ANISOTROPIC QUASILINEAR ELLIPTIC EQUATIONS WITH VARIABLE EXPONENT
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Abstract. We study some anisotropic boundary value problems involving variable exponent growth conditions and we establish the existence and multiplicity of weak solutions by using as main argument critical point theory.

1. Introduction

Materials involving nonhomogenities are usually modelled by energetic functionals of the type

\[ \int |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)} \, dx , \]

where \( p(x) > 1 \) is a continuous function. Such kind of functionals are mentioned, for instance, in the work of Ruzicka [18] where they are used to model an electrorheological fluid. They correspond to the so called \( p(x) \)-Laplace operator which is described by the formula

\[ \Delta_{p(x)} u = \text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2}\nabla u) . \]

However, if we seek for the model of an inhomogeneous material which has a different behavior on each direction we note that the above energy is not adequate. In this new case an appropriate form for energetic functionals can be described by the formula

\[ \int \sum_{i} |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} \, dx , \]

where \( p_i(x) > 1 \) are continuous functions. Functionals of type (2) correspond to a differential operator of the type

\[ \sum_{i} \partial_{x_i} (|\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)-2}\partial_{x_i} u) , \]
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which appears also in a paper by Mihăilescu-Pucci-Rădulescu [11] and more recently in two papers by Mihăilescu-Moroşanu [9, 10]. Problems involving operators of type (3) will be called anisotropic partial differential equations with variable exponent. In the particular case when $p_i(x) = p(x)$ for each $i$ the differential operator (3) becomes $\sum_i \partial_{x_i}(|\partial_{x_i}u|^{p_i(x)} - 2 \partial_{x_i}u)$ and has similar properties with the $p(x)$-Laplace operator.

Motivated by the above discussion, we analyze in this paper the existence and multiplicity of solutions for a nonhomogeneous anisotropic problem of type

$$\begin{cases}
-\sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{x_i}(|\partial_{x_i}u|^{p_i(x)} - 2 \partial_{x_i}u) = f(x, u) & \text{for } x \in \Omega, \\
u = 0 & \text{for } x \in \partial \Omega,
\end{cases}$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 3)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary and $p_i : \overline{\Omega} \to (1, \infty)$ are continuous functions for each $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$.

2. A brief overview on variable exponent spaces

Assume $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is an open domain. Set

$$C^+_\Omega = \{h : h \in C(\overline{\Omega}), h(x) > 1 \text{ for all } x \in \overline{\Omega}\}.$$ 

For any $p \in C^+_\Omega$ we define

$$p^+ = \sup_{x \in \Omega} p(x) \quad \text{and} \quad p^- = \inf_{x \in \Omega} p(x).$$

For each $p \in C^+_\Omega$, we recall the definition of the variable exponent Lebesgue space:

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) = \{u : u \text{ is a measurable real-valued function such that } \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \, dx < \infty\}.$$ 

This space becomes a Banach space [7, Theorem 2.5] with respect to the Luxemburg norm, that is,

$$|u|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 : \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\mu} \right|^{p(x)} \, dx \leq 1 \right\}.$$ 

Moreover, $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is a reflexive space [7, Corollary 2.7] provided that $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty$. Furthermore, on such kind of spaces a Hölder type inequality is valid [7, Theorem 2.1]. More exactly, denoting by $L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ the conjugate space of $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, where $\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{q(x)} = 1$ for any $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, for each $u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and each $v \in L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ the Hölder type inequality reads as follows

$$\int_{\Omega} uv \, dx \leq \left( \frac{1}{p^-} + \frac{1}{q^-} \right) |u|_{p(\cdot)} |v|_{q(\cdot)}.$$ 

An immediate consequence of Hölder’s inequality is connected with some inclusions between various Lebesgue spaces involving variable exponent growth [7, Theorem 2.8]: if $0 < |\Omega| < \infty$ and $p_1, p_2$ are variable exponents, such
that $p_1(x) \leq p_2(x)$ almost everywhere in $\Omega$, then there exists the continuous embedding $L^{p_2(\cdot)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{p_1(\cdot)}(\Omega)$, whose norm does not exceed $|\Omega| + 1$.

An important role in manipulating the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces is played by the modular of the $L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ space, which is the mapping $\rho_{p(\cdot)} : L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} \, dx,$$

provided that $p^+ < \infty$. Spaces with $p^+ = \infty$ have been studied by Edmunds, Lang and Nekvinda [1].

