Growth of Chrysanthemum Cultivars as Affected by Silicon Source and Application Method
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Abstract. The effect of different silicon (Si) sources and methods of application on the growth of two chrysanthemum cultivars grown in a soilless substrate was investigated. Rooted terminal cuttings of Dendranthema grandiflorum ‘Lemmon Eye’ and ‘Pink Eye’ were transplanted into pots containing a coir-based substrate. A nutrient solution containing 0 or 50 mg L−1 Si from calcium silicate (CaSiO₃), potassium silicate (K₂SiO₃) or sodium silicate (Na₂SiO₃) was supplied once a day through an ebb-and-flood sub irrigation system. A foliar spray of 0 or 50 mg L−1 Si was applied twice a week. Cultivar and application method had a significant effect on plant height. Cultivar, application method, and Si source had a significant effect on plant width. Of the three Si sources studied, K₂SiO₃ was found to be the best for the increasing number of flowers, followed by CaSiO₃ and Na₂SiO₃. In both the cultivars, sub irrigational supply of Si developed necrotic lesions in the older leaves at the beginning of the flowering stage as compared to the control and foliar spray of Si. Cultivar, application method, Si source, and their interactions had significant influence on leaf tissue concentrations of calcium (Ca), potassium (K), phosphorus (P), magnesium (Mg), sulfur (S), sodium (Na), boron (B), iron (Fe), and zinc (Zn). The addition of Si to the nutrient solution decreased leaf tissue concentrations of Ca, Mg, S, Na, B, Cu, Fe, and Mn in both cultivars. The greatest Si concentration in leaf tissue was found in ‘Lemmon Eye’ (1420 µg g⁻¹) and ‘Pink Eye’ (1683 µg g⁻¹) when K₂SiO₃ was applied through a sub irrigation system and by foliar spray, respectively.
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Introduction

Silicon (Si) has not been considered as an essential element for plant growth and development. However, Si deficiency symptoms were observed in cucumber, sugarcane, and tomato (Miyake and Takahashi, 1978, 1983; Wong et al., 1971). These findings have led to an implication of Si as an essential element for higher plants (Epstein, 1994). The Si is reported to have beneficial effects on growth, development and yield of many plant species, particularly graminaceous plants such as rice, sugarcane, and wheat (Liang et al., 2007). The beneficial effects of silicon are particularly distinct in plants exposed to abiotic or biotic stresses such as drought, frost, metal toxicity, nutrient imbalance, salinity, and diseases. However, these beneficial effects of Si differ among the plant species, mainly due to Si accumulation in their tissues or organs (Ma and Yamaji, 2006). Although all plants contain Si in their bodies, Si concentration of shoots greatly varies with species, ranges from 0.1 to 10.0% in dry weight (Epstein, 1999). In general, ferns, gymnosperms, and angiosperms accumulated less Si in their shoots than non-vascular plant species and horsetails (Hodson et al., 2005). The difference in Si accumulation between species has been attributed to differences in Si uptake by the roots (Ma and Yamaji, 2006).

The Si supplementation to growing medium affects the quality of several floricultural crops (Mattson and Leatherwood,
The inclusion of Si to the nutrient solution enhanced flower quality in many ornamental plants (Ehret et al., 2005; Hwang et al., 2005; Kamenidou et al., 2010; Savvas et al., 2002). Moreover, foliar applications of potassium silicate reduce severity of powdery mildew on cucumber, muskmelon, and zucchini squash (Liang et al., 2005; Menzies et al., 1992). Further, Si foliar spray reduces the incidence and severity of bract necrosis in poinsettia (McAvoy and Bible, 1996).

The genus *Chrysanthemum* (Asteraceae) comprises about 40 species which are native to Asia and northeastern Europe. Chrysanthemum is one of the most important ornamental crops worldwide and is produced as cut flowers and pot plants. The Si nutrition enhances growth (Moon et al., 2008; Sivanesan et al., 2013) and increases the ability of chrysanthemum to withstand attack by leafminers (Jeong et al., 2012; Parrella et al., 2007). However, Sivanesan et al. (2013) reported that the number and size of chrysanthemum flowers were promoted when the nutrient solution was supplemented with Si, but necrotic lesions developed in adult leaves. Silicon accumulation in natural systems is rarely linked to adverse effects in plants, even in those that have a high Si uptake capacity (Cooke and Leishman, 2011). Montpetit et al. (2012) reported that the number and size of chrysanthemum flowers were promoted when the nutrient solution was supplemented with Si, but necrotic lesions developed in adult leaves. Silicon accumulation in natural systems is rarely linked to adverse effects in plants, even in those that have a high Si uptake capacity (Cooke and Leishman, 2011). Montpetit et al. (2012) also reported that the Si absorption caused leaf necrosis in *Arabidopsis* and this symptom was proportional to the external Si concentration. Further, they assumed that this symptom was caused by improper regulation of Si uptake or transport in *Arabidopsis* transformants. Thus, in this study we investigated the effect of Si sources and methods of application on the growth and development of two chrysanthemum cultivars.

