New earthworm species from NIBR’s Jeju-do biosphere compared to historical and new Japanese types (Oligochaeta: Megadrilacea: Megascolecidae)
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Amynthas tralfamadore sp. nov. is described from the Mt Halla, Jeju Island biosphere exhibition housed at NIBR Incheon. This species’ taxonomy is problematical since it is similar to Amynthas masatakae (Beddard, 1892) from Japan, itself previously reported from Korea and at one time associated with Amynthas campestris (Goto & Hatai, 1898) and A. parvicystis (Goto & Hatai, 1899), all three variously implicated in prior A. robustus (Perrier, 1872) along with Amynthas aspergillum (Perrier, 1872). Based on reinspection of the London lectotype of A. masatakae—here designated and sketched for the first time—the current solution is for maintenance of all five taxa separately. A closely-related Japanese species-Metaphire ryunome sp. nov.-is comparable to Korean Metaphire reisuiensis (Kobayashi, 1938) comb. nov. Another specimen was identified as Amynthas micronarius (Goto & Hatai, 1898), a new record for Korea. It matches the newly-designated neotype (Tokyo NMST An446) and an annotated synonymy is provided; however, erstwhile synonyms, Amynthas shimaensis (Goto & Hatai, 1899) and A. yamizoyamensis (Ohfuchi, 1935) combs. novae, are briefly redescribed and provisionally restored to the Japanese list. mtDNA COI-5P barcode analyses support species identifications.
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INTRODUCTION

The NIBR museum and display facility in Incheon houses a climate-controlled (subtropical) “Gotjawal” forest conservatory of the mid-slope of volcanic Mt Halla, Jeju Island ecosystem. A brief check of moist ‘hot spots’ here unearthed two worms.

One specimen was Amynthas tralfamadore sp. nov., and its similarity to taxa reported from Japan and Korea, viz. A. robustus (Perrier, 1872) and its erstwhile synonyms A. masatakae (Beddard, 1892) and A. parvicystis (Goto & Hatai, 1899), require that historical accounts of these are first clarified with reference to types and/or original descriptions before this, or any similar “new” taxa, are described.

A second specimen was Amynthas micronarius (Goto & Hatai, 1898), one of the better described of these authors’ numerous species names (cf. Blakemore, 2012a). Nevertheless, Michaelsen (1900: 316) thought that this taxon, along with eleven other of Goto & Hatai’s 28 names, may be the same as Japanese Pherebrita divergens (Michaelsen, 1892) (≡Amynthas corticis Kinberg, 1867) whereas Easton (1981) and Blakemore (2003) maintained it. Being a patently parthenogenetic taxon (the original material lacked spermathecal diverticula) has allowed various stages of degradation to be afforded species names by subsequent workers unfamiliar with these events. According to the ICZN (1999) code, such morphs do not warrant separate specific identity and should be combined with the earliest valid name. In order to identify the NIBR specimen it was necessary to clarify the original state and status of this taxon and, since no types are known to exist, to designate a neotype from material probably identified by the original co-author, Dr S. Hatai. The neotype is compared to synonyms and incidental material from Japan and, now, from Korea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimens were collected from moist microhabitats in the biosphere one afternoon. Types of Perichaeta masatakae obtained from the Natural History Museum, London (courtesy of Invertebrates curator Emma Sherlock) are redescribed and compared to the new Korean specimen and to others from Japan.

For Amynthas micronarius, the neotype was contained
with an historical 1920-30s collection removed from the Saito Ho-on Kai Museum, Sendai (where author Dr Shinkishi Hatai worked as director in 1930s) by Dr M. Izukai and Kotoro Ishizuka many years ago in “1981 (Showa 61) [sic]” (see Blakemore, 2012b). These specimens are now deposited in the National Museum of Nature & Science in Tokyo (hereafter NMST) under care of senior curator Dr Toshiaki Kuramochi. Other historical Goto & Hatai type material from the University of Tokyo Zoological Museum (UMUTZ) was also loaned out but their importance apparently not recognized by Mr Ishizuka (vide infra and see Blakemore & Ueshima, 2011).

Small tissue samples were taken (as per Blakemore, 2010a; Blakemore et al. 2010) for DNA extraction and cytochrome-c oxidase subunit 1 (COI) barcoding under the IBOL (the International Barcode of Life program conducted by Drs Paul Hebert, Nataly Ivanova and Paul Prosser at Guelph University, Canada using methodology of Bhadury et al., 2006), but results thus far have been unsuccessful on older, formalin preserved material.

