Identification of Proteins Responsible for the Development of Adriamycin Resistance in Human Gastric Cancer Cells Using Comparative Proteomics Analysis
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Resistance to anticancer drugs is a major obstacle in the effective treatment of tumors. To understand the mechanisms responsible for multidrug resistance (MDR), a proteomic approach was used to identify proteins that were expressed in different levels by the adriamycin-resistant human gastric cancer cell line, SGC7901/ADR, and its parental cell line, SGC7901. Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis (2-DE) and image analysis was used to determine which protein spots were expressed in different levels by the two cell lines. These spots were then partially identified using ESI-Q-TOF mass spectrometry, and the differential expression levels of the partially identified proteins were then determined by western blot analysis and real-time RT-PCR. Additionally, the association of Nucleophosmin (NPM1), a protein that was highly expressed by SGC7901/ADR, with MDR was analyzed using siRNA. As a result of this study, well-resolved, reproducible 2-DE patterns of SGC7901/ADR and SGC7901 were established, and 16 proteins that may play a role in the development of thermoresistance were identified. Additionally, suppression of NPM1 expression was found to enhance adriamycin chemosensitivity in SGC7901/ADR. These results provide a fundamental basis for the elucidation of the molecular mechanism of MDR, which may assist in the treatment of gastric cancer.
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Introduction

Multidrug resistance (MDR) is a phenomenon in which cross-resistance of tumor cells to several structurally unrelated chemotherapeutic agents develops after exposure to a single cytotoxic drug. Tumor cells that exhibit MDR show decreased cellular sensitivity to a broad spectrum of drugs, therefore MDR is often the reason chemotherapy of malignant tumors, including those associated with gastric cancer, fails. The multidrug-resistant gastric cancer cell line, SGC7901/ADR, was derived from the human gastric cancer cell line, SGC7901, by stepwise selection in vitro using adriamycin (ADR) as an inducing reagent. SGC7901/ADR is a useful model for elucidation of the mechanism underlying MDR in gastric cancer, and can also be used to study cross-resistance to other anticancer drugs, including cisplatin, etoposide, mitomycin C, and 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) (An et al., 1997; Zhao et al., 2002). Such multidrug resistance (MDR) is believed to be partially due to overexpression of P-glycoprotein, which functions as a transmembrane efflux pump that uses two ATP molecules to transport one drug molecule. However, treatment of SGC7901/ADR with verapamil, a potent inhibitor of P-glycoprotein, did not restore full sensitivity of these cells to adriamycin, which indicates that other mechanisms might be involved in the development of resistance to the drug (Moscow et al., 1998; Kramer et al., 1998). Indeed, several mechanisms have been found to contribute to MDR, including reduction of the intracellular accumulation of anti-cancer drugs as a result of increased drug efflux or decreased drug uptake (Lee et al., 2004; Yeh et al., 2004), alterations in drug targets (Stein et al., 2003), and activation of detoxifying systems (Depeille et al., 2005). In addition, increased repair of drug-induced DNA damage, blocked apoptosis, disruptions in signaling pathways, and alterations of factors involved in cell cycle control can also lead to multidrug resistance (Lelong-Rebel et al., 2004; Hong et al., 2005). A greater understanding of the molecular basis of gastric cancer chemoresistance is likely to provide
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more effective treatment for patients, therefore, in this study, a proteomics approach was used to examine the overall protein expression pattern of the SGC7901/ADR cell line. The results of this study are expected to reveal new proteins related to MDR, which may lead to a better characterization of the SGC7901/ADR cell line, and therefore a better understanding of its Adriamycin resistant phenotype.

Materials and Methods

Reagents. IPG DryStrips (pH 3-10, 24 cm), IPG buffer (pH 3-10), Pharmalyte (pH 3-10), PVDF membranes, goat anti-mouse, goat anti-rabbit IgG-conjugated with horseradish peroxidase, and the enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) system were purchased from Amersham Biosciences, Stockholm, Sweden. Monoclonal antibodies against Annexin1 (ANX1), Nucleophosmin (NPM1), Superoxide dismutase [Mn] (SOD2) and α-Tubulin were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology.

Cell lines. The Adriamycin-resistant human gastric carcinoma cell line SGC7901/ADR and its parental cell line, SGC7901, were provided as a gift from Dr. DM Fan (The Fourth Military Medical University). To maintain the biologic characteristics of MDR, SGC7901/ADR was cultured in RPMI1640 medium containing 10% fetal calf serum (Gibco BRL) and 0.8 mg/l Adriamycin (Sigma-Aldrich).

