Antioxidant Activity of NADH and Its Analogue - An In Vitro Study
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The antioxidant activities of NADH and of its analogue, 1,4-dihydro-2,6-dimethyl-3,5-dicarbethoxy-pyridine (PyH₂), were evaluated in vitro. NADH was found to be oxidized by the peroxyl radical derived from 2,2’-azobis-(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride (AAPH) decomposition, in a pH-dependent manner. Both NADH and PyH₂ inhibited the peroxidation of egg yolk lecithin (EYL) liposomes, although PyH₂ was more effective than NADH when 2,2’-azobis-4-methoxy-2,4-dimethyl-valeronitrile (methoxy-AMVN) was employed to induce EYL liposome peroxidation. The antioxidant activities of NADH and PyH₂ were also evaluated by measuring their influences on 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF) fluorescence decay in the presence of peroxyl radicals. NADH and PyH₂ were much more effective at inhibiting DPBF quenching in Triton X-100 micelles than in liposomes. These results indicate that NADH can inhibit lipid peroxidation despite being hydrophilic. Nevertheless, membrane penetration is an important factor and limits its antioxidant activity.
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Introduction

Nicotinamide nucleotides are involved in many enzymatic reactions and may act as hydrogen donors in their reduced forms, or as hydrogen acceptors in their oxidized forms (You, 1985). In addition, other studies have shown that these coenzymes can react directly with free radicals (Chan and Bielski, 1974; Bodaness and Chan, 1977; Chan and Bielski, 1980; Carlson et al., 1984; Formi and Willson, 1986a, 1986b). Years ago it was observed that NADH reacts only slowly with superoxide radical-ions and that this reaction proceeds faster when NADH is bound to lactate dehydrogenase (Chan and Bielski, 1974) or to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Chan and Bielski, 1980). It has also been demonstrated that NADH efficiently reacts with several radicals via hydrogen transfer. For example, the rate constant of the reaction between the promethazine radical cation and NADH is $3.2 \times 10^7 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$, and that those of reactions between radicals such as the promazine radical cation or benzoquinone fall in the same range (Carlson et al., 1984). It has also been reported that thyl radicals react directly with NADH with rate constants of $5.8 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ and $2.3 \times 10^8 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ for cysteine and glutathion, respectively (Formi and Willson, 1986a, 1986b). The oxidation of NADPH by singlet oxygen has also been described (Bodaness and Chan, 1977). All of these examples are based on in vitro experiments because of the complexity of the systems in which these coenzymes function pose difficulties with respect to demonstrating whether they react with free radicals in vivo.

It is intriguing that NADH and NADPH concentrations in living cells are relatively high. For example, in hepatocytes mitochondrial and cytoplasmic NADH concentrations have been calculated to be 0.64 mM and 0.27 mM, respectively (Tischler et al., 1977). Furthermore, these can be easily modulated by changes in metabolic rate. For example, a three-fold increase in the cytosolic NADH content was reported in skeletal muscle after intensive exercise (Schantz, 1986). Moreover, a significant increase in hepatocyte NADH content was observed after ethanol treatment (Lieber, 1997).

The high reactivity of NADH with some free radicals and its high intracellular concentrations led us to ponder the question as whether NADH should be considered an antioxidant in biological systems. In order to obtain an answer to this question, the influences of NADH and of its analogue, PyH₂, on lipid peroxidation were investigated.

Abbreviations: NADH, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide reduced form; EYL, egg yolk lecithin; SOD, superoxide dismutase; PyH₂, 1,4-dihydro-2,6-dimethyl-3,5-dicarbethoxy-pyridine; methoxy-AMVN, 2,2'-azobis-(4-methoxy-2,4-dimethyl-valeronitrile); AAPH, 2,2'-azobis-(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride; DPBF, 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran; TEMPOL, 4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl.
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Materials and Methods

NADH oxidation by peroxyl radicals  NADH oxidation was measured by determining its reduced absorbancy at 340 nm, with a CECIL CE 9200 spectrophotometer. The peroxyl radicals were generated by decomposing 30 mM of 2,2'-azobis-(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride (AAPH) at 37°C, as previously described (Niki, 1990). Experiments were carried out at pH 7.4 and 6.3 in 50 mM phosphate buffer (2 ml final volume) in the presence or absence of 1 mM 4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-1-oxyl (TEMPOL).