However, COI barcode data from the more recent material (some courtesy of TaeSeo Park curator of “marine” Annelida, NIBR) are appended. Preliminary nucleotide analysis used BLAST programs [http://www.blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST.cgi].

Taxonomic determinations follow the methodology and classifications in Blakemore (2000; 2010b). Abbreviations are: GMs-genital markings, lhs-left hand side and rhs-right hand side, syns.-synonyms; ?-indicates some uncertainty.

**TAXONOMIC RESULTS**

Genus Amynthas Kinberg, 1867

**Amynthas masatakae** (Beddard, 1892)  
[Fig. 1]


[?*Perichaeta campestris* Goto & Hatai, 1898: 67, text-fig. From Kamakura, Japan. No types. Non Ph. campestris* Lee, 1952 (=A. corticis). Most often synonymized under *A. robustus* proper (see Fig. 2), but here provisionally retained separately].

[?*Perichaeta parvicystis* Goto & Hatai, 1899: 18, figs. 8, 8a, 8b. From Uwajima (Ehime-ken, Shikoku) and Oarai (Ibaraki-ken, Honshu). No types. (See Fig. 3).]

**Amynthas masatakae**: Beddard, 1900: 632 (syn. *campestris*).


**Pheretima masatake** (sic lapsus): Ohfuchi, 1956: 132, fig. 11 (?part. cf. *A. robustus*).

**Amynthas masatakae** (sic lapsus): Sims & Easton 1972: 181, 234, 244.

**Amynthas masatakae**: Chuang & Chen, 2002: 73, fig. 1.

**Note**. Easton (1981: 56) had “masatake” (sic lapsus) in synonymy of *A. robustus* (Perrier, 1872) as per Gates (1939: 473), but this, like many of Gates’ “synonymies”, not necessarily the original species, for some reason (or none), just a later citation requiring that all these references are again re-checked from original sources—see Remarks below. Following Easton, Blakemore (2003: 7) had it in *A. robustus* too with syns.: *masatakae, campestris, ?avatarii, ornata, ?sheni, lauta, corrugata*.

**Material examined.** BMNH: 1904:10.5.912-913, labeled “SYNTYPES 1904: 10.5.912-3 *Perichaeta masatakae* Beddard 1892 Coll. by Mr Masatake Rokugo” (lapsus for Masataka Rokugo—cf. his *Perichaeta rokugo*). Two mature specimens, both previously dissected and rather macerated in mid-body, plus a spermatheca (9lbs) in a small vial in the jar (Fig. 1). In order to enhance the stability of nomenclature, under ICZN (1999: Art. 72; et Decl. 44), the specimen newly figured (912) I hereby designate the LECTOTYPE since it was the basis of Beddard’s description (being donor of the removed spermatheca), it is 115 mm long but missing its posterior tip. Specimen (913) in the same jar, that had had its 18lbs prostate duct and GM glands removed (missing), thus becomes the PARALECTOTYPE.

**Japan**: Lake Biwa Museum (LBM 1380000087), from Hikone (collected 18.VI.2009) four specimens that I initially mislabeled “*Metaphire spex*” or as *A. robustus* (as it was then known in 2010); NIBR (IV0000251098), from Nogeiyama, Yokohama, collected 4.VI.2012 by RJB and T.J. Tansy, mature specimen found stranded and distressed on road in early evening after rain (dissected and figured, Fig. 1).

**Diagnosis** (from Beddard, pers. obs. and good references in synonymy above). Colour dark brown. Length 105-150 mm by 4.0-7.5 mm wide; body cylindrical caudally tapering, segments 88-138. Lectotype and paralectotype 110+ and 130 mm, respectively the latter with 88 segments and a tapering tail (cf. 125 mm with 90 segments by Beddard for the same specimen). Nogeiyama specimen 150+mm, Ohfuchi (1938) has size up to 189 mm with 125 segments. Prostomium open epilobous. First dorsal pore at 11/12 or 12/13 in Ohfuchi’s specimen. Setae ca. 30-52 (40-50 in lectotype), (cf. 20-64 by
Kobayashi. Spermathecal pores lateral in 7/8 and 8/9. Clitellum annular, 14-16. Female pore single on 14. Male pores superficial on 18 with 9-15 setae intervening. Genital markings small papillae, most with glands internally, typically immediately median to spermathecal pores anteriorly and posteriorly, i.e. in some of 7-9 (Kobayashi has them sometimes in successive segment 10); each male pore typically with two genital papillae just median, one preseptal and one postsetal on 18 (Kobayashi has some in 19 too). [Note that in the Nogeyama specimen (Fig. 1) some markings lacked internal glands while at least one gland had no noticeable external manifestation, i.e., their pores may be superficial and easily overlooked and not all papillae appear to have glands].