We point out some relations which can be established between the Luxemburg norm and the modular. If $(u_n), u \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ and $p^+ < \infty$, then the following relations hold true

$$|u|_{p(\cdot)} > 1 \Rightarrow |u|_{p(\cdot)}^{p_-} \leq \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) \leq |u|_{p(\cdot)}^{p_+},$$

$$|u|_{p(\cdot)} < 1 \Rightarrow |u|_{p(\cdot)}^{p_+} \leq \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u) \leq |u|_{p(\cdot)}^{p_-},$$

$$|u_n - u|_{p(\cdot)} \to 0 \Leftrightarrow \rho_{p(\cdot)}(u_n - u) \to 0.$$

Next, we define the variable exponent Sobolev space $W^{1,p(\cdot)}_0(\Omega)$ as the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ under the norm

$$\|u\| = |\nabla u|_{p(\cdot)}.$$

The space $(W^{1,p(\cdot)}_0(\Omega), \| \cdot \|)$ is a separable and reflexive Banach space, provided that $1 < p^- \leq p^+ < \infty$. We recall that if $\Omega$ is a bounded, open domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$, $q \in C_+^{\infty}(\Omega)$ and $q(x) < p^+(x)$ for all $x \in \Omega$, then the embedding

$$W^{1,p(\cdot)}_0(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega)$$

is compact and continuous, where $p^+(x) = \frac{Np(x)}{N - p(x)}$ if $p(x) < N$ or $p^+(x) = +\infty$ if $p(x) \geq N$. We refer to [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 13] for further properties of variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces.

Finally, we recall the definition and properties of the anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev spaces as they were introduced in [11]. With that end in view, we assume in the sequel that $\Omega$ is a bounded open domain in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and we denote by $\overrightarrow{p}(\cdot) : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^N$ the vectorial function $\overrightarrow{p}(\cdot) = (p_1(\cdot), \ldots, p_N(\cdot))$. We define $W^{1,\overrightarrow{p}(\cdot)}_0(\Omega)$, the anisotropic variable exponent Sobolev space, as the closure of $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{\overrightarrow{p}(\cdot)} = \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u|_{p_i(\cdot)}.$$

In the case when $p_i(\cdot) \in C_+^{\infty}(\Omega)$ are constant functions for any $i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ the resulting anisotropic Sobolev space is denoted by $W^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}_0(\Omega)$, where $\overrightarrow{p}$ is the constant vector $(p_1, \ldots, p_N)$. The theory of this type of spaces was developed
in [6, 14, 16, 17, 20, 21]. It was argued in [11] that $W^{1,p_i} \Omega$ is a reflexive Banach space.

On the other hand, in order to facilitate the manipulation of the space $W^{1,p_i} \Omega$ we introduce $\overrightarrow{P} = (\overrightarrow{p_i}, \ldots, \overrightarrow{p_N})$, and $P^+ = \max\{p^+_i, \ldots, p^+_N\}$, $P^- = \min\{p^-_i, \ldots, p^-_N\}$.

Throughout this paper we assume that

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} > 1$$

and define $P^*_+ \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $P^*_- \in \mathbb{R}^+$ by

$$P^*_+ = \frac{N}{\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{1}{p_i} - 1}, \quad P^*_- = \max\{P^+_*, P^-_*\}.$$  

Finally, we recall a result regarding the compact embedding between $W^{1,p_i} \Omega$ and variable exponent Lebesgue spaces (see, [11, Theorem 1]):

**Theorem 1.** Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N (N \geq 3)$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary. Assume relation (9) is fulfilled. For any $q \in C(\Omega)$ verifying

$$1 < q(x) < P^*_- \text{ for all } x \in \Omega,$$

the embedding

$$W^{1,p_i} \Omega \hookrightarrow L^q(\Omega)$$

is continuous and compact.

3. The main results

In this paper we study problem (4) in the particular cases

$$f(x,t) = \pm(-\lambda|t|^{m(x)-2}t + |t|^{q(x)-2}t),$$

where $m : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}, q : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ are continuous functions such that

$$m(x) = \max_{i \in \{1, \ldots, N\}} \{p_i(x)\} \text{ for any } x \in \Omega,$$

and $\lambda > 0$.

**Remark.** Condition (11) implies $m^+ = P^+_*$.

First, we consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases}
- \sum_{i=1}^N \partial_{x_i} ((\partial_{x_i} u)^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u) = -\lambda|u|^{m(x)-2}u + |u|^{q(x)-2}u & \text{for } x \in \Omega, \\
u = 0 & \text{for } x \in \partial\Omega.
\end{cases}$$  

We seek solutions for problem (13) belonging to the space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ in the sense below.

**Definition 1.** We say that $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution for problem (13) if
\[
\int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \partial_{x_i} u |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u \partial_{x_i} v \right) + \lambda |u|^{m(x)-2} u v - |u|^{q(x)-2} u v \right\} dx = 0
\]
for all $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

We will prove:

**Theorem 2.** For every $\lambda > 0$ problem (13) has infinitely many weak solutions provided
\[
2 \leq P^- - P^+ + Q^- < Q^+ < P^- , \infty.
\]

Next, we deal with the problem
\[
\begin{cases}
- \sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial_{x_i}(|\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u) = \lambda |u|^{m(x)-2} u - |u|^{q(x)-2} u & \text{for } x \in \Omega, \\
\quad u = 0 & \text{for } x \in \partial \Omega.
\end{cases}
\]

We seek solutions for problem (14) belonging to the space $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ in the sense below.