Lipid peroxidation of egg yolk lecithin (EYL) liposomes  EYL liposomes were prepared according to the method of Batzri and Korn (1973). Five mg of EYL liposomes were oxidized in a final volume of 2 ml, at pH 7.4, in two different free radical generating systems. The first system involved the reaction between cytochrome c (4.2 µM) and 3.6 mM of tert-butyl hydroperoxide (BuOOH), whereas the second involved the use of the products of 1 mM 2,2'-azobis-(4-methoxy-2,4-dimethyl-valeronitrile) (methoxy-AMVN) thermal decomposition at 37°C. The consumption of oxygen was measured using a Gilson Oxigraph equipped with a Clark electrode, and the rate of oxygen consumption was used as an indicator of lipid peroxidation.

Measurement of DPBF fluorescence quenched by free radicals  EYL liposomes or Triton micelles were treated for 10 min, with 10 ml or 2 µl of 2 mM 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF) ethanol solution, respectively, and emission at 455 nm was recorded at an excitation wavelength of 410 nm (Wozniak et al., 1991; Wozniak et al., 1993; Tanfani et al., 1994) using a Perkin Elmer spectrofluorometer LS5. DPBF fluorescence quenching was obtained using 6 mM AAPH. The same procedure was performed in the presence of NADH or of its analogue, PyH₂.

All results are the averages of at least five measurements, and values are expressed as means ± SD.

Materials  2,2'-azobis-(2-amidinopropane) dihydrochloride (AAPH), tert-butyl hydroperoxide and 1,3-diphenylisobenzofuran (DPBF) were from Aldrich Chemical Co. (Milwaukee, USA), 5,5'-Dithiobis(2-nitrobenzoic acid (DTNB), and 2,2'-azobis-4-methoxy-2,4-dimethyl-valeronitrile (methoxy-AMVN) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (St. Louis, USA) and were of the purest grade available.

Results and Discussion

NADH oxidation by peroxyls at different pHs  Peroxyl radicals are intermediates in lipid (Braut et al., 1985) and protein (Gieseg et al., 2000) peroxidation. Although much evidence indicates that NADH can react with several types of free radicals (Chan and Bielski, 1974; Bodaness and Chan, 1977; Chan and Bielski, 1980; Carlson et al., 1984; Forni and Wilson, 1986a, 1986b), no data is available concerning NADH reactivity towards peroxyl radicals. In this experimental model, we used peroxyl radicals generated from AAPH. Our results show that a peroxyl radical generated by AAPH is able to promote hydrogen transfer from NADH, and that this leads to the formation of hydroperoxide and a NAD radical, which may then react with dioxygen to form a superoxide radical anion (O₂⁻) (Chan and Bielski, 1974). Experiments were performed at pH 7.4 because of its physiologic relevance or at 6.3, which is found in skeletal muscle after intensive exercise (Sahlin et al., 1976). We found that the reaction between NADH and peroxyl radicals proceeds faster at a lower pH (Fig. 1). As reported previously, AAPH decomposition mainly depends on temperature and only to a minor extent on pH (Niki, 1990). We observed ca. 12% higher NADH oxidation at pH 6.3 vs. pH 7.4. When oxygen consumption by AAPH solution at 37°C was measured, which directly what reflects AAPH decomposition, 5% lower oxygen consumption was observed at pH 6.3 vs. pH 7.4, indicating that the reaction between NADH and peroxyl radical is accelerated at lower pHs. In addition, the rate of NADH oxidation by AAPH increased with AAPH concentration, in a NADH concentration in dependent manner (data not shown). As reported previously, AAPH decomposes into a carbon centered radical and then into a peroxyl radical, at a constant rate during the first few hours of incubation at 37°C (Niki, 1990). Thus, we assumed that the oxidation of NADH was carried out by a constant quantity of peroxyl radical and that thus the NADH concentration had no influence on the oxidation process.

