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Abstract

Three-dimensional hydrodynamic numerical simulation is carried out to investigate the effects of the coastal land reclamation on the marine hydrodynamics, environment and ecosystem. The changes of tide, tidal currents and residual currents, including tide-induced, wind driven and density driven components due to the construction of the sea dike system are simulated numerically. The governing equations transformed into σ-coordinates are solved by an implicit finite difference method. The numerical model is calibrated using the tide charts of 4 major tidal constituents, M2, S2, K1 and O1. The numerical solutions show that there are significant changes of residual currents, especially induced by both tidal and wind-driven currents.
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요지

해안개발이 해양의 동수력학, 환경 및 생태계에 미치는 영향을 분석하기 위하여 3차원 동수력학 수치해석을 실시하였다. 이 연구에서는 방조제 건설에 따른 조석, 바람 및 밀도변화 상분을 포함한 조류와 전자류의 변화를 수치로의하였다. 수치모형은 제작의 3차원 구직성분인 M2, S2, K1 및 O1의 조석표를 이용하여 검증하였다. 수치해석결과, 주로 조석 및 바람에 의한 전자류의 변화가 큰 것으로 나타났다.

핵심어: 방조제, 3차원 동수력학 수치모형, 조류, 전자류

1. Introduction

The land reclamation area of Saemangeum (Kunsan) is located between 126°10' E - 126°50' E and 35°35' N - 36°05' N at the western coast of the Korean peninsula. This area consists of lots of small islands including wide areas of semi-diurnally flooded and dewatered tidal flats. The reclamation area of Saemangeum has a range of 56m spring tide and the maximum tidal current speed reaches
around 1.41 m/sec in ordinary spring tide. Most of the
sediments deposited on the tidal flats are transported
from the Geum river, the Mankyung river and the
Dongjin river. The soil in this area consists of silty
sand with the depth of 10m to 30m. The wind in
winter is strong from the direction of northwest. In
the past twenty years, land reclamation projects for
agricultural purpose or industrial complex have been
mostly implemented along the western coast of Korea.

The 33km sea dike and 40,100ha reclamation area
is being constructed in the Saemangeum area. These
large-scale coastal land reclamation works can give
important effects on the change of the hydro-
dynamics, the marine environment and ecosystem
in this area (Choi, 1990; Shin, et al., 1994; Kim, et al.,
1997; Park, 2000; Lee, et al., 2003). These negative
effects on the marine aquaculture and ecosystem are
mainly induced by common coastal construction
practices directly or potentially. The purpose of
mitigation is threefold: 1) to harmonize the
development and the conservation of environment, 2)
the restriction of environmental destruction, and 3) to
recover the environment damaged by the construction
in the coastal region.

In this paper, we have applied a three-dimensional
hydodynamic numerical model to investigate the
changes of marine hydrodynamics, namely the tide,
tidal currents and residual currents including tide-
induced residual, wind driven and density driven
components in the reclamation area. We develop an
implicit finite difference numerical model for the
reclamation area under the condition before and after
the dike system construction and simulate the
propagation of 4 major tidal constituents, M2, S2, O1
and K1. The numerical model was calibrated using the
observed data on tide and tidal currents of M2
which is the most important constituent in this area.
Based on the tidal calculations and data on wind, sea
water temperature and salinity, the effects of the sea
dike construction on residual flows in both summer
and winter were investigated.

2. Governing Equations

On the valid assumption that the shallow water is
measured when the vertical length scale is much
smaller than the horizontal one, the flow is governed
the momentum equations, continuity equation and the
transport equations for the scalar such as the
temperature and the salinity. By invoking the
Boussinesq hypothesis to express the Reynolds
stresses in terms of the mean rate of strain tensor,
these governing equations can be expressed as follows:

