h_k^2 < \infty \}.$$

Then this is a complete normed space with a norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\sum |\Lambda_k| h_k^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Since $\lambda_k \to +\infty$ and $c$ is fixed, we have

(i) $\Delta^2 u + c\Delta u \in E$ implies $u \in E$.

(ii) $\|u\| \ge C\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$, for some $C > 0$.

(iii) $\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 0$ if and only if $\|u\| = 0$,

which is proved in [2].

Let

$$E^+ = \{ u \in E \mid h_k = 0 \text{ if } \Lambda_k < 0 \},$$

$$E^- = \{ u \in E \mid h_k = 0 \text{ if } \Lambda_k > 0 \}.$$

Then $E = E^- \oplus E^+$, for $u \in E$, $u = u^- + u^+ \in E^- \oplus E^+$. Let $H$ be the $n$ cartesian product space of $E$, i.e.,

$$H = E \times E \times \ldots \times E.$$

Let $H^+$ and $H^-$ be the subspaces on which the functional

$$u \mapsto Q(u) = \int_\Omega \left[\|\Delta u(x)\|_{L^2}^2 - c\|\nabla u(x)\|_{L^2}^2\right] dx, \quad u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)$$

is positive definite and negative definite, respectively. Then

$$H = H^+ \oplus H^-.$$
Let $P^+$ be the projection from $H$ onto $H^+$ and $P^-$ the projection from $H$ onto $H^-$. The norm in $H$ is given by

$$
\|u\|^2 = \|P^+ u\|^2 + \|P^- u\|^2, \quad u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n)
$$

where $\|P^+ u\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|P^+ u_i\|^2$, $\|P^- u\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|P^- u_i\|^2$.

In this paper we are trying to find the weak solutions $u \in C^2(\Omega, D) \cap H$ of the system (1.1), that is, $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in C^2(\Omega, D) \cap H$ such that

$$
\int_\Omega [\Delta u \cdot \Delta \phi - c \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi] dx - \int_\Omega \text{grad}_u G(x, u(x)) \cdot \phi = 0,
$$

for all $\phi \in C^2(\Omega, D) \cap H$.

Let us introduce an open set of the Hilbert space $H$ as follows

$$
X = \{u \in H \mid u(x) \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^n, x \in \Omega\}.
$$

Let us consider the functional on $X$

$$
I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega [\|\Delta u(x)\|_{R^n}^2 - c\|\nabla u(x)\|_{R^n}^2] dx - \int_\Omega G(x, u) dx,
$$

(2.1)

$$
= Q(u) - \int_\Omega G(x, u) dx
= \frac{1}{2}\|P^+ u\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|P^- u\|^2 - \int_\Omega G(x, u) dx dt,
$$

where $Q(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Omega [\|\Delta u(x)\|_{R^n}^2 - c\|\nabla u(x)\|_{R^n}^2] dx$ and $\|u\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|u_i\|^2$.

The Euler equation for (2.1) is (1.1). By the following Lemma 2.1, $I \in C^1(X, R)$, and so the weak solutions of system (1.1) coincide with the critical points of the associated functional $I(u)$.

**Lemma 2.1.** Assume that $G$ satisfies the conditions (G1) – (G2). Then $I(u)$ is continuous and Fréchet differentiable in $X$ with Fréchet derivative

$$
DI(u)v = \int_\Omega [\Delta u(x) \cdot \Delta v(x) - c \nabla u(x) \cdot \nabla v(x) - \text{grad}_u G(x, u(x)) \cdot v(x)] dx
$$

\forall v \in X.

Moreover $DI \in C$. That is, $I \in C^1$. 

(2.2)
Proof. First we prove that $I(u)$ is continuous. For $u, v \in X$,

$$
|I(u + v) - I(u)| = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( \Delta^2(u + v) + c\Delta(u + v) \right) \cdot (u + v) dx \\
- \int_{\Omega} G(x, u + v) dx \\
- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( \Delta^2 u + c\Delta u \right) \cdot u dx + \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ (\Delta^2 u + c\Delta u) \cdot v + (\Delta^2 v + c\Delta v) \cdot u + (\Delta^2 v + c\Delta v) \cdot v \right] dx \\
- \int_{\Omega} G(x, u + v) - G(x, u) dx |
$$