TEMPOL (Fig. 1), but not SOD (data not shown), reduced the rate of NADH oxidation mediated by AAPH. TEMPOL reacts with superoxides or with carbon-centered radicals (Samuni et al., 1990) but does not react with peroxyl and alkoxyl radicals (Chateauanuef et al., 1988). The lack of effect by SOD indicates that O₂⁻ and its protonated form, the hydroperoxyl radical, play no role in NADH oxidation. However, the inhibitory effect of TEMPOL implies that the carbon center radical is also involved in the oxidation of NADH. The data obtained shows that NADH oxidation can proceed by either peroxyl or carbon centered radicals generated from AAPH. The stimulating effect of pH 6.3 on NADH oxidation almost disappeared in the presence of TEMPOL (Fig. 1). This can be explained firstly by the fact that TEMPOL antioxidant activity is higher at lower pH, and secondly because NADH oxidation is pH dependent only for specific radicals species. We believe that the first postulated mechanism is the most probably as NADH is a pH sensitive compound and easily decomposes in acids.

The lag phase observed during NADH oxidation can be completely eliminated if AAPH is incubated for at least 20 min at 37°C before NADH is added (data not shown), under these circumstances NADH oxidation proceeds at a constant rate (Fig. 1). This result indicates that some time is necessary for the radicals in AAPH solution to reach a constant concentration.

Protective effect of NADH and PyH₂ on lipid peroxidation  Since NADH reacts with two types of free radicals (the
peroxyl radical and the carbon center radical), which are both responsible for cell lipid peroxidation (Brault et al., 1985), NADH could be used as an agent to protect against such oxidation. The effect of NADH or of PyH2 its analogue on the peroxidation of membrane lipids was investigated using EYL liposomes. Experiments were performed using two different peroxyl-generating systems.

The peroxidation of EYL liposomes was studied using peroxyls generated from methoxy-AMVN. Moreover, this study revealed the antioxidant activity of NADH (Table 1), an effect reinforced by the absence of an effect in the NAD+ control. The same protective effect of NADH was observed when EYL liposomes were oxidized by tert-butyl peroxyls, as described above (see Fig. 3). This can in part be due to consumption of oxygen by the NAD radical. The interaction between NADH and peroxyl radical, both in solution and in the membrane, reduces lipid peroxidation and at the same time produces superoxide anion radical. By employing the same experimental conditions, the antioxidant activity of PyH2 was tested. The reaction rate constant between free radicals of this compound and the free radicals generated by PyH2 is similar to that of NADH (Huyser et al., 1972; You, 1985). The data presented in Fig. 3 indicate a linear relationship between PyH2 inhibition and its concentration. Our data indicate that the hydrophobicity of PyH2 make it a better inhibitor of lipid peroxidation. Presumably, this is due to limited NADH penetration into the lipid bilayer.

**Effect of NADH and PyH2 on AAPH mediated DPBF quenching in EYL-liposomes and triton micelles** In order to assess whether NADH penetration into the lipid membrane limits its antioxidant activity, the oxidation of DPBF and the effects of NADH and of its analogue on this process were investigated. DPBF is a fluorescent probe, which locates preferentially in the hydrophobic domains of membranes, and after oxidation by singlet oxygen, a peroxyl or an alkoxyl radical DPBF looses its fluorescence (Wozniak et al., 1991;
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Wozniak et al., 1993; Tanfani et al., 1994). We observed that DPBF fluorescence was quenched by peroxyls generated from AAPH, and that this process was more effective in Triton micelles than in EYL liposomes (350 ± 23 vs. 90 ± 15 fluorescence units per min) (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5). These data are in agreement with previous observations, which showed that the physical state of a membrane influences DPBF oxidation by ROS. Increased membrane fluidity promotes reaction between DPBF and radicals (Wozniak et al., 1991). NADH was found to prevent DPBF oxidation more effectively in Triton micelles than in liposomes (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5). Moreover, in the case of PyH₂, we observed a slightly better protective effect on DPBF quenching in Triton micelles than in liposomes (Fig. 6 and Fig. 7).

When we compared the times needed to reduce DPBF fluorescence by 50%, we found it took less than one minute in Triton micelles and 2.13 min in liposomes (Table 2). The effects of 10 μM NADH were stronger than those of PyH₂ in liposomes and Triton micelles when AAPH was used as a radical source. Moreover, PyH₂ became more effective when hydrophobic metoxy-AMVN or tert-butyl hydroperoxide with cytochrome c were used as radical sources (Table 2).