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} + f_0 &= \frac{-1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( A \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + (A \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}) + F, \right. \\
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + f_0 &= \frac{-1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( A \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + (A \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}) + F, \right. \\
\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + f_0 &= \frac{-1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( A \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + (A \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}) + F, \right. \\
\end{align*} \]

where

\[ p = \rho_g z + \frac{g^2}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial z}, \quad \rho = \rho(T, S, \rho) \]

\[ F = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( A \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z} + (A \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial z}) + F \right) \]

\[ F = \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( A \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} + (A \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}) + F \right) \]

\[ F = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( A \frac{\partial w}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} + (A \frac{\partial w}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z}) + F \right) \]

\[ \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial h}{\partial z} = 0 \]

\[ \begin{align*}
\frac{\partial T}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( D \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( D \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( D \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) \\
\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial S}{\partial y} + w \frac{\partial S}{\partial z} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( D \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( D \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial z} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( D \frac{\partial S}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial S}{\partial z} \right) \\
\end{align*} \]

where \( u, v, w \) are velocity components in \( x, y, z \)
directions, respectively; \( f \) is the Coriolis parameter \((=2 g \sin \varphi \omega)\), \( \omega \) is the angular velocity of the earth’s
rotation, \( \varphi \) is the latitude; \( g \) \((=980 \text{ cm/s}^2)\) is the
acceleration due to gravity; \( t \) is the time; \( p \) is the
water pressure; \( \rho \) is the water density, \( \rho_o \) is the
overall mean water density; \( \hbar \) is the sea level height.
above the mean sea surface; \( T \) and \( S \) are the sea water temperature and salinity; \( A_0 \) and \( D_0 \) are the horizontal eddy viscosity and diffusivity; and \( A_0 \) and \( D_0 \) are the vertical eddy viscosity and diffusivity, respectively. \( S_x \) is the x-component tidal stress in x-direction, \( S_y \) is y-component tidal stress in x-direction, \( S_x \) is x-component tidal stress in y-direction, \( S_y \) is y-component tidal stress in y-direction. The vertical velocity component \( w \), computed from the solution of the continuity equation (6), can be neglected in the equations of motion (1) and (2).

**Turbulence Closure**

The above governing equations contain parameterized Reynolds stress terms that account for the turbulent diffusion of momentum. The vertical eddy viscosity \( A_0 \) in equations (1) and (2) can be calculated by applying a second order turbulence closure method in which the Reynolds stress terms are characterized by the equations for the turbulence kinetic energy, \( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \) and a turbulence macro scale \( l \) (Mellor and Yamada, 1982).

\[
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \rho \frac{\partial q}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left[ K_e \frac{\partial q}{\partial z} \right] + 2 \frac{\beta}{\rho} \frac{\partial q}{\partial z} \frac{\partial W}{\partial z} + F_q
\]

(9)

where

\[
W = 1 + F_x \left( \frac{L}{z} \right)^{1/4}, \quad \frac{1}{L} = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{W + z}
\]

(11)

Where \( \kappa \approx 0.4 \) is the von Karman constant; the term \( F_x \) and \( F_z \) are horizontal mixing.

3. **Numerical Method**

The governing equations are transformed into the \( \sigma \) coordinates and then solved by a finite difference method. Due to space considerations, a brief description of the numerical methods is given in this paper (see Manh and Yanagi, 1997 for details). The numerical method can be summarized as three steps: 1) the modified shallow water equations obtained by integrating the continuity and momentum equations over the depth are solved by an alternative direction implicit (ADI) scheme; 2) The momentum and scalar transport equations are solved by the ADI scheme in the vertical direction to determine the distributions of horizontal velocity; and 3) the vertical velocity is calculated by integrating the continuity equation over the depth and the sea water density determined by the state equation. The boundaries such as the sea surface, bottom, lateral solid wall and free stream utilize fairly simple and robust boundary conditions as:

**At the sea surface**

\[
\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \rho \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial q}{\partial y} = 0
\]

(12)

\[
\rho \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = w^*,
\]

\[
\rho \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = v^*,
\]

\[
(t^*, w^*) = \beta \sqrt{W^2 + W^2} (W, W)
\]