We have

$$
|\int_{\Omega} [G(x, u + v) - G(x, u)] dx| \\
\leq |\int_{\Omega} [\text{grad}_u G(x, u(x)) \cdot v + O(||v||_{R^n})] dx| = O(||v||_{R^n}). \quad (2.3)
$$

Thus we have

$$
|I(u + v) - I(u)| = O(||v||_{R^n}).
$$

Next we shall prove that $I(u)$ is Fréchet differentiable in $X$. For $u, v \in X$,

$$
|I(u + v) - I(u) - DI(u)v| \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( \Delta^2(u + v) + c\Delta(u + v) \right) \cdot (u + v) dx - \int_{\Omega} G(x, u + v) dx \\
- \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left( \Delta^2 u + c\Delta u \right) \cdot u dx + \int_{\Omega} G(x, u) dx \\
- \int_{\Omega} (\Delta^2 u + c\Delta u - \text{grad}_u G(x, u(x))) \cdot v dx |
$$

Thus by (2.3), we have

$$
|I(u + v) - I(u) - DI(u)v| = O(||v||_{R^n}). \quad (2.4)
$$
Similarly, it is easily checked that $I \in C^1$.

Let
\[ X^+ = X \cap H^+ \quad X^- = X \cap H^- . \]

**Lemma 2.2.** Assume that $\lambda_j < c < \lambda_{j+1}$, $j \geq 1$, and $G$ satisfies the conditions $(G1) - (G2)$. Let $\{u_k\} \subset X^-$ and $u_k \rightharpoonup u$ weakly in $X$ with $u \in \partial X$. Then $I(u_k) \to -\infty$.

**Proof.** For the proof of the conclusion, it suffices to prove that
\[ \int_{\Omega} G(x, u_k(x)) \, dx \to +\infty. \]

Since $G(x, u(x))$ is bounded from below, it suffices to prove that there is a subset $\overline{\Omega}$ of $\Omega$ such that
\[ \int_{\overline{\Omega}} G(x, u_k(x)) \, dx \to +\infty. \]

$u \in \partial X$ means that there exists $x^* \in \Omega$ such that $u(x^*) \in \partial D$. Let us set
\[ \Omega_\delta(x^*) = \{ x \in \Omega \mid \| x - x^* \|_{R^n} < \delta \}. \]

By $(G1)$ and $(G2)$, there exists a constant $B$ such that
\[ G(x, u) \geq \frac{A}{d^2(u, C)} - B. \]

Thus we have
\[ \int_{\Omega_\delta(x^*)} G(x, u(x)) \, dx \geq \int_{\Omega_\delta(x^*)} \left( \frac{A}{\| u(x) - u(x^*) \|^2_{R^n}} - B \right) \, dx \]
for all $\delta > 0$. By Schwarz’s inequality, we have
\[ \| u(x) - u(x^*) \|^2_{R^n} \leq \| x - x^* \|^2_{R^n} \left( \int_{\Omega} \| \nabla u(x) \|^2_{R^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \delta^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \int_{\Omega} \| \nabla u(x) \|^2_{R^n} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \]

Thus we have
\[ \int_{\Omega_\delta(x^*)} G(x, u(x)) \, dx \geq \int_{\Omega_\delta(x^*)} \left( \frac{A}{\delta \int_{\Omega} \| \nabla u(x) \|^2_{R^n}} - B \right) \, dx \to \infty. \]

Hence
\[ \int_{\Omega_\delta(x^*)} G(x, u(x)) \, dx = \infty. \]

Since the embedding $H \hookrightarrow C(\Omega, R^n)$ is compact, we have
\[ \max\{ \| u(x) - u_k(x) \|^2_{R^n} \mid x \in \Omega \} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad k \to \infty. \]
Thus by Fatou’s lemma, we have
\[
\liminf \int_{G_{d(x^*)}} G(x, u_k(x)) \geq \int_{G_{d(x^*)}} \liminf G(x, u_k(x))
\]
\[
= \int_{G_{d(x^*)}} G(x, u(x)) = +\infty.
\]
Thus
\[
\liminf \int_{G_{d(x^*)}} G(x, u_k(x)) = +\infty.
\]
Thus for \( u_k \in X^- \),
\[
I(u_k) = \int_\Omega \left[ \frac{1}{2} \|\Delta u(x)\|_{R^m}^2 - c \|\nabla u(x)\|_{R^m}^2 - G(x, u_k(x)) \right] dx
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \|P^+ u_k\|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|P^- u_k\|^2 - \int_\Omega G(x, u_k(x)) dx
\]
\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \|P^- u_k\|^2 - \int_\Omega G(x, u_k(x)) dx \to -\infty,
\]
so we prove the lemma. \( \square \)

Now we recall the generalized mountain pass theorem (cf. Theorem 5.3 in [8]).

