Evaluation of bioequivalence of two enrofloxacin formulations after intramuscular administration in goats
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Abstract: The present study was planned to evaluate the bioequivalence of two commercial formulations of enrofloxacin, which have been marketed as 10% injectable solution after intramuscular administration at a single dose of 2.5 mg/kg body weight to 12 clinically healthy goats. The study was carried out on the basis of crossover design. The two formulations were: Baytril as a reference product and Spectrama Vet as a test product. The plasma concentrations of enrofloxacin were measured by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with UV detector. The pharmacokinetics of that data was performed using non-compartmental analysis. The maximum plasma concentration (Cmax), time to reach peak concentration (Tmax), area under concentration-time curve (AUC), elimination half-life (t0.5el) were 1.14 and 1.05 µg/mL, 0.79 and 0.83 h, 5.70 and 5.79 µg.h/mL, 5.19 and 5.39 h for Baytril and Spectrama Vet, respectively. The 90% confidence interval for the mean ratio of Tmax, Cmax and AUC were 94.72~116.2, 87.88~97.16 and 86.44~118.72%, respectively. These values falls within the European Medicines Agency bioequivalence acceptance range of 80~125% for both Tmax and AUC and between 75~133% for Cmax. In conclusion, Spectrama-Vet is bioequivalent to Baytril and both products can be used as interchangeable drug in veterinary medicine practice.
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Introduction

Enrofloxacin (ENR) is a derivative of quinolonecarboxylic acid classified into the group of broad spectrum antibacterial related to a second generation fluoroquinolones. It is widely used in veterinary medicine in cattle, pigs, poultry, fish, dogs and cats in the treatment of diseases caused by aerobic Gram-negative, Gram-positive bacteria and such pathogens as Mycoplasma, Chlamydia and Rickettsia [7]. It is mainly indicated for gastrointestinal, urogenital, skin and respiratory tract infections in various domestic animal species [29, 2]. It is metabolized to ciprofloxacin via de-ethylation of the ethyl group on the piperazine ring and mainly excreted as a parent drug and its metabolite by glomerular filtration and tubular excretion [37]. The mechanism of action of ENR is connected with its interfering with DNA synthesis by inhibiting DNA gyrase activity [40]. It has bactericidal activity at relatively low concentrations, high bioavailability following oral or parenteral administration in most animal species and it achieves good penetration into body tissues and fluids [10, 35]. In addition, ENR has a long serum half-life, making it suitable for administration once or twice daily.

The pharmacokinetic evaluation of enrofloxacin has been carried out in several animal species including chickens [30], pigs [4], rabbits [6, 14], fish [23, 26], sheep [20, 15], goats [13, 34], horses [18, 25], cows [22], dogs [24, 39] and calves [9]. Fluoroquinolones widespread application in human and food-producing animals has increased the risk of inducing pathogen resistance which may lead to therapy failure [7].

In this study the bioequivalence and differences in pharmacokinetics of two ENR formulations were established. The bioavailability and bioequivalence studies play an important role in determining therapeutic efficacy to register the generic drug products according to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulations [8]. Bioequivalence is defined as statistically equivalent bioavailability between two products at the same molar dose of the therapeutic moiety under similar experimental conditions. Two products are said to be bioequivalent if they are pharmaceutically equivalents or pharmaceutical alternatives and if their rate and extent of absorption do not show a statistically significant difference [36]. Bioequivalence studies are designed to examine whether the systemic bioavailability of a test product and those of the reference product differ significantly. Following the relevant FDA and European Medicines Agency (EMEA) Guidelines, the statistical analysis should be based on the non-compartmental analysis.
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mental parameters maximum plasma concentration (C_{\text{max}}), time to reach peak concentration (T_{\text{max}}) and the area under the plasma concentration-time curve (AUC), derived from the drug concentration-time curve. These values must be fall within the EMEA bioequivalence acceptance range of 80~125% for both T_{\text{max}} and AUC and between 75~133% for C_{\text{max}} [31].

The aim of this study was to evaluate bioequivalence of two different enrofloxacin injectable formulations after intramuscular (IM) administration of a single dose in goats.

**Materials and Methods**

**Drugs**

Two commercial enrofloxacin 10%, injectable solutions were used in this study. Baytril manufactured by Bayer AG, Germany (as a reference formulation) and Spectrama Vet manufactured by Amoun Pharmaceutical Company, Egypt (as a test formulation). Enrofloxacin standard was purchased from Sigma Chemical Company (USA). The solvents (Baker, USA) used during the chromatographic analysis of the drug were high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) grade.