Septa 5/6/7 and 10/11-13/14 thickened; 8/9/10 absent around muscular gizzard. Hearts in 10-13. Spermatheca paired in 8 and 9 each with oval ampulla and elongate or paprika-shaped diverticulum (GM glands often nearby). Testis in sacs in 10 and 11, seminal vesicles paired anteriorly in 11 and 12, pseudovesicles in 13 (what Beddard calls ‘egg-sacs’ and Ohfuchi an ‘ovisac’). Ovaries in 13, ovisacs absent from 14 (pers. obs.). Prostate glands absent with only curved prostatic duct in 18 (or present in some of Kobayashi’s specimens), GM glands adjacent. Intestine from 15, ½ 15, caeca simple with jagged inner edge originating from 26-28 [or simple and without indentations in Kobayashi’s (1938: 138) specimens], vascular and lamellar typhlosole starts from around 26-30 (in lectotype and Nogeyama specimen, pers. obs.) with guts mostly containing amorphous soil with some grits (geophagous diet).

**Behaviour.** Ohfuchi (1938: 62) reported mostly clitellate specimens from organic soil near houses at 12-16 cm depth in Feb., 1937 that he thought indicative of hibernation.

**Distribution and habitat.** “Japan” (Beddard); Michaelsen (1903: 97) gave locality as Kamakura [mistakenly quoted by Kobayashi (1938: 139) as “Yokohama”] but this just for its erstwhile junior synonym, *P. campestris*; the report by Ohfuchi (1938) is from Wakayama-ken on the Kii Peninsula in the Kansai region. New material found at Nogeyama in Yokohama, between Tokyo and
Kamakura, suggests an original location. For Korea, Kobayashi (1937, 1938) recorded it from Jeju, several other smaller islands and from the mainland at Mokpo but, in light of current knowledge presented in the current paper, these are possible misidentifications of *A. tralfamadore* (or *M. ryunome*) or some other taxon as noted in Remarks below.

Chuang & Chen (2002) newly reported *A. masatakae* as an introduction to northern Taiwan at Mt Dongyan (1000 m elevation on fruit farms) but were mistaken to say this was the first record outside Japan (cf. Kobayashi, 1937, 1938).

*A. masatakae* is now more restricted in its distribution compared to its treatment whilst in synonymy of *A. robustus* variously by Gates (1939), Ljungström (1971) and successively (cf. attempts to unravel the confusion of *A. robustus* by Blakemore, 2003, 2010b and herein).

**Remarks.** When we carefully read Gates’ (1939: 474) voluminous account of *Pheretima robustus* we discover that in some cases he only considered citations of some species to be synonyms and, in other cases, the species themselves, these barely differentiated. Thus he claimed the Korean record of “1937. *Pheretima masatakae* Kobayashi, Sci. Rep. Tohoku Univ., ser. 4, vol. 11. p. 337” to be in synonymy and ignored all its other citations above, including the type description! Thus Gates (1939) is assumed by *de facto* default to have falsely given an impression of synonymy of the name *P. masatakae* in *A. robustus*. This misinterpretation thereby expanded not only the definition of both combined species, but also their ranges. Being an earlier described species, and possibly having parthenogenetic variability in spermathecae and genital markings as introduced by Gates’ bizarre scheme, allowed *A. robustus* in name to collect several synonyms in subsequent work, e.g. by Ljungström (1971) and Easton (1981), for specimens with two (or fewer?) pairs of spermathecae, ultimately accruing about a dozen synonymic names.