**Materials and Methods**

Terminal cuttings of *Dendranthema grandiflorum* ‘Lemmon Eye’ and ‘Pink Eye’ were taken from stock plants grown in a greenhouse. For rooting, the cuttings were planted in a coir-based substrate (Tosilee Medium, Shinan Grow Co., Korea) and kept on a mist (10 s every 10 min) propagation bed with a mean air temperature of 25°C and 80% relative humidity (RH). Rooted cuttings were transplanted into 10 cm (370 mL) plastic pots containing a coir-based substrate and a nutrient solution containing 0 or 50 mg L⁻¹ Si from calcium silicate (CaSiO₃), potassium silicate (K₂SiO₃) or sodium silicate (Na₂SiO₃) was supplied once a day through a subirrigation system (1.0 m × 2.0 m ebb-and-flood bench). A foliar spray of 0 or 50 mg L⁻¹ Si was applied twice a week. Additional calcium and potassium introduced by CaSiO₃ and K₂SiO₃ were subtracted from calcium and potassium nitrate and the loss of nitrate was subsequently reintegrated by addition of nitric acid to formulate all nutrient solutions to have the same essential elements. The composition of the nutrient solution was (mg L⁻¹): 708 Ca(NO₃)₂·4H₂O, 246 MgSO₄·7H₂O, 505 KNO₃, 230 NH₄H₂PO₄, 1.24 H₂BO₃, 0.124 CuSO₄·5H₂O, 4 Fe-EDTA, 2.2 MnSO₄·4H₂O, 0.08 H₂MoO₄, and 1.15 ZnSO₄·7H₂O. Plants were grown in a Venlo-type glasshouse under a normal day-light condition with night/day set temperatures of 19/27°C and 60-70% RH.

Each treatment was consisted of three replicates and each replicate contained 45 plants in an ebb-and-flood bench. After two weeks, the plants were pinched leaving five nodes. Plant height, stem diameter, number of branches, chlorophyll content, number of flowers, flower diameter, root length, and fresh and dry weights of shoot and root were recorded after 63 days of cultivation. Chlorophyll content was measured on young leaves with a chlorophyll meter (SPAD-502, Konica Minolata Sensing, Inc., Japan). Three measurements per leaf were taken and averaged to obtain a representative chlorophyll concentration value. Dry weight was measured after 72 h of drying at 70°C in a dry oven. For each treatment 45 plants were used for growth analysis.

Dried leaf samples were ground with a stainless mill (Cyclotec, Model 1093, Tector, Hoganas, Sweden) and used for chemical analysis. For each treatment five samples were taken. Ground leaf sample (1.0 g) in a porcelain crucible wasashed in a Nabatherm muffle furnace (Model LV 5/11/B180, Lilienthal, Bremen, Germany) for 4 h at 525°C. The ash was dissolved in 5 mL 25% HCl solution, followed by 20 mL of hot DH₂O, and brought to 100 mL with deionized water. Calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg), potassium (K), phosphorus (P), sulfur (S), boron (B), copper (Cu), iron (Fe), manganese (Mn), and zinc (Zn) in leaf samples were measured by using an inductively coupled plasma (ICP) spectrometer (Optima 4300DV/5300DV, Perkin Elmer, Germany). Leaf tissue Si concentration was determined according to Sivanesan et al. (2010).

Data collected were analyzed for statistical significance by the SAS (Statistical Analysis System, V. 9.1, Cary, NC, USA) program. The experimental results were submitted to an analysis of variance (F test) and Duncan’s multiple range tests (P ≤ 0.05).