**Experimental animals**

Twelve clinically healthy non-pregnant, non-lactating native breed goats of 2~3 years old and weighting 23~29 kg body weight (BW) were used. Goats were kept indoors under good hygienic conditions, fed on alfalfa hay, green fodders, a concentrated mixture in a pelleted form and received water ad libitum. Animals did not receive any medication in the last three weeks before the commencement of the study. Goats were determined to be healthy on the basis of a physical examination. The protocol of this study was performed in accordance with the guidelines set by the Ethical Committee of Benha University, Egypt.

**Experimental design and sample collection**

The study was conducted at a single dose two period crossover design. One day before injection of the products, the animals were individually weighed for dose adjustment and the reference product (Baytril) was administered to the first six animals and the test product (Spectrama-Vet) was administered to the second six animals. Each animal received a single IM dose of each formulation at a dose rate of 2.5 mg/kg BW into the semimembranous muscle. After fifteen days of washout period, animals that received treatment with the reference product (Baytril) was administered to the first six animals and the test product (Spectrama-Vet) occurred after a single IM administration.

**Drug assay**

The concentrations of ENR in plasma were determined simultaneously by using HPLC with UV detection [24], after sample extraction [29]. In brief, 0.75 mL acetonitrile was added to 0.5 mL plasma in a test tube. After vortex mixing at high speed for 15 sec, the tube was centrifuged at 950 × g for 10 min. The clear supernatant was collected and twice the volume of HPLC grade water was added. The aliquot was then filtered through a 0.22 µm cellulose acetate membrane filter and 20 µL of filtrate was injected into the HPLC system. The HPLC system (Shimadzu, Japan) consists of a pump (LC-10AD with CBM-20A system controller) with a low-pressure-gradient flow control valve (FCV-10AL) and a degasser (DGU-14A) to pump the mobile phase, an autosampler (SIL-10AD), and a column oven (CTO-10A). The detection was performed using an SPD-10Avp UV-VIS detector set at 278 nm. The conditions for HPLC analysis were as follows. Column; C_{18}, 4 × 250 mm (YMC, Shimadzu, Japan), Mobile phase; acetonitrile : methanol : HPLC water (17 : 3 : 80, v/v/v), containing 0.4% triethylamine and 0.4% orthophosphoric acid (85%, v/v). The pH of the mobile phase was 2.5. The flow rate was 0.6 mL/min at ambient temperature (20°C). ENR was quantified from the respective peak areas and the concentrations in plasma samples were determined by means of calibration curves obtained on analysis of blank plasma samples spiked with enrofloxacin (external standards) and analyzed as described for the experimental samples. The limit of sensitivity and quantification for ENR was 0.01 µg/mL. Mean recovery for ENR from plasma was > 90%. The method was found to be linear and reproducible in the concentration range of 0.01~5 µg/mL.

**Pharmacokinetic analysis**

Pharmacokinetic parameters were determined for each individual goat. Plasma concentrations of the two formulations of ENR after a single IM administration were subjected to a non-compartmental analysis based on the statistical moment theory [17], using computerized program, WinNonlin 4.1 (Pharsight, USA). Values calculated following the IM administration were: AUC, area under the first moment curve (AUMC); the AUC and AUMC were calculated using trapezoidal rules, mean residence time (MRT, where MRT = AUMC/AUC), elimination rate constant (k_{el}, calculated as the slope of the terminal phase of the plasma concentration curve) and terminal half-life (t_{1/2}, where t_{1/2} = 0.693/k_{el}). Each individual curve of enrofloxacin over time was analyzed to determine the peak concentration C_{\text{max}} (extrapolated from the curve), and the time to peak concentration T_{\text{max}} was read from the data.

Statistical analysis on the pharmacokinetics parameters of ENR formulations were assessed by analysis variance (ANOVA). The differences were considered significant when p < 0.05. All data are expressed as mean ± SD.

**Results**

No local or systemic adverse reactions to Baytril and Spectrama-Vet occurred after a single IM administration.
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The mean plasma concentrations of ENR following IM administration of 2.5 mg/kg BW of the two formulations were presented in Table 1. Mean plasma concentration time profiles of the reference and tested formulations were shown graphically in Fig. 1. The concentration of ENR in goat’s plasma were determined up to 12 h and were not detected in all goats 24 h post single IM administration. The mean pharmacokinetics parameters of the reference and tested formulations given to goats were shown in Table 2. No significant differences were found among all the tested pharmacokinetics parameters between the two formulations. The 90% confidence interval for the mean ratio of $T_{\text{max}}$, $C_{\text{max}}$ and $AUC$ of the reference and tested formulations were within the bioequivalence acceptance range and summarized in Table 3.