Two-Dimensional Electrophoresis (2-DE). SGC7901/ADR and SGC7901 were lysed in lysis buffer (7 M urea, 2 M thiourea, 100 mM DTT, 4% CHAPS, 40 mM Tris, 2% Pharmalyte, 1 mg/ml DNase I), and then centrifuged at 15,000 rpm for 30 min at 4°C. The supernatant was then collected and the concentration of total proteins was determined using a 2D Quantitation kit (Amersham Biosciences). Next, isoelectric focusing was carried out on an IPGphor system (Amersham Biosciences) using IPG strips (pH 3-10, 240 mm/3 mm/0.5 mm). Second-dimension sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) was then performed on an Etten DALT II system (Amersham Biosciences), which was followed by Blue Silver staining to visualize the protein spots in the 2-DE gels (Cancedo et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2006).

Image analysis. The stained 2-DE gels were scanned using the MagicScan software on an ImageScanner (Amersham Biosciences), and then analyzed using the PDQuest system (Bio-Rad laboratories) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. To minimize the contribution of experimental variations, three separate gels were prepared for each cell line. The densities of the spots were determined after normalization based on the total spot volumes on the gel. Protein spots that showed significant changes in densities (paired t-test, p ≤ 0.05) in a consistent direction (increase or decrease) were considered to be different and selected for further identification.

Protein identification. The 20 protein spots that showed differential expression were excised from the preparative gels, washed, destained, and dried, and then incubated in digestion solution (40 mM NH₄HCO₃ in 9% acetonitrile solution, and 20 μg/ml proteomics grade trypsin) for 12 h at 37°C. The samples were then analyzed using ESI-Q-TOF-MS (Micromass, Manchester, UK). An in tandem mass spectrometry data database query in the PKL format file that was generated as a result of the MS/MS analysis was imported into the Mascot search engine (http://www.matrixscience.com), which was then searched using the following parameters: the taxonomy selected was all entries or homo sapiens; the mass tolerance selected was ±0.5 Dalton; the MS/MS tolerance selected was ±0.3 Dalton; the missed cleavage sites allowed were up to 1; the fixed modification selected was carboxymethyl (cysteine); the variable modification selected was oxidation (methylation) or none; the data format selected was Micromass PKL format; and the instrument selected was ESI-Q-TOF.

Western blot analysis. Total proteins were extracted from the cell lysates, and then loaded and separated on 12% SDS-PAGE. The proteins were then transferred to PVDF membranes and the amount of ANX1, NPM1 and SOD2 was then detected using anti-ANX1, anti-NPM1 and anti-SOD2, respectively. The results were then visualized using the ECL detection system. All western blot analyses were performed at least three times.

Real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed on the Roche LightCycler system (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, Germany) using SYBR Green I dye, which binds preferentially to double-stranded DNA. The specific primers used were 5'-tattgcatgtgagc-3' (sense) and 5'-ccaggtcgagaatg-3' (antisense) for PPA1, 5'-ttgaagcaactgaac-3' (sense) and 5'-atatggagcgctagc-3' (antisense) for HSC70, 5'-ttacgaccagcttct-3' (sense) and 5'-ccaaaccacacttct-3' (antisense) for PDX3, and 5'-aactcactacactc-3' (sense) and 5'-catcggcaccagcto-3' (antisense) for GAPDH. The reaction conditions were as follows: denaturation at 95°C for 10 s, followed by 40-45 cycles at 95°C for 5 s, 52°C for 10 s, and 72°C for 10 s with a single fluorescence measurement, after which a melting curve program was employed. Since the melting curve of a product is sequence specific, it can be used to distinguish between non-specific and specific PCR products. To verify the melting curve results, the PCR products were sequenced using a 377 Prism automated DNA sequencer (ABI). The relative quantification of gene expression was analyzed using the 2^(-ΔΔCT) method (Livak et al., 2001). Real-time quantitative RT-PCR analysis was repeated at least three times.

