Selection of Beef Quality Factors Represented by Time–Temperature Integrator (TTI)
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Abstract

Beef qualities which can be properly predicted by time-temperature integrator (TTI), a chromatic indicator, were selected in terms of its similarity of temperature dependence between beef qualities and TTI, denoted by Arrhenius activation energy ($E_a$). The high similarity is required to afford accurate prediction. A devised enzymatic TTI based on laccase (an oxidase), which catalyses the oxidation on 2,2’-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid) producing color development, was applied. The factors of beef quality, such as volatile basic nitrogen (VBN), pH, color (CIE L*, a*), Warner-Bratzler shear force (WBSF), Pseudomonas spp. count, and lactic acid bacteria (LAB) count were considered for the selection. $E_a$ (55.48 kJ/mol) of the TTI was found to be similar to those of the beef qualities (all referred) in the order of LAB count (53.54 kJ/mol), CIE a* value (61.86 kJ/mol), pH (65.51 kJ/mol), Pseudomonas spp. Count (44.54 kJ/mol), VBN (67.98 kJ/mol), WBSF (40.67 kJ/mol), and CIE L* value (33.72 kJ/mol). The beef qualities with more similar $E_a$ to that of the TTI showed less difference between real and TTI predicted levels. In conclusion, it was found out that when applying TTI to food packages, their $E_a$ similarity should be checked to assure accurate estimation of food quality levels from TTI response.
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Introduction

As consumers’ demand and interests on high quality foods increase, beef products also need to improve their qualities and the conditions of processing, distribution and storage for food safety (Byeon et al., 2009). Beef qualities are evaluated by off-line or on-line measurements. There are various off-line measurements such as physical, chemical and biological tests that are usually practiced in the laboratories, but are not available to consumers. Recently, on-line measurement is being focused on intelligent food packaging. An intelligent label on the package represents the inner food qualities by displaying its color change during storage (Han et al., 2011). There are several kinds of intelligent labels available, but the only intelligent label in practical use is time-temperature integrator (TTI).

Time-temperature integrator is an intelligent packaging indicator that can predict the quality of foods in packaging by color change (Han et al., 2011). Thus, color, intensity and clear color response of TTI according to a history of time-temperature are important factors in displaying TTI color change. Currently, there are several kinds of TTIs available including enzymatic, microbiological, diffusion, polymer, or photochemical types. One of the longest used commercial TTIs is enzymatic TTI with the typical use of lipase. This lipase-based TTI shows pH dependent color change due to the enzymatic hydrolysis of a lipid substrate (Agerhem and Nilsson, 1981; Blixt et al., 1977; Bobelyn et al., 2006). Laccase is a highly efficient dye or pigment decolorizing enzyme (Kunamneni et al., 2008; Moshtaghioun et al., 2011) which was used to devise this enzymatic TTI.

Beef quality changes during storage, processing, or distribution have been investigated by many researchers (Han and Lee, 2011; Montgomery et al., 2003; Sallam and Samejima, 2004; Zakrys et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2011). Beef qualities were considered under a number of factors, namely chemical factors (pH, TBARS, VBN,
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oxymyoglobin), physical factors (Warner-Bratzler shear force, water holding capacity), biological factors (total colony number, *Pseudomonas* spp., *Enterobacteriaceae*, *Brochothrix thermosphacta*, lactic acid bacteria), and sensory factors (flavor, appearance, texture, degree of decay, overall preferences). The primary environmental factor influencing beef quality is time-temperature history during storage (Thomas *et al*., 2007). The quality alters with storage temperature, and the accumulated quality changes are determined by storage time. Under dynamic temperature conditions, the rate of the quality loss increases with higher temperature dependence, and vice versa. This indicates that quality with the same temperature dependence is influenced to the same degree when the temperature fluctuates. The temperature dependence is usually denoted by Arrhenius activation energy ($E_a$) (Taoukis, 2001).

Enzymatic TTI is also a system with organic substances in which the color development reaction is influenced by time-temperature history. Therefore, beef quality representing TTI color change should have the same temperature dependence as that of TTI. It was reported that the allowable difference in $E_a$ between TTI and the accompanied food should be within ±25 kJ/mol (Taoukis, 2001).

In this study, $E_a$ for temperature dependence of beef qualities and TTI color changes were analyzed and compared to select beef quality which could be best represented by TTI. The beef qualities and their $E_a$ considered here were all referred from our previous work (Byeon *et al*., 2009). An enzymatic TTI in use was devised based on laccase (an oxidase). The beef quality represented by TTI was selected in terms of temperature dependence similarity.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

A laccase chemical modification was carried out to increase enzyme stability during storage (López-Cruz *et al*., 2006). A mixture of laccase at a given M and 50-fold M of monomethoxy polyethylene glycol was prepared in a solution of borate buffer (pH 10.0). It was kept for 2.5 h at 25°C in water bath. Following this, 50-fold volume of 100 mM sodium acetate buffer with a pH of 5.0 was added.

