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Abstract

This study noted that the actual mechanism of N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) photodecomposition in the presence of H₂O₂ is missing from the previous works. This study investigated a key unknown reactive species (URS) enhanced by the addition of H₂O₂ during the photolysis of NDMA with H₂O₂, not hydroxyl radicals. In order to provide experimental evidences in support of URS formation, we have mainly used p-nitrosodimethylaniline, methanol, and benzoic acid as well-known probes of OH in this study. Both loss of PNDA and formation of hydroxybenzoic acids were dependent on NDMA concentrations during the photolysis in a constant concentration of H₂O₂. In particular, competition kinetics showed that the relative reactivity of an URS was at least identical with OH-like reactivity. In addition, the decay of NDMA was estimated to be about 65% by the direct UV light and about 35% by the reactive species or URS generated through the photolysis of NDMA and H₂O₂. Therefore, our data suggest that a highly oxidizing URS is formed in the photolysis of NDMA with H₂O₂, which could be peroxynitrite (ONOO⁻) as a potent oxidant by itself as well as a source of OH.
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1. Introduction

N-Nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) has been an environmentally important issue because of its potential human carcinogen and mutagen [1-5]. In particular, NDMA is classified by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) as a B-2 carcinogen and an emerging contaminant [5]. For this reason, extensive studies have focused on the effective decontamination technologies of NDMA as well as its formation mechanism [6-10]. Recent studies have paid attention to the new routes on unintentional NDMA formation from general water treatment processes such as UV induced photochemistry [11], chlorination (or chloramination) [12, 13], and ozonation [14]. Thus, the chemical mechanism related to NDMA chemistry is one of the most significant.

Until now, various process technologies for NDMA treatment in water have been proposed. Previous studies have evidenced that UV treatment is the most effective method due to the strong photo-sensitive NDMA [8, 10, 15-18]. Furthermore, the photo-mechanism for the decomposition of NDMA during UV-based treatment has extensively been studied [6, 8, 15, 16, 19]. However, in the UV photolysis there are concerns of nitrosamines reformation including NDMA occurrence after UV irradiation [8, 11, 20]. Thus, another approach to prevent the reformation of NDMA is necessary.

As an alternative method, the photodecomposition of NDMA has been performed in the presence of H₂O₂ [10]. The UV/H₂O₂ process utilizes further oxidation of NDMA by itself and/or NDMA degradation byproducts such as dimethylamine and nitrite as representative NDMA precursors via the hydroxyl radical (·OH). In this process, H₂O₂ as a source of hydroxyl radical may be quite useful for controlling NDMA reformation in post-UV treatment [8, 10, 20]. According to Sharpless and Linden [10], the addition of H₂O₂ in the photo-degradation of NDMA slightly enhanced the performance by using a low pressure Hg lamp, but economic benefit in view of practical engineering effectiveness was little or none. Since their study, however, additional study on the photodecomposition of NDMA in the presence of H₂O₂ has not been continued. Furthermore, new reactive species and a new mechanism that can be generated from the addition of H₂O₂ during the photodecomposition of NDMA have not been reported recently, to the best of our knowledge. In particular, the chemistry of reactive species generated from the direct photolysis of NDMA is of considerable significance in water purification research for those mechanistic roles and an important accompanying role of the secondary product [19]. This information is
able to contribute to the development of the kinetic computer model for the well-defined or proposed chemistry in the system that provides the best test of the actual engineering data. In this point, a critical component for the kinetic modeling is a description of the kinetics and mechanisms of the reactions for all the chemical species involved in the decay of NDMA. Thus, an understanding of the reaction mechanisms that could take place in the presence of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is necessary in NDMA photodecomposition.