(13)

where

\[
q = B_q \nu^3, \quad q^2 = 0
\]

(14)
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**At the bottom**

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial y} = 0
\]

(15)

\[
\rho A \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = u^*, \quad \rho A \frac{\partial v}{\partial z} = v^*, \quad (t^*, u^*) = \beta \sqrt{u^2 + v^2} (u, v)
\]

(16)

\[
q^2 = B_q \nu^2, \quad q^2 = 0
\]

(17)

**At the lateral solid boundary**

\[
v = 0
\]

(18)

**At the open boundary**

One of the following hydrodynamic conditions is used:

1. given sea water levels;
2. prefixed volume transports; or
3. radiation condition.
Here $\rho_a$ is the air density, $C_d$ is the sea surface drag coefficient, $W_x$ and $W_y$ are the components of the wind vector, $V_B$ and $V_S$ are the friction velocity at the surface and bottom, respectively, $\beta$ is the bottom friction coefficient, the subscript ($\ast$) indicates the value near the bottom, and $n$ the unit outward vector. $t_x^r$ and $t_y^r$ are $x$ and $y$ component of sea-surface drag, $t_x^b$ and $t_y^b$ are $x$ and $y$ component of sea-bottom drag, $h$ is the water depth.

4. Setup and Calibration of the Numerical Model

The numerical model is set up for the Saemangeum area with the extent of about 45 km wide and 54 km long, as shown in Fig. 1. The depth data are taken based on the map on a scale of 1:75,000. The horizontal grid sizes are 200 m and water column is divided into 10 equal layers in the numerical model. The west, north and south sides of the study area are all open boundary.

In order to simulate the propagations of 4 major tidal constituents, the sea water levels are specified along the open boundaries on the basis of their harmonic constants, respectively. The radiation condition is applied in the cases of residual flow calculations. The computational scenarios are divided into three groups, before (called Case B), after the sea dike construction with three flow gates (Case A) and without flow gates (Case A). That means the existence of the sea dike system is taken into account in the second and third computational scenarios groups, Case A and Case A-2.

The observational data on tide and tidal currents are obtained from the field survey carried out by the Rural Development Corporation in Korea. The locations of measurement, 94-R-3 and 94-R-4, are shown in Fig. 1. The tidal harmonic constants of $M_2$, $S_2$, $K_1$ and $O_1$ are got from tide charts of National Oceanographic Research Institute and at 2 tide gauge stations Kunsan outer Harbour and Wi Island.

**Fig. 1.** The extent and depth map of the study area. The bold line indicates the sea dike system. Symbols $+$ and $\otimes$ show the locations of tidal current measurement 94-R-3, 94-R-4.
The data on sea water temperature and salinity are taken from the field surveys carried out in the summer 1992 and winter 1993 by Marine Development Institute of Kunsan National University (Kim, 1994). The observed locations mainly concentrated surrounding both sides of the sea dike and inside of the reclamation area. In order to set the values of temperature and salinity for the whole numerical model area, the interpolation and extrapolation are applied.

The wind data obtained from the observed data in the 1969–1977 time period of the Kunsan Meteorological Observatory and the discharges from Geum, Mankyung, and Dongjin rivers obtained based on the experience formulas (the Ministry of Construction, Korea, 1993) are shown in Table 1 and 2.

The model is calibrated by using the above mentioned harmonic constants and tidal currents measured at the stations 94–R–3 and 94–R–4. The calculated co-range and co-tidal charts of 4 tidal constituents are presented in Fig. 2. Generally, their patterns are similar with the correspondent charts produced by the National Oceanographic Research Institute, Ministry of Maritime Affairs and Fisheries. The calculated and observed harmonic constants of 4 tidal constituents at WJ Island station are presented in Table 3. The southern open boundary of the numerical model set near this station, therefore, this comparison is just as a reference.

The calculated and observed tidal ellipses at the measurement locations 94–R–3 and 94–R–4 are presented in Fig. 3. It shows that the calculated tidal currents agree acceptably with the observed ones. The difference of the major axis of the tidal ellipse at 94–R–3 from the observational data may be due to the topography approximation of the numerical there.