**Results and Discussion**

Cultivar and application method had a significant effect on the plant height, but not by Si source. Sub irri gational supply of K₂SiO₃ and foliar spray of Na₂SiO₃ increased plant height of ‘Lemmon Eye’ (22.0 cm) and ‘Pink Eye’ (30.0 cm), respectively, compared with the control (Table 1). However, sub irri gational supply of CaSiO₃ reduced plant height of ‘Pink Eye’ compared to the control and other treatments. Cultivar, application method, and Si source had a significant effect on plant height, but not by Si source. Sub irri gational supply of K₂SiO₃ and foliar spray of Na₂SiO₃ increased plant height of ‘Lemmon Eye’ (22.0 cm) and ‘Pink Eye’ (30.0 cm), respectively, compared with the control (Table 1). However, sub irri gational supply of CaSiO₃ reduced plant height of ‘Pink Eye’ compared to the control and other treatments. Cultivar, application method, and Si source had a significant
| Cultivar (A) | Application method (B) | Silicon source (C) | Plant height (cm) | Plant width (cm) | Stem diameter (mm) | No. of branches | Root length (cm) | Chlorophyll content (SPAD) | No. of flowers | Flower Diameter (cm) | Root length (cm) | Fresh weight (g) | Shoot | Root | Flower | Dry weight (g) |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Lemmon Eye | Subirrigation | Control | 19.7 b | 23.8 b | 5.16 b | 5.7 bc | 13.3 d | 40.6 ab | 66.7 c | 2.6 ab | 3.5 ab | 42.1 cd | 4.5 d | 27.2 c | 4.5 b | 0.44 d | 3.4 b |
| | CaSiO₃ | 19.6 b | 19.5 d | 5.23 b | 6.7 a | 14.7 c | 42.1 a | 54.0 d | 2.3 b | 3.1 c | 35.8 e | 6.9 b | 19.5 e | 3.9 d | 0.51 c | 2.7 c |
| | K₂SiO₃ | 22.0 a | 25.5 a | 5.64 a | 6.3 ab | 18.0 b | 41.7 a | 78.3 a | 2.7 a | 3.6 a | 48.8 b | 8.9 a | 31.1 b | 5.3 a | 0.67 a | 3.8 a |
| | Na₂SiO₃ | 21.3 ab | 22.2 c | 5.38 ab | 5.7 bc | 20.3 a | 40.3 ab | 62.3 cd | 2.5 ab | 3.5 ab | 38.7 d | 7.8 ab | 20.6 e | 4.1 c | 0.55 bc | 2.8 c |
| | Foliar spray | Water | 21.7 a | 23.3 b | 5.33 ab | 5.7 bc | 20.0 a | 41.7 a | 61.0 cd | 2.7 a | 3.6 ab | 39.9 d | 6.1 c | 26.9 c | 4.5 b | 0.49 c | 3.3 b |
| | CaSiO₃ | 21.3 ab | 24.2 ab | 5.21 b | 6.0 b | 16.3 b | 39.3 b | 71.3 a | 2.7 a | 3.4 b | 51.3 a | 6.5 bc | 32.9 a | 5.2 a | 0.58 b | 3.8 a |
| | K₂SiO₃ | 21.3 ab | 25.3 a | 5.33 ab | 5.3 c | 19.3 ab | 41.9 a | 66.0 b | 2.8 a | 3.7 a | 44.9 c | 6.2 c | 31.6 b | 4.8 ab | 0.52 bc | 3.7 a |
| | Na₂SiO₃ | 20.7 a | 24.7 ab | 4.78 c | 6.0 b | 17.3 b | 38.9 b | 61.0 cd | 2.6 ab | 3.3 bc | 42.1 cd | 6.0 c | 25.2 d | 4.3 c | 0.50 c | 3.1 bc |
| Pink Eye | Subirrigation | Control | 28.0 c | 23.0 c | 5.00 c | 4.7 b | 18.0 a | 44.9 b | 59.7 b | 3.7 a | 6.3 a | 53.0 c | 6.0 ab | 33.1 bc | 6.7 b | 0.56 a | 4.8 b |
| | CaSiO₃ | 26.3 d | 23.7 bc | 4.96 c | 5.3 a | 16.0 c | 46.1 ab | 51.7 d | 3.2 b | 6.6 a | 47.5 de | 4.9 c | 20.3 e | 5.8 c | 0.45 c | 3.5 e |
| | K₂SiO₃ | 28.3 bc | 27.2 a | 5.52 b | 5.0 ab | 17.3 ab | 48.4 a | 58.7 b | 3.5 ab | 6.5 ab | 55.6 b | 6.7 a | 31.5 c | 6.6 b | 0.56 a | 4.2 c |
| | Na₂SiO₃ | 28.0 c | 26.2 ab | 5.79 ab | 5.0 ab | 16.7 ab | 43.7 b | 54.0 c | 3.3 b | 5.6 c | 49.3 d | 5.6 b | 23.6 d | 6.3 bc | 0.49 b | 3.8 d |
| | Foliar spray | Water | 29.0 b | 26.2 ab | 5.11 bc | 4.3 c | 13.0 d | 41.3 c | 51.0 d | 3.7 a | 6.5 ab | 49.9 d | 5.1 bc | 29.5 c | 6.2 c | 0.47 bc | 4.5 bc |
| | CaSiO₃ | 28.7 bc | 25.0 b | 5.26 b | 4.7 b | 14.7 cd | 43.8 b | 60.0 b | 3.8 a | 6.2 b | 44.0 e | 3.9 d | 29.6 c | 6.3 c | 0.42 d | 4.9 b |
| | K₂SiO₃ | 29.0 b | 25.2 b | 5.92 a | 5.0 ab | 17.3 ab | 46.3 ab | 63.7 a | 3.8 a | 6.6 a | 59.1 a | 5.7 b | 42.2 a | 7.4 a | 0.54 ab | 5.7 a |
| | Na₂SiO₃ | 30.0 a | 25.8 b | 5.63 ab | 5.0 ab | 16.0 c | 47.2 a | 61.7 ab | 3.5 ab | 6.7 a | 57.9 ab | 5.9 ab | 35.9 b | 7.0 ab | 0.55 a | 5.2 ab |