The objective of this study is to experimentally reveal the formation of unknown reactive species (URS) and its reactivity toward NDMA photo-degradation in the presence of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). To investigate the formation of URS, this study employed mechanistic probing compounds which are \( p \)-nitrosodimethylaniline (PNDA), methanol, and benzoic acid (BA) as well-known probes of \( \cdot \text{OH} \) [21, 22]. These probes and competition reactions were used to prove that a URS was probably generated from the course of the photo-degradation regarding NDMA. Thus, our study can contribute to understand the reaction mechanism of photo-chemical NDMA decomposition by means of involved URS.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Materials

BA, methanol, NDMA, and 30% \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) were reagent grade and were used as received from commercial supplies; water was purified with use of an aquaMAX model (Young Lin, Anyang, Korea). The PNDA (99%; Acros Organics, Geel, Belgium) was also used without further purification. To examine competition and subsequent NDMA reactions, all PNDA solutions were prepared by the addition of small amounts of borate buffer solution (pH = 8.75; LabChem, Pittsburgh, PA, USA). The concentration of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) stock solution was determined with the KMnO\(_4\) titration method prior to use. The working solution of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) was prepared daily by diluting the stock solution with water.

### 2.2. Experimental Apparatus and Procedures

Fig. 1 shows a schematic diagram for the experimental apparatus used in this study. The apparatus and experimental procedures employed in the present study are similar to a previous study [19] except for the port of \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) solution. All solutions were delivered with a peristaltic pump (PP; BVP-Process IP65 model; Ismatec, Glattbrugg, Switzerland) with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tubing (i.d. 0.8 mm; Cole-Parmer, Vernon Hills, IL, USA).

As shown in Fig. 1, water or the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) solution was delivered through port 1 (P1, 0.62 mL/min). At the same time, PNDA solution alone or a mixed solution of NDMA and PNDA or methanol was delivered through port 2 (P2, 0.62 mL/min), which joined with the \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) solution or water. A 1 m long knotted tubing mixer (KTM) [23] premixed the solutions of PNDA and NDMA, PNDA only, or a mixture of NDMA/PNDA/\( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \). During a competition reaction of PNDA and NDMA on \( \cdot \text{OH} \) and/or URS, valve 1 (V1) was opened, while valve 2 (V2) and valve 3 (V3) were closed. In the meantime, during the detection of \( \cdot \text{o- and m-} \text{hydroxybenzoic acid isomers (OHBAs)} \) formed from the hydroxylation of BA, V2 and V3 were opened, while V1 was closed. The typical concentrations of NDMA and PNDA were established at molar ratios ([NDMA]o/\([\text{PNDA}]_o\)) ranging from 0 to 20 based on 2.0 µM PNDA and 10 µM \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) in borate buffer solution.

Then, the premixed solutions were transferred to a quartz coil-type photo-reactor (PR), and these solutions inside the PR were photolyzed by irradiation from a low pressure Hg lamp (4 W, \( \lambda_{\text{max}} = 254 \text{ nm} \); Sankyo Denki G4T5, Kanagawa, Japan). The lamp was placed at the inner center of the PR. In this PR, \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) is cleaved by absorbed light, producing \( \cdot \text{OH} \). After mixing in the second KTM, the photolyzed solution containing decayed NDMA and/or PNDA was delivered into a homemade glass de-bubbler (GD), which was placed prior to the detector to remove formed bubbles. The changes in the absorbance signal of PNDA and the fluorescence signal of OHBAs...
were monitored for reaction times by a UV/Vis spectrophotometer (730D model; Young Lin) and by a fluorometer (474 model; Waters, Milford, MA, USA), respectively. Finally, the detected signal was transferred to a data acquisition system, which consisted of a signal amplifier, analog-to-digital converter, and personal computer.

Additionally, the induction period for photolysis (or competition reaction) was approximately 40 sec, which was based on the absorbance signal, with the total irradiation time less than approximately 3 min. To analyze NDMA, irradiated samples were repeatedly collected into sample vials at constant time intervals throughout repeated experiments.

### 2.3. Competition Reaction of PNDA and NDMA for a URS

A competition reaction was used to identify a URS having ·OH-like reactivity. In this process, ·OH is produced during the decomposition of H$_2$O$_2$ (reaction 1). ·OH reacts with PNDA (reaction 2), $k_2 = 1.25 \times 10^{10}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, H$_2$O$_2$ (reaction 3), $k_3 = 2.7 \times 10^{10}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, and NDMA (reaction 4), $k_4 = 3.3–4.3 \times 10^{10}$ M$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ [21, 22, 24, 25]. In particular, this study considered a URS generated through reaction 5 during NDMA photolysis in the absence of H$_2$O$_2$, based on the result of Kwon et al. [19]. Here, HO$_2$ and UV do not decompose PNDA [21, 26]. The concentration of added H$_2$O$_2$ was always constant with 10 µM, which is reasonable to assume that the concentration of ·OH generated from the photolysis of H$_2$O$_2$ is constant in various concentrations of PNDA and NDMA, respectively.