On the basis of the obtained results, it is said that the numerical model is calibrated acceptably and can be apply to evaluate changes of both tidal and residual currents in the interested area before and after the construction of the sea dike system.

Table 1. Averaged wind in 4 seasons (adopted from the Kunsan Meteorological Observatory)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wind</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Speed</td>
<td>(m/s)</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>3.95</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direction</td>
<td></td>
<td>WNW</td>
<td>WSW</td>
<td>WNW</td>
<td>WNW</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Seasonal discharges from three rivers (adopted from the Ministry of Construction).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>River</th>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Autumn</th>
<th>Winter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geum</td>
<td>1871.0</td>
<td>3124.0</td>
<td>1056.0</td>
<td>1263.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mankyung</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongjin</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>74.0</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Calculated and observed harmonic constants of four tidal constituents at WJ Island station, amplitude in cm, phase in degree (referred to 126°E).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tidal constituent</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>S2</th>
<th>K1</th>
<th>O1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amp.</td>
<td>Phase</td>
<td>Amp.</td>
<td>Phase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observed</td>
<td>192.4</td>
<td>64.4</td>
<td>74.3</td>
<td>114.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>191.9</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>73.8</td>
<td>114.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 2. Calculated co-range and co-tidal charts of 4 tidal constituents, $M_2$, $S_2$, $K_1$, and $O_1$, before construction of the sea dike system.

Fig. 3. Observed (left) and calculated tidal ellipses of $M_2$ tde at measured locations 94-R-3 and 94-R-4.
5. Changes of Tide and Tidal Current after the Sea Dike Construction

Using the same parameters of the numerical models (the depth map, grid sizes, open boundary conditions...), except the sea dike system is supposed to build completely, the propagations of $M_2$, $S_2$, $K_1$, and $O_1$ are calculated. The obtained results point out that the tidal levels and tidal currents are changed slightly out the sea dike. For example, the calculated amplitude of $M_2$ is changed about 2, 3 cm out the sea dike system, as shown in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5. It is shown that in the Case A-2, the tide amplitude out of the reclamation area is reduced and the propagation direction is changed to northwards. The changes of amplitudes and phases of $S_2$, $K_1$ and $O_1$ tides are small and not presented in this paper.

Fig. 4. Changes of amplitude (left) and phase (right) of $M_2$ tide in case a (the sea dike with 3 flow gates)

Fig. 5. Changes of amplitude (left) and phase (right) of $M_2$ tides in Case A-2, the sea dike without flow gates
The tidal current ellipses of $M_2$ tide before and after the sea dike construction (Case A) are presented in Fig. 6. It is clear that the tidal currents increase significantly near the flow gates where the $M_2$ current speeds can reach 1.9 m/s. The changes of $M_2$ current speed can be seen more clearly in Fig. 8 that shows subtracting the Case B from Case A.

Similarly, the tidal current ellipses and speed changes of $S_2$ tide after the dike construction are shown in Fig. 7 and 8. In Fig. 9 the tidal ellipses and speed changes of $M_2$ in Case A-2 are presented. The amplitudes and speeds of $K_1$ and $O_1$ are quite small in comparison with the semi-diurnal tides and they are not presented here.

Fig. 6. Tidal current ellipses of $M_2$ tide in Case B (left) and Case A (right)

Fig. 7. Same as Fig. 6 but for $S_2$ tide
Fig. 8. Changes of $M_2$ (left) and $S_2$ (right) tidal current speeds in Case A in comparison with that of Case B

Fig. 9. Tidal ellipse of $M_2$ (left) and changes of it speeds (right) in Case A-2 in comparison with that of Case B

6. Changes of Residual Current after the Sea Dike Construction

As shown in the Table 1, the dominant wind directions in the Saemangeum area are WNW and WSW and the averaged wind speeds from season to season are not changed very much, about of 4 m/s.