Chuang & Chen (2002: 75) restored *A. masatakae*. However, the key character these authors gave for separation of *A. masatakae* from *A. robustus*-its lack of prostates—is not necessarily valid: Lack of prostates merely indicates parthenogenetic degradation and it is entirely permissible for sexual forms to occur under this species’ name, possibly in manifestations not dissimilar to *A. robustus* or to any of a dozen other taxa, as (validly or invalidly) included under this name by Gates (1939) and successively (full *A. robustus* synonymy provided in Blakemore, 2010b).

**Fig. 2. Amynthas robustus** type after original illustrations (Perrier, 1872: figs. 67-68).
In an instance of further confusion, Hatai in Goto & Hatai (1899) described *A. parvicystis* with a single pair of caeca having “external margins frizzled” said to be similar to the condition found in *Amynthas digitatus* (Benham, 1896) and *A. bonthainensis* (Benham, 1896). Sims & Easton (1972: 173, fig. 1I) show *A. digitatus* with multiple (=manicate) intestinal caeca although Hatai may not have known this. Moreover, Goto & Hatai (1899: 23) failed to include *A. parvicystis* in their list of species with manicate caeca (although they also miss their own *agresitis* and mistakenly include *divergens* in this list), and they had earlier overlooked the caeca of their *P. iizukai* as well as misdescribing the multiple caeca of their *P. megascolidioides*. Possibly the caeca were single but incised and if so, plus its spermathecae were really in 8 & 9, then it would be similar to specimens reported from Kyushu by Yasuaki Sugi (unpublished http://www.geocities.jp/homantaro/AmyMeta/AmyMeta1.doc, http://www.geocities.jp/homantaro/AmyMeta/Robustus.htm, April, 2012) under the name “*Amynthas robustus* (Perrier, 1872)” that are, however, now seemingly closer to *A. masatakae* as revived herein.

Nothing resembling Goto & Hatai’s *A. parvicystis* has been reported since its original definition [except for a dubious report by Kobayashi (1941a)] and, although it is probably a poorly-described junior synonym of *A. masatakae*, if its caeca are indeed manicate, most likely it is yet another synonym of *A. tokioensis* [for which Ishizuka’s *Ph. verticosa* is also a synonym with his figures (Ishizuka, 1999b: 50, figs. 75-83) complying almost exactly with Goto & Hatai’s *parvicystis* figures]. Perhaps the most sensible policy, for the time being at least, is to treat *A. parvicystis* as a species incertae sedis or dubius.

In the present author’s current view, the way that *A. robustus* proper differs from Beddard’s *A. masatakae*, according to Perrier’s original description and figures (presented here as Fig. 2) are its genital markings in 8 & 9 directly below the spermathecal pores plus a pair mid-ventrally and presetally between the male pores. The spermathecal diverticula also appear longer (Fig. 1 vs. Fig. 2). Another differentiating characteristic, as described by Perrier (1872: 116) is “deux coecums lisses” (two smooth caeca) from segment 26, while the inner edge of the caeca in *A. masatakae* are indented or serrate. Compare this to Kobayashi’s (1938) account where the caeca are without indentations, and to Ohfuchi (1956) who describes serrate caeca plus markings between the male pores, and see *A. tralfamadore* and *M. ryunome* herein. Gates (1939: 479) has his “*Pheretima robusta*” with caecal margins incised but this not based on its types and, as already noted, his work is very confused (he provides no figures), quite unreliable (his errors go unchecked) and highly suspect.

Types of *Amynthas robustus* will need to be checked for details of genital markings, spermathecae and smoothness of their caeca. Without inspection of these, Gates (1939) then Ljungström (1971) discussed supposedly parthenogenetic forms of *Pheretima robusta*, and considered (parts?) of both *P. aspergillum* and *P. masatakae* to be synonymous. However, types of *A. masatakae* (Fig. 1) and some specimens (including some of Kobayashi’s Korean specimens that seem mostly compliant despite some retaining prostates) from the synonymy presented above, differ from *A. robustus* in their markings being typically small and paired mesially on inner sides of spermathecal and/or male pores, but typically not mid-ventral on 18.