\[
\begin{align*}
    H_2O_2 & \rightarrow 2\cdotOH \quad (1) \\
    \cdotOH + PNDA & \rightarrow OH-PNDA \quad (2) \\
    \cdotOH + H_2O_2 & \rightarrow H_2O + HO_2 \quad (3) \\
    \cdotOH + NDMA & \rightarrow Unknown \ products \hspace{1cm} (4) \\
    NDMA + hv & \rightarrow URS \hspace{1cm} (5)
\end{align*}
\]

The competition kinetic model for the NDMA and PNDA in this study is Equation 1 (E1) listed below:

\[
\frac{S_s}{S} = 1 + \frac{k_4[NDMA]_0}{k_2[PNDA]_0}
\]  
(E1)

where $S_s$ represents the loss rate of PNDA in the absence of NDMA, and $S$ represents the loss rate of PNDA in the presence of NDMA; $[PNDA]_0$ and $[NDMA]_0$ in E1 are the initial concentrations. Control experiments consisted of the same mixture and irradiation conditions without the H$_2$O$_2$. Since $k_i$ is well-known (reaction 2), the plot of the $S_s/S$ vs. $[NDMA]_0/[PNDA]_0$ should be a straight line with an intercept of 1 and a slope of $k_4/k_2$.

### 2.4. Analyses

NDMA was analyzed by high performance liquid chromatography (2690 Model and PDA 996; Waters) with a µBondapak C18 (3.9 × 300 mm; Waters) employing UV detection at 228 nm. The eluent consisted of water:phosphoric acid = 99:1 (volume %) with a flow rate of 0.8 mL/min [19]. The detection limits of this method was approximately 0.1 µM, based on the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) = 3.

All absorbance measurements of PNDA were adjusted with borate buffer solution because the maximum extinction coefficient, 32,282/M/cm, of PNDA at 446 nm was observed at pH 8.75 [26]. The concentration of PNDA was determined in a continuous flow by using a UV/Vis spectrophotometer (730D; Young Lin).

To identify the formation possibility of URS and/or ·OH driven from NDMA photodecomposition with H$_2$O$_2$, OHBAs as main products of BA trapped ·OH were determined with a fluorescence detector (474 model; Waters) at 400 nm (emission), which was excited by 320 nm (excitation) [23]. A 0.5 N NaOH solution (pH ≥ 11) was used to enhance fluorescence intensity, as shown in Fig. 1.

The dissolved oxygen (DO) was measured with a DO meter (SensorLink PCM800; Thermo Scientific Orion, Waltham, MA, USA).

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1. NDMA Photodecomposition by Reactive Species in the Presence of H$_2$O$_2$

To account for the dependences of reactive species (or URS) and the UV photolysis on NDMA decay in the presence of H$_2$O$_2$, a series of experiments were carried out over a range of initial NDMA concentrations (6.25–50 µM) at pH 8.75. In each experiment, the relative importance on H$_2$O$_2$ effect during NDMA photolysis was investigated, based on the initial rate ($r = -d[NDMA]/dt$) of NDMA photo-decay observed at a molar ratio of [H$_2$O$_2$]$_0$/[NDMA]$_0$. To the best of our knowledge, this attempt has not been previously reported in the literature. Here, the maximum initial rate ($r_{max}$) during NDMA photodecay was observed at a molar ratio of [H$_2$O$_2$]$_0$/[NDMA]$_0$ = 80–100, as shown in Fig. 2. In addition, the concentration of H$_2$O$_2$ was varied in a wide range depending on the molar ratio of [H$_2$O$_2$]$_0$/[NDMA]$_0$ based on a given NDMA concentration. Thus, the normalized initial rate ratio ($r/r_{max}$) of NDMA decay on the effect of H$_2$O$_2$ can be determined.