Let
\[
B_r = \{ u \in X | \|u\| \leq r \}, \quad \partial B_r = \{ u \in X | \|u\| = r \}.
\]

**Theorem 2.1.** (Generalized mountain pass theorem)
Let \( X \) be a real Banach space with \( X = V \oplus W \), where \( V \neq \{0\} \) and is finite dimensional. Suppose that \( I \in C^1(X, R) \), satisfies \( (P.S.) \) condition, and
(i) there are constants \( \rho, \alpha > 0 \) and a bounded neighborhood \( B_\rho \) of 0 such that \( I|_{\partial B_\rho \cap W} \geq \alpha \), and
(ii) there is an \( e \in \partial B_1 \cap W \) and \( R > \rho \) such that if \( K = (B_R \cap V) \oplus \{ re | 0 < r < R \} \), then \( I|_{\partial K} \leq 0 \).
Then \( I \) possesses a critical value \( b \geq \alpha \). Moreover \( b \) can be characterized as
\[
b = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{u \in K} I(\gamma(u)),
\]
where
\[
\Gamma = \{ \gamma \in C(\overline{K}, X) | \gamma = \text{id on } \partial K \}.
\]
3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We shall show that the functional $I(u)$ satisfies the geometric assumptions of the generalized mountain pass theorem.

**Lemma 3.1. (Palais-Smale condition)**
Assume that $\lambda_j < c < \lambda_{j+1}$, $j \geq 1$, and $G$ satisfies the conditions (G1) and (G2). Then $I(u)$ satisfies the (P.S.) condition in $X$.

**Proof.** We shall prove the lemma by contradiction. We suppose that there exists a sequence $\{u_k\} \subset X$ satisfying $I(u_k) \to \gamma$ and
\[
DI(u_k) = \Delta^2 u_k + c \Delta u_k - \text{grad}_u G(x, u_k(x)) \to \theta \quad \text{in} \ X,
\]
or equivalently
\[
u_k - (\Delta^2 + c \Delta)^{-1} (\text{grad}_u G(x, u_k(x))) \to \theta,
\]
where $\theta = (0, \cdots, 0)$ and $(\Delta^2 + c \Delta)^{-1}$ is a compact operator. We claim that the sequence $\{u_k\}$, up to a subsequence, converges. It suffices to prove that the sequence $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in $X$. By contradiction, we suppose that $\|u_k\|_{R^n} \to \infty$. Then for large $k$, we have
\[
\|u_k\|_{R^n} \geq R_0.
\]
It follows from (3.2) that
\[
|\int_{\Omega} G(x, u_k) \, dx| \leq |\Omega| \sup\{|G(x, u_k)| \mid (x, u_k) \in \Omega \times (R^n \setminus B_{R_0})\}. \tag*{(3.3)}
\]
Let us set $w_k = \frac{u_k}{\|u_k\|}$. Then $\|w_k\| = 1$, and hence the subsequence $\{w_k\}$, up to a subsequence, converges weakly in $w$ with $\|w\| = 1$. By (3.1), we have
\[
0 \leftarrow \frac{DI(u_k)u_k}{\|u_k\|_H} = \int_{\Omega} (\Delta^2 w_k + c \Delta w_k) \cdot w_k \, dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(x, u_k)}{\|u_k\|^2} \, dx
= \|P^+ w_k\|^2 - \|P^- w_k\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} \frac{G(x, u_k)}{\|u_k\|^2}. \tag*{(3.4)}
\]
Letting $k \to \infty$ in (3.4), by (3.3), we have
\[
0 = \lim_{k \to \infty} \|P^+ w_k\|^2 - \lim_{k \to \infty} \|P^- w_k\|^2
= \int_{\Omega} (\Delta^2 w + c \Delta w) \cdot w \, dx
= \|P^+ w\|^2 - \|P^- w\|^2. \tag*{(3.5)}
\]
Thus we have
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \| P^+ w_k \|^2 = \| P^+ w \|^2, \quad \lim_{k \to \infty} \| P^- w_k \|^2 = \| P^- w \|^2. \]
Thus
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \| w_k \| = \| w \| \]
and by (3.5), \( w \) is the weak solution of the equation
\[ \Delta^2 w + c \Delta w = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad X. \quad (3.6) \]
Since \( c \) is not the eigenvalue, \( w = (0, \cdots, 0) \) is the only weak solution of (3.6), which is absurd to the fact that \( \| w \| = 1 \). Thus \( \{ u_k \} \) is bounded.

