BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS FOR
THE STATIONARY NORDSTRÖM-VLASOV SYSTEM

Mihai Bostan

Abstract. We study the existence of weak solution for the stationary Nordström-Vlasov equations in a bounded domain. The proof follows by fixed point method. The asymptotic behavior for large light speed is analyzed as well. We justify the convergence towards the stationary Vlasov-Poisson model for stellar dynamics.

1. Introduction

We consider a population of particles and we assume that collisions are so rare such that we can neglect them. In plasma physics the charged particles interact by electro-magnetic forces and the evolution of the system is described by the Vlasov-Maxwell equations. When the magnetic field is negligible we can use the Vlasov-Poisson system. In astrophysics large stellar systems such as galaxies or globular clusters interact by gravitational forces and the dynamics of the system is given by the Einstein-Vlasov equations.

The Cauchy problem for the Vlasov-Poisson and Vlasov-Maxwell systems are now well understood, cf. [3, 26, 29, 33, 35, 39], respectively [10, 16, 19, 20, 21, 24]. There are also some results for initial-boundary value problems [1, 23] and boundary value problems [7, 22, 30, 31]. A lot of studies concerns the stationary solutions and their stability [5, 6, 18, 32, 34].

It is well known that the Vlasov-Poisson model can be derived from the relativistic Vlasov-Maxwell model assuming that the particle velocities are small compared to the light speed [8, 15, 25, 38].

The Einstein-Vlasov system is much more difficult, see [2, 36, 37]. A simplified relativistic model is obtained by coupling the Vlasov equation to the Nordström scalar gravitation theory [28].

We denote by \( \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(t, x, p) \geq 0 \) the particle density in the phase-space.
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with $N \geq 1$. The density $\varphi$ satisfies the following kinetic equation

\begin{equation}
\partial_t \varphi + \mathbf{v}_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x \varphi - \left( (\partial_t \phi + \mathbf{v}_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x \phi) \frac{p}{mc^2} + \frac{\nabla_x \phi}{\gamma_c(p)} \right) \cdot \nabla_p \varphi = 0,
\end{equation}

coupled to the wave equation

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 \phi - \Delta_x \phi = -e^{N+1} \frac{\phi(t,x)}{mc^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(t,x,p) \frac{\gamma_c(p)}{\gamma_c(p)} dp.
\end{equation}

Here $m$ is the mass of particles, $c$ is the light speed in the vacuum, $\gamma_c(p) = \left(1 + \frac{|p|^2}{mc^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is the Lorentz factor and $v_c(p) = \frac{p}{mc^2}$ is the relativistic velocity of a particle with impulsion $p \in \mathbb{R}^N$. For more details on the model see [11]. After introducing the new unknown $f(t,x,p) = e^{N+1} \frac{\phi(t,x)}{mc^2} \varphi(t,x)$ the system becomes

\begin{equation}
\partial_t f + \mathbf{v}_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x f + F(t,x,p) \cdot \nabla_p f = \frac{N+1}{mc^2} f(t,x,p) S \phi,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{1}{c^2} \partial_t^2 \phi - \Delta_x \phi = -\mu(t,x),
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\mu(t,x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(t,x,p) \frac{\gamma_c(p)}{\gamma_c(p)} dp,
\end{equation}

where $S = \partial_t + \mathbf{v}_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x$ is the free-transport operator and $F(t,x,p) = -\left( S \phi \frac{p}{mc^2} + \frac{\nabla_x \phi}{\gamma_c(p)} \right)$. We impose the initial conditions

\begin{equation}
f(0,\cdot,\cdot) = f_0, \quad \phi(0,\cdot) = \varphi_0, \quad \partial_t \phi(0,\cdot) = \varphi_1.
\end{equation}

The Nordström-Vlasov system (3), (4), (5), (6) was analyzed recently by Calogero and Rein. They proved that classical solutions exist at least locally in time in three dimensions and globally in time in one dimension, cf. [13]. The existence of global weak solutions is obtained in [14]. The convergence towards the gravitational Vlasov-Poisson model when the light speed becomes large is justified in [12].

The aim of this paper is to construct weak solutions for the stationary boundary value Nordström-Vlasov system

\begin{equation}
\mathbf{v}_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x f - \left( \mathbf{v}_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x \phi \frac{p}{mc^2} + \frac{\nabla_x \phi}{\gamma_c(p)} \right) \cdot \nabla_p f = \frac{N+1}{mc^2} f(x,p) \mathbf{v}_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x \phi, \quad (x,p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
-\Delta_x \phi = -\mu(x), \quad x \in \Omega,
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(x,p)}{\gamma_c(p)} dp, \quad x \in \Omega,
\end{equation}

where $\Omega$ is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$. The existence of weak solutions for the stationary Nordström-Vlasov system is obtained in [12].
with the boundary conditions
\[(10) \quad f(x, p) = g(x, p), \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^-, \quad \phi(x) = \varphi_0(x), \quad x \in \partial \Omega,\]
with \(N \geq 2\). For the one dimensional case the reader can refer to [9]. Here \(\Omega\) is a smooth open bounded set of \(\mathbb{R}^N\), \(\Sigma^- = \{ (x, p) \in \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N : p \cdot n(x) < 0 \}\), where \(n(x)\) represents the unit outward normal to \(\partial \Omega\) at \(x\) and \(g, \varphi_0\) are given functions. We introduce also the notations \(\Sigma = \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N, \Sigma^+ = \{ (x, p) \in \partial \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N : p \cdot n(x) > 0 \}\), we denote by \(d\sigma\) the superficial measure on \(\partial \Omega\)
and we consider the measures \(dv^\pm\) on \(\Sigma^\pm\) given by \(dv^\pm = |v_c(p)\cdot n(x)| \ d\sigma(x) \ dp\). By weak solution for the stationary boundary value Nordström-Vlasov system (7), (8), (9), (10) we understand a couple \((f, \phi)\) such that \(f\) satisfies the stationary Vlasov equation (7) with the boundary condition \(f(x, p) = g(x, p), \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^-\) in the sense of distributions (see Section 2 for exact definitions and main properties) and \(\phi\) is a weak solution of the Poisson equation (8) with the boundary condition \(\phi(x) = \varphi_0(x), \quad x \in \partial \Omega\). Basically we assume that the inflow boundary condition \(g\) is non negative, bounded, locally integrable on \(\Sigma^-\) such that \(\int_{p \cdot n(x) < 0} \frac{g_c(p)}{\gamma_c(p)} \ dp \in L^\infty(\partial \Omega)\). In particular we establish our results for inflow boundary conditions \(g\) satisfying \(0 \leq g(x, p) \leq C(1 + |p|)^{-\delta}, \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^-\). Clearly such boundary conditions belong to \(L^1_{loc} \cap L^\infty(\Sigma^-; dv^-)\) and satisfy \(\int_{p \cdot n(x) < 0} \frac{g_c(p)}{\gamma_c(p)} \ dp \in L^\infty(\partial \Omega)\) for any \(\delta > N - 1\). One of the key points is to take advantage of the conservation of the particle total energy along characteristics. We use the method introduced by Poupaud in [30], but in a gravitational case. We obtain the following existence result

**Theorem 1.1.** Assume that \(\varphi_0 \in H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega) \cap L^\infty(\partial \Omega), \ \varphi_0 \geq 0 \ on \ \partial \Omega, \ g \geq 0 \ on \ \Sigma^-\) such that
\[g(x, p) \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |p|)^\delta}, \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^-\]
for some constants \(C > 0, \ \delta > N - 1, \ N \geq 2\). Then, for any \(c > 0\) there is a weak solution \((f_c, \phi_c)\) for the stationary Nordström-Vlasov system (7), (8), (9), (10) satisfying
\[0 \leq f_c \leq \frac{c^{N+1}}{c^{N+1}} \|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(\partial \Omega)} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^-, \Sigma^-)}, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_c(\cdot, p) \ dp \in L^\infty(\Omega),\]
\[\phi_c \in H^1(\Omega), \quad \lim_{R \to +\infty} \left\| \int_{|p| \geq R} f_c(\cdot, p) \ dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0.\]
Moreover, if \(\delta > 2N, \ N \in \{2, 3\}\) we have
\[\sup_{c \geq 1} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_c(\cdot, p) \ dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < +\infty, \quad \lim_{R \to +\infty} \sup_{c \geq 1} \left\| \int_{|p| \geq R} f_c(\cdot, p) \ dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0.\]

We justify also the asymptotic behavior towards the stationary boundary value Vlasov-Poisson system for large light speed. As before, by weak solution
for the stationary boundary value Vlasov-Poisson system we understand a couple \((f, \phi)\) such that \(f\) satisfies the Vlasov problem in the sense of distributions and \(\phi\) is a weak solution for the Poisson problem.