Kobayashi (1937) described “*masatakae*” specimens from Korea, some with prostates, that lacked marking between the male pores as in *masatakae* yet had smooth caeca as in *robustus* (and *ryunome* herein); conversely, Ohfuchi (1956: 155, 160) described prostatic “*masatakae*” specimens from Okinawa having both mid-ventral markings on 18 as in *robustus* and serrate caeca as in *masatakae*. Ohfuchi confounded his descriptions by putting these specimens under two names: “*Pheretima masatakae*” [sic lapsus pro *masatakae*] and *P. lauta* Ude, 1905: 405- this latter with synonyms *P. siemsseni* Michaeelsen,
1931: 17 and P. fokiensis Michaelsen, 1931: 19 types of which were inspected by Gates (1939: 420) under the species name Pheretima aspergillum (Perrier, 1872) along with its other erstwhile synonyms P. takatorii (Goto & Hatai, 1898) and P. corrugata Chen, 1931: 131. This latter taxon was also allegedly redescribed by Ohfuchi (1956: 162) from Okinawa.

Nevertheless, it seems likely that both Kobayashi (1937; 1938) and Ohfuchi (1956) were describing specimens that would now qualify for neither A. robustus nor A. masatakae, i.e., they possibly represent one or more new and undescribed taxa (cf. Amynthas trailfamadore and Metaphire ryunome herein).

Thus, while appearing intermediate between robustus and masatakae, yet possibly more compliant with the former, these Okinawan and Korean specimens require revaluation with all earlier species’ types and also with Taiwanese specimens attributed to either species names of robustus or masatakae, allowing in each case for parthenogenetic degradations (as noted by Blakemore, 2003), i.e., with reduced relevancy of prostates, spermathecae and GMs as key defining characteristics.

Intestinal caecal indentations are perhaps unreliable characters too and may vary interspecifically (as noted by Sims & Easton, 1972 and Blakemore, 2003). Moreover, Amynthas aspergillum (Perrier, 1872) should, for the time being at least, remain separate retaining its supposed synonyms: Perichaeta takatorii Goto & Hatai, 1889 and Pheretima paraglandularis Fang, 1929, albeit Chen (1959: fig. 13) shows its intestinal caeca deeply incised whereas Chang et al. (2003: fig. 9) have them smooth.

It is further possible that A. robustus proper has non-supercificial male pores in which case it would qualify for genus Metaphire as for M. ryunome sp. nov. below.

**Amynthas micronarius** (Goto & Hatai, 1898)

[Figs. 4-6]

*Perichaeta micronaria* Goto & Hatai, 1898: 74, text fig. 1, tab. 1. From Tokyo. Types, none previously known; now Neotype An446 in NSMT Tokyo.


**Pheretima obtusa** Ohfuchi, 1957: 244, fig. 19. From Sonai, Sakishima, Ryukyu. Types?


**Pheretima imperfecta** Ishizuka, 1999d: 229, figs. 1-7.

**Syn. nov.** Described as either lacking spermathecae, or having them adiverticulate in 5/6 (one side), or 7/8 (one side); genital markings absent; caeca simple; size range 49-92 mm. The condition in the holotype is not explicitly stated and this name may be a ‘grab bag’ of degraded morphs that require comparison with A. oyvensis (Ohfuchi, 1937).

**Pheretima tamaensis** Ishizuka, 1999d: 231, figs. 8-17, tab. 1 (that mistakes the position of each genital marking). [Miscited as “Ishizuka, 2000” in Ishizuka (2001: 69). Poorly described but with spermathecae adiverticulate in 6/7/8; male pores, if present, superficial (at least in type); genital markings absent, or in some of 17/18/19 median to male pore line (when present); prostate glands absent (always?); intestinal caeca simple. Size: 60-90 mm. From Tokyo region.]

**Pheretima stipata** Ishizuka, 1999d: 236, figs. 34-40, tab. 3. **Syn. nov.** Spermatical pores 6/7/8/9. GMs in 18 combined with male pores, possibly parthenogenetic artefact, as in its junior synonym Ph. octo Ishizuka, 2000. From Tokyo.

**Pheretima hypogaea** Ishizuka, 1999d: 234, figs. 24-33, tab. 2. [Same as micronarius and tamaensis but with three pairs of spermathecae in 6/7/8/9]. From Meiji-Jingu and Ueno Parks in Tokyo, i.e., the same as type-locality of micronarius.

**Pheretima elliptica** Ishizuka, 1999d: 237, figs. 41-48, tab. 3. **Syn. nov.** [Same as micronarius, tamaensis etc. with three pairs of spermathecae in 6/7/8/9 but lacking genital markings (always?)]. From University of Tokyo (near Ueno), i.e. same as type-locality as micronarius.