Administration of Nucleophosmin siRNA to cells. Cells were transfected with Nucleophosmin (NPM1) siRNA or control siRNA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) according to the siRNA transfection protocol provided by the manufacturer. Briefly, when the cells were 60-80% confluent, they were transfected with 10 mM of NPM1 siRNA or control siRNA after preincubation for 20 min with siRNA transfection reagent in siRNA transfection medium (Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Four hours after transfection was started, the medium was replaced with RPMI1640 medium containing 10% fetal calf serum, and the cells were then cultured for an additional 44 h. At the end of the transfection they were incubated with different concentrations of Adriamycin for 24 h, after which, the NPM1 expression level was determined by Western blot as described above, and the cell viability of SGC7901/ADR was examined using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay described by Plumb et al. (1989).
Hoechst 33258 staining of apoptotic cells. The nuclear morphology of cells was studied using the cell-permeable DNA dye, Hoechst 33258 (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells with homogeneously stained nuclei were considered viable, whereas the presence of chromatin condensation and/or fragmentation was considered to indicate apoptosis. Hoechst staining was performed using the method described by Perez-Ortiz et al. (2004). Briefly, SGC7901/ADR cells were transfected with 10 nmol/l of NPM1 siRNA or control siRNA. Two days after transfection, the cells were incubated with 0.8 mg/l adriamycin for an additional 48 h, as described above. The cells were then harvested, washed, and fixed with methanol-acetic acid (3:1, v:v) at room temperature for 10 min. Following centrifugation, the cells were spotted onto slides and stained with 25 mg/ml Hoechst 33258 in the dark for 40 min. After placing a drop of mounting medium on the slides, the cells were observed using an Olympus BH-2 fluorescence microscope (Japan).

Results

Protein profiling and identification of differentially expressed proteins. To compare global protein profiles between adriamycin resistant SGC7901/ADR and its counterpart, SGC7901, we first conducted two-dimensional gel electrophoresis analysis of the total lysates prepared from these cell lines. Following Coomassie blue staining (Fig. 1), the gels were subjected to PDQuest imaging analysis. 2-DE maps of SGC7901/ADR and SGC7901 obtained between pH 3 and 10 displayed approximately 1150 spots each. Twenty spots that were differentially expressed in SGC7901/ADR, as compared with SGC7901, were then chosen for further analysis (Fig. 1). The 20 different protein spots were excised from the gels, digested with trypsin, and subsequently analyzed by ESI-Q-ToF-MS in combination with a database search. Mascot searches identified 16 of the spots (Fig. 1 and Table 1, spots 1-4, 7-8, 10-18 and 20) with good peptide coverage and significant scores. Ten of these 16 protein spots were up-regulated by the treatment and 6 were down-regulated by the treatment. A representative MS/MS result of spot 12 is shown in Fig. 2. The amino acid sequence of a doubly charged peptide obtained from spot 12 with a m/z of 1113.7327 was identified as MSVQPIVSLGFEITPPVLR, which is part of the Nucleophosmin (NPM1) sequence. Analysis of the spots also indicated that spot 12 was associated with NPM1. Overall, the differentially expressed proteins in the adriamycin-resistant SGC7901/ADR cells were able to be divided into the following six groups based on their functions using information obtained from the Swiss-Prot and NCBInr websites: transportation, chaperones, calcium binding proteins, proteins involved in drug detoxification, metabolic enzymes, and proteins related to signal transduction.

Validation of differentially expressed proteins. In order to confirm the differential expression levels of the proteins identified using the comparative proteomics approach, the expression levels of the partial proteins in SGC7901/ADR and SGC7901 were measured by Western blot analysis and real-time quantitative RT-PCR. Figure 3A showed a representative Western blot analysis result of ANXI, NPM1 and SOD2 expression in the two cell lines. As seen in Fig. 3B, ANXI, NPM1 and SOD2 were obviously up regulated in SGC7901/ADR, which was identical to the results obtained by 2-DE (Fig. 3B). Figure 4 shows the relative mRNA expression levels of PPa1, HSC70 and PDX3 after normalization with GAPDH mRNA levels, as determined by real-time RT-PCR.

The association of Nucleophosmin with MDR. To study the functional role of Nucleophosmin (NPM1) up regulation in SGC7901/ADR, SGC7901/ADR cells were transfected with NPM1 siRNA, and siRNA-induced inhibition of NPM1 expression was then determined by Western blot analysis. As shown in Fig. 5A, transfection of SGC7901/ADR cells with NPM1 siRNA significantly reduced the NPM1 protein levels, whereas NPM1 protein expression was not significantly
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Fig. 1. Representative 2-DE maps of adriamycin-resistant SGC7901/ADR and SGC7901. The 20 differentially expressed protein spots are marked with arrows.
Table 1. Comparison of ESI-Q-TOF-MS identified proteins in SGC7901/ADR vs. SGC7901