**Definition 2.** We say that $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is a weak solution for problem (14) if
\[
\int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \partial_{x_i} u |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u \partial_{x_i} v \right) - \lambda |u|^{m(x)-2} u v + |u|^{q(x)-2} u v \right\} dx = 0
\]
for all $v \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Regarding problem (14) we prove the following result:

**Theorem 3.** There exists $\lambda^* > 0$ such that for any $\lambda \geq \lambda^*$ problem (14) has a nontrivial weak solution provided $2 \leq P^- , P^+ < q^-$ and $q^+ < P^- , \infty$.

**Remark.** We point out the fact that similar results as the one of Theorems 2 and 3 were obtained by Mihăilescu [8], in the case when in the left hand side of equations (13) and (14) we replace the anisotropic operator
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{N} \partial_{x_i}(|\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u)
\]
by an isotropic one of the type $\text{div}(|\nabla u|^{p_1(x)-2} + |\nabla u|^{p_2(x)-2}) \nabla u)$, where $p_1(x)$ and $p_2(x)$ are two continuous functions. Our results represent a natural generalization of the one in [8] in the anisotropic case.
4. Proof of Theorem 2

We will use critical point theory to prove Theorem 2. More exactly, we will associate to problem (13) an energetic functional for which the critical points correspond to the weak solutions of the equation. The main tool is a $\mathbb{Z}_2$-symmetric version (for even functionals) of the Mountain Pass Theorem (see [15, Theorem 9.12]):

Mountain Pass Theorem. Let $X$ be an infinite dimensional real Banach space and let $I \in C^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ be even, satisfying the Palais-Smale condition (that is, any sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ such that $\{I(x_n)\}$ is bounded and $I'(x_n) \to 0$ in $X^*$ has a convergent subsequence) and $I(0) = 0$. Suppose that

1. there exist two constants $\rho, a > 0$ such that $I(x) \geq a$ if $\|x\|_X = \rho$,
2. for each finite dimensional subspace $X_1 \subset X$, the set $\{x \in X_1 : I(x) \geq 0\}$ is bounded.

Then $I$ has an unbounded sequence of critical values.

Let $\lambda > 0$ be arbitrary but fixed. Define the energy functional $I_\lambda : W_0^1,\varphi(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$, corresponding to problem (13), by

$$I_\lambda(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} + \lambda \left[ |u|^m(x) \right] - |u|^q(x) \right\} dx.$$  

Standard arguments assure that $I_\lambda \in C^1(W_0^1,\varphi(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ and the Fréchet derivative is given by

$$\langle I'_\lambda(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u \partial_{x_i} v + \lambda |u|^{m(x)-2} u v - |u|^{q(x)-2} u v \right\} dx$$

for all $u, v \in W_0^1,\varphi(\Omega)$. Obviously, the weak solutions of problem (13) coincide with the critical points of $I_\lambda$.

Our goal is to show that the Mountain Pass Theorem can be applied in this case. In order to do that we start by establishing some auxiliary results.

Lemma 1. If $\{u_n\} \subset W_0^1,\varphi(\Omega)$ is a sequence which satisfies the conditions

$$|I_\lambda(u)| < K,$$

$$I'_\lambda(u_n) \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

where $K$ is a positive constant, then $\{u_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence.

Proof. First, we show that $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in $W_0^1,\varphi(\Omega)$. In order to do that, we assume by contradiction that passing eventually to a subsequence, still denoted by $\{u_n\}$, we have $\|u_n\|_\varphi(\Omega) \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$. Clearly, we may assume that $\|u_n\|_\varphi(\cdot) > 1$ for any integer $n$. 

Condition (18) implies that for \( n \) large enough we have
\[
\| I'_\lambda(u_n) \| \leq 1.
\]

On the other hand, for each fixed \( n \), the application
\[
W_0^1, \overline{\mathcal{P}}(\Omega) \ni v \mapsto \langle I'_\lambda(u_n), v \rangle \in \mathbb{R}
\]
is linear and continuous. Combining the above two relations, we obtain that
\[
|\langle I'_\lambda(u_n), v \rangle| \leq \| I'_\lambda(u_n) \| \cdot \| v \|_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\cdot)} \leq \| v \|_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\cdot)}, \quad \forall \ v \in W_0^1, \overline{\mathcal{P}}(\Omega),
\]
for \( n \) large enough. Setting \( v = u_n \), we deduce that
\[
-\| u_n \|_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\cdot)} \leq \int \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u_n|^{p_i(x)} \, dx - \int \lambda \int |u_n|^{m(x)} \, dx - \int |u_n|^{q(x)} \, dx
\]
\[
\leq \| u_n \|_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\cdot)}
\]
for \( n \) large enough.

