Temperature Behavior in Dissimilar Butt Joint During TIG Assisted Friction Stir Welding
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Abstract

Three-dimensional finite element analysis is performed to study the temperature distribution phenomenon of TIG assisted friction stir welding (TAFSW) between dissimilar plates (Al 6061-T6 and stainless steel 304). TAFSW is a solid-state welding process that integrates TIG (Tungsten Inert Gas) into a friction stir welding (FSW), to preheat the harder material ahead of FSW tool during welding. In order to facilitate the industrial application of welding, 3D numerical modeling of heat transfer has been carried out applying Finite Element Method (FEM). The temperature distribution due to heat generation during TAFSW on dissimilar materials joint is analysed using in-house solver. Moving heat source along with frictional heat between the work specimens and tool surface is considered to calculate the heat input. The analytical model used predicts successfully the maximum welding temperatures that occur on the dissimilar materials during TAFSW. Comparison with the infra red camera and thermocouple measurement results shows that the results from the current numerical simulation have good agreement with the measured data.
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1. Introduction

Dissimilar material joints are used in structures where high strength and light weight are desirable. The growing trend for light weight structural designs, especially in automotive, aerospace and ship building has made aluminum alloys to be used as an alternative material and has been reported in literatures1-3). With this new trend of joining aluminum to steels with required improvements in weld quality has made newer challenges to the manufactures. Friction stir welding (FSW) offers many potential benefits such as low distortion, improved joint efficiency (tensile strength), improved process robustness, etc., for welding aluminum alloys and these advantages were highlighted in literatures4,5). Various research works has already been reported about the application of FSW process on dissimilar joining of aluminum alloy to...
steel. But equipment rigidity, high force to move the tool and high tool wear rate during FSW induces weld defects. These drawbacks can be overcome by localised preheating the surface of harder material ahead of the rotating tool pin during FSW process. A volume of the material plasticizes during preheating and further to this the welding process can be carried out in the same way as conventional FSW. Kong et al. has demonstrated the use of laser to preheat the workpiece surface ahead of the FSW process. However, only a narrow portion of the workpiece can be preheated by using laser when compared with TIG arc which allows the preheating over wider area. Also, preheating by TIG arc offers several advantages such as good control over current, absence of spatter, high efficiency and low cost compared to laser.

There are many practical engineering problems that require the study of heat transfer in FSW and many research works have been reported in this area of study. Hwang et al. reported that during FSW, low temperature around the joint line affects the tool pin to traverse the workpiece which results in breakage of pin. A higher temperature softens the work piece to stick on to the tool leading to exhibit poor microstructure at weld joint. Song and Kovacevic found that preheating on Al 6061-T6 similar butt joint helps to carry out FSW more easily with reduced tool wear. Kandakar et al. have developed an expression for heat input considering the torque/power obtained from the experiments. Li et al. proposed the FGM concept to determine thermal stresses using 3D model of Al 6061 and 304L dissimilar joint. However, to the best knowledge of authors, there has been no report on the heat transfer analysis of dissimilar joints by TAFSW.

Heat conduction analysis on dissimilar joint by TAFSW is important as the thermal histories and the temperature distribution helps in successful implementation of TAFSW to dissimilar materials and further helps in predicting residual stress, grain sizes and weld strength. Therefore, this study intends to gain a clear physical insight of thermal history and temperature distribution in dissimilar butt joint between aluminum alloy (Al 6061-T6) and stainless steel 304 (STS 304) using TAFSW.

2. Heat conduction analysis

2.1 Analysis method

For numerical simulation of steady state 3D heat transfer analysis of TAFSW, in-house developed computer program to simulate heat transfer is used with adequate modifications. The finite element model for the heat transfer analysis of dissimilar joint for particular tool geometry and material properties (Fig. 1) is developed based on the heat transfer model described in previous work. To obtain heat input model, volume of the tool is deducted from the weld nugget zone and the die cavity volume is deducted from extrusion zone. Tool-workpiece contact location in the analysis model is taken same as in experiment. Al 6061-T6 and STS 304 with principal dimension 100mm×40mm×3mm is selected for numerical analysis. A non-uniform mesh with solid brick element has been used for modeling and sufficient fine mesh size is generated at the weld region to get more accurate results. For integration, time step of 1 second is adopted. The boundary conditions of the FE model are taken same as those of the experimental condition. It is assumed that the material is isotropic and the temperature at the boundary