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spot No</th>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Protein name (Gene name)</th>
<th>%Cov</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Mass (Da)</th>
<th>pi</th>
<th>SGC7901/ADR vs. SGC7901 Av.ratio p-value</th>
<th>Function</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Q6GU03</td>
<td>Heat shock 70kDa protein 9B (HSPA9B)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>74093</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>P11021</td>
<td>Heat shock 70 kDa protein 5 (GRP78)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>72402</td>
<td>5.07</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>P11142</td>
<td>Heat shock cognate 71 kDa protein (HSC70)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>71082</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>P30837</td>
<td>Aldehyde dehydrogenase X (ALDH1B1)</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>389</td>
<td>57238</td>
<td>6.41</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>P06576</td>
<td>ATP synthase subunit beta (ATP5B)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>386</td>
<td>56560</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Q15293</td>
<td>Reticuloelastin-1 (RCN1)</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>38866</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>P12277</td>
<td>Creatine kinase B-type (CKB)</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>472</td>
<td>42933</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>P04083</td>
<td>Annexin1 (ANX1)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>38787</td>
<td>6.64</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>P06748</td>
<td>Nucleophosmin (NPM1)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>32611</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>P05388</td>
<td>Ribosomal protein P0 (RPLP0)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>34424</td>
<td>5.42</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Q15181</td>
<td>Inorganic pyrophosphatase (PPA1)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>398</td>
<td>33095</td>
<td>5.54</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>p60174</td>
<td>Triosephosphate isomerase (TPI)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>26669</td>
<td>6.51</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>p32119</td>
<td>Peroxiredoxin-2 (PRDX2)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>415</td>
<td>21892</td>
<td>5.19</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>p30048</td>
<td>Peroxiredoxin-3 (PRDX3)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>27693</td>
<td>7.67</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>p04179</td>
<td>Superoxide dismutase [Mn] (SOD2)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>24722</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>O43169</td>
<td>Superoxide dismutase [Cu-Zn] (SOD1)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>15936</td>
<td>5.87</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Proteins found to be differentially labeled by 2-DE analysis were identified by ESI-Q-TOF-MS. The Swiss-Prot accession number, the % coverage of analyzed peptides, the score from Mascot searches, the Mass and pi from the database, and an average fold-difference between pairs (paired t-test, p<0.05) are shown for each protein.

Suppressed by the control siRNA. We next evaluated the effect of NPM1 siRNA transfection on the adriamycin chemosensitivity of SGC7901/ADR. SGC7901/ADR cells were treated with NPM1 siRNA for 2 days, and then incubated for an additional 24 h with different concentrations of adriamycin. The cell viability of SGC7901/ADR was then examined using a MTT assay. As shown in Fig. 5B, transfection of SGC7901/ADR cells with NPM1 siRNA enhanced adriamycin chemosensitivity, which led to a significant decrease in cell viability. Figure 5C also shows that NPM1 siRNA transfection significantly decreased the cell viability of SGC7901 cells incubated with adriamycin. Finally, induction of apoptosis by NPM1 siRNA was demonstrated by using the cell-permeable DNA dye Hoechst 33258. After being treated for 48 h with 0.8 mg/l adriamycin, SGC7901/ADR cells exhibited apoptosis features consisting of chromatin condensation in cell nuclei (Fig. 5D), which clearly demonstrated that NPM1 siRNA could enhance adriamycin chemosensitivity.

Discussion

In this study, we used a proteomic approach to identify proteins that were differentially expressed in the adriamycin-resistant human gastric cancer cell line, SGC7901/ADR, and its parental cell line SGC7901. We observed 20 protein spots that showed significant differences in expression between the
two cell lines. Sixteen of these protein spots were identified by ESI-Q-TOF mass spectrometry, and 3 proteins were identified by Western blot analysis using commercially available antibodies. We further validated the function of Nucleophosmin in drug resistance, and found that its overexpression contributes considerably to the development of MDR in SGC7901/ADR. These findings illustrate that multiple mechanisms are involved in the development of drug resistance in gastric cancer cells, and that these different mechanisms may all contribute to chemotherapeutic resistance in the treatment of gastric cancer.

Nucleophosmin (NPM1), also known as B23, was originally identified as a nonribosomal nuclear phosphoprotein found at high levels in the granular regions of the nucleolus (Yung et al., 1985). NPM1, which is highly conserved in vertebrates and widely distributed among different species, has a molecular weight of 35 to 40 kDa and pi of 5.1 to 5 (Chang and Olson, 1990). NPM1 is a multifunctional protein that is involved in an array of biological and pathological processes. For example, NPM1 can shuttle between the nucleus and cytoplasm during the cell cycle (Szebeni et al., 1995), and it has been proposed that this shuttling ability is related to its molecular chaperone activities, such as the prevention of protein aggregation and the protection of enzymes during thermal denaturation (Szebeni and Olson, 1998). In light of the potential role of NPM1 as a chaperone, it is conceivable that NPM1 may have an important role in helping cells survive environmental stresses, such as drug attack.