Thus, the above information yields
\[
-\| u_n \|_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\cdot)} - \int \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u_n|^{p_i(x)} \, dx - \lambda \int |u_n|^{m(x)} \, dx \leq - \int |u_n|^{q(x)} \, dx
\]
for all \( n \) large.

Provided that \( \| u_n \|_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\cdot)} > 1 \), by relations (17), (19) and (6), and the fact that \( m^+ = P^+ \) we get
\[
K > I_\lambda(u_n)
\]
\[
\geq \frac{1}{P^+} \int \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u_n|^{p_i(x)} \, dx + \lambda \int \int |u_n|^{m(x)} \, dx - \frac{1}{q} \int |u_n|^{q(x)} \, dx
\]
\[
\geq \left( \frac{1}{P^+} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \int \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u_n|^{p_i(x)} \, dx + \lambda \left( \frac{1}{m^+} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \int \int |u_n|^{m(x)} \, dx
\]
\[
- \frac{1}{q} \| u_n \|_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\cdot)}
\]
\[
\geq \left( \frac{1}{P^+} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \int \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u_n|^{p_i(x)} \, dx - \frac{1}{q} \| u_n \|_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\cdot)}.
\]

For each \( n \) and \( i \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \) we define
\[
\xi_{n,i} = \begin{cases}
P^+_i, & \text{if } |\partial_{x_i} u_n|^{p_i(x)} < 1,
P^-_i, & \text{if } |\partial_{x_i} u_n|^{p_i(x)} > 1.
\end{cases}
\]

We have
\[
K > I_\lambda(u_n) \geq \left( \frac{1}{P^+} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \int \sum_{i=1}^N |\partial_{x_i} u_n|^{p_i(x)} \, dx - \frac{1}{q} \| u_n \|_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}(\cdot)}
\]
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\[
\begin{align*}
\geq & \left( \frac{1}{P_+^q} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| \partial_{x_i} u_n \|_{p_i(\cdot)}^2 - \frac{1}{q} \| u_n \|_{p(\cdot)}^q \\
\geq & \left( \frac{1}{P_+^q} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \| \partial_{x_i} u_n \|_{p_i(\cdot)}^2 \\
- & \left( \frac{1}{P_+^q} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \sum_{\xi \in \nu_{+1}} \left( \| \partial_{x_i} u_n \|_{p_i(\cdot)}^2 - \| \partial_{x_i} u \|_{p_i(\cdot)}^2 \right) - \frac{1}{q} \| u_n \|_{p(\cdot)}^q \\
\geq & \left( \frac{1}{P_+^q} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \| u_n \|_{p(\cdot)}^2 - \frac{1}{q} \| u_n \|_{p(\cdot)}^q.
\end{align*}
\]

Passing to the limit as \( n \to \infty \), we obtain a contradiction. It follows that \( \{ u_n \} \) is bounded in \( W_0^{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \).

Since \( \{ u_n \} \) is bounded in \( W_0^{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \) and the space \( W_0^{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \) is reflexive, we deduce that there exist a subsequence, still denoted by \( \{ u_n \} \), and \( u \) in \( W_0^{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \) such that \( \{ u_n \} \) converges weakly to \( u \) in \( W_0^{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \). Theorem 1 and conditions (11) and (12) imply that \( W_0^{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \) is compactly embedded into \( L^{m(\cdot)}(\Omega) \) and \( L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega) \). Consequently, \( \{ u_n \} \) converges strongly to \( u \) in \( L^{m(\cdot)}(\Omega) \) and \( L^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega) \).

These facts and condition (18) show that

\[ (\partial_{x_i} u_n - \partial_{x_i} u, u_n - u) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty. \]

We get

\[
\int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \| \partial_{x_i} u_n \|_{p_i(\cdot)}^2 - \| \partial_{x_i} u \|_{p_i(\cdot)}^2 \right) \cdot (\partial_{x_i} u_n - \partial_{x_i} u) \, dx \\
= (I_\lambda^{\alpha}(u_n) - I_\lambda^{\alpha}(u), u_n - u) - \lambda \int_{\Omega} \left( \| u_n \|_{m(\cdot)}^2 - \| u \|_{m(\cdot)}^2 \right) \cdot (u_n - u) \, dx \\
+ \int_{\Omega} \left( \| u_n \|_{q(\cdot)} - \| u \|_{q(\cdot)} \right) \cdot (u_n - u) \, dx.
\]

Using the fact that \( \{ u_n \} \) converges strongly to \( u \) in \( \overline{L}^{q(\cdot)}(\Omega) \) and inequality (5) we get

\[
\left| \int_{\Omega} \left( \| u_n \|_{q(\cdot)} - \| u \|_{q(\cdot)} \right) (u_n - u) \, dx \right| \\
\leq \left| \int_{\Omega} \| u_n \|_{q(\cdot)}^2 - \| u \|_{q(\cdot)}^2 (u_n - u) \, dx \right| + \left| \int_{\Omega} \| u_n \|_{q(\cdot)}^2 - \| u \|_{q(\cdot)}^2 (u_n - u) \, dx \right| \\
\leq M_1 \| u_n \|_{q(\cdot)}^2 \| u_n - u \|_{q(\cdot)} + M_2 \| u_n \|_{q(\cdot)}^2 \| u_n - u \|_{q(\cdot)},
\]

where \( M_1, M_2 \) are two positive constants.
By relations (20), (21) and (22) we have