**Theorem 1.2.** Assume that \(\varphi_0 \in H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega) \cap L^\infty(\partial \Omega)\), \(\varphi_0 \geq 0\) on \(\partial \Omega\), \(g \geq 0\) on \(\Sigma^-\) such that
\[
g(x, p) \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |p|)^{\delta}}, \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^-
\]
for some constants \(C > 0\), \(\delta > 2N\), \(N \in \{2, 3\}\). For any \(c \geq 1\) let \((f_c, \phi_c)\) be the weak solution of the stationary Nordström-Vlasov system constructed in Theorem 1.1. Then there is a sequence \((c_k)_k\), \(\lim_{k \to +\infty} c_k = +\infty\) such that
\[
f_k := f_{c_k} \rightharpoonup f, \text{ weakly } \ast \text{ in } L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N),
\]
\[
\phi_k := \phi_{c_k} \to \phi, \text{ strongly in } H^1(\Omega),
\]
where \((f, \phi)\) is a weak solution of the Vlasov-Poisson system
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{p}{m} \cdot \nabla_x f - \nabla_x \phi \cdot \nabla_p f &= 0, \quad (x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\
-\Delta_x \phi &= -\rho(x) := -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, p) \, dp, \quad x \in \Omega, \\
f(x, p) &= g(x, p), \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^-, \quad \phi(x) = \varphi_0(x), \quad x \in \partial \Omega.
\end{align*}
\]

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the notions of weak and mild solutions for the stationary Vlasov problem and we present the properties of such solutions. In particular we deduce estimates for the mild solution, some of them being independent of the light speed. In Section 3 we construct a fixed point map for a regularized Nordström-Vlasov system and we show the existence of a fixed point by using the Schauder theorem. The existence of weak solution for the Nordström-Vlasov system is obtained in Section 4 by weak stability. We prove also the convergence towards the gravitational Vlasov-Poisson system when the light speed goes to infinity.

**2. The Vlasov equation**

In this paragraph we assume that \(\phi = \phi(x)\), \(g = g(x, p)\) are given functions and we introduce the notions of weak and mild solutions for the stationary Vlasov problem
\[
\begin{align*}
v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x f + F(x, p) \cdot \nabla_p f &= \frac{N + 1}{mc^2} f(x, p) S\phi, \quad (x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N, \\
f(x, p) &= g(x, p), \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^-.
\end{align*}
\]
Assume that

\[(21)\]

\[S = v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x \quad \text{and} \quad F(x, p) = -\left( S\phi \frac{p}{mc^2} + \sum_{\ell=1}^N \phi \frac{X_{\ell}}{\ell p} \right). \]

Observe that the divergence of the field \((v_c(p), F(x, p))\) with respect to the variables \((x, p)\) is given by

\[(16)\]

\[\text{div}_{(x, p)}(v_c(p), F(x, p)) = -\frac{N}{mc^2} S\phi. \]

Therefore the Vlasov equation (14) can be written formally

\[\text{div}_x \left( v_c(p) f(x, p) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \right) + \text{div}_p \left( F(x, p) f(x, p) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \right) = 0, \quad (x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N.\]

We have the usual definition for the weak solution:

**Definition 2.1.** Assume that \(\phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega), g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Sigma^-; d\nu^-)\). We say that \(f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)\) is a weak solution for the stationary Vlasov problem (14), (15) if and only if

\[\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x, p) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} (v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x \theta + F(x, p) \cdot \nabla_p \theta) \, dp \, dx = 0\]

for any test function \(\theta \in C^1_c(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)\) satisfying \(\theta|_{\Sigma^+} = 0\).

Assume now that \(\phi \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)\) and for any \((x, p) \in (\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N) \cup \Sigma^-\) let us introduce the system of characteristics

\[(18)\]

\[\frac{dX}{ds} = v_c(P(s)), \quad \frac{dP}{ds} = F(X(s), P(s)),\]

with the conditions

\[(19)\]

\[X(s = 0) = x, \quad P(s = 0) = p.\]

Notice that under the above regularity hypothesis on \(\phi\) there is a unique \(C^1\) solution \((X(s), P(s)) = (X(s; x, p), P(s; x, p))\) of (18), (19) for \(s \in [s_{\text{in}}(x, p), s_{\text{out}}(x, p)]\), where the entry/exit times are given by

\[(20)\]

\[s_{\text{in}}(x, p) = \inf \{ \tau \leq 0 : X(s; x, p) \in \Omega, \, \forall \, s \in [\tau, 0] \},\]

\[(21)\]

\[s_{\text{out}}(x, p) = \sup \{ \tau \geq 0 : X(s; x, p) \in \Omega, \, \forall \, s \in [0, \tau] \}.\]

Observe that the Vlasov equation (14) can be written

\[v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x \left( f(x, p) e^{-\frac{N}{mc^2} \phi(x)} \right) + F(x, p) \cdot \nabla_p \left( f(x, p) e^{-\frac{N}{mc^2} \phi(x)} \right) = 0, \quad (x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N,\]

saying that \(f(x, p) e^{-\frac{N}{mc^2} \phi(x)}\) is constant along all characteristics. We have the definition:

**Definition 2.2.** Assume that \(\phi \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)\). The mild solution (or solution by characteristics) of the stationary Vlasov problem (14), (15) is given by

\[f(x, p) = e^{-\frac{N}{mc^2} \phi(x)} e^{-\frac{N}{mc^2} \phi(X(s_{\text{in}}; x, p))} g(X(s_{\text{in}}; x, p), P(s_{\text{in}}; x, p)), \quad \text{if} \ s_{\text{in}}(x, p) > -\infty,\]
and

\[ f(x, p) = 0 \text{ if } s_{in}(x, p) = -\infty. \]

By definition the mild solution is unique. Unfortunately, in general there is no uniqueness for the weak solution because \( f \) can take arbitrary values on the characteristics such that \( s_{in} = -\infty \). In order to retrieve the uniqueness of the weak solution we penalize the Vlasov equation. For any \( \alpha > 0 \) we consider the problem

\[ \alpha f(x, p) + v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x f + F(x, p) \cdot \nabla_p f = \frac{N + 1}{mc^2} f(x, p) S \phi, \quad (x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N, \]

(22) \( f(x, p) = g(x, p), \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^- \).

The equation (22) can be written

\[ \alpha f(x, p) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} + \text{div}_x \left( v_c(p) f(x, p) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \right) + \text{div}_p \left( F(x, p) f(x, p) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \right) = 0, \]

and thus we introduce the notion of weak solution for (22), (23) as in Definition 2.1 for any \( \phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega), g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Sigma^-; d\nu^-) \). We have the classical uniqueness result.

**Proposition 2.1.** Assume that \( \phi \) is smooth (for example \( \phi \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega) \)), \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( g \in L^\infty(\Sigma^-; d\nu^-) \). Then there is at most one bounded weak solution for (22), (23).

**Proof.** Consider \((f_k)_{k \in \{1, 2\}}\) two bounded weak solutions for (22), (23) and let \( f = f_1 - f_2 \). We have

\[ \alpha f(x, p) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} + \text{div}_x \left( v_c(p) f(x, p) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \right) + \text{div}_p \left( F(x, p) f(x, p) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \right) = 0, \]

and thus the notion of weak solution for (22), (23) as in Definition 2.1 for any \( \phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega), g \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Sigma^-; d\nu^-) \). We have the classical uniqueness result.

**Proposition 2.1.** Assume that \( \phi \) is smooth (for example \( \phi \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega) \)), \( \alpha > 0 \) and \( g \in L^\infty(\Sigma^-; d\nu^-) \). Then there is at most one bounded weak solution for (22), (23).

**Proof.** Consider \((f_k)_{k \in \{1, 2\}}\) two bounded weak solutions for (22), (23) and let \( f = f_1 - f_2 \). We have

\[ \alpha f(x, p) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} + \text{div}_x \left( v_c(p) f(x, p) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \right) + \text{div}_p \left( F(x, p) f(x, p) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \right) = 0, \]

(24) \( f(x, p) = g(x, p), \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^- \).