### Table 1. Plasma concentrations of ENR (µg/mL) following a single intramuscular (IM) administration of 2.5 mg/kg body weight (BW) in goats (n = 12), values are means ± SD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time post administration (h)</th>
<th>Mean plasma concentration (µg/mL)</th>
<th>Baytril (Reference)</th>
<th>Spectrama Vet (Test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.00 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.82 ± 0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.73 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ND: not detected

The mean plasma concentrations of ENR following IM administration of 2.5 mg/kg BW of the two formulations were presented in Table 1. Mean plasma concentration time profiles of the reference and tested formulations were shown graphically in Fig. 1. The concentration of ENR in goat’s plasma were determined up to 12 h and were not detected in all goats 24 h post single IM administration. The mean pharmacokinetics parameters of the reference and tested formulations given to goats were shown in Table 2. No significant differences were found among all the tested pharmacokinetics parameters between the two formulations. The 90% confidence interval for the mean ratio of $T_{\text{max}}$, $C_{\text{max}}$ and $AUC$ of the reference and tested formulations were within the bioequivalence acceptance range and summarized in Table 3.

### Table 2. Evaluation of bioequivalence of two formulation of enrofloxacin in goats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>90% CI Lower</th>
<th>T/R (%)</th>
<th>Acceptable range (%)</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (µg/mL)</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.04</td>
<td>87.88~97.16</td>
<td>92.24</td>
<td>75~133</td>
<td>BE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$ (h)</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.13</td>
<td>94.72~116.20</td>
<td>105.26</td>
<td>80~125</td>
<td>BE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC (µg.h/mL)</td>
<td>5.70 ± 0.85</td>
<td>5.79 ± 0.99</td>
<td>86.44~118.72</td>
<td>101.53</td>
<td>80~125</td>
<td>BE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BE: bioequivalence

### Table 3. Pharmacokinetics parameters of ENR following a single IM of 2.5 mg/kg BW in goats (n = 12), values are means ± SD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Baytril (Reference)</th>
<th>Spectrama Vet (Test)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$K_d$</td>
<td>h$^{-1}$</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.13 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{1/2d}$</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>5.19 ± 0.53</td>
<td>5.39 ± 0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>µg/mL</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>µg.h/mL</td>
<td>5.70 ± 0.85</td>
<td>5.79 ± 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUMC</td>
<td>µg.h$^2$/mL</td>
<td>25.3 ± 4.89</td>
<td>26.73 ± 6.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT</td>
<td>h</td>
<td>4.42 ± 0.22</td>
<td>4.57 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$/MIC</td>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>11.38 ± 0.86</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC/MIC</td>
<td>Ratio</td>
<td>57.02 ± 8.51</td>
<td>57.90 ± 9.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$K_d$: elimination rate constant after IM administration, $t_{1/2d}$: elimination half-life after oral administration, $C_{\text{max}}$: maximum plasma concentration, $T_{\text{max}}$: time to peak serum concentration, $C_{\text{max}}$/MIC: maximum serum concentration/minimum inhibitory concentration ratio, AUC/MIC: area under the plasma concentration-time curve/MIC ratio. AUC: area under the plasma concentration-time curve, AUMC: area under moment curve, MRT: mean residence time.
Discussion

Fluoroquinolones are considered to be among the most effective drugs for the treatment of bacterial infections. Among them, ENR has been developed exclusively for use in veterinary medicine. To obtain maximal efficacy and to avoid development of resistance in commensals and pathogenic organisms, rational use of antimicrobial drugs should be based on the knowledge of the structures and biochemical characteristics of microorganisms and on the pharmacodynamic and pharmacokinetic properties of antimicrobial drugs [5].

After a single IM administration of two ENR injectable formulations at a dose rate of 2.5 mg/kg BW to goats, we found that, maximum serum concentrations (C_max) were 1.14 and 1.05 µg/mL being achieved at 0.79 and 0.83 h (T_max) for Baytril and Spectrama Vet, respectively. The C_max obtained in the present study were almost the same as that reported for pigs, 1.17 µg/mL [4]. These values were lower in animals given a single IM dose of 5 mg/kg BW as reported in desert sheep and Nubian goats, 1.29 and 1.33 µg/mL, respectively [15], and 2.8 µg/mL reported in goats [34]. The difference in the dose rate in these studies may contribute to the differences in the C_max.