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left( |u_n|^{q(x)-2} u_n - |u|^{q(x)-2} u \right) (u_n - u) \, dx = 0. \]

Similar arguments as the one used in the proof of relation (21) show that

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \left( |u_n|^{m(x)-2} u_n - |u|^{m(x)-2} u \right) (u_n - u) \, dx = 0. \]

By relations (20), (21) and (22) we have

\[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( |\partial_{x_i} u_n|^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u_n - |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)-2} \partial_{x_i} u \right) (\partial_{x_i} u_n - \partial_{x_i} u) \, dx = 0. \]

It is known that

\[ (|\zeta|^{t-2} - |\vartheta|^{t-2}) (|\zeta|^{t-2} - |\vartheta|^{t-2}) \geq 2^{-t} (|\zeta|^{t-2} - |\vartheta|^{t-2})^{t}, \quad \forall \ t \geq 2, \ \forall \ \zeta, \vartheta \in \mathbb{R}^N. \]

Relations (23) and (24) yield that actually \( \{u_n\} \) converges strongly to \( u \) in \( W_0^{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \). The proof of Lemma 1 is complete. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.** There exist \( \rho > 0 \) and \( a > 0 \) such that

\[ I_\lambda(u) \geq a > 0, \quad \forall \ u \in W_0^{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \text{ with } \|u\|_{\overline{p}(\cdot)} = \rho. \]

**Proof.** By condition (12) we have \( 1 < q^- \leq q^+ < P_{-\infty} \), for all \( x \in \overline{\Omega} \) and using Theorem 1 we get that \( W_0^{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \) is compactly embedded in \( L^{q^-}(\Omega) \) and \( L^{q^+}(\Omega) \).

The fact that \( W_0^{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \) is compactly embedded in \( L^{q^-}(\Omega) \) assures that there exists a positive constant \( C_1 \) such that

\[ |u|_{q^-} \leq C_1 \cdot \|u\|_{\overline{p}(\cdot)}, \quad \forall \ u \in W_0^{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega). \]

Similarly, \( W_0^{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \) is compactly embedded in \( L^{q^+}(\Omega) \) and this guarantees that there exists a positive constant \( C_2 \) such that

\[ |u|_{q^+} \leq C_2 \cdot \|u\|_{\overline{p}(\cdot)}, \quad \forall \ u \in W_0^{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega). \]

On the other hand, we have

\[ |u(x)|^{q(x)} \leq |u(x)|^{q^-} + |u(x)|^{q^+} \text{ for all } x \in \overline{\Omega}. \]

Using relation (27) we deduce that

\[ I_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{P_+} \int_{\Omega} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} \, dx - \frac{1}{q^-} \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^-} \, dx + \int_{\Omega} |u|^{q^+} \, dx \right). \]

Next, we focus our attention on the case when \( u \in W_0^{1, \overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \) with \( \|u\|_{\overline{p}(\cdot)} < 1 \). For such an element \( u \), we have \( |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} < 1 \) for any \( i \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \),
and, by relation (7) we obtain
\[ \frac{\|u\|_{p^+}^+}{N^{p^+ - 1}} = N \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\partial_{x_i} u|_{p_i(\cdot)}^+ \right)^{p^+} \]
\[ \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\partial_{x_i} u|_{p_i(\cdot)}^+ \leq N \sum_{i=1}^{N} |\partial_{x_i} u|_{p_i(\cdot)}^+ \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)} \, dx. \]

Thus, relations (25), (26), (28) and (29) imply
\[ I_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{P^+_N} \|u\|^+_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} - C_3 \|u\|^q_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} - C_4 \|u\|^q_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} \]
\[ = \left( B_1 - B_2 \|u\|^q_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} - B_3 \|u\|^q_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} + B_4 \|u\|^q_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} \right) \|u\|^+_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} \]
for any \( u \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \) with \( \|u\|^+_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} < 1 \), where \( C_3, C_4, B_1, B_2, B_3, B_4 \) are positive constants.

Since the function \( g : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by
\[ g(t) = B_1 - B_2 \cdot t^{q^- - P^+_N} - B_3 \cdot t^{q^- - P^+_N} \]
is positive in a neighborhood of the origin, the conclusion of the lemma follows at once. \( \square \)

**Lemma 3.** If \( S \) is a finite dimensional subspace of \( W_0^{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \), the set \( M = \{ u \in S : I_\lambda(u) \geq 0 \} \) is bounded in \( W_0^{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \).