A stronger antioxidant activity of NADH, as compared to PyH₂ (Fig. 4, Fig. 6 and Table 2), was observed when the hydrophilic azo-initiator (AAPH) was applied in Triton micelles. This was attributed to the ability of NADH to react directly with peroxyl radicals in the aqueous phase, whereas
PyH₂ reacts only in the hydrophobic membrane. Moreover, this result shows that NADH activity is related to its ability to protect DPBF from oxidation in membranes. On the other hand, when a hydrophobic azo compound (methoxy-AMVN) was used, PyH₂ protected DPBF in liposomes against oxidation more effectively than NADH (Fig. 8). In this case, PyH₂ was probably more effective because it better penetrates the membrane, the only place where methoxy-AMVN generates peroxyl radicals (Noguchi et al., 1998). Our results indicate that the penetration of NADH into the hydrophobic domain of liposomes, where DPBF is located, is limited. Thus, its antioxidant activity is more effective the micelles. Several results show that the reactivities of hydrophobic compounds located in biological membranes with compounds located either outside or inside the membranes depends on the physical state of the membrane and the localization of the compound concerned. For example, Takahashi, et al. (1989) reported that the antioxidant activity of the nitroxide radical attached to a fatty acid, reduces with membrane penetration depth. The different effects of NADH and PyH₂ could be partially explained from the chemistry of PyH₂. When it loses hydrogen, a newly formed PyH radical does not react with dioxygen like the NAD radical, but it rapidly loses another hydrogen, to form an aromatic pyridine (2,6-dimethyl-3,5-dicarbethoxypyridine) (Huyser et al., 1972). Thus, the antioxidant action of PyH₂ does not lead to a rise in superoxide and related radicals. Because DPBF does not react with superoxide, its oxidation should not be influenced by the \( \text{O}_2^- \) formed during NADH oxidation. This confirms the supposition that observed differences in the antioxidant activities of NADH and its analogue are mainly due to their distinct hydrophobicities.

It was previously reported that 200 \( \mu \)M NADH is able to inhibit lipid peroxidation in submitochondrial particles, although at lower concentrations it stimulates this process (Gillin et al., 1991). Moreover, Cavallini et al. (1983) observed that NADH and NADPH inhibit the lipid peroxidations induced by cumene hydroperoxide, linolenic acid hydroperoxide or peroxidized phosphatidylcholine in rat liver microsomes. They concluded that this inhibition was not due to a direct antioxidant effect of NADH and NADPH. It has been also shown that a reconstituted mixed-function oxidase from liver microsomes can catalyze the NADPH dependent reduction of 13-hydroperoxyl-9,11 octadecadienoic acid (Lindstrom and Aust, 1984). Moreover, it was suggested recently that antioxidant function of NAD(P)H in living cells is partially related to its direct reaction with various radical species (Kirsch and De Groot, 2001).

NAD(P)H is involved in many enzymatic reactions in living cells. Thus, it is difficult to investigate its antioxidant properties \textit{in vivo}. In order to avoid any superfluous enzymatic reactions in which NADH could participate, we employed EYL liposomes and Triton micelles \textit{in vitro} to investigate whether NADH is able to protect lipids against oxidation.

In summary, the data obtained support the hypothesis that nicotinamide nucleotides act as direct antioxidants, even in non-enzymatic reactions. However, the effectiveness of such action depends on hydrophobicity of the reaction environment. Here, we postulate that changes in the NADH / NAD⁺ ratio can significantly influence the antioxidant potential directed against peroxyl radical cytotoxicity in living cells.
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**Table 2.** The effect of NADH and PyH₂ on DPBF fluorescence bleaching

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>10 ( \mu )M NADH</th>
<th>10 ( \mu )M PyH₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triton + AAPH</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.12</td>
<td>4.37 ± 0.15</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liposomes + AAPH</td>
<td>2.13 ± 0.29</td>
<td>3.70 ± 0.50</td>
<td>2.35 ± 0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liposomes + Bu'OOH + cyt. c</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liposomes + methoxy-AMVN</td>
<td>2.38 ± 0.13</td>
<td>3.05 ± 0.07</td>
<td>3.45 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DPBF was incorporated into egg yolk lecithin liposomes or 0.5% Triton X-100 micelles. The medium consisted of 50 mM phosphate buffer and 5 mM EDTA at pH 7.4 and 10 \( \mu \)M NADH or 10 \( \mu \)M PyH₂. AAPH and methoxy-AMVN were at concentrations of 6 mM, cytochrome c at 4.2 \( \mu \)M, and Bu'OOH at 3.6 mM. The data show the time (min) necessary to reduce DPBF fluorescence by 50%.
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