Thus the subsequence, up to a subsequence, \( u_k \) converges weakly to \( u \) in \( X \). By Lemma 2.2, \( u \in X \) and that \( \| \nabla G(\cdot, u_k) \| \) is bounded. Since \( (\Delta^2 + c\Delta)^{-1} \) is compact and (3.1) holds, \( \{ u_k \} \) converges strongly to \( u \).

Thus we prove the lemma.

Let
\[ K = (\bar{B}_r \cap X^-) \oplus \{ re \mid e \in B_1 \cap X^+, \ 0 < r < R \}. \]

**Lemma 3.2.** Assume that \( \lambda_j < c < \lambda_{j+1}, \ j \geq 1, \) and \( G \) satisfies the conditions (G1) and (G2). Then there exist sets \( S_\rho \subset X^+ \) with radius \( \rho > 0 \), \( K \subset X \) and constants \( \alpha > 0 \) such that
(i) \( S_\rho \subset X^+ \) and \( I|_{S_\rho} \geq \alpha \),
(ii) \( K \) is bounded and \( I|_{\partial K} \leq 0 \),
(iii) \( S_\rho \) and \( \partial K \) link.

**Proof.** (i) Let us choose \( u \in X^+ \subset X \). Then \( u(x) \in D \). By (G1), \( G(x, u) \) is bounded above and there exists a constant \( C > 0 \)
\[ I(u) = \frac{1}{2} \| P^+ u \|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \| P^- u \|^2 - \int_\Omega G(x, u) dx \geq \frac{1}{2} \| P^+ u \|^2 - C \]
for \( C > 0 \). Then there exist a constant \( \rho > 0 \) and \( \alpha > 0 \) such that if \( u \in S_\rho \cap X^+ \), then \( I(u) \geq \alpha \).
(ii) Let us choose \( e \in B_1 \cap X^+ \). Let \( u \in \bar{B}_r \cap X^- \oplus \{ re \mid 0 < r \} \). Then \( u = v + w, \ v \in \bar{B}_r \cap X^-, \ w = re \). We note that
\[ \text{If} \ v \in \bar{B}_r \cap X^-, \ \text{then} \ \int_\Omega [\| \Delta v(x) \|^2_{R^n} - c \| \nabla v_x \|^2_{R^n}] dx = -\| P^- u \|^2 \leq 0. \]
By (G2), \( G(x, v + re) \) is bounded from below. Thus by Lemma 2.2, there exists a constant \( A > 0 \) such that if \( u = v + re \), then we have
\[ I(u) = \frac{1}{2} r^2 - \frac{1}{2} \| P^+ v \|^2 - \int_\Omega G(x, v + re) dx. \]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{2}r^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|P^-v\|^2 - \int_{\Omega} \frac{A}{d^2(v + re, C)} \, dx.
\]

We can choose a constant \( R > r \) such that if \( u = v + re \in K = (\bar{B}_r \cap X^-) \oplus \{re \mid e \in B_1 \cap X^+, 0 < r < R\} \), then \( I(u) < 0 \). Thus we prove the lemma.

By Lemma 2.1, \( I(u) \) is continuous and Fréchet differentiable in \( X \) and moreover \( DI \in C \). By Lemma 2.2, If \( \{u_k\} \subset X^- \) and \( u_k \rightharpoonup u \) weakly in \( X \) with \( u \in \partial X \), then \( I(u_k) \to -\infty \). By Lemma 3.1, \( I(u) \) satisfies the \((P.S.)\) condition. By Lemma 3.2, there exist sets \( S_{\rho} \subset X^+ \) with radius \( \rho > 0 \), \( K \subset X \) and constants \( \alpha > 0 \) such that \( I|_{S_{\rho}} \geq \alpha \), \( K \) is bounded and \( I|_{\partial K} \leq 0 \), and \( S_{\rho} \) and \( \partial K \) link. By the critical point theorem, \( I(u) \) possesses a critical value \( c \geq \alpha \). Thus (1.1) has at least one nontrivial weak solution. Thus we prove Theorem 1.1
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