By (16) we know that \( \text{div}_p F = -\frac{N}{mc^2} S \phi \) and therefore (cf. [4, 17]) we obtain

\[ 2\alpha f^2 e^{-\frac{N+2}{mc^2} \phi(x)} + \text{div}_x \left( f^2 e^{-\frac{N+2}{mc^2} \phi(x)} v_c(p) \right) + \text{div}_p \left( f^2 e^{-\frac{N+2}{mc^2} \phi(x)} F(x, p) \right) = 0, \quad (x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N. \]

After integration on \( \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \) one gets

\[ 2\alpha \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f^2(x, p) e^{-\frac{N+2}{mc^2} \phi(x)} \, dp \, dx + \int_{\Sigma^+} f^2(x, p) e^{-\frac{N+2}{mc^2} \phi(x)} \, d\nu^+ = 0, \]

saying that \( f \big|_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N} = 0 \) (and also \( f \big|_{\Sigma^+} = 0 \)). \( \square \)
2.1. Properties of the characteristics

We assume that \( \phi \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega) \) is a given function. We start by analyzing the change of variables \( (x, p) \rightarrow (X(s; x, p), P(s; x, p)) \), where \( (X, P) \) solves the system of characteristics (18), (19). By using (16) we deduce that the determinant of the jacobian matrix \( J(s; x, p) := \frac{\partial(X(s; x, p), P(s; x, p))}{\partial(x, p)} \) satisfies

\[
\frac{d}{ds} \det J(s; x, p) = -\det J(s; x, p) N \frac{d}{ds} \phi(X(s; x, p)),
\]

and therefore we obtain

\[
\det J(s; x, p) = e^{-N \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \phi(X(s; x, p))} e^{N \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \phi(x)} ds dx dp.
\]

Consider now the change of variables (29)

\[
O \ni (s, x, p) \rightarrow (X(s; x, p), P(s; x, p)),
\]

where

\[
O = \bigcup_{(x, p) \in \Sigma} ([0, s_{\text{out}}] \times \{x\} \times \{p\}).
\]

By direct computations we check that

\[
dX dP = |v_c(p) \cdot n(x)| e^{-N \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \phi(X(s; x, p))} e^{N \frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \phi(x)} ds d\sigma(x) dx dp.
\]

For any \( (x, p) \in \Pi \times \mathbb{R}^N \) we introduce the energy function

\[
W_c(x, p) = mc^2 \left( 1 + \frac{|p|^2}{m^2c^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \phi(x) - 1}.
\]

We check easily that \( W_c \) is conserved along the characteristics.

**Proposition 2.2.** Assume that \( \phi \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega) \). Then for any solution of (18) we have

\[
\frac{d}{ds} \{W_c(X(s), P(s))\} = 0, \quad s_{\text{in}} < s < s_{\text{out}}.
\]

Observe that

\[
W_c(x, p) = e^{\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \mathcal{E}_c(p)} + mc^2 \left( e^{\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \phi(x) - 1} \right),
\]

where \( \mathcal{E}_c(p) = mc^2 \left( 1 + \frac{|p|^2}{m^2c^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 \) is the relativistic kinetic energy. Obviously we have

\[
\lim_{c \to +\infty} \mathcal{E}_c(p) = \frac{|p|^2}{2m}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^N,
\]

and

\[
\lim_{c \to +\infty} mc^2 \left( e^{\frac{mc^2}{\hbar} \phi(x) - 1} \right) = \phi(x), \quad x \in \Omega,
\]

and therefore the total relativistic energy \( W_c \) converges towards the total classical energy \( \frac{|p|^2}{2m} + \phi(x) \) when \( c \) goes to infinity, as expected.
2.2. Properties of the mild solution

By using the results of the previous paragraph we are ready to establish several properties of the mild solution of (14), (15). We have the following standard results.

Proposition 2.3. Assume that
\[ \phi \in C^1(\Omega), \nabla_x \phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)^N, \ g \in L^\infty(\Sigma^-;du^-). \]
Denote by \( f \) the mild solution of (14), (15). Then
1) if \( g \) is nonnegative, \( f \) is nonnegative;
2) \( f \) belongs to \( L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N) \). Moreover, if \( g \in L^\infty(\Sigma^-;du^-) \), then \( f \in L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N) \) and
\[ \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq e^{\frac{N+1}{2m} \sup_\Omega \phi} e^{-\frac{N+1}{2m} \inf_{\partial \Omega} \phi \inf_{\partial \Omega} g} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^-;du^-)}. \]
3) for any test function \( \psi \in C^2_c(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N) \) we have
\[ \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N} f(x,p)\psi(x,p) \, dp \, dx \]
\[ = \int_{\Sigma^-} g(x,p) e^{-\frac{\psi(x)}{m^2}} \int_0^{s_{\text{in}}(x,p)} \psi(X(s;x,p),P(s;x,p)) e^{-\frac{\delta(X(s;x,p))}{m^2}} \, ds \, dv^-; \]
4) \( f \) is a weak solution for (14), (15).

Proof. The first statement and the last part of the second one are obvious. Let us check that \( f \) is locally bounded. Take \( R > 0 \) and \( C > 0 \) such that
\[ |g(x,p)| \leq C, \text{ a.e. } (x,p) \in \Sigma^-, \ |p| \leq (m^2 e^2 + R^2)^\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{N+1}{m} \sup_\Omega \phi}. \]
Consider \( (x,p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \), \( |p| \leq R \) such that \( s_{\text{in}}(x,p) > -\infty \) (the case \( s_{\text{in}}(x,p) = -\infty \) is trivial since \( f(x,p) = 0 \)). By the definition of the mild solution we have
\[ |f(x,p)| = e^{\frac{N+1}{2m} \phi(x)} e^{-\frac{N+1}{2m} \phi(X(s_{\text{in}};x,p))} |g(X(s_{\text{in}};x,p),P(s_{\text{in}};x,p))| \]
\[ \leq e^{\frac{N+1}{2m} \sup_\Omega \phi e^{-\frac{N+1}{2m} \inf_{\partial \Omega} \phi} |g(X(s_{\text{in}};x,p),P(s_{\text{in}};x,p))|. \]

By Proposition 2.2 we have also
\[ \left(1 + \frac{|p|^2}{m^2 e^2}\right)^\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{m^2}} = \left(1 + \frac{|P(s_{\text{in}};x,p)|^2}{m^2 e^2}\right)^\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{\phi(X(s_{\text{in}};x,p))}{m^2}}, \]
and we deduce that
\[ |P(s_{\text{in}};x,p)| \leq (m^2 e^2 + R^2)^\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{N+1}{m} \sup_\Omega \phi}. \]
Combining (33), (34), (35) we deduce that
\[ |f(x,p)| \leq e^{\frac{N+1}{m} \sup_\Omega \phi e^{-\frac{N+1}{m} \inf_{\partial \Omega} \phi}} e^{-\frac{N+1}{m} \inf_{\partial \Omega} \phi} C, \text{ a.e. } (x,p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N, \ |p| \leq R, \]
and thus \( f \) is locally bounded. In order to establish the mild formulation (32) observe that \( f^\pm := \max\{0, \pm f\} \) are the mild solutions of (14), (15) corresponding to the boundary conditions \( g^\pm := \max\{0, \pm g\} \) and therefore it is sufficient...
to check (32) when \( g \geq 0 \) for any nonnegative test function \( \psi \in C_0^0(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N) \).
This follows immediately by using the change of variables (29) and formula (30). Indeed, since \( f \) is locally bounded and \( \psi \) is compactly supported, \( f \psi \) is integrable and we have
\[
\int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N} f \psi \, dp \, dx
= \int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N} f(x,p)\psi(x,p)1_{\{s_{\text{in}}(x,p) > -\infty\}} \, dp \, dx
\]
\[
= \int_{\Sigma^-} \int_{s_{\text{out}}(x,p)} f(X(s, x, p), P(s, x, p))\psi(X(s, x, p), P(s, x, p))
\times |v_c(p) \cdot n(x)|e^{-\frac{1}{mc} \phi(X(s, x, p))} \frac{1}{mc} \phi(x) \, ds \, d\sigma(x) \, dp
\]
\[
= \int_{\Sigma^-} g(x, p)e^{-\frac{1}{mc} \phi(x)} \int_{s_{\text{out}}(x,p)} \psi(X(s, x, p), P(s, x, p))e^{\frac{\phi(X(s, x, p))}{mc}} \, ds \, dv^-.
\]
For verifying the last statement the idea is to apply the mild formulation (32) with the function
\[
\psi(x, p) = -e^{-\frac{1}{mc} \phi(x)} (v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x \theta + F(x, p) \cdot \nabla_p \theta)
\]
for any test function \( \theta \in C_0^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N) \) satisfying \( \theta|_{\Sigma^+} = 0 \). Observe that for any \( (x, p) \in \Sigma^- \) we have
\[
e^{-\frac{1}{mc} \phi(x)} \psi(X(s, x, p), P(s, x, p)) = -\frac{d}{ds}\theta(X(s, x, p), P(s, x, p)),
\]
and thus
\[
\int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N} f(x,p)e^{-\frac{1}{mc} \phi(x)} (v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x \theta + F(x, p) \cdot \nabla_p \theta) \, dp \, dx
= \int_{\Sigma^-} g(x, p)e^{-\frac{1}{mc} \phi(x)} \int_{s_{\text{out}}(x,p)} \{ -\frac{d}{ds}\theta(X(s, x, p), P(s, x, p)) \} \, ds \, dv^-.
\]
By taking into account that \( \theta|_{\Sigma^+} = 0 \), we obtain for any \( (x, p) \in \Sigma^- \) such that \( s_{\text{out}}(x, p) < +\infty \)
\[
\int_{s_{\text{out}}(x,p)}^{s_{\text{in}}(x,p)} -\frac{d}{ds}\{\theta(X(s, x, p), P(s, x, p))\} \, ds = \theta(x, p).
\]
Combining (36), (37) we deduce formally that the weak formulation holds for any test function \( \theta \in C_0^1(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N) \) such that \( \theta|_{\Sigma^+} = 0 \). A rigorous proof for checking that the mild solution is a weak solution could be the following.