F test: A *** ** NS *** NS *** *** *** *** *** *** *** NS ***
B * * NS NS NS NS NS NS *** NS ** *** * NS ***
C NS ** * NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS **** NS ****
A × B NS NS NS NS * NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS **
A × C NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS * NS
B × C NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS ***
A × B × C NS NS NS NS ** NS NS NS NS NS * * NS * ** NS NS ***

*Mean separation within columns by Duncan's multiple range test, P ≤ 0.05.
NS: * ** *** Nonsignificant or significant at P ≤ 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, respectively.
effect on plant width. Of the three Si sources tested, K₂SiO₃ significantly increased plant width in ‘Lemmon Eye’ (25.5 cm) and ‘Pink Eye’ (27.2 cm) when supplied through the sub irrigation system. In ‘Lemmon Eye’, foliar spray or subirrigational supply of CaSiO₃ and Na₂SiO₃ increased or reduced plant width, respectively, compared with the control.

No significant difference in the stem diameter was observed in all treatments; however, Si treatment either increased or reduced stem diameter as compared with the control. In ‘Lemmon Eye’, sub irrigational and foliar spray of K₂SiO₃ increased stem diameter (5.64 mm), but reduced when Na₂SiO₃ was sprayed (4.78 mm) as compared with the control. On the other hand both foliar and subirrigational application of Na₂SiO₃ increased stem diameter of ‘Pink Eye’ (Table 1). Similarly, the Si treatment increased stem diameter in carnation (Bae et al., 2010), chrysanthemum (Moon et al., 2008), gerbera (Savvas et al., 2002), marigold (Sivanesan et al., 2010), rose (Hwang et al., 2005), and zinnia (Kamenidou et al., 2009). Significant difference in number of branches was found between the two cultivars. In both cultivars, subirrigational supply of CaSiO₃ increased number of branches as compared to the control and other treatments. A similar result has been reported in chrysanthemum ‘Backwang’ (Moon et al., 2008). The interaction of cultivar, application method and Si source had a significant effect on measured root length ($P \leq 0.01$). The Si nutrition increased root length of chrysanthemum ‘Pink Pixe Time’, ‘Gaya Pink’, and ‘Lemmon Tree’, but limited root length of ‘White Angel’ (Moon et al., 2008; Sivanesan et al., 2013). Similarly, in this study, Si treatment increased root length of ‘Lemmon Eye’, while it reduced that of ‘Pink Eye’ (Table 1). Significant variation in chlorophyll content was observed between the two cultivars. The subirrigational supply of CaSiO₃ and K₂SiO₃ increased chlorophyll content of ‘Lemmon Eye’ and ‘Pink Eye’, respectively, compared with the control. Foliar application of Na₂SiO₃ decreased chlorophyll content of ‘Lemmon Eye’, while it increased that of ‘Pink Eye’ as compared with the control. These results suggest that the application method had influence on chlorophyll content of chrysanthemum cultivars.