![Fig. 1 FE model for heat conduction analysis (a) Tool volume (b) Heat input volume around the tool](image)
is room temperature. A convective coefficient of 30 W m\(^{-2}\) \(\text{K}^{-1}\) and 25 W m\(^{-2}\) \(\text{K}^{-1}\) is used for aluminum and stainless steel alloys respectively at top and side surfaces\(^{19,20}\). Temperature dependent material properties such as thermal conductivity, density, coefficient of thermal expansion, specific heat and yield stress for Al 6061-T6 and STS304 are used in this analysis\(^{21}\).

2.2 Governing equation

For the formulation of finite elements of the heat conduction computer program, the governing equation for unstationary state heat conduction is

\[
\rho c \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \lambda \nabla^2 T = Q
\]

where, \(T\) is temperature (°C), \(\rho\) is density (kg m\(^{-3}\)), \(Q\) is the rate of temperature change due to heat generation per volume (W m\(^{-3}\) sec\(^{-1}\)), \(t\) is time (sec), \(\lambda\) is thermal conductivity of the isotropic material (W m\(^{-1}\) °C\(^{-1}\)) and \(c\) is the specific heat (J kg\(^{-1}\) °C\(^{-1}\)).

At stainless steel side, the quantity of heat input from the TIG arc is added to the heat input from FSW process based on superposition principle. The heat flux for heat input element is calculated by multiplying element volume and uniform heat flux per volume. Uniform heat flux is obtained by dividing total heat energy by the product of heat input volume and heating time per unit welding length.

Fig. 2 shows the schematic of FSW tool used in experiment. \(R_0, R_1\) and \(R_2\) are the radius of tool shoulder, pin top and pin bottom respectively. \(H\) is the height of the pin.

In FSW, the heat energy is generated by friction and deformation process at the interface of the shoulder/workpiece and tool pin/workpiece \(^{19}\). The total heat generation is given as

\[
Q_{\text{total}} = Q_{\text{sho}} + Q_{\text{pin surface}} + Q_{\text{pin bottom}}
\]

The heat generation from tool shoulder and pin bottom is\(^{22,23}\)

\[
Q_{\text{sho}} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{R_0}^{R_1} \omega \tau_{\text{contact}} r^2 dr d\theta
\]

\[
Q_{\text{sho}} = \frac{2}{3} \pi (\delta \tau_{\text{yield}} + (1-\delta)\mu p) \times \omega (R_1^3 - R_0^3)
\]

\[
Q_{\text{sho}} = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{R_0}^{R_1} \omega \tau_{\text{contact}} r^2 dr d\theta
\]

\[
Q_{\text{pin bottom}} = \frac{2}{3} \pi (\delta \tau_{\text{yield}} + (1-\delta)\mu p) \times \omega \times R_2^3
\]

Where, \(p\) is the tool pressure (Pa), \(\omega\) is the tool angular rotation speed (rad/s), \(\mu\) is the coefficient of friction and \(\delta\) is the contact slip. The contact shear stress for sticking condition is \(\tau_{\text{contact}} = \sigma_{\text{yield}} / \sqrt{3}\) (Pa) and shear stress for slipping condition is \(\tau_{\text{contact}} = \mu p\) (Pa)\(^{24}\). A uniform contact pressure at tool/work piece interface is assumed in this analysis as detailed contact pressure at this region is unknown. Coefficient of friction is taken as 0.4 and the percentage slip is assumed between 0.1-0.8\(^{25,26}\).

To find the heat generated at the pin surface, consider an element of depth ‘dz’ with radius ‘\(R\)’ at a height ‘\(z\)’ from the pin bottom surface. From the figure,

\[
\tan \phi = \frac{(R_1 - R_2)}{H}
\]
\[ R = R_2 + z \tan \phi \]  
\[ \frac{dA}{d\theta} = R \frac{dA}{d\theta} \text{, where } 'dA' \text{ is the infinitesimal segmental area.} \]

\[ Q \text{_{pincosurface}} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{H} \rho \tau_{\text{contact}} R^2 d\theta dz \]  
\[ \tau_{\text{contact}} \text{ is the uniform contact shear stress at } 'dA'. \]

\[ Q \text{_{pincosurface}} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{H} \rho \tau_{\text{contact}} (R_2 + z \tan \phi)^2 d\theta dz \]  
\[ Q \text{_{pincosurface}} = 2\pi \rho \tau_{\text{contact}} \left( R_2^2 H + R_2 H^2 \tan \phi + \frac{H^3}{3} \tan^2 \phi \right) \]