Without loss of generality we assume that \( g \geq 0 \). For any \( \alpha > 0 \) consider \( f_\alpha \) the mild solution of the penalized stationary Vlasov problem (22), (23). The solution \( f_\alpha \) is given by
\[
f_\alpha(x, p) = e^{\frac{\alpha}{mc} \phi(x)} e^{-\frac{\alpha}{mc} \phi(X(s_{\text{in}}; x, p))} e^{\alpha s_{\text{in}}(x, p)} g(X(s_{\text{in}}; x, p), P(s_{\text{in}}; x, p)),
\]
if \( s_{\text{in}}(x, p) > -\infty \),
and \( f_\alpha(x, p) = 0 \) if \( s_{in}(x, p) = -\infty \). Indeed, the equation (22) can be written
\[
\alpha f e^{-\frac{N+1}{mc}p(x)} + v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x \left( f e^{-\frac{N+1}{mc}p(x)} \right) + F(x, p) \cdot \nabla_p \left( f e^{-\frac{N+1}{mc}p(x)} \right) = 0,
\]
for any \((x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N\), and the above formula comes by observing that formally we have
\[
\frac{d}{ds} \{ e^{\alpha s} f(X(s; x, p), P(s; x, p)) e^{-\frac{N+1}{mc}p(X(s; x, p))} \} = 0, \quad s_{in}(x, p) < s < s_{out}(x, p).
\]
As before \( f_\alpha \) is nonnegative and satisfies the mild formulation
\[
\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_\alpha(x, p) \psi(x, p) \, dp \, dx = \int_{\Sigma^-} g \, dv^- \, \psi(x, p) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc}} \int_0^{s_{out}(x, p)} e^{-\alpha s} \psi(X(s; x, p), P(s; x, p)) e^{\frac{\phi(X(s; x, p))}{mc}} \, ds
\]
for any test function \( \psi \in C^0_c(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^N) \). Now we can verify easily that \( f_\alpha \) is weak solution for (22), (23). Indeed, for any \( \theta \in C^1_c(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^N) \) satisfying \( \theta|_{\Sigma^+} = 0 \) consider
\[
\psi(x, p) = e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc}} \left( \alpha \theta(x, p) - v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x \theta - F(x, p) \cdot \nabla_p \theta \right),
\]
and observe that
\[
e^{-\alpha s} \psi(X(s; x, p), P(s; x, p)) e^{\frac{\phi(X(s; x, p))}{mc}} = - \frac{d}{ds} \{ e^{-\alpha s} \theta(X(s; x, p), P(s; x, p)) \}.
\]
Therefore we obtain
\[
\int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_\alpha(x, p) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc}} \left( \alpha \theta(x, p) - v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x \theta - F(x, p) \cdot \nabla_p \theta \right) \, dp \, dx = \int_{\Sigma^-} g \, dv^- \, e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc}} \int_0^{s_{out}(x, p)} - \frac{d}{ds} \{ e^{-\alpha s} \theta(X(s; x, p), P(s; x, p)) \} ds,
\]
and we are done if we show that
\[
\int_0^{s_{out}(x, p)} - \frac{d}{ds} \{ e^{-\alpha s} \theta(X(s; x, p), P(s; x, p)) \} ds = \theta(x, p), \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^-.
\]
This is obvious if \( s_{out}(x, p) < +\infty \). In the case \( s_{out}(x, p) = +\infty \) observe that
\[
\int_0^{+\infty} - \frac{d}{ds} \{ e^{-\alpha s} \theta(X(s; x, p), P(s; x, p)) \} ds = \theta(x, p) - \lim_{t \to +\infty} \{ e^{-\alpha t} \theta(X(t; x, p), P(t; x, p)) \}
\]
(40)
\[
= \theta(x, p).
\]
Notice that we have \( 0 \leq f_\alpha \leq f_\beta \leq f \) for any \( 0 < \beta \leq \alpha \), where \( f \) is the mild solution of (14), (15). Actually we have \( f = \sup_{\alpha > 0} f_\alpha = \lim_{\alpha \searrow 0} f_\alpha \). By passing to the limit for \( \alpha \searrow 0 \) in the weak formulation satisfied by \( f_\alpha \) one gets easily that \( f \) is also a weak solution for (14), (15). \qed
Assume that the mild solution and Proposition 2.4. The inequality (44) follows in similar way. □

Remark 2.1. Under the hypotheses of Proposition 2.3 the mild solution \( f \) on \( \Sigma^+ \) satisfying the Green formula

\[
- \int_{\Omega} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{m(x)}} (v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x \theta + F(x, p) \cdot \nabla_x \theta) \, dp \, dx \\
+ \int_{\Sigma^+} \gamma^+ f e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{m(x)}} \theta(x, p) \, dv^+ = \int_{\Sigma^-} ge^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{m(x)}} \theta(x, p) \, dv^-
\]

for any test function \( \theta \in C^1_c(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^N) \). The trace \( \gamma^+ f \) is given by the same formula as those for \( f \) in Definition 2.2, is nonnegative if \( g \) is nonnegative, is bounded if \( g \) is bounded and we have

\[
\| \gamma^+ f \|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^+, dv^+)} \leq e^{\frac{N+1}{m(x)}} \sup_{\Sigma^+} \phi - \inf_{\Sigma^+} \phi \| g \|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^-, dv^-)}.
\]

Analogous results hold for the solutions \( (f_\alpha)_{\alpha > 0} \).

We intend now to estimate the density \( \mu(\cdot) = \int_{\Sigma^+} \frac{f(x, p)}{N} \, dp \). The crucial point is the conservation of the total energy \( \mathcal{W}_c \).

**Proposition 2.4.** Assume that \( \phi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}), \nabla_x \phi \in W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)^N, \phi \geq 0 \) on \( \partial \Omega \), \( g \geq 0 \) on \( \Sigma^- \) and that there is a function \( H : [0, +\infty[ \rightarrow [0, +\infty[ \) such that

\[
g(x, p) \leq H(\mathcal{W}_c(x, p)), \ (x, p) \in \Sigma^-.
\]

We denote by \( f \) the mild solution of (14), (15). Then we have the inequalities

\[
f(x, p) \leq e^{\frac{N+1}{m(x)}} f(x, p) H(\mathcal{W}_c(x, p)) \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{W}_c(x, p) \geq 0\}}, \ (x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N,
\]

\[
\gamma^+ f(x, p) \leq e^{\frac{N+1}{m(x)}} \sup_{\Sigma^+} \phi H(\mathcal{W}_c(x, p)), \ (x, p) \in \Sigma^+.
\]

**Proof.** Since \( \phi \) is nonnegative on \( \partial \Omega \) we have \( \mathcal{W}_c(x, p) \geq 0 \), \( \forall (x, p) \in \Sigma \). Take \( (x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \) such that \( \mathcal{W}_c(x, p) < 0 \). By Proposition 2.2 we have

\[
\mathcal{W}_c(X(s; x, p), P(s; x, p)) = \mathcal{W}_c(x, p) < 0, \ \forall s \in [s_{in}(x, p), s_{out}(x, p)].
\]

Since \( \mathcal{W}_c|_{\Sigma} \geq 0 \) we deduce that \( s_{in}(x, p) = -\infty \), \( f(x, p) = 0 \) and thus the inequality (43) is trivial. Assume now that \( (x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N \) such that \( \mathcal{W}_c(x, p) \geq 0 \). As previous we can suppose that \( s_{in}(x, p) > -\infty \) and by the definition of the mild solution and Proposition 2.2 we obtain

\[
f(x, p) = e^{\frac{N+1}{m(x)}} f(x, p) e^{-\frac{N+1}{m(x)}} \mathcal{W}_c(X(s_{in}; x, p), P(s_{in}; x, p)) g(X(s_{in}; x, p), P(s_{in}; x, p)) \\
\leq e^{\frac{N+1}{m(x)}} f(x, p) H(\mathcal{W}_c(X(s_{in}; x, p), P(s_{in}; x, p))) \\
= e^{\frac{N+1}{m(x)}} \phi H(\mathcal{W}_c(x, p)) \mathbf{1}_{\{\mathcal{W}_c(x, p) \geq 0\}}.
\]