**Proof.** First, we establish that
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)}_{p_i(x)} \, dx \leq A_1 \left( \|u\|^{p^+_{\vec{p}(\cdot)}}_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} + \|u\|^{p^-_{\vec{p}(\cdot)}}_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} \right) \]
for all \( u \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \), where \( A_1 = \frac{2N}{P^-} \) is a positive constant.

Indeed, using relations (6) and (7) we get
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_{\Omega} |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_i(x)}_{p_i(x)} \, dx \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{1}{p_i} \left( |\partial_{x_i} u|_{p_i(\cdot)}^{p^-_{p_i(\cdot)}} + |\partial_{x_i} u|_{p_i(\cdot)}^{p^+_{p_i(\cdot)}} \right) \]
\[ \leq \frac{1}{P^-} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( |\partial_{x_i} u|_{p_i(\cdot)}^{p^-_{p_i(\cdot)}} + |\partial_{x_i} u|_{p_i(\cdot)}^{p^+_{p_i(\cdot)}} \right) \]
for all \( u \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \).

On the other hand, for every \( i \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \) and for all \( u \in W_0^{1, \vec{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega) \) we infer that
\[ |\partial_{x_i} u|_{p_i(\cdot)}^{p^-_{p_i(\cdot)}} \leq \|u\|^{p^-_{\vec{p}(\cdot)}}_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} \leq \|u\|^{p^-_{\vec{p}(\cdot)}}_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} + \|u\|^{p^+_{\vec{p}(\cdot)}}_{\vec{p}(\cdot)} \]
and

$$|\partial_x u|^{p_+}_{p_1(x)} \leq \|u\|^{p_+}_{\overline{p}(x)} \leq \|u\|^{P_-}_{\overline{p}(x)} + \|u\|^{P_+}_{\overline{p}(x)}.$$  

The above three inequalities yield

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \int_\Omega |\partial_{x_i} u|^{p_+}_{p_i(x)} = \frac{2N}{\overline{p}_-} \left(\|u\|^{P_-}_{\overline{p}(x)} + \|u\|^{P_+}_{\overline{p}(x)}\right).$$

Thus, we conclude that inequality (30) holds true.

By relations (6) and (7), we arrive at

$$\int_\Omega |u|^{m(x)} \, dx \leq |u|^{m_-}_{m(\cdot)} + |u|^{m_+}_{m(\cdot)} \text{ for all } u \in W_0^{1,\overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

The fact that $W_0^{1,\overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ is continuously embedded in $L^{m(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ guarantees that there exists a positive constant $H$ such that

$$|u|_{m(\cdot)} \leq H \|u\|_{\overline{p}(\cdot)} \text{ for all } u \in W_0^{1,\overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

Combining inequalities (32) and (33) we obtain that, for each $\lambda > 0$, there exists a positive constant $A_2(\lambda)$ such that

$$\lambda \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{m(x)}}{m(x)} \, dx \leq A_2(\lambda) \left(\|u\|^{m_+}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)} + \|u\|^{m_-}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)}\right) \text{ for all } u \in W_0^{1,\overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega).$$

Relations (30) and (34) imply

$$I_\lambda(u) \leq A_1 \left(\|u\|^{p_+}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)} + \|u\|^{P_-}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)}\right) + A_2(\lambda) \left(\|u\|^{m_+}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)} + \|u\|^{m_-}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)}\right) - \frac{1}{q^+} \int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)} \, dx$$

for all $u \in W_0^{1,\overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$.

Let $u \in W_0^{1,\overline{p}(\cdot)}(\Omega)$ be arbitrary but fixed. We denote by

$$\Omega_\leq := \{x \in \Omega : |u(x)| < 1\} \text{ and } \Omega_\geq := \Omega \setminus \Omega_\leq.$$  

Thus, we obtain

$$I_\lambda(u) \leq A_1 \left(\|u\|^{p_+}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)} + \|u\|^{P_-}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)}\right) + A_2(\lambda) \left(\|u\|^{m_+}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)} + \|u\|^{m_-}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)}\right) - \frac{1}{q^+} \int_{\Omega_\leq} |u|^{q(x)} \, dx$$

$$\leq A_1 \left(\|u\|^{p_+}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)} + \|u\|^{P_-}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)}\right) + A_2(\lambda) \left(\|u\|^{m_+}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)} + \|u\|^{m_-}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)}\right) - \frac{1}{q^+} \int_{\Omega_\geq} |u|^{q^-} \, dx$$

$$\leq A_1 \left(\|u\|^{p_+}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)} + \|u\|^{P_-}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)}\right) + A_2(\lambda) \left(\|u\|^{m_+}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)} + \|u\|^{m_-}_{\overline{p}(\cdot)}\right) - \frac{1}{q^+} \int_{\Omega_\geq} |u|^{q^-} \, dx.$$
positive constant \( A \) and since 