Hence for heat energy generated from the surface of tapered pin is

\[ Q \text{_{pincosurface}} = \left[ 2\pi (\delta \tau_{\text{yield}} + (1 - \delta) \mu \rho) \times \omega \right] \times \left[ R_2^2 H + R_2 H^2 \tan \phi + \frac{H^3}{3} \tan^2 \phi \right] \]

When \( \phi = 0 \), equation (12) gives the heat generation for cylindrical pin surface (22).

TIG heat source is used to preheat stainless steel material surface. During preheating, the arc energy from TIG heat source acts on the work piece surface as surface heat source and conducts into the work piece. Gaussian distribution is applied to the heat source and the surface heat flux of arc is given by (27)

\[ Q \text{_{arc}}(x, y, t) = \frac{3\eta V I_m}{\pi r_a^2} \exp \left( -\frac{r^2}{r_a^2} \right) \]

\[ r^2 = (x - w_s t)^2 + y^2 \]

\( \eta \) is the arc efficiency, \( V \) is the voltage (V), \( I_m \) is the mean current (A) and \( w_s \) is the welding speed (mm-s\(^{-1}\)) \( r_a \) is the effective arc radius (mm) (28).

\[ I_m = \frac{I_p \times T_p + I_b \times T_b}{T_p + T_b} \]

Mean current

3. Experiment

Gantry type WINXEN FSW system having maximum load capacity 29.4kN, together with DAIHEN inverter ELECON 500P TIG welding machine was used for TAFSW welding experiment. To prevent overheating of aluminum and obtain successful weld, pin was offset to the aluminum side just penetrating steel. Dimensions of the dissimilar plates and relative location of tool pin with the butt line are given in Fig. 3. Tungsten carbide (WC-Co12%) tool having shoulder diameter 18mm, pin top diameter 6.5mm and pin bottom diameter 5.5mm was used in the experiment. TIG leading FSW tool is implemented, where distance between TIG torch and FSW tool was 16mm and applied tool force was 5.5kN. Welding trials were conducted to determine the appropriate welding parameters under various processing conditions. The optimum welding conditions obtained from the experiment is given in Table 1.

The image of the cross-section and top surface of weld obtained with optimised welding condition is shown in Fig. 4. The stirring action took place mainly in the aluminum side because of the tool location with workpiece. The weld nugget exhibits a classical onion ring.
Table 1  Welding condition for TAFSW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welding conditions</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TIG</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse Current/pulsed time</td>
<td>80A/0.2s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base Current/pulsed time</td>
<td>70A/0.5s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arc voltage</td>
<td>26 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas flow rate (Argon)</td>
<td>7 ~ 10 ℓ/ min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arc length</td>
<td>2mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrode angle</td>
<td>60°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dia. of electrode</td>
<td>2.4mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FSW</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotation speed</td>
<td>300 rpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welding speed</td>
<td>0.8 mm/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder dia.</td>
<td>Ø18 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top pin dia.</td>
<td>Ø6.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bottom pin dia.</td>
<td>Ø5.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Room temperature</td>
<td>20°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4 Weld cross-section and top bead appearance of dissimilar welded joint by TAFSW

structure on the transverse section of aluminum alloy.

Transient temperatures at mid thickness were recorded at six locations during the TAFSW process using K type thermocouples of 1mm diameter inserted into 1.5mm diameter holes drilled on top side of work specimens (Fig. 5).

Using infrared (IR) camera, instantaneous temperature at the surface of the workpiece during TAFSW process was measured and the temperature field generated is shown in (Fig. 6).

4. Results and discussion

Fig. 7 shows the section plot of transient temperature distribution contour, along the weld length and thickness, obtained from the three dimensional heat transfer analysis of dissimilar joint by TAFSW. The temperature fields are measured at 2sec after welding, at which the maximum heating temperature occurs.