The T_max achieved for the two formulations were agree with those reported by Haritova et al. [20] in sheep, 0.83 h, and lower that reported in desert sheep and Nubian goats, 1.96 and 1.85 h, respectively [15].

AUC is a useful index for biological availability of the active moiety of a drug formulation (extent of absorption). AUC in this study was 5.70 and 5.78 µg.h/mL for reference and tested formulations, respectively; this value was lower than that reported in desert sheep and Nubian goats 7.86 and 7.55 µg.h/mL respectively, [15] and higher than 2.09 and 2.60 µg.h/mL reported in goats [34]. The values for AUC/MIC ratio and C_max/AUC and between 75~133% for C_max.

Elimination half-lives (t_1/2) of reference and tested formulations following IM administrations were 5.19 and 5.39 h, respectively. This observation agreed with the data reported in lactating cows 5.9 h, [22], longer than those reported in goats (2.62, 1.39 and 1.51 h, respectively [1, 15, 34]. Such differences in pharmacokinetics parameters were relatively common and frequently related to interspecies variation, assay methods used, the time between blood samplings, and/ or the health status and age of the animals [19].

Bioequivalence study is a test to assure the clinical efficacy of a generic versus brand drugs [8]. Bioequivalence refers to a comparison between generic versions of a drug, or a product in which a change has been made in one or more of the ingredients or in the manufacturing process, and a reference dosage form of the same drug [3]. This bioequivalence study was carried out in healthy goats under controlled conditions using a crossover design. The bioequivalence ratios for mean T_max, C_max and AUC (T/R) of Spectrama Vet versus the reference product Baytril were 105.26, 92.24 and 101.53 respectively. The 90% confidence interval for the mean ratio of T_max, C_max and AUC were 94.72~116.2, 87.88~97.16 and 86.44~118.72%, respectively. These values falls within the EMEA bioequivalence acceptance range of 80~125% for both T_max and AUC and between 75~133% for C_max [31].

Perhaps more than with other class of antimicrobial agents, dosage of fluoroquinolones should be based on the susceptibility of the bacterial target. As ‘dose-dependent antimicrobial’, clinical efficacy of the fluoroquinolones is dependent on dose and bacterial pathogen. Based on many in vitro and in vivo studies performed in humans and animals, it has been established that for concentration dependant antibacterial agents, such as fluoroquinolones, the AUC/minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) ratio is the most important factor in predicting efficacy, with the rate of clinical cure being greater than 80% when this ratio is higher that 100~125 [16, 27, 28]. A second predictor of efficacy for concentration dependent antibiotic is the ratio C_max/MIC, considering that values above 8~10 would lead to better the clinical results, as well as, to avoid bacterial resistance emergence [11, 12, 28, 38].

The values for AUC/MIC ratio and C_max/MIC ratio after IM administration were calculated using documented MIC values against Gram-positive and Gram-negative organisms. Although MIC values of enrofloxacin reported for veterinary pathogens Escherichia coli, Haemophilus somnus, Moraxella bovis and Salmonella spp ranged from 0.01 to 0.06 µg/mL, Pasteurella multocida and Yersinia spp (usual MICs 0.008~0.01 µg/mL) are highly susceptible to the drug [32, 35]. MIC of enrofloxacin against most veterinary pathogens is reported to be ≤ 0.1 µg/mL [21]. An average MIC of 0.1 µg/mL of ENR has been taken into consideration for calculation of efficacy predictors. Following a single IM dose of ENR yielded an AUC/MIC ratio of (57.02, 57.90%) and C_max/MIC ratio of (11.38, 10.5%), for Baytril and Spectrama Vet, respectively. Thus, ENR given at 2.5 mg/kg intramuscularly at 12 h intervals seems to be appropriate for the treatment of diseases of goats caused by pathogens that are highly sensitive to the drug. For treating infections caused by relatively less sensitive bacteria, a higher dose of ENR would be required to achieve the desirable value of AUC/MIC greater than 100~125%. It has been suggested that the optimal dosage regimens of ENR should be designated to attain the maximum plasma concentration to achieve concentration-dependent killing and suppress the development of drug-resistant bacteria, rather than to extend the amount of time the concentration of the drug in the plasma remains above the MIC for the bacteria [4, 12]. Based on the above pharmacokinetic and statistical results calculated in the current study, we concluded that Spectrama Vet is bioequivalent to Baytril and both products can be used as interchangeable drug in veterinary medicine practice.
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