Fig. 2 shows $r/r_{max}$ as a function of [H$_2$O$_2$]$_0$/[NDMA]$_0$ in a given NDMA concentration at pH 8.75. As shown in Fig. 2, $r/r_{max}$ was gradually increased with increasing molar ratio of [H$_2$O$_2$]$_0$/[NDMA]$_0$, and then it was constant at the molar ratio of [H$_2$O$_2$]$_0$/[NDMA]$_0$ = 80–100. In particular, the ratio of $r/r_{max}$ without H$_2$O$_2$ at a given NDMA concentration was 0.65, and its ratio at a molar ratio of [H$_2$O$_2$]$_0$/[NDMA]$_0$ = 80–100 was approximately 1, as shown in Fig. 2. This result suggests that the ratio of $r/r_{max}$...
were varied in a wide range from 0 to 40 μM depending on the molar ratio of [NDMA]/[PNDA].

Fig. 4 presents S_o/S as a function of [NDMA]/[PNDA]. As shown in Fig. 4, S_o/S was slightly increased into a molar ratio = 1 of [NDMA]/[PNDA] and thereafter, these values were rapidly decreased with an increasing ratio of [NDMA]/[PNDA]. At the molar ratio = 20 of [NDMA]/[PNDA], S_o/S was 0.62. This result shows that the S of URS generated in the presence of NDMA is much higher with approximately 1.61 times than S_o in the absence of NDMA. In other words, the decomposition rate of PNDA was dependent on the initial concentration of NDMA, which is independent of the initial NDMA concentration, but this ratio at above 0.65 is dependent on the initial H_2O_2 concentration added. In other words, as described in Fig. 3 approximately 65% of NDMA decay was accomplished by the direct photodecomposition of NDMA by UV light, whereas about 35% referred to the effect of reactive species (i.e., -OH) and/or URS generated through the photolysis of H_2O_2 (reactions 1 and 5) and a subsequent unknown reaction(s). This result seems to be similar to that observed by Sharpless and Linden [10]. According to their study, the addition of 2.94 mM H_2O_2 in the photo-degradation of NDMA lead to approximately a 30% increase in performance by the low pressure Hg lamp, compared with treatment using UV alone in the absence of H_2O_2. Even though the initial rate of NDMA photo-decay was mostly explained by UV photolysis, the addition of H_2O_2 considerably enhanced direct photolysis of NDMA. This result can be explained by considering the photochemically generated -OH and/or URS. Thus, the effect of H_2O_2 can be quantitatively explained by the reactive species including URS.

3.2. Competition Reaction between Probes and NDMA for URS

In this study, a competition reaction was used to identify the reactivity of an URS or reactive species. Reactive species including URS can be produced through NDMA photo-decay in the presence of H_2O_2, in which a hydroxyl radical (reaction 1) and URS (reaction 5) are able to competitively react with PNDA and NDMA [19]. Hence, the competition reaction between NDMA and PNDA as a well-known representative probe for -OH on an URS was investigated in this study. In this experiment, the concentrations of H_2O_2 and PNDA were always constant with 10 and 2 μM, respectively, at pH 8.75. As a result, NDMA concentrations were varied in a wide range from 0 to 40 μM depending on the molar ratio of [NDMA]/[PNDA].

Fig. 4 presents S_o/S as a function of [NDMA]/[PNDA]. As shown in Fig. 4, S_o/S was slightly increased into a molar ratio = 1 of [NDMA]/[PNDA] and thereafter, these values were rapidly decreased with an increasing ratio of [NDMA]/[PNDA]. At the molar ratio = 20 of [NDMA]/[PNDA], S_o/S was 0.62. This result shows that the S of URS generated in the presence of NDMA is much higher with approximately 1.61 times than S_o in the absence of NDMA. In other words, the decomposition rate of PNDA was dependent on the initial concentration of NDMA, which