Cultivar and Si source had a significant effect on the number of flowers. Also the interaction of application method and Si source had a significant influence on number of flowers ($P \leq 0.01$). Of the three Si sources studied, K₂SiO₃ was found to be the best for increasing number of flowers, followed by CaSiO₃ and Na₂SiO₃ (Table 1). The sub irrigational and foliar application of K₂SiO₃ significantly increased the number of flowers in ‘Lemmon Eye’ (78.3) and ‘Pink Eye’ (63.7), respectively (Fig. 1), compared with the control. Flower diameter was also significantly affected by cultivar ($P \leq 0.001$). The application method and Si source on flower diameter was nonsignificant. Cultivar, application method, and Si source had a significant effect on length of flower stalk ($P \leq 0.01$). The interaction of application method and Si source also had a significant influence on length of flower stalk ($P \leq 0.05$). The subirrigational and foliar application of CaSiO₃ limited flower stalk length of ‘Lemmon Eye’ (3.1 cm) and ‘Pink Eye’ (6.2 cm), respectively (Table 1), compared with the control. Foliar spray of K₂SiO₃ and Na₂SiO₃ increased length of flower stalk in ‘Lemmon Eye’ (3.7 cm) and ‘Pink Eye’ (6.7 cm), respectively, compared with the control. Similar results have also been observed in other ornamental plant species such as fuchsia, gerbera, petunia, torenia, and zinnia (Kamenidou et al., 2008, 2010; Mattson and Leatherwood, 2010).

The interaction of cultivar, application method and Si source had a significant effect on fresh weight of shoot, root, and

---

**Fig. 1.** Effect of foliar or subirrigational application of 50 mg·L⁻¹ silicon on the growth of chrysanthemum ‘Lemmon Eye’ (A) and ‘Pink Eye’ (B) after 63 days of cultivation.
flower (Table 1). Among the two methods of Si application, foliar spray increased fresh weight of shoot significantly in both cultivars. Shoot fresh weight was significantly (P ≤ 0.05) greater in ‘Lemmon Eye’ (51.3 g) and ‘Pink Eye’ (59.1 g) with the foliar spray of CaSiO₃ and K₂SiO₃, respectively. In both cultivars, subirrigational supply of K₂SiO₃ significantly increased root fresh weight (P ≤ 0.01) as compared with the control and other treatments. Flower fresh weight was also significantly (P ≤ 0.001) greater in ‘Lemmon Eye’ (32.9 g) and ‘Pink Eye’ (42.2 g) with the foliar spray of CaSiO₃ and K₂SiO₃, respectively. The Si source had a significant (P ≤ 0.01) effect on dry weight of shoot, root, and flower. The greatest shoot dry weight was obtained in ‘Lemmon Eye’ (5.3 g) and ‘Pink Eye’ (7.4 g) with subirrigational and foliar application of K₂SiO₃, respectively. The application of Si significantly increased or decreased root dry weight of ‘Lemmon Eye’ (0.67 g) and ‘Pink Eye’ (0.42), respectively, compared with the control. Cultivar, application method, Si source, and their interactions had a significant effect on flower dry weight (Table 1). Flower dry weight significantly (P ≤ 0.01) increased in ‘Lemmon Eye’ with supplied Si sources except Na₂SiO₃. In ‘Pink Eye’ flower dry weight significantly (P ≤ 0.001) increased and decreased with foliar and subirrigational supply of Si, respectively. In both cultivars, subirrigational supply of Si developed necrotic lesions in the older leaves at the beginning of the flowering as compared with the control and foliar spray of Si. Similar result has been observed in chrysanthemum ‘Gaya Pink’, ‘Lemmon Tree’, and ‘White Angel’ (Sivanesan et al., 2013).

The application of Si has been reported to affect the plant tissue element concentrations in several crop species. Cultivar, application method, silicon source, and their interactions had a significant effect on leaf tissue concentrations of Ca (P ≤ 0.01), K (P ≤ 0.01), P (P ≤ 0.05), Mg (P ≤ 0.05), S (P ≤ 0.05), and Na (P ≤ 0.001) (Table 2). Leaf tissue Ca concentration (P ≤ 0.001) was significantly differed between the cultivars (‘Lemmon Eye’ 18.7 mg·g⁻¹ and ‘Pink Eye’ 10.0 mg·g⁻¹). Similar result was also reported in chrysanthemum ‘Gaya Pink’ (14.6 mg·g⁻¹), ‘Lemmon Tree’ (11.8 mg·g⁻¹), and ‘White Angel’ (10.4 mg·g⁻¹) (Sivanesan et al., 2013).