It has also been suggested that NPM1 plays an important role in regulation of the nuclear function for cellular differentiation and apoptosis. NPM1 antisense oligomer treatment not only significantly potentiated retinoic acid
Fig. 3. A representative result showing changes in the expression levels of Annexin1 (ANX1), Nucleophosmin (NPM1) and Superoxide dismutase [Mn] (SOD2) in SGC7901 and SGC7901/ADR by Western blot analysis (A) and 2-DE (B). Western blot analysis was repeated in triplicate. Bars, SE, *, a p ≤ 0.05 indicates a significant difference from the control SGC7901 cell line as determined by a t-test.

(RA)-induced cellular differentiation, but also significantly potentiated sodium butyrate (BuNa)-induced apoptosis of HL-60 leukemia cells (Liu et al., 1998; Hsu et al., 1998). A search for genes involved in ultraviolet (UV) resistance in human cells as a result of mRNA differential display revealed that expression of NPM1 mRNA was induced by UV irradiation in UV-resistant cells, but not in control UV-sensitive cells (Higuchi et al., 1998). Wu et al. (2002) also demonstrated that NPM1 mRNA was increased after UV irradiation in parental HeLa cells. Additionally, transfection of HeLa cells with NPM1 antisense cDNA increased cellular sensitivity to UV-induced cell death (Wu et al., 2002). In this study, NPM1 was found to be overexpressed in SGC7901/ADR, however, when its expression in SGC7901/ADR was suppressed by NPM1 siRNA the cells exhibited increased sensitivity to adriamycin. These results indicated that the overexpression of NPM1 was involved in the MDR phenotype of SGC7901/ADR, possibly by inhibiting adriamycin-induced cell apoptosis. Therefore, NPM1 might be a new target protein for reversing MDR.

One of the major proteins groups that was expressed differentially between SGC7901/ADR and SGC7901 were chaperones, including members of the heat shock proteins family, such as HSC70, HSPA9B and GRP78. Among them, GRP78 is associated with the MDR phenotype of many types of cancer cells. GRP78 can protect cells against apoptotic death by preventing the formation of a functional apoptosome (Reddy et al., 1999), and also complexes with caspase-7 to form an antiapoptotic complex (Reddy et al., 2003). In this study, GRP78 was up-regulated in SGC7901/ADR, which further indicates that differential expression of GRP78 is correlated with MDR in SGC7901/ADR.

Two calcium binding proteins were also differentially expressed in SGC7901/ADR. The annexins are a calcium-binding protein family and their function is not well understood. Although overexpression of annexin 1 in a gastric carcinoma cell line that was resistant to daunorubicin was reported by Sinha et al. (Sinha et al., 1998), annexin 1 has not been correlated with MDR. Reticulocalbin-1 (RCN) is an endoplasmic reticulum-resident calcium-binding protein. Hirano et al. (2005) used 2-DE analysis to show that decreased expression in RCN was associated with the acquisition of CDDP-drug resistance, and that an RCN-transfectant of a lung cancer H69 CDDP-resistant strain showed intermediate sensitivity between the parent strain and the CDDP-resistant strain (Hirano et al., 2005). In this study, an obvious down-regulation of RCN was apparent in SGC7901/ADR, which indicates that decreased expression of RCN is correlated with MDR in cancer cells.

Antioxidants have been closely correlated with the development of MDR. Superoxide dismutase [Mn] (SOD2) and Superoxide dismutase [Cu-Zn] (SOD1) are mitochondrial antioxidant enzymes that dismutate the superoxide free radicals to hydrogen peroxide and molecular oxygen. Numerous studies have shown that SOD can protect against pro-oxidant insults resulting from cytokine treatment, ultraviolet light, irradiation, certain tumors, and ischemia/reperfusion (Macmillan-Crow and Cruthirds, 2001). Our results showed that SOD1 and SOD2 were overexpressed in SGC7901/ADR, which indicated that
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both proteins might be associated with the adriamycin resistant phenotype in SGC7901/ADR. Finally, there were still some proteins, including proteins related to metabolic enzymes and signal transduction that were found to be differentially expressed in SGC7901/ADR and SGC7901, but have not previously been linked to MDR. The correlation between these proteins and MDR in SGC7901/ADR requires further study.

In summary, using a proteomic approach, we identified 16 protein spots that were expressed differentially in SGC7901/ADR and SGC7901. Association of NPM1, one of the up-regulated proteins in SGC7901/ADR, with MDR was verified using NPM1 siRNA. These findings may have important clinical implications. Additionally, future studies of the other identified proteins using similar strategies may yield equally important information regarding their role in drug resistance. All of the proteins in this study, including NPM1, may be developed as new targets for better treatment of cancers using combination chemotherapy.

References