**Pheretima rufidula** Ishizuka, 2000a: 15, figs. 8-14, tab. 1. **Syn. nov.** [Misspelt “P. rufidura” in Ishizuka (2001: 14, 30). GMs absent]. From Takao in Tokyo too.

**Pheretima semilunaris** Ishizuka, 2000a: 18, figs. 30-36, tab. 1. **Syn. nov.** [As A. nonsilvestris Blakemore, 2010 but lacking diverticula]. From Tokyo.

**Pheretima subterranea** Ishizuka, 2000a: 22, figs. 48-56, table 3. **Syn. nov?** Also lacking GMs; all diverticula states present in one worm!). From Tokyo too.

**Pheretima octo** Ishizuka, 2000a: 31, figs. 81-89, tab. 1. **Syn. nov?** Spermatical pores 5/6/7/8/9. GMs in 17 (18?) combined with male pores, as in senior synonym P. stipata Ishizuka, 1999 that has almost word-for-word justification. From Tokyo.

**Pheretima edoensis** Ishizuka et al., 2000: 181, figs. 2-8. [Various miscited and misdated as “Ishizuka, 1999” or “Ishizuka, 2000” in Ishizuka (2001: 11, 54, 76, 101) for a figured specimen that, although misplaced in a section of species having four pairs of spermathecae, has only three pairs in 6/7/8/9, yet its GMs appear to comply with those of A. micronarius, etc.]. From Imperial Palace, Tokyo, i.e., same type-locality as micronarius.

**Pheretima hinoharesis** Ishizuka, 2000b: 187, figs. 35-
42, tab. 1. [Misspelt “hinoharaensis” in Ishizuka (2001: 11, 18, 78, 101). Spermathecal pores in 5/6/7/8/9, often proceeded by a small glandular pore, and with markings in 17/18 & 18/19 as in A. micronarius. Possibly an amphimixic morph. The garbled Remarks justifying this species make little sense]. From Itsukaichi (not Hinohara?) on outskirts of Tokyo.

[‘Pheretima’ palarva Blakemore, 2003 (nom. nov. pro Pheretima parvula Ishizuka et al., 2000a: 186, figs. 17-24 [non Perichata parvula Goto & Hatai, 1898 (?=Amynthas gracilis); nec Pheretima parvula Ohfuchi, 1956 (=Metaphire parvula). Name mis-cited and misspelt as ‘Pheretima parvola’ Ishizuka, 2000’ by Ishizuka (2001: 12, 69, 102) and “P. parvora” by Ishizuka (2001: 46)]. Syn. nov.? Has “Two pairs of spermathecal pores situated in furrows 6/7/8 in ventro-lateral sides, and occasionally absent, variable in number, separated by a distance of ca. 1/3 body circumference.” It lacks GMs and often one or both male pores, thus it could actually be any of a number of prior species but may well comply within a broad diagnosis of A. micronarius. From (Imperial Palace) Tokyo, i.e. same locality as edoensis synonym of micronarius. Recombined as “Amynthas palarva” in Blakemore (2010a: 193), as a ‘nonsense’ name, it should actually be maintained as A. palarva].

[Amynthas nonsilvestris Blakemore, 2010a: 193 (nom. nov. pro Pheretima silvestris’ Ishizuka, 2000a: 18, figs.

Fig. 4. Amynthas micronarius neotype NMST An446-lhs; specimen NMST An445-rhs.

**Material examined.** Neotype NMST An446 from Saito Ho-on Kai collection: (sample tube #19) labeled “Ph micronaria Goto & Hatai” with location and collector not noted; one of two previously undissected matures here dissected and sketched (90 mm long with markings in 17/18 & 18/19); the other, specimen NMST An447 (100 mm long with markings in 17/18 only). Specimen (90 mm long) NMST An445 (tube #18), a previously undissected mature specimen, here dissected and sketched, labeled “Ph sp Sendai, Naga-machi, Kamohara’s home (in kanji) 16/VI/1931” collector not noted. UMUTZ-Ann-OG-35 remnant labels written by Professor S. Goto or Dr S. Hatai had: “Perichaeta micronaria Tokyo”+“No. 4”+“6/91”[June 1891?]; two damaged specimens, seemingly mature, that whilst on loan had been allowed to dry out and are now in poor condition, i.e., contemporaneous toptypes but since neither was dissected they were probable, but not proven, actual syntypes. Also UMUTZ-Ann-OG-36 labeled “Perichaeta micronaria” with kanji for Meiji 29 and 8th month [=August 1896] from “Tozaki, Kikkuchi, Kumamoto-ken collected by Mr Takiyama”—a batch containing many life stages of *Amynthas*