### Table 2. Concentrations of Ca, K, P, Mg, S, and Na in leaf tissue of two chrysanthemum cultivars as affected by Si application.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar (A)</th>
<th>Application method (B)</th>
<th>Silicon source (C)</th>
<th>Ca (mg·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>K (mg·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>P (mg·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Mg (mg·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>S (mg·g⁻¹)</th>
<th>Na (mg·g⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lemmon Eye</td>
<td>Subirrigation</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>18.7 a</td>
<td>57.2 ab</td>
<td>15.2 ab</td>
<td>4.5 a</td>
<td>2.3 a</td>
<td>2.96 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CaSiO₃</td>
<td>18.4 ab</td>
<td>57.7 a</td>
<td>15.3 a</td>
<td>4.2 b</td>
<td>2.0 bc</td>
<td>1.33 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K₂SiO₃</td>
<td>17.3 c</td>
<td>57.5 ab</td>
<td>14.0 c</td>
<td>4.1 c</td>
<td>1.9 c</td>
<td>1.75 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Na₂SiO₃</td>
<td>18.5 ab</td>
<td>56.7 b</td>
<td>14.5 b</td>
<td>4.1 c</td>
<td>1.9 c</td>
<td>1.57 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foliar spray</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>18.4 ab</td>
<td>57.9 a</td>
<td>14.5 b</td>
<td>4.1 c</td>
<td>1.9 c</td>
<td>1.57 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CaSiO₃</td>
<td>18.7 a</td>
<td>56.2 bc</td>
<td>15.4 a</td>
<td>4.4 a</td>
<td>2.1 b</td>
<td>1.25 de</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K₂SiO₃</td>
<td>17.9 b</td>
<td>55.4 c</td>
<td>14.0 c</td>
<td>4.4 a</td>
<td>2.0 bc</td>
<td>1.16 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Na₂SiO₃</td>
<td>17.3 c</td>
<td>56.7 b</td>
<td>14.1 bc</td>
<td>4.2 b</td>
<td>2.3 a</td>
<td>1.65 bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pink Eye</td>
<td>Subirrigation</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>10.0 bc</td>
<td>65.7 bc</td>
<td>7.6 b</td>
<td>2.4 c</td>
<td>2.9 a</td>
<td>0.73 c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CaSiO₃</td>
<td>9.2 c</td>
<td>67.3 b</td>
<td>6.6 cd</td>
<td>2.3 cd</td>
<td>2.5 c</td>
<td>0.62 e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K₂SiO₃</td>
<td>9.1 c</td>
<td>70.8 a</td>
<td>7.0 c</td>
<td>2.1 d</td>
<td>2.7 b</td>
<td>0.68 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Na₂SiO₃</td>
<td>9.2 c</td>
<td>70.2 a</td>
<td>6.5 d</td>
<td>2.2 cd</td>
<td>2.6 bc</td>
<td>0.67 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foliar spray</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>10.4 b</td>
<td>63.4 c</td>
<td>6.8 c</td>
<td>3.1 ab</td>
<td>2.6 bc</td>
<td>0.79 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CaSiO₃</td>
<td>10.9 a</td>
<td>67.8 b</td>
<td>8.4 a</td>
<td>2.9 b</td>
<td>3.0 a</td>
<td>0.85 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K₂SiO₃</td>
<td>10.9 a</td>
<td>60.8 d</td>
<td>7.7 b</td>
<td>3.2 a</td>
<td>2.7 b</td>
<td>0.85 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Na₂SiO₃</td>
<td>10.1 bc</td>
<td>65.9 bc</td>
<td>7.3 bc</td>
<td>2.5 c</td>
<td>2.9 ab</td>
<td>0.73 c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>A × B</th>
<th>A × C</th>
<th>B × C</th>
<th>A × B × C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A × B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A × C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mean separation within columns by Duncan’s multiple range test, P ≤ 0.05.**