The inequality (44) follows in similar way. □

By using Proposition 2.4 we obtain the following estimates for \( \mu \):
Assume that $\phi \in C^1(\Omega), \nabla_x \phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)^N, \phi \geq 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, $g \geq 0$ on $\Sigma^-$ and that there is $\delta > N - 1, N \geq 2$ such that
\[
g(x, p) \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |p|)^{\delta}}, \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^-
\]
for some constant $C > 0$. Denote by $f$ the mild solution of (14), (15), $\mu(\cdot) = \int_{\gamma} f_{\gamma(\cdot)} \, dp$, $\mu_R(\cdot) = \int_{|p| \geq R} f_{\gamma(\cdot)} \, dp$, $\forall R > 0$. Then we have
\[
\mu(x) \leq C e^{2 \frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}}, \quad x \in \Omega,
\]
(45)
\[
\mu_R(x) \leq C \frac{e^{2 \frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}}}{\left(1 + \max\{0, r_c(R) e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} + \phi(x)\}\right)^{\delta-(N-1)}},
\]
for some constant $C = C(m, c, \sup_{\partial \Omega} \phi, N, \delta)$ and with
\[
r_c(R) = \frac{R^2}{m} \left(1 + \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{mc^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{-1}.
\]
Proof. We check easily that there is a constant $C_1 = C_1(m, c, \sup_{\partial \Omega} \phi)$ such that
\[
g(x, p) \leq \frac{C_1}{(1 + W_c(x, p))^{\delta}}, \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^-.
\]
Applying Proposition 2.4 with the function $H(u) = \frac{C_1}{(1 + W_c(x, p))^{\delta}} 1_{\{W_c(x, p) > 0\}}, \quad (x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N$ yields
\[
f(x, p) \leq e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \frac{C_1}{(1 + W_c(x, p))^{\delta}} 1_{\{W_c(x, p) > 0\}}, \quad (x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N.
\]
(47)
The above inequality allows us to estimate $\int_{|p| \geq R} f_{\gamma(\cdot)} \, dp$ for any $R \geq 0$. For any fixed $x \in \Omega$ we use the change of variable
\[
mc^2 \left\{1 + \frac{u^2}{mc^2} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} = W,
\]
where
\[
u \geq \max \left\{R, mc \sqrt{\left(e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} - 1\right)^{\delta}}\right\} =: u_c^{R(x)},
\]
\[
W \geq \max \left\{0, mc^2 \left\{1 + \frac{R^2}{mc^2} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} - 1\right\} =: W_c^{R(x)}.
\]
We have the equalities
\[
u = mc \left(\frac{W}{mc^2} + 1\right)^2 e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} - 1, \quad W \geq W_c^{R(x)}
\]
(49)
and
\[
\frac{du}{dW} = m \frac{W}{u \left(\frac{W}{mc^2} + 1\right) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}}}, \quad W \geq W_c^{R(x)}.
\]
(50)
we deduce that

\[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{R^2}{m^2 c^2}} \left( e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{m c^2}} - 1 \right) \]

(51)

\[ = \sqrt{1 + \frac{R^2}{m^2 c^2}} \left( \frac{\phi(x)}{m c^2} + \frac{m c^2}{m c^2} e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{m c^2}} - 1 \right) \]

\[ \geq \frac{R^2}{m \left( 1 + \frac{R^2}{m^2 c^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \frac{\phi(x)}{m c^2} + \phi(x). \]

We deduce that

\[ \mathcal{W}_c^R(x) \geq \max \{ 0, r_c(R)e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{m c^2}} + \phi(x) \}, \]

where \( r_c(R) = \frac{R^2}{m \left( 1 + \frac{R^2}{m^2 c^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \), \( \forall R \geq 0, c > 0 \). Take now \( R \geq 0 \) and let us estimate \( \int_{|p| \geq R} \frac{f(x,p)}{\gamma_c(p)} dp \). We obtain

\[ \int_{|p| \geq R} \frac{f(x,p)}{\gamma_c(p)} dp \]

\[ \leq C_1 e^{\frac{N+1}{m c} \phi(x)} \int_{|p| \geq R} \frac{1_{\{\mathcal{W}_c(x,p) \geq 0\}}}{\gamma_c(p)(1 + \mathcal{W}_c(x,p))^\rho} dp \]

\[ \leq C_2 e^{\frac{N+1}{m c} \phi(x)} \int_{u^B(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{u^N - 1}{(1 + u) \left( 1 + mc^2 \left( 1 + \frac{u^2}{m^2 c^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{m c^2}} - 1 \right)^\delta} \]

\[ = C_2 e^{\frac{N+1}{m c} \phi(x)} \int_{\mathcal{W}_c^R(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{(mc)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \left( \mathcal{W}_c + 1 \right)^2 e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{m c^2}} - 1 \right)^{\frac{N}{2}}}{1 + mc \left( \mathcal{W}_c + 1 \right)^2 e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{m c^2}} - 1 \right)^\frac{N}{2}} \]

\[ \times \frac{m}{(1 + \mathcal{W})^\delta} \left( \mathcal{W}_c + 1 \right) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{m c^2}} dW \]

\[ = C_2 e^{\frac{N}{m c} \phi(x)} \int_{\mathcal{W}_c^R(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{(mc)^{-\frac{N}{2}} (Q^2(W) - 1)^{\frac{N}{2}}}{(1 + mc(Q^2(W) - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}})(1 + \mathcal{W})^\delta} dW, \]

where we used the notation \( Q(W) = \left( \frac{\mathcal{W}_c}{mc} + 1 \right) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{m c^2}} \). By taking into account that

\[ \sup_{Q \geq 1} \frac{Q}{1 + mc(Q^2 - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}}} < +\infty, \]

we deduce that

\[ \int_{|p| \geq R} \frac{f(x,p)}{\gamma_c(p)} dp \leq C_3 e^{\frac{N}{m c} \phi(x)} \int_{\mathcal{W}_c^R(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{(Q^2(W) - 1)^{\frac{N}{2}}}{(1 + \mathcal{W})^\delta} dW \]

(53)
\[
\begin{align*}
&= C_3 e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \int_{W^{\Omega}(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{\left( \frac{W}{mc^2} + 1 \right)^2 - e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}}}{(1 + W)^{N+2}} \, dW \\
&\leq C_3 e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \int_{W^{\Omega}(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{W}{mc^2} + 1 \right)^{N-2} \frac{dW}{(1 + W)^{\delta}} \\
&\leq C_4 e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \int_{W^{\Omega}(x)}^{+\infty} \frac{dW}{(1 + W)^{\delta-N+2}}.
\end{align*}
\]

In the above computations \( C_2, C_3, C_4 \) denote some constants depending on \( m, c, \sup_{\partial \Omega} \phi, N \). For \( R = 0 \) one gets

\[
\mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(x,p)}{c^2(p)} \, dp \leq C_4 e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{dW}{(1 + W)^{\delta-N+2}}
\]

(54)

since we have \( \delta > N - 1 \). Take now \( R > 0 \). We have

\[
\mu_R(x) = \int_{|p| \geq R} \frac{f(x,p)}{c^2(p)} \, dp \leq \frac{C_4 e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}}}{\left( \delta - N + 1 \right)(1 + W_{e}(x))^{\delta-N+1}}
\]

(55)

\[
\leq \frac{C e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}}}{\left( 1 + \max \{0, r_c(R) e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} + \phi(x)\} \right)^{\delta-N+1}}.
\]

\( \square \)

The above estimates allow us to justify the existence of weak solution for the stationary Nordström-Vlasov system. We intend to investigate the asymptotic behavior of these solutions when the light speed goes to infinity. We need to establish uniform estimates with respect to \( c \).