\[
\text{Proof of Theorem 2. It is clear that } I_\lambda \in C^1(W_0^{1,\overline{P}^-(\Omega)}, \mathbb{R}) \text{ is even and } I_\lambda(0) = 0. \text{ Lemma 1 implies that } I_\lambda \text{ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. On the other hand, Lemmas 2 and 3 show that conditions (I1) and (I2) are satisfied. The Mountain Pass Theorem can be applied to the functional } I_\lambda. \text{ Thus, } I_\lambda \text{ has an unbounded sequence of critical values and consequently problem (13) has}
\]

\[
\leq A_1 \left( \| u \|_{P^+_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} + \| u \|_{P^-_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} \right) + A_2(\lambda) \left( \| u \|_{m^+_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} + \| u \|_{m^-_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} \right),
\]

But there exists a positive constant \( A_3 \) such that

\[
\frac{1}{q^+} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q^- \, dx \leq A_3, \quad \forall \ u \in W_0^{1,\overline{P}^-(\Omega)}.
\]

Then, we have

\[
I_\lambda(u) \leq A_1 \left( \| u \|_{P^+_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} + \| u \|_{P^-_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} \right) + A_2(\lambda) \left( \| u \|_{m^+_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} + \| u \|_{m^-_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} \right) - \frac{1}{q^+} \int_{\Omega} |u|^q^- \, dx + A_3
\]

for all \( u \in W_0^{1,\overline{P}^-(\Omega)} \).

Define the functional \( | \cdot |_{q^-} : W_0^{1,\overline{P}^-(\Omega)} \to \mathbb{R} \) by

\[
|u|_{q^-} := \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^q^- \, dx \right)^{1/q^-}.
\]

The functional \( | \cdot |_{q^-} \) is a norm on \( W_0^{1,\overline{P}^-(\Omega)} \). On the finite dimensional subspace \( S \), the norms \( | \cdot |_{q^-} \) and \( \| \cdot \|_{\overline{P}^-(\Omega)} \) are equivalent, so there exists a positive constant \( A = A(S) \) such that

\[
\| u \|_{\overline{P}^-(\Omega)} \leq A \cdot |u|_{q^-}, \quad \forall \ u \in S.
\]

Consequently, we have that there exists a positive constant \( A_4 \) such that

\[
I_\lambda(u) \leq A_1 \left( \| u \|_{P^+_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} + \| u \|_{P^-_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} \right) + A_2(\lambda) \left( \| u \|_{m^+_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} + \| u \|_{m^-_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} \right) + A_3 - A_4\| u \|_{q^-_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}}, \quad \forall \ u \in S.
\]

Hence

\[
A_1 \left( \| u \|_{P^+_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} + \| u \|_{P^-_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} \right) + A_2(\lambda) \left( \| u \|_{m^+_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} + \| u \|_{m^-_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} \right) + A_3 - A_4\| u \|_{q^-_{\overline{P}(\Omega)}} \geq 0, \quad \forall \ u \in M
\]

and since \( m^+ = P^+_4 < q^- \), we conclude that \( M \) is bounded in \( W_0^{1,\overline{P}^-(\Omega)} \).

Thus, Lemma 3 is proved.

\[\square\]
infinitely many weak solutions in $W^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}_0(\Omega)$. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete. \hfill \Box

5. Proof of Theorem 3

We will use once more the critical point theory in order to prove Theorem 3.

Let $\lambda > 0$ be arbitrary but fixed. The energy functional corresponding to problem (14) is defined as $J_\lambda : W^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}_0(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$J_\lambda(u) = \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial u}{|\partial x_i u|^{p_i(x)}} dx - \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{m(x)}}{m(x)} dx + \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} dx.$$

Standard arguments assure that $J_\lambda$ is well-defined on $W^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}_0(\Omega)$ and $J_\lambda \in C^1(W^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}_0(\Omega), \mathbb{R})$ with the Fréchet derivative given by

$$\langle J'_\lambda(u), v \rangle = \int_\Omega \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{\partial x_i u}{|\partial x_i u|^{p_i(x)-2}} \cdot \partial x_i u \cdot \partial x_i v dx - \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{m(x)-2} uv dx}{m(x)-2} + \int_\Omega \frac{|u|^{q(x)-2} uv dx}{q(x)-2}$$

for all $u, v \in W^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}_0(\Omega)$. Clearly, the weak solutions of problem (14) are exactly the critical points of functional $J_\lambda$.

Our goal is to show that $J_\lambda$ possesses a nontrivial global minimum point in $W^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}_0(\Omega)$. We start by establishing the following auxiliary result:

Lemma 4. The energy functional $J_\lambda$ is coercive on $W^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}_0(\Omega)$.