Experimental conditions: pH, 8.75; [H2O2]0 = 10 mM; and wavelength, 254 nm. Note that the signal intensity of OHBAs (arbitrary unit), which means the fluorescence signal intensity produced from URS during the photodecomposition of NDMA, subtracts the fluorescence signal intensity of H2O2 alone from the total fluorescence signal intensity in the presence of NDMA and H2O2. NDMA: N-nitrosodimethylamine, OHBA: hydroxybenzoic acid, BA: benzoic acid, URS: unknown reactive species.
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Fig. 5. Fluorescence signal intensity of OHBAs from BA depending on initial NDMA concentration added in the photolysis of NDMA. Experimental conditions: pH, 8.75; [BA]0 = 1 mM; and wavelength, 254 nm. Note that the signal intensity of OHBAs (arbitrary unit), which means the fluorescence signal intensity produced from URS during the photolysis of NDMA, subtracts the fluorescence signal intensity of H2O2 alone from the total fluorescence signal intensity in the presence of NDMA and H2O2. NDMA: N-nitrosodimethylamine, OHBA: hydroxybenzoic acid, BA: benzoic acid, URS: unknown reactive species.
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Fig. 6. N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) photodecomposition depending on methanol added in the photolysis of NDMA. Experimental conditions: pH, 8.75; [H2O2]0 = 10 μM; and wavelength, 254 nm.

means a marked increasing PNDA decay rate with increasing initial concentrations of NDMA. This result was unexpected in the competition kinetics under the same condition with 10 μM H2O2, even though NDMA was strongly photo-labile.

In the general competition kinetics, S in the presence of NDMA should be smaller than S0 in the absence of NDMA, and thus S0/S vs. [NDMA]0/[PNDA]0 generally produces a linear slope of the rate constant ratio (k0/k1) in E1 [27, 28], as is depicted by the bold dashed line shown in Fig. 4. In our experiment, owing to H2O2 concentration fixed with 10 μM, the concentration of -OH generated from reaction 1 could be the same in all cases. Furthermore, PNDA did not react with HO2/- and the nitric oxide radical (NO•) generated from NDMA photo-decay, and UV photolysis of PNDA was not observed [21, 26]. Thus, further enhanced decay of PNDA could stem from URS having an -OH-like reactivity during NDMA photo-decay in the presence of H2O2.

To further examine a URS having -OH-like reactivity during the photolysis of NDMA, a series of experiments were performed in the presence of 1 mM BA as a -OH probe and in a range of initial H2O2 concentrations (10–1,000 μM). BA is a well-known -OH probe (k = 4.3 × 106 M−1 s−1) that is able to scavenge -OH, producing OHBAs [23]. In this experiment, the signal intensity of OHBAs (arbitrary unit) subtracted the fluorescent signal intensity of H2O2 alone from the total fluorescence signal intensity in the presence of NDMA and H2O2.

Fig. 5 demonstrates the formation of OHBAs in the photodecay of NDMA. As shown in Fig. 5, OHBAs produced through reactions between -OH and BA were linearly increased with increasing initial concentration of NDMA at a given concentration of H2O2. This indicates that URS having -OH-like reactivity and/or additional -OH could be produced by an unknown pathway during the photochemical decay of NDMA, influencing intermediates or other products. Thus, a URS having -OH-like reactivity can influence the photolysis of NDMA.

To further examine URS formed during the photolysis of NDMA, methanol was used as an alternative probe for -OH, which is able to scavenge -OH [29-31]. A series of experiments were performed in the presence of H2O2, and at pH 8.75. At this time, the concentration of methanol added was varied in excess ranging from 0 to 50 mM, compared to the concentration of NDMA.

As shown in Fig. 6, an excess of methanol (50 mM) did not interfere with the photo-decay of NDMA, but, rather, methanol of 30 mM or less improved the photo-degradation of NDMA slightly. Even though -OH would be produced in this experiment, most of -OH is able to be scavenged by an excess of methanol, producing a hydroperoxyl radical (HO2-) and HCHO as final products (reactions 6–8) [29]. This result suggests that an URS formed during the photodecomposition of NDMA reacts with NDMA. As a result, the slightly enhanced decomposition of NDMA could result from a URS formed by the photolytic behaviors of NDMA in the presence of methanol.

CH3OH + ·OH → CH2OH• + H2O (6)
CH3OH + O2 → OOCCH3OH (7)
OOCCH3OH → HO2- + HCHO (8)

3.3. Possible Mechanism of URS formation in the Photodecomposition of NDMA

As shown in Figs. 2–5, a URS generated from the course of the photo-degradation of NDMA in the presence of H2O2 would be a strong reactive species having -OH-like reactivity. To the best of our knowledge, one of the plausible explanations on the URS is additional -OH and/or peroxynitrite (ONOO•), as described in Fig. 3.