**Proposition 2.6.** Assume that \( \phi \in C^1(\overline{\Omega}), \nabla_x \phi \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)^N \), \( \phi \geq 0 \) on \( \partial \Omega \), \( g \geq 0 \) on \( \Sigma^- \) and that there is \( \delta > 2N, N \geq 2 \) such that

\[
g(x, p) \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |p|)^{\delta}}, \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^-
\]

(56)

for some constant \( C > 0 \). Denote by \( f \) the mild solution of (14), (15). Then we have for any \( c \geq 1, x \in \Omega \)

\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x,p) \, dp \leq C e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \left( 1 + |\phi(x)|^{\frac{N+2}{2}} \right),
\]

(57)

\[
\int_{|p| \geq R} f(x,p) \, dp \leq C e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \left( 1 + |\phi(x)|^{\frac{N+2}{2}} \right) \left( 1 + \max \{0, r_c(R) e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} + \phi(x)\} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{2}-N}
\]

(58)
for some constant \( C = C(m, \sup_{\partial \Omega} \phi, N, \delta) \) and with

\[
r(R) = \frac{R^2}{m \left(1 + \left(1 + \frac{R^2}{m^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)}.
\]

**Proof.** In the following computations the notation \( C \) stands for constants depending on \( m, \|\phi\|_{L^\infty(\partial \Omega)}, \delta, N \) but not on the light speed. Observe that for any \( c > 0 \) we have the inequalities

\[
E_c(p) = mc^2 \left(1 + \left|\frac{p}{mc^2}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \leq \frac{|p|^2}{2m}, \quad p \in \mathbb{R}^N.
\]

and

\[
mc^2 \left(1 - e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}}\right) \leq \phi(x), \quad x \in \Omega.
\]

Therefore we obtain for any \((x, p) \in \Sigma^-\) and \( c \geq 1 \)

\[
\frac{g(x, p)}{C} \leq \frac{C}{\left(1 + \frac{|p|^2}{2m} + \phi(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{C}{\left(1 + mc^2 \left(1 + \left|\frac{p}{mc^2}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\]

\[
= \frac{C}{\left(e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} + mc^2 \left(1 + \left|\frac{p}{mc^2}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}} - e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\]

\[
\leq \frac{C}{\left(1 + \mathcal{W}_c(x, p)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}},
\]

By Proposition 2.4 we deduce that

\[
f(x, p) \leq \frac{C e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}}}{\left(1 + \mathcal{W}_c(x, p)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \mathbf{1}_{\left\{\mathcal{W}_c(x, p) \geq 0\right\}}, \quad (x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N.
\]

For any \( R \geq 0 \) we use one more time the change of variable (48), (49), (50). By using (59) one gets as before

\[
\int_{|p| \geq R} f(x, p) \, dp \leq C e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \int_{\mathcal{W}_c(p(x))}^{1 + \infty} u^{N-1} du
\]

\[
= C e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \int_{\mathcal{W}_c(p(x))}^{1 + \infty} \left(\frac{\mathcal{W}_c + 1}{m^2} + 1\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \left(e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} - 1\right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}}
\]

\[
\times m \left(\frac{\mathcal{W}_c}{mc^2} + 1\right) e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} d\mathcal{W}, \quad x \in \Omega.
\]
Observe that for any $W \geq W_c^R(x)$ and $c \geq 1$ we have
\[
mc \left( \frac{W}{mc^2} + 1 \right)^2 e^{-\frac{2\phi(x)}{mc^2}} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = mc e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \left( \frac{W}{mc^2} \left( \frac{W}{mc^2} + 2 \right) - \frac{2\phi(x)}{mc^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C e^{-\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \left( W + 1 + |\phi(x)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).
\]
Combining (60), (61) yields
\[
\int_{|p| \geq R} f(x, p) \, dp \leq C e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2(x)} \left( 1 + W \right)^{N-2} \left( 1 + W \right)^{\frac{N-2}{2}} dW.
\]
For $R = 0$ one gets
\[
\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(x, p) \, dp \leq C e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \left( 1 + |\phi(x)|^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \right), \quad x \in \Omega, \ c \geq 1,
\]
since we have $\delta > 2N$. By taking into account that for any $R > 0, x \in \Omega, c \geq 1$ we have
\[
W_c^R(x) \geq \max\{0, r(R) e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} + \phi(x)\},
\]
we obtain
\[
\int_{|p| \geq R} f(x, p) \, dp \leq \frac{C e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} \left( 1 + |\phi(x)|^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \right)}{\left( 1 + \max\{0, r(R) e^{\frac{\phi(x)}{mc^2}} + \phi(x)\} \right)^{\frac{1}{2} - N}}. \quad \square
\]

**Remark 2.2.** For any $\alpha > 0$ denote by $f_{\alpha}$ the mild solution of (22), (23) and by $f$ the mild solution of (14), (15). Since $0 \leq f_{\alpha} \leq f$ we deduce that the conclusions of Propositions 2.5, 2.6 hold true for $f_{\alpha},$ uniformly with respect to $\alpha > 0.$

### 3. Fixed point application

We intend to show the existence of weak solution for the Nordström-Vlasov equations by using the Schauder fixed point theorem. We assume that $\varphi_0$ is a nonnegative smooth function on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ and we consider $\phi_0$ the solution of the problem
\[
-\Delta_x \phi_0 = 0, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \phi_0(x) = \varphi_0(x), \quad x \in \partial \Omega.
\]
If $\Omega$ is of class $C^3$ and $\varphi_0$ belongs to $W^{3, \frac{q}{2} q}(\partial \Omega)$ for some $q > N,$ then $\nabla_x \phi_0 \in W^{2,q}(\Omega) \subset W^{1,\infty}(\Omega).$ In order to use the mild formulation we need to regularize the field $\nabla_x \phi.$ Since we want to preserve some information about the trace of $\phi$ on the boundary $\partial \Omega$ it is convenient to use some special regularization procedure. Let us introduce some notations. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ consider
\[
O_\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega : \text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega) < \varepsilon\}.
\]
Since $\Omega$ is bounded and smooth, there is $\varepsilon_\Omega > 0$ and a smooth function $D: \overline{\Omega} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $D(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial \Omega)$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon_\Omega}$, $\nu = -\nabla_x D$ is regular and bounded in $\overline{\Omega}$ and $\nu(x) = n(P_{\partial \Omega}(x))$ for any $x \in \mathcal{O}_{\varepsilon_\Omega}$, where $P_{\partial \Omega}$ is the projection on $\partial \Omega$ and $n$ is the unit outward normal on $\partial \Omega$. In particular $\nu(x) = n(x)$ for any $x \in \partial \Omega$. Consider $\zeta \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N), \zeta \geq 0$, supp $\zeta \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \leq 1\}$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \zeta(x) \, dx = 1$, $\zeta(\cdot) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^N} \zeta\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Following the construction in [27] for any $\phi \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ we define

$$
R_c \phi(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \zeta(y)(x + 2\varepsilon \nu(x) - y) \, dy
$$

(66)

$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \zeta(x + 2\varepsilon \nu(x) - y)\phi(y) \, dy, \quad x \in \overline{\Omega},
$$

where $\phi(x) = \phi(x), \ x \in \Omega$ and $\phi(x) = 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^N - \Omega$. If $\Omega$ is of class $C^3$, then $\nu$ is of class $C^2$ and therefore $R_c \phi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Observe that for $\varepsilon$ small enough we have $R_c \phi(x) = 0$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{O}_c$ and thus $R_c \phi \in C_c^{\infty}(\Omega)$. Moreover we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} R_c \phi = \phi$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. For any $c > 0$ we define the fixed point map $F_{c,\varepsilon}$ as follows: for $\phi \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ consider $F_{c,\varepsilon} \phi = \tilde{\phi}$ where $-f$ is the mild solution for the stationary regularized Vlasov problem

$$
\varepsilon f(x, p) + v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x f - \left( v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x(R_c \phi + \phi_0) \right) \cdot \nabla_pf = 0,
$$

(67)

$$
= \frac{N + 1}{mc^2} f(x, p)v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x(R_c \phi + \phi_0), \quad (x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N,
$$

(68)

$$
f(x, p) = g(x, p), \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^-;
$$

(69)

$$
-\Delta \tilde{\phi} = -\mu(x), \quad x \in \Omega;
$$

(70)

$$
\tilde{\phi}(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega,
$$

with $\mu(\cdot) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(x, p)}{\gamma_c(p)} \, dp$.