Proof. We recall that in [8, Lemma 4] it was proved that for any $a, b > 0$ and $0 < k < l$ the following inequality holds true

$$(35) \quad a \cdot t^k - b \cdot t^l \leq a \cdot \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^\frac{t^l}{t^k} \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

Using relation (35), we infer that for any $x \in \Omega$ and $u \in W^{1,\overrightarrow{p}}_0(\Omega)$ we have

$$\lambda \frac{m}{m^*} |u(x)|^{m(x)} - \frac{1}{q^+} |u(x)|^{q(x)} \leq \lambda \frac{m}{m^*} \left[ \frac{\lambda q^+}{m^*} \left( \frac{m(x)}{q(x)-m(x)} \right)^{m(x)} \right] \leq \lambda \frac{m}{m^*} \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda q^+}{m^*} \right)^{\frac{m}{q^+-m^*}} + \left( \frac{\lambda q^+}{m^*} \right)^{-\frac{m}{q^+-m^*}} \right] := \mathcal{C},$$

where $\mathcal{C}$ is a positive constant independent of $u$ and $x$.

Integrating the above inequality over $\Omega$, we get

$$(36) \quad \lambda \frac{m}{m^*} \int_\Omega |u|^{m(x)} dx - \frac{1}{q^+} \int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)} dx \leq \mathcal{D},$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ is a positive constant independent of $u$. 

Next, we focus our attention on the elements \( u \in W^{1,\overline{p}(\cdot)}_0(\Omega) \) with \( \|u\|_{\overline{p}(\cdot)} > 1 \).

For each \( n \) and \( i \in \{1, \ldots, N\} \) we define

\[
\xi_{n,i} = \begin{cases} 
  P^+_n, & \text{if } |\partial x_i u_n|_{p_i(\cdot)} < 1, \\
  P^-_n, & \text{if } |\partial x_i u_n|_{p_i(\cdot)} > 1.
\end{cases}
\]

By inequality (36), we get

\[
J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{P^n} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_\Omega |\partial x_i u_i|^{p_i(x)} \, dx - \frac{\lambda}{m^n} \int_\Omega |u|^{m(x)} \, dx + \frac{1}{q^n} \int_\Omega |u|^{q(x)} \, dx
\]

Thus, \( J_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{\|u\|_{\overline{p}(\cdot)}^-}{P^+_n N P^-_n - 1} - \frac{N}{P^+_n} - \mathfrak{D} \) for all \( u \in W^{1,\overline{p}(\cdot)}_0(\Omega) \) with \( \|u\|_{\overline{p}(\cdot)} > 1 \).

We infer that \( J_\lambda(u) \to \infty \) as \( \|u\|_{\overline{p}(\cdot)} \to \infty \). In other words, \( J_\lambda \) is coercive in \( W^{1,\overline{p}(\cdot)}_0(\Omega) \), completing the proof. \( \square \)

**Proof of Theorem 3.** By Lemma 4 we have that \( J_\lambda \) is coercive. Moreover, a similar argument as the one used in the proof of [12, Lemma 3.4] shows that \( J_\lambda \) is also weakly lower semi-continuous in \( W^{1,\overline{p}(\cdot)}_0(\Omega) \). These facts enable us to apply [19, Theorem 1.2] in order to find that there exists \( u_\lambda \in W^{1,\overline{p}(\cdot)}_0(\Omega) \), a global minimizer of \( J_\lambda \) and thus, a weak solution of problem (14).

Next, we prove that \( u_\lambda \) is not trivial for \( \lambda \) large enough. Indeed, letting \( t_0 > 1 \) be a fixed real and choosing \( \Omega_1 \) an open subset of \( \Omega \) with \( |\Omega_1| > 0 \), we deduce that there exists \( v_0 \in C^\infty_0(\Omega) \subset W^{1,\overline{p}(\cdot)}_0(\Omega) \) such that \( v_0(x) = t_0 \) for any \( x \in \Omega_1 \) and 0 \( \leq v_0(x) \leq t_0 \) for any \( x \in \Omega \setminus \Omega_1 \). Thus, we have

\[
J_\lambda(v_0) = \int_{\Omega_1} \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{|\partial x_i v_0|^{p_i(x)}}{p_i(x)} \, dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{|v_0|^{m(x)}}{m(x)} \, dx + \int_{\Omega_1} \frac{|v_0|^{q(x)}}{q(x)} \, dx
\]

\[
\leq C - \frac{\lambda}{m^n} \int_{\Omega_1} |v_0|^{m(x)} \, dx
\]
\[
\leq C - \frac{\lambda}{m^*} t_0^m \cdot |\Omega_1|,
\]
where \(C\) is a positive constant.

Therefore, there exists \(\lambda^* > 0\) such that \(J_\lambda(v_0) < 0\) for any \(\lambda \geq \lambda^*\). It follows that \(J_\lambda(u_\lambda) < 0\) for any \(\lambda \geq \lambda^*\) and thus, we find that \(u_\lambda\) is a nontrivial weak solution of problem (14) for \(\lambda\) large enough. This completes the proof of Theorem 3. \(\square\)
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