Excited NDMA under UV light irradiation releases NO• by photo-elimination from the homolytic cleavage of N-NO bonds (reactions 9–10), and by photo-hydrolysis from the heterolytic cleavage of N-NO bonds (reaction 11) [6, 16, 17]. Here, NDMA is photolyzed to dimethylamine (CH3 = NHCH3) and the aminium radical (CH2 = ‘NHCH3) which have very weak reactivity [6]. In the initial step, NO• and the superoxide anion radical (O2-) in an excess of oxygen (DO = 0.39 mM) could undergo a rapid combination reaction to yield the powerful oxidant ONOO• (reaction
12). The generation of NO- and the concomitant formation of O2- were confirmed by Chow [6] and by Lee et al. [16], respectively. Thus, URS as a new reactive species can be related to the formation of ONOO-.

\[
(CH_3)_2N-NO + \text{hv} \rightarrow [(CH_3)_2N-NO]^- \quad (9)
\]

\[
[(CH_3)_2N-NO]^+ \rightarrow CH_2=NHCH_3 + NO^+ \quad (10)
\]

\[
[(CH_3)_2N-NO]^+ + O_2 \rightarrow CH_2=NHCH_3 + NO^+ + O_2^- \quad (11)
\]

NO- + O_2- \rightarrow ONOO (12)

ONOOH ⇌ ONOO + H’ pKa = 6.6 (13)

In the meantime, HO2/O2- formed from reaction 3 and reaction 11 is dependent upon the acid-base equilibrium (reaction 14) [32]. Considering our experimental conditions (pH = 8.75), self disproportionation by reactions 15–16 (k15 = 8.3 × 10^5 M^-1 s^-1; k16 = 9.76 × 10^5 M^-1 s^-1) will not be occurred. Furthermore, reaction 17 at high pH is negligible due to its small rate constant (k17 < 0.3 M^-1 s^-1) [32]. Under our experimental conditions, the disproportionation of HO2/O2- is too slow to compete with reaction 12. Therefore, the steady-state concentrations of NO- and O2- are sufficiently high that ONOO- can be produced by reaction 12 (k12 = 4.3 × 10^6 M^-1 s^-1) [33].

\[
\text{HO}_2^- \leftrightarrow \text{O}_2^- + \text{H}’ p\text{Ka} = 4.8 \quad (14)
\]

\[
\text{HO}_2^- + \text{HO}_2^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2^- \quad (15)
\]

\[
\text{O}_2^- + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O}_2 + 2\text{OH}^- \quad (17)
\]

Recent studies have revealed that the decomposition of ONOO- can generate NO2- and OH with a 30% yield as well as NO3- with a 70% yield [34], even though the reactivity of ONOO- and its decay pathways have been in dispute [34–39]. In addition, according to Koppenol et al. [36], ONOO- can be a strongly oxidizing compound by itself. Hence, the reactivity of ONOO- is closely intertwined with that of OH, and appears to have significant rivalry to that of OH.

As shown in Figs. 3–5, at the alkaline pH solution, both loss of PANDA and formation of OHBAs providing experimental evidences in support of URS and/or OH formation were dependent on NDMA concentrations during the photolysis with the constant concentration of a given H2O2. In particular, as shown in Fig. 6, an excess of methanol (≤30 mM) improved the photodecomposition of NDMA slightly. These results suggest that a highly reactive oxidizing species can be ONOO- by itself or OH. Therefore, our data suggest that, presumably, a highly oxidizing URS is ONOO- as a potent oxidant by itself as well as a source of OH.

4. Conclusions

Careful work in this study led to the discovery that a URS generated from NDMA photolysis with a constant concentration of H2O2 added was enhanced with increasing NDMA. The detailed study was performed with competition kinetics and probes for URS. Both loss of PANDA and formation of OHBAs were dependent on concentrations of NDMA during the photolysis of NDMA with 10 μM H2O2 added. In particular, the competition kinetic method demonstrated that the relative reactivity of a URS was identical with OH-like reactivity. These results suggest that a highly oxidizing URS is ONOO- as a potent oxidant by itself as well as a source of OH.
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