The properties of the map $F_{c,\varepsilon}$ are summed up in the following straightforward result:

**Proposition 3.1.** Assume that $\Omega$ is regular, $\varphi_0 \in W^{3-\frac{1}{2^q}, q}(\partial \Omega)$ for some $q > N$, $\varphi_0 \geq 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, $g \geq 0$ on $\Sigma^-$ such that

$$
g(x, p) \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |p|)^\delta}, \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^-
$$

(71)

for some constants $C > 0$, $\delta > N - 1$ with $N \geq 2$. Then

1) there is a constant $C_c = C(m, c, \sup_{\partial \Omega} \varphi_0, N, \delta)$ such that

$$
\|F_{c,\varepsilon} \phi\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C_c, \quad \forall \phi \in H^1_0(\Omega), \ \phi \leq 0;
$$

2) the map $F_{c,\varepsilon}$ is continuous with respect to the weak topology of $H^1(\Omega)$;

3) there is a fixed point for the application $F_{c,\varepsilon}$.
Proof. 1) For any \( \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega) \), \( \phi \leq 0 \) we have \( R_\varepsilon \phi \leq 0 \). By Proposition 2.5 and Remark 2.2 we know that
\[
\mu(x) \leq C_1 e^{\frac{\gamma_2(x) + R_\varepsilon \phi(x)}{m e^x}} \leq C_1 e^{\frac{2}{m e^x} \sup_{\partial \Omega} \phi_0} =: C_2, \quad x \in \Omega
\]
for some constant \( C_1 = C_1(m, c, \sup_{\partial \Omega} \phi_0, N, \delta) \). In particular we deduce that \( \|\mu\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C_2 (\omega(\Omega))^{1/2} \) which implies that
\[
\|F_{\varepsilon, c}\|_{H^1(\Omega)} = \|\tilde{\phi}\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C_3(m, c, \sup_{\partial \Omega} \phi_0, N, \delta) =: C_c.
\]
2) The arguments are standard. Take \( (\phi_k)_k \subset H_0^1(\Omega) \) such that \( \lim_{k \to +\infty} \phi_k = \phi \) weakly in \( H^1(\Omega) \). By weak convergence we have
\[
R_\varepsilon \phi_k(x) \to R_\varepsilon \phi(x), \quad \nabla_x R_\varepsilon \phi_k(x) \to \nabla_x R_\varepsilon \phi(x), \quad x \in \Omega.
\]
We check easily that
\[
\sup_k \{\|R_\varepsilon \phi_k\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\nabla_x R_\varepsilon \phi_k\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}\} < +\infty
\]
and by using the dominated convergence theorem we have
\[
\lim_{k \to +\infty} \nabla_x R_\varepsilon \phi_k = \nabla_x R_\varepsilon \phi \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^2(\Omega)^N.
\]
Denote by \( (f_k)_k \), \( f \) the mild solutions of (67), (68) corresponding to the fields \( (\nabla_x R_\varepsilon \phi_k)_k \), respectively \( \nabla_x R_\varepsilon \phi \). By Proposition 2.3 we know that \( (f_k)_k \) are also weak solutions and therefore, for any test function \( \theta \in C_0^1(\overline{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R}^N) \), \( \theta|_{\Sigma^+} = 0 \) we can write
\[
\int_{\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N} f_k(x, p) e^{-\frac{R_\varepsilon \phi_k(x) + \phi_0(x)}{mc}} (\varepsilon \theta(x, p) - v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x \theta - F_k(x, p) \cdot \nabla_p \theta) \, dp \, dx
= \int_{\Sigma^-} g(x, p) e^{-\frac{R_\varepsilon \phi_k(x) + \phi_0(x)}{mc}} \theta(x, p) \, d\nu^-,
\]
where \( F_k(x, p) = -\left(v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x (R_\varepsilon \phi_k + \phi_0) + \varepsilon \frac{\nabla_x (R_\varepsilon \phi_k + \phi_0)}{\gamma_c(p)}\right) \). By Proposition 2.3 we have
\[
\sup_k \|f_k\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \sup_k e^{\frac{N+1}{mc} \sup_{\partial \Omega} (R_\varepsilon \phi_k + \phi_0)} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^- \times d\nu^-)} < +\infty,
\]
and therefore we can extract a sequence \( (k_i)_i \), such that \( f_k_i \to \tilde{f} \) weakly \( \star \) in \( L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N) \). By using (73), (74), (75) we can pass easily to the limit with respect to \( i \) in the weak formulations (76) written for \( k = k_i \) and we deduce that \( \tilde{f} \) is a weak solution for (67), (68). By Proposition 2.1 we deduce that \( \tilde{f} = f \). Actually all the sequence \( (f_k)_k \) converges weakly \( \star \) in \( L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N) \) towards \( f \). Denote by \( (\mu_k)_k \) and \( \mu \) the densities given by
\[
\mu_k(\cdot) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_k(\cdot, p) \, dp, \quad \forall k, \quad \mu(\cdot) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\cdot, p) \, dp.
\]
By using Proposition 2.5 and by taking into account that $\sup_k \| R_c \phi_k + \phi_0 \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < +\infty$ we deduce that
\begin{equation}
\text{sup}_{k \geq 1} \| \mu_k \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < +\infty, \quad \lim_{R \to +\infty} \sup_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \int_{|p| \geq R} \frac{f_k(x, p)}{\gamma_c(p)} \, dp \right\} \|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0
\end{equation}
and we obtain easily that $\mu_k \to \mu$ weakly in $L^s(\Omega), \forall 1 \leq s < +\infty$ and $\mu_k \to \mu$ weakly $*$ in $L^\infty(\Omega).$ Consider $(\phi_k)_k, \phi$ the solutions of the problems
\begin{align*}
-\Delta_x \phi_k &= -\mu_k(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \phi_k(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega, \\
-\Delta_x \phi &= -\mu(x), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad \phi(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial \Omega.
\end{align*}
Since $(\mu_k)_k$ is bounded in $L^2(\Omega),$ $(\phi_k)_k$ is bounded in $H^2(\Omega)$ and thus we can extract a subsequence $(\phi_{k_i})_i$ which converges in $H^1(\Omega).$ By using the convergence $\mu_{k_i} \to \mu$ weakly $*$ in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ we deduce that $\lim_{i \to +\infty} \phi_{k_i} = \phi$ in $H^1(\Omega).$ In fact all the sequence $(\phi_k)_k = (\mathcal{F}_{c, \varepsilon} \phi_k)_k$ converges strongly in $H^1(\Omega)$ towards $\phi = \mathcal{F}_{c, \varepsilon} \phi.$ In particular $\mathcal{F}_{c, \varepsilon}$ is continuous with respect to the weak topology of $H^1(\Omega).

3) We consider the set $\mathcal{X}_{c, \varepsilon} = \{ \phi \in H^1_0(\Omega) : \| \phi \|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C_c, \phi \leq 0 \}$ which is convex and compact with respect to the weak topology of $H^1(\Omega).$ Observe also that $\mathcal{F}_{c, \varepsilon}(\mathcal{X}_{c, \varepsilon}) \subset \mathcal{X}_{c, \varepsilon}.$ Indeed, by construction $\phi = \mathcal{F}_{c, \varepsilon} \phi \in H^1_0(\Omega)$ and by the first point we have $\| \phi \|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C_c.$ Since $-\Delta_x \phi = -\mu(x) \leq 0, x \in \Omega$ and $\phi|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$ we have $\sup_{\Omega} \phi \leq 0.$ We conclude by the Schauder fixed point theorem. \hfill \Box

4. The stationary Nordström-Vlasov equations

By passing to the limit with respect to $\varepsilon \searrow 0$ we obtain the existence of weak solution for the stationary Nordström-Vlasov system as stated in Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any fixed $c > 0$ take $(\varepsilon_k)_{k \geq 1}$ a decreasing sequence converging towards 0 and consider $\varphi_{0, k} \in W^{1, \frac{1}{q}}(\partial \Omega)$ for some $q > N$ such that
\begin{equation}
\lim_{k \to +\infty} \varphi_{0, k} = \varphi_0 \text{ in } H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega), \quad \text{sup}_{k \geq 1} \| \varphi_{0, k} \|_{L^\infty(\partial \Omega)} \leq \| \varphi_0 \|_{L^\infty(\partial \Omega)}, \quad \varphi_{0, k} \geq 0, \forall k.
\end{equation}

By Proposition 3.1 there is $(f_{c, k}, \phi_{c, k})$ solution for
\begin{equation}
\varepsilon_k f_{c, k} + v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x f_{c, k} - \left( v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x (R_{c, k} \phi_{c, k} + \phi_0, k) \frac{p}{mc^2} + \frac{\nabla_x (R_{c, k} \phi_{c, k} + \phi_0, k)}{\gamma_c(p)} \right) \cdot \nabla_p f_{c, k} \\
= \frac{N + 1}{mc^2} f_{c, k}(x, p) v_c(p) \cdot \nabla_x (R_{c, k} \phi_{c, k} + \phi_0, k), \quad (x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N,
\end{equation}
\begin{equation}
-\Delta_x \phi_{c, k} = -\mu_{c, k}(x) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f_{c, k}(x, p)}{\gamma_c(p)} \, dp, \quad -\Delta_x \phi_{0, k} = 0, \quad x \in \Omega,
\end{equation}
for any $\phi_c(x) \geq 0$.