NS, *, **, *** Nonsignificant or significant at P ≤ 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, respectively.
In ‘Lemmon Eye’ subirrigational supply of K2SiO3 or foliar spray of Na2SiO3 decreased leaf tissue concentration of Ca compared with the control. In ‘Pink Eye’ leaf Ca concentration increased and decreased with the foliar and sub irrigational application of Si, respectively. In chrysanthemum Si nutrition increased leaf tissue Ca concentration in ‘Gaya pink’ and ‘Lemmon Tree’ but that was unaffected in ‘White Angel’ (Sivanesan et al., 2013). Wang and Han (2007) reported that the Si supplementation did not affect leaf tissue Ca concentration in alfalfa. In contrast Si treatment reduced leaf tissue Ca concentration in sunflower (Kamenidou et al., 2008). The subirrigational supply of Na2SiO3 and Si foliar applications decreased leaf tissue K concentration in ‘Lemmon Eye’. However, sub irrigational supply of Si significantly increased the leaf K content in ‘Pink Eye’. Surprisingly in both cultivars, leaf tissue K concentration decreased with foliar spray of K2SiO3 as compared with control (Table 2).

The inclusion of Si along with other nutrients in the nutrient solution decreased the K uptake of rice plants (Islam and Saha, 1969). In contrast, K uptake by solution-cultured barley plants increased following Si applications (Liang et al., 1996). In this study, leaf tissue K concentration in chrysanthemum cultivars increased and decreased depending upon the Si source applied. In ‘Lemmon Eye’, P concentration of leaf tissue slightly increased with application of CaSiO3, but it decreased significantly with application of K2SiO3 or Na2SiO3, as compared with the control. Root or foliar application of CaSiO3 significantly decreased or increased leaf tissue P concentration of ‘Pink Eye’. Thus, applied Si sources either increased or decreased P concentration in chrysanthemum cultivars. The Si treatment tends to increase the P concentration in the green tops of sugarcane (Roy et al., 1971), but decreased in rice (Guo et al., 2005; Ma and Takahashi, 1990). In both cultivars subirrigational supply of Si decreased leaf tissue Mg concentration, while foliar Si spray decreased and increased leaf Mg concentration of ‘Lemmon Eye’ and ‘Pink Eye’, respectively, compared with the control. The inclusion Si to the nutrient solution decreased leaf tissue S concentrations in both cultivars. The Na concentration in the shoots of barley and rice decreased with addition of Si (Liang et al., 2007).

### Table 3. Concentrations of B, Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn, and Si in leaf tissue of two chrysanthemum cultivars as affected by Si application.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar (A)</th>
<th>Application method (B)</th>
<th>Silicon source (C)</th>
<th>Concentration (µg・g−1 dry wt.)</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>Si</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lemmon Eye</td>
<td>Subirrigation</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>28.8 a†</td>
<td>9.2 b</td>
<td>110 a</td>
<td>221 a</td>
<td>80 g</td>
<td>885 d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CaSiO3</td>
<td>22.7 d</td>
<td>6.9 d</td>
<td>84 d</td>
<td>166 ef</td>
<td>83 gf</td>
<td>1,109 bc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K2SiO3</td>
<td>27.8 ab</td>
<td>8.6 bc</td>
<td>91 c</td>
<td>212 b</td>
<td>134 a</td>
<td>1,420 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Na2SiO3</td>
<td>25.5 bc</td>
<td>7.4 cd</td>
<td>90 c</td>
<td>186 d</td>
<td>88 f</td>
<td>1,139 bc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foliar spray</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>23.9 cd</td>
<td>7.9 c</td>
<td>102 b</td>
<td>202 c</td>
<td>125 d</td>
<td>791 d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CaSiO3</td>
<td>24.4 c</td>
<td>10.5 a</td>
<td>110 a</td>
<td>159 f</td>
<td>163 a</td>
<td>1,234 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K2SiO3</td>
<td>25.8 b</td>
<td>8.9 bc</td>
<td>107 ab</td>
<td>196 cd</td>
<td>155 b</td>
<td>1,043 bc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Na2SiO3</td>
<td>25.6 b</td>
<td>9.5 ab</td>
<td>101 b</td>
<td>174 e</td>
<td>106 e</td>
<td>1,273 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pink Eye</td>
<td>Subirrigation</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>57.9 b</td>
<td>7.4 c</td>
<td>110 a</td>
<td>254 a</td>
<td>52 f</td>
<td>974 ef</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CaSiO3</td>
<td>45.9 ef</td>
<td>6.3 d</td>
<td>100 c</td>
<td>154 f</td>
<td>49 g</td>
<td>1,324 d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K2SiO3</td>
<td>50.9 d</td>
<td>7.1 cd</td>
<td>105 b</td>
<td>234 b</td>
<td>89 c</td>
<td>1,683 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Na2SiO3</td>
<td>47.4 e</td>
<td>6.1 e</td>
<td>99 cd</td>
<td>190 d</td>
<td>56 e</td>
<td>1,463 cd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Foliar spray</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>55.7 bc</td>
<td>7.7 bc</td>
<td>101 c</td>
<td>156 f</td>
<td>79 d</td>
<td>1,022 e</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CaSiO3</td>
<td>52.4 c</td>
<td>9.7 a</td>
<td>100 c</td>
<td>179 e</td>
<td>114 a</td>
<td>1,709 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>K2SiO3</td>
<td>61.7 a</td>
<td>8.4 b</td>
<td>99 cd</td>
<td>190 d</td>
<td>108 b</td>
<td>1,789 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Na2SiO3</td>
<td>61.4 a</td>
<td>7.2 cd</td>
<td>100 c</td>
<td>206 c</td>
<td>78 d</td>
<td>1,534 c</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**F test**