Laccase (EC 1.10.3.2, Sigma Co., USA) was prepared in a solution of 100 mM sodium acetate buffer with a pH of 5.0. ABTS (substrate for laccase, 2,2’-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid)), glycerol, and bovine serum albumin (enzyme stabilizer).

**Laccase activity assay**

The standard assay conditions were as follows. Light absorption at 430 nm occurs quantitatively as ABTS (2,2’-azino-bis-(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulphonic acid)) is oxidized by the enzyme; therefore, the enzyme activity was determined based on the absorbance at 430 nm at 30°C (Kunamneni *et al*., 2008). The standard assay solution was composed of 0.5 mM ABTS in 100 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0). A total of 3 mL of the reaction mixture was pre-equilibrated at 30°C, after which 20 µL of the enzyme solution was added, and the oxidation of ABTS was measured based on the increase in absorbance at 430 nm ($ε_{430}=36,000$ M⁻¹cm⁻¹). One unit of laccase activity corresponds to the oxidation of 1 µmol ABTS per minute under these described conditions.

**Preparation of laccase based TTI prototype**

The laccase TTI prototype was composed of two parts enzyme and substrate solutions. The enzyme solution contained 0.00417 unit of the modified enzyme in 8% chemically modified laccase solution, 1% of 0.1 mg/mL bovine serum albumin, 100 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.03) and 45% glycerol. The substrate solution included 10 mM ABTS, 100 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.0), and 45% glycerol. The glycerol solution was added to TTI prototype’s stability. The TTI prototype was activated by mixing the enzyme solution of 0.5 mL and the substrate solution of 0.5 mL. TTI responses were expressed in the absorbance value at 430 nm representing TTI color change.

**Determination of the kinetic and Arrhenius parameters of TTI**

The TTI prototypes were kept at 5°C, 15°C, and 25°C in temperature-controlled incubators (HST-103 PID type temperature controlled incubator, Hanbaek Co., Korea) and were only taken out of the incubators for the absorbance measurements using 1 mm quarts-cuvetts cell.

According to the TTI kinetics characterized by Taoukis and Labuza (1989), the color response of TTIs were expressed as follows:

\[ Y = kt \]  

where $Y$ is the color response value in absorbance, $k$ is the reaction rate constant, and $t$ is the reaction time. By plotting a curve of absorbance vs. time, the rate constant was estimated based on the fit of the linear regression.

The activation energy of TTIs was presented by taking natural logarithm on both sides of the Arrhenius function.

\[ \ln k = \ln A - \frac{E_a}{R} \frac{1}{T} \]
using the trendline feature of Microsoft Excel.

To see an agreement of the curves between different temperatures (Fig. 3), the data dispersion was analyzed in terms of coefficient of variation (CV). Before the analysis, the data were converted to coded values (0-1) in order to remove some unfairness from the different units and magnitudes of the quality variables. The maximum (coded 1) and minimum (coded 0) quality values were set up in a particular range of TTI color index, which was determined to be 0.05-0.40, reflecting actual food qualities. Microsoft Excel was used in this analysis.

Results and Discussion

Kinetic and Arrhenius parameters of the laccase based TTI prototype

Laccase could oxidize ABTS in a very simple reaction mixture composed of only sodium acetate, molecular oxygen, and a trace amount of bovine serum albumin generally used for the enzyme stabilizer. The oxidation caused a change in the color of the reaction mixture from colorless to blue, resulting in absorption of light at a wavelength of 430 nm (Solís-Oba et al., 2008).

Eq. (1) was applied to fit the color responses (absorbance at 430 nm) collected from three TTIs during storage at different temperatures. Fig. 1 and Table 2 show that the color responses are linear with high \( R^2 > 0.99 \).

To estimate Arrhenius activation energy \( (E_a) \), ln k values were plotted against 1/T (Fig. 2). The \( E_a \) value of the TTI was found to be 55.48 kJ/mol (Table 2). \( E_a \) of this laccase based TTI prototype was similar to a commercial enzymatic TTI named type C2-15d (Vitsab AB, Sweden) with 50.2 kJ/mol (Bobelyn et al., 2006) and a diffusion based TTI with 33-50 kJ/mol (Poças et al., 2008). Using
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The similarity of \( E_a \) between TTIs and the accompanied foods should be ensured because the principle of the food quality prediction from TTI color changes is based on the temperature dependence. If the temperature dependence of both TTIs and the foods is different, the time-temperature history experienced by TTIs cannot be assumed the same as that of the accompanied foods. An allowable difference in the activation energy between the TTIs and the foods must be within \( \pm 25 \) kJ/mol to predict food quality (Taoukis, 2001). \( E_a \)s were found to vary with the variety of foods. The \( E_a \)s of \( L. \) sakei growth of a microbial TTI and LAB growth of the accompanied minced beef were 111.90 kJ/mol and 106.90 kJ/mol, respectively (Vaikousi et al., 2009). Meanwhile, even the same foods have different \( E_a \)s for their quality factors. Byeon et al. (2009) reported that each of the quality factors for beef such as volatile basic nitrogen (VBN), pH, color (CIE \( L^* \), \( a^* \), \( b^* \)), Warner-Bratzler shear force (WBSF), \( Pseudomonas \) spp. count, and lactic acid bacteria (LAB) count had different \( E_a \)s. Meanwhile, \( b^* \) values had poor \( R^2 (p<0.90) \), and therefore were excluded from the comparisons with TTI (Table 1 and Fig. 3).