The temperature contour shown in Fig. 7 is at an approximate depth of 0.2-0.4mm from top surface where maximum temperature distribution is obtained below shoulder. The heat input from TIG heat source calculated
Temperature contour below shoulder surface along weld length and thickness obtained at 2 sec after welding after 25 seconds is superimposed with heat input by FSW to determine the maximum temperature. Because TIG heat source passes 20 mm ahead of FSW tool during welding. The maximum temperature near pin top is attained due to total heat input from TIG heat source and FSW tool. At the region of maximum temperature in STS 304, plastic deformation is expected to be stronger and assumed to extend half the specimen thickness as clearly more visible on bulge part of interface as shown in Fig. 1. This could be because of its plastic state induced primarily by preheating temperature. The temperature at the butt joint surface of STS 304, which occurred due to frictional heating of tool pin side, makes the material to plastically deform and bond with Aluminium alloy in plastic state. The material deformation driven by the shoulder is more intense in upper thickness of steel face due to higher preheating temperatures, where the metallurgical and mechanical bonding strength of aluminium with steel is expected to be higher. This in return will enhance material behavior and improve the material bonding without the need of higher torque of FSW.

One of the other phenomena observed is during welding, the highest temperature is observed just below the shoulder near stir zone. The maximum simulated temperature at the thermomechanically affected zone (TMAZ) in steel occurs at advancing side is about 930°C. In case of aluminum alloy maximum temperature occurs at retreating side and is about 474°C. Due to reduction in material area below tool pin, more heat is conducted at pin bottom than at pin sidewalls. The temperature near the butt joint surface of the pin bottom comes to around 581°C. Aluminium conducts heat more at this contact region and slightly tends to melt at the butt joint surface. Aluminium alloy is completely made to deform plastically by stirring action of the pin and made to bond with STS 304 which is frictionally heated. STS304 acts a barrier for aluminium material to flow towards steel during welding and therefore the TMAZ of aluminum is more compared to FSW joints of similar aluminum alloys. Also, the heat flow is found wider towards aluminum due to its high heat conductive characteristic compared to steel. The heat affected zone (HAZ) temperatures are 558°C and 296°C for steel and aluminum respectively.

The temperature history at the point of maximum temperature at TMAZ and HAZ in dissimilar joint is shown in Fig. 8. From the figure it can be inferred that due to the lower thermal conductivity and lower specific heat of STS 304, most heat is hold in STS 304 compared to Al 6061-T6.

4.1 Comparison of numerical analysis and experimental result

Numerical simulation results are validated with experimental results obtained through
infrared camera and thermocouples. Higher temperature distribution at STS 304 surface is clearly visible from the infrared camera image. This instantaneous surface temperature measured on material-tool interface is compared with numerical simulation results (~200°C) and a reasonable match is observed.

The temperature with respect to time obtained from numerical simulation is compared with experimental thermocouple results as shown in Fig. 9. The highest of measured temperatures for steel at 9mm away from butt line and for aluminum at 12mm away matched very close with the numerical simulation results supporting experimental validation.

Fig. 8 Time-Temperature plot for dissimilar joint by TAFSW

Fig. 9 Comparison of numerically simulated and thermocouple measurement temperature history

5. Conclusion

In this paper, an analytical technique to accurately predict the temperature history of dissimilar (Al 6061-T6 and STS 304) butt welded joint by TIG assisted FSW have been successfully established. The aluminum alloy is stirred to a plastic state and made to weld with stainless steel alloy which is frictionally heated by tool pin side. In addition, because of its plastic state induced primarily by TIG pre-heating temperature on STS304 surface, plastic deformation at joint surface is expected to be stronger and assumed to extend half the specimen thickness during welding. The maximum
temperatures predicted from numerical simulation, 2 seconds after welding. in STS and Al 6061 were 930°C and 474°C respectively. This enhances Al 6061 to create a sufficiently strong metallurgical bond with STS304. Aluminum conducts heat more and slightly tends to melt at the bottom of faying surface. Also, complemented advantage of preheating eases out the process of FSW reducing the required torque due to lower friction. Furthermore, very good agreement between the simulated and measured temperature shows the credibility of the heat conduction model used and developed, potentially providing inputs for various simulations, such as residual stress formation mechanism and distortion of the weldment. With this numerical model established, results can be taken into various cases of temperature predictions in dissimilar materials, predominantly for steel to aluminum joints.
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