- **A**: *** NS *** NS *** NS
- **B**: *** NS *** NS NS NS
- **C**: *** NS *** NS NS NS
- **A × B**: *** NS NS NS NS
- **A × C**: NS NS NS NS
- **B × C**: NS NS NS NS
- **A × B × C**: NS NS NS NS

†Mean separation within columns by Duncan’s multiple range test, *P* ≤ 0.05.

NS, ***, ***: Nonsignificant or significant at *P* ≤ 0.05, 0.01, 0.001, respectively.

Similarly, in this study, addition of Si to the nutrient solution significantly reduced leaf tissue Na concentrations in both cultivars. However, Si foliar spray increased leaf tissue Na content of ‘Pink Eye’ (Table 2).

Cultivar, application method, silicon source, and their interactions had a significant influence in leaf tissue concentrations of B, Fe, and Zn (Table 3). Foliar spray of K$_2$SiO$_3$ or Na$_2$SiO$_3$ significantly increased leaf tissue B concentration in ‘Pink Eye’, while other treatments reduced it in both cultivars as compared with the control. Cultivar, application method, and Si source had a significant effect on leaf tissue Cu concentration of chrysanthemum cultivars. In both cultivars subirrigational and foliar application of CaSiO$_3$ significantly reduced and increased leaf tissue Cu concentration, respectively, compared with the control (Table 3). The addition of Si to the nutrient solution significantly reduced Fe concentration in leaf tissue of ‘Lemmon Eye’ than ‘Pink Eye’. Application method x Si source interaction on leaf tissue Mn concentration was found to be significant in both cultivars. Similar results have also been reported in alfalfa (Wang and Han, 2007) and gerbera (Kamenidou et al., 2010). The application of Si significantly reduced Mn concentration in leaf tissues of both cultivars as compared with the control, whereas leaf tissue Zn concentration significantly increased with applied Si except subirrigational supply of CaSiO$_3$. Cultivar and Si source were significantly affected leaf tissue Si concentration. Molybdenum blue method confirmed the presence of Si in leaf tissues of both cultivars, where leaf tissue concentration of Si increased by application of Si (Table 3). Among the three Si sources, K$_2$SiO$_3$ was found to be the best for increasing leaf tissue Si concentration of both cultivars. The greatest Si concentration in leaf tissue was found in ‘Lemmon Eye’ (1420 µg·g$^{-1}$) and ‘Pink Eye’ (1683 µg·g$^{-1}$) when K$_2$SiO$_3$ was applied through a subirrigation system and by foliar spray, respectively. Plants differ greatly in their ability to accumulate Si (Ma and Yamaji, 2006). In our study, significant differences in leaf tissue Si concentration were found between the two cultivars. A cultivar-specific response to Si supply was also observed in calibrachoa (Mattson and Leatherwood, 2010). The difference in Si accumulation between species has been attributed to differences in the Si uptake ability of the roots (Ma and Yamaji, 2006).

In conclusion, the number, size and fresh and dry weights of chrysanthemum flowers were promoted when the nutrient solution was supplemented with Si, but necrotic lesions developed in leaves. Significant increase in fresh and dry weight of flowers was also obtained with foliar Si spray; therefore, we recommend foliar application of Si for better growth and yield of chrysanthemum cultivars.
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