Table 2. The kinetic equations at different temperatures and Arrhenius parameters for TTI color (absorbance at 430 nm) changes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Kinetic equations(^1)</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
<th>( E_a ) (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( y=2.00\times10^{-3} \times t )</td>
<td>0.9986</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>( y=4.90\times10^{-3} \times t )</td>
<td>0.9985</td>
<td>55.48</td>
<td>0.9979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>( y=1.00\times10^{-2} \times t )</td>
<td>0.9807</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)y and t represent TTI color and time (h), respectively.

Fig. 2. The logarithmic plot of TTI color response (absorbance at 430 nm) rates (ln \( k \)) vs. inverse of different temperatures (1/T).

Selection of beef quality factors corresponding to TTI color

TTI color should be able to represent the accompanied food qualities. The TTI performance could be evaluated by making a storage test. If the same food quality levels are always obtained at a fixed TTI color response, even for the different time-temperature courses, TTIs are said to have high accuracy. Also, the comparison of TTI and food activation energies could be used to evaluate the performance. The authors had reported the \( E_a \)s for beef quality factors as shown in Table 1. The \( E_a \) of the laccase enzymatic TTI was found to be closer to those of LAB, CIE \( a^* \) value, pH, \( Pseudomonas \) spp., VBN, WBSF, and CIE \( L^* \) value in decreasing order. The TTI color responses and the beef quality levels with respect to the storage times were estimated by the relevant mathematical equations shown in Tables 1 and 2. From those estimates, their relationships were depicted as shown in Fig. 3 in which the data on the graphs were just estimates rather than experimental data. The trends in estimates were more easily observed in the relationship rather than those in discrete data. In general, in the beginning of TTI color changes, the trend curves of food qualities vs. TTI color coincided for the different storage temperatures. However, they diverged with increasing TTI color response. If TTI color response levels were different even at the same food quality levels, which were from the higher divergence, TTI should be an indicator with poor accuracy. This also implies that the higher divergence leads to more difference between real and TTI predicted levels of food qualities.

The divergence was statistically analyzed by coefficients of variation (CV). First, an individual CV was calculated on the three data of food quality from three temperatures at a TTI color response. To see an overall dispersion over the TTI color response, 0.05-0.40 abs; the 36 data sets of food quality were extracted at intervals of 0.01 abs from the curves, and then their CVs were averaged. In Table 3, the divergence the averaged CV between the temperatures was the lowest for pH, followed by LAB count, VBN, \( Pseudomonas \) spp. count, CIE \( a^* \), WBSF, and CIE \( L^* \) value. This indicates that the quality levels of the factors with lower CV would be predicted with higher accuracy for different time-temperature histories. From the similarity of \( E_{a_{id}} \) on the other hand, the compatibilities with TTI color were found to be higher in LAB count, CIE \( a^* \), pH, \( Pseudomonas \) spp. count,
Although the orders in the divergence and in the similarity were not exactly coincident, the trends suggesting which factors could be more meaningfully predicted could be founded.

**Conclusions**

To examine the performance of a prototype TTI based on laccase to predict beef qualities, their temperature dependences were quantitatively analyzed. First, the changes of beef qualities and the TTI color response were kinetically modeled and their relationships were mathematically estimated. After this, the consistencies in the relationships for the different time-temperature histories were examined. The laccase TTI showed consistent relationships with some selected beef quality factors. The only selected qualities would be able to be accurately in-

---

**Table 3. Max and min for the coded data and their dispersion shown in Fig. 3**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VBN</th>
<th>Pseudomonas spp.</th>
<th>LAB</th>
<th>CIE L*</th>
<th>CIE a*</th>
<th>ln(pH)</th>
<th>WBSF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Max (coded 1)</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>39.87</td>
<td>11.11</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Min (coded 0)</td>
<td>7.61</td>
<td>8.36</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td>45.04</td>
<td>18.02</td>
<td>1.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Averaged CV (%)</td>
<td>26.98</td>
<td>27.08</td>
<td>24.57</td>
<td>39.69</td>
<td>29.32</td>
<td>24.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) pH changes were in the first order reaction, and the logarithmic pH was used.
2) Max and min values are the limits of qualities within the range of TTI color response, 0.05-0.40.
3) CV (coefficients of variation) of the coded data (n=3) from three different temperatures over the TTI color response of 0.05-0.40. The CVs obtained at every 0.01 interval of TTI color response were averaged.

---

**Fig. 3. The relationships between the sets of TTI color responses and beef quality levels during storage.** (a) *Pseudomonas* spp., (b) LAB, (c) VBN, (d) CIE L* value, (e) CIE a* value, (f) ln(pH), (g) WBSF. ——, 5°C; ······, 15°C; ----, 25°C.
dicated by the TTI color changes. Therefore, the process to find the quality factors compatible to TTI is absolutely necessary to use TTI properly.
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