Assume now that $f_{c,k}(x,p) = 0$, $(x,p) \in \Sigma^-$, $\phi_{c,k}(x) = 0$, $\phi_{0,k}(x) = \varphi(x,k) = 0$, $x \in \partial \Omega$ such that

$$0 \leq \phi_{0,k}(x) \leq \|\varphi_{0,k}\|_{L^\infty(\partial \Omega)} \leq \|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(\partial \Omega)}$$

$\phi_{c,k}(x) \leq 0$, $\forall x \in \Omega$, $\forall k \geq 1$,

$$0 \leq f_{c,k}(x,p) \leq c_{\frac{N+1}{2}}^+ \|\varphi\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^-)}, \quad (x,p) \in \Sigma^-, \quad \sup_{k \geq 1} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_{c,k}(\cdot, p) \frac{\gamma_c(p)}{\gamma_c} \, dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C(c) e^{\frac{2}{\epsilon} \|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}$$

for some constant depending on $c$. In particular we have

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \|\mu_{c,k}\|_{L^{N+1}(\Omega)} < +\infty,$$

and thus

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \|\phi_{c,k}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C \sup_{k \geq 1} \|\phi_{c,k}\|_{W^{1,N+1}(\Omega)} \leq C \sup_{k \geq 1} \|\mu_{c,k}\|_{L^{N+1}(\Omega)} < +\infty.$$

By using Proposition 2.5 we deduce easily that

$$\lim_{R \to +\infty} \sup_{k \geq 1} \left\| \int_{|p| \geq R} f_{c,k}(\cdot, p) \frac{\gamma_c(p)}{\gamma_c} \, dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0.$$

We can assume (after extraction eventually) that

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} f_{c,k} = f_c, \text{ weakly } \ast \text{ in } L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N),$$

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \mu_{c,k} = \mu_c := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f_c(\cdot, p)}{\gamma_c} \, dp, \text{ weakly } \ast \text{ in } L^\infty(\Omega),$$

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \phi_{c,k} = \phi_c, \text{ strongly in } H^1(\Omega),$$

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \phi_{0,k} = \phi_0, \text{ strongly in } H^1(\Omega),$$

where $\phi_0$ is the solution of (65). We check easily that $(f_c, \phi_c + \phi_0)$ is a weak solution of the stationary Nordström-Vlasov system. Observe also that

$$0 \leq f_c \leq c_{\frac{N+1}{2}}^+ \|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^-)}, \lim_{R \to +\infty} \left\| \int_{|p| \geq R} f_{c,k}(\cdot, p) \frac{\gamma_c(p)}{\gamma_c} \, dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0.$$

Assume now that $\delta > 2N$ with $N \in \{2, 3\}$. By using Proposition 2.6 we have for any $c \geq 1$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_{c,k}(x,p) \, dp \leq C_1 e^{\frac{\|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}}{m_\sigma} (1 + |R_{c,k} \phi_{c,k}(x) + \phi_{0,k}(x)|_{\infty}^2)}$$

$$\leq C_2 (1 + |R_{c,k} \phi_{c,k}(x)_{\infty}^2}, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad k \geq 1$$
for some constants $C_1, C_2$ depending on $m, \|\varphi_0\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)}, N, \delta$ but not on $c$. If $N = 2$ the inequality (83) gives the uniform estimates

$$\begin{align*}
(84) \quad & \sup_{k \geq 1, c \geq 1} \|\mu_{c,k}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \sup_{k \geq 1, c \geq 1} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_{c,k}(\cdot, p) \, dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq 2C_2, \\
& \sup_{k \geq 1, c \geq 1} \|\phi_{c,k}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C(\Omega) \sup_{k \geq 1, c \geq 1} \|\phi_{c,k}\|_{W^{1,3}(\Omega)} \\
& \leq C(\Omega) \sup_{k \geq 1, c \geq 1} \|\mu_{c,k}\|_{L^3(\Omega)} < +\infty.
\end{align*}$$

Combining (85), (58) yields

$$\begin{align*}
(86) \quad & \lim_{R \to +\infty} \sup_{k \geq 1, c \geq 1} \left\| \int_{|p| \geq R} f_{c,k}(\cdot, p) \, dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0.
\end{align*}$$

By passing to the limit with respect to $k$ we obtain that $f_c = w \ast \lim_{k \to +\infty} f_{c,k}$ satisfies

$$\begin{align*}
(87) \quad & \sup_{c \geq 1} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_c(\cdot, p) \, dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < +\infty, \quad \lim_{R \to +\infty} \sup_{c \geq 1} \left\| \int_{|p| \geq R} f_c(\cdot, p) \, dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0.
\end{align*}$$

We deduce that for any $s > 3, c \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{align*}
\|\mu_{c,k}\|_{L^s(\Omega)} & \leq C_3(1 + \|R_{c,k}\phi_{c,k}\|_{L^{s/3}(\Omega)}) \\
& \leq C_4(1 + \|\phi_{c,k}\|_{L^{s/3}(\Omega)}) \\
& \leq C_5(1 + \|\mu_{c,k}\|_{L^s(\Omega)}) \\
& \leq C_6(1 + \|\mu_{c,k}\|_{L^s(\Omega)})
\end{align*}$$

for some constants $C_3, C_4, C_5, C_6$ not depending on $k \geq 1$ and $c \geq 1$ and therefore we obtain $\sup_{k \geq 1, c \geq 1} \|\mu_{c,k}\|_{L^s(\Omega)} < +\infty$. Finally one gets

$$\begin{align*}
\sup_{k \geq 1, c \geq 1} \|\phi_{c,k}\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} & \leq C_7(\Omega) \sup_{k \geq 1, c \geq 1} \|\phi_{c,k}\|_{W^{1,3}(\Omega)} \\
& \leq C_8 \sup_{k \geq 1, c \geq 1} \|\mu_{c,k}\|_{L^3(\Omega)} < +\infty.
\end{align*}$$

From (87), (58) we deduce that

$$\begin{align*}
& \sup_{k \geq 1, c \geq 1} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f_{c,k}(\cdot, p) \, dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < +\infty, \\
& \lim_{R \to +\infty} \sup_{k \geq 1, c \geq 1} \left\| \int_{|p| \geq R} f_{c,k}(\cdot, p) \, dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0.
\end{align*}$$
By passing to the limit with respect to $k$ we obtain that $f_c = w \ast \lim_{k \to +\infty} f_{c,k}$ satisfies

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_{c,k}(\cdot, p) \, dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < +\infty, \quad \lim_{R \to +\infty} \sup_{k \geq 1} \left\| \int_{|p| \geq R} f_{c,k}(\cdot, p) \, dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0. \quad \Box$$

For any $c > 0$ we proved the existence of weak solution for the stationary Nordström-Vlasov system. A natural question is what happens if the light speed $c$ goes to infinity. We can prove the convergence towards a weak solution of the Vlasov-Poisson system for stellar dynamics as stated in Theorem 1.2.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Take $(c_k)_k$ an increasing sequence such that $\lim_{k \to +\infty} c_k = +\infty$. For any $k$ we consider the solution $(f_k, \phi_k) := (f_{c_k}, \phi_{c_k})$ constructed in Theorem 1.1.

$$v_k(p) \cdot \nabla_x f_k = \left( v_k(p) \cdot \nabla_x \phi_k - \frac{p}{mc_k} + \frac{\nabla_x \phi_k}{\gamma_k(p)} \right) \cdot \nabla_p f_k$$

(88) $$= \frac{N + 1}{mc_k^2} f_k(x, p) \cdot \nabla_x \phi_k, \quad (x, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N,$$

(89) $$-\Delta_x \phi_k = -\mu_k(x) := -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f_k(x, p)}{\gamma_k(p)} \, dp, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

(90) $$f_k(x, p) = g(x, p), \quad (x, p) \in \Sigma^-, \quad \phi_k(x) = \varphi_0(x), \quad x \in \partial\Omega,$$

where $\gamma_k(p) = \gamma_{c_k}(p), v_k(p) = v_{c_k}(p), p \in \mathbb{R}^N$. By Theorem 1.1 we also know that

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \left\| f_k \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)} \leq e^{\frac{N+1}{mc_k} \left\| \varphi_0 \right\|_{L^\infty(\partial\Omega)} \left\| g \right\|_{L^\infty(\Sigma^-)},$$

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f_k(\cdot, p) \, dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < +\infty, \quad \lim_{R \to +\infty} \sup_{k \geq 1} \left\| \int_{|p| \geq R} f_k(\cdot, p) \, dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0.$$

We can assume (after extraction eventually) that $f_k \to f$ weakly $\ast$ in $L^\infty(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\mu_k \to \rho := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\cdot, p) \, dp \text{ weakly } \ast \text{ in } L^\infty(\Omega),$$

$$\phi_k \to \phi \text{ strongly in } H^1(\Omega).$$

By taking into account that $v_k(p) \to \frac{p}{m}$ uniformly on compact sets of $\mathbb{R}^N$ we deduce easily that $(f, \phi)$ is a weak solution for the stationary Vlasov-Poisson system (11), (12), (13). Moreover the function $f$ satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(\cdot, p) \, dp \in L^\infty(\Omega), \quad \lim_{R \to +\infty} \left\| \int_{|p| \geq R} f(\cdot, p) \, dp \right\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = 0. \quad \Box$$
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