The density-driven current does not play an important role in general circulation in this area, at least on the basis of our numerical experiments. Therefore, in order to investigate the characteristics of the residual flow in the study area the calculations for summer and winter are carried out.

The obtained results show that the tide-induced
residual flow plays the most important role in the study area and due to this happens throughout of years, therefore, the tide-induced flow is considered separately, first. The depth-averaged calculated tide-induced residual currents of $M_2$, $S_2$ and $K_3$ tides before and after the sea dike construction are presented in Figs. 10, 11 and 12. It is obvious that the $M_2$ tide induced residual flow is strongest. The speed can reach 10cm/s in the Case B and more than 20cm/s in the Case A.

Fig. 10. Depth-averaged $M_2$ tide induced residual flow before (case B, left) and after (case A, right) sea dike construction

Fig. 11. Depth-averaged $S_2$ tide induced residual flow before (case B, left) and after (case A, right) sea dike construction
In the Case B, before the dike construction, the M\textsubscript{2} tide induced residual flow has a northward tendency in the reclamation area. In the Case A, the M\textsubscript{2} tide induced residual flow becomes rather strong and there are clockwise and counter clockwise eddies not only in the northern flow gate region but also in the southern part of the reclamation area, as shown in Fig. 10. The calculated results of the S\textsubscript{2} tide induced residual flow shows the nearly similar patterns of current in the reclamation area, but their speeds are much smaller, the maximum speed is about 10 cm/s. The K\textsubscript{1} and O\textsubscript{1} tide induced residual currents are quite small.

Finally, the residual flow, including tide-induced residual, wind-driven, density driven and river discharge components, in summer and winter are calculated. The calculated results at the upper (surface) and the lower (at depth of 15m) layers are presented in Fig. 13 and Fig. 14.
Fig. 13. Residual flow in summer at upper and lower layers, before (case B, left) and after (case A, right) sea dike construction.

Fig. 14. Residual flow in winter at the upper and the lower layers, before (case B, left) and after (case A, right) sea dike construction.
The calculated results of Case B, before the sea dike construction, show that in summer, at the upper layer, as shown in Fig. 13 (top-left panel), the residual current direction is eastward in the south-west part, then changes to northward in the reclamation area, and has a counter-clockwise circulation in northern part. At the lower layer, the current direction is north-westward part and south-westward in the north. There are several eddies between islands.

In winter, before the sea dike construction, at the upper layer the residual current, flow from north to south generally but from west to east in the reclamation area. At the lower layer the current patterns are almost the same as that in summer as shown in Fig. 15. It means at the upper layer the wind-driven component have a significant influence on the residual flow pattern, while at the lower layers the tide-induced component drives the current pattern.

The results of Case A, after sea dike construction, show that the residual currents are influenced mainly by tide-induced residual component in the reclamation area in both summer and winter. That results in the same flow pattern in summer and winter in this area. The residual currents in regions out of the reclamation area are not changed significantly in comparison with the Case B.

---

Fig. 15. Residual flow in summer (left) and winter (right) at three layers, upper, middle and lower in Case A-2
7. Conclusions

A hydrodynamics numerical model was used to investigate the effects of the dike construction on both tidal and residual currents in the Saemangeum tidal land reclamation area. Our numerical solutions show that the co-range and co-tidal charts change slightly out the reclamation area after the sea dike construction. The tidal amplitude is reduced and tidal phase is delayed significantly in the reclamation. The tidal currents at the flow gates appear to be very strong enough $M_2$ and $S_2$ tidal current can reach 1.9 m/s and 0.8 m/s respectively. This strong tidal current results in a strong tide-induced residual flow. Around the flow gates, the residual flow is strong, about 30cm/s, and there exist several clockwise and counter clockwise eddies alternately. The wind-driven current gives a significant influence on the residual flow pattern at the upper layer off the reclamation area. In the reclamation area the residual currents in summer and in winter are almost same, because they are driven mainly by the tide-induced residual component. The influences of the outflows from Mankyung and Dongjin rivers appear to be minor.
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