---

**Fig. 5.** *A. micronarias* synonyms with Goto & Hatai’s (1898: fig.1) original-top lhs; male field of specimen UMUTZ-Ann-OG-35 toptotype (unproven syntype?)—top rhs; *Pheretima obtusa* from Ohfuchi’s, 1937 text fig. 19-bottom rhs; and Ishizuka’s *P. hinoharaensis* (fig. 35) and *P. edoensis* (fig. 2) synonyms-bottom middle and lhs.
corticis species-complex and possibly *A. micronarius* with at least one dissected (110 mm long and with GMs in 17/18 only) but, as noted by Blakemore & Ueshima (2011), not syntypes because this was not the stated “Tokyo” type-locality. Note: Both the Tokyo Museum and Tokyo University lots of these historical specimens had been loaned out by Mr Ishizuka since 1981—thirty years previously—but their significance was seemingly unrealized and this crucial material was ignored and unpublished without distracting from numerous “new” species, many of which were homonyms and most of which were synonyms as noted above and by Blakemore (2003; 2008; 2012a; 2012b) and by Blakemore & Ueshima (2011).

A Watarase, Tochigi-ken specimen unregistered in Yokohama National University (YNU), collected by Dr T. Kamitani April, 2003 from his Control site R (dissected and sketched by RJB). Kamakura specimens collected by RJB, Yuko Hiramoto & Amanda Reed, 12th April 2004 from Kuzuharagaoka Shrine along with seven other species; plus other specimens collected by RJB from bamboo groves and organic farms at Ami, Ibaragi-ken 27th July 2006 (all in YNU collection).

Japanese specimen (NIBR IV0000249941) posterior amputee, mature, collected by RJB and T.J. Tansy 23rd May 2012 from Nogeyama, Sakuragi-cho, Yokohama, Japan.

Korean specimen (NIBR IV0000246442) collected by RJB 19th March 2012 from NIBR Incheon’s Jeju Island Biosphere display along with *A. tralfamadore* Blakemore, 2012 and an immature lumbricid (IV0000246443)—this paper’s subjects.

Jeju-do Island specimens (NIBR IV0000250396-7) 8 mature specimens, collected by RJB, TaeSeo Park & Insul, 21st June, 2012 from Hyonyungs Temple, Mt Hally, Jeju-do (thus newly confirming its presence on the mountain).

**Description.** Colourless (partly transparent) or pale pinkish grey in life, a light buff or grey in alcohol with clitellum either darker or lighter (some specimens darker). Length ca. 49-120 mm by 2.5-4.5 mm with 61-110 segments [neotype 90 mm long with 80 segments; stated as

![Fig. 6. *A. micronarius* Japanese Watarase specimen-lhs; Nogeyama specimen-middle; Incheon’s NIBR (INV0000246442) Jeju-conservatory specimen [boxed X2 enlargement of spermathecal and male pores in 5/6 rhs & 18 rhs]-rhs.]
either 66 or 55 mm with either 106 or 102 segments by Goto & Hatai (1898: 74 vs. tab. 1); Ohfuchi’s specimens and Ishizuka’s synonyms range 66-119 mm and 90-120 mm, respectively; Ohfuchi’s *obtusa* body lengths vary 49-54 mm. First dorsal pore (often minute) in 11/12. Setae 25-51 [Goto & Hatai have 28-32 in spermathecal segments and 35+ more posteriorly; Ohfuchi (1937: 52) details 25-51 in forty-six specimens; Korean specimens have ~40 on segment 12 and 48+ further back]. Spermathecal pores four pairs in 5/6/7/8/9 (e.g. neotype) or three pairs in 6/7/8/9 if anterior pair absent (e.g. An445, some Ibaragi specimens and synonyms) or, possibly, fewer spermathecae (synonyms), ca. 0.3 C apart. [Note: Spermathecal circumferential ratios may be calculated in various ways as from the camera lucida figures but mean little in soft-bodied worms having different preservation techniques]. Male pores superficial on segment 18 with 7-12 setae intervening (Goto & Hatai say “8” but show 10) or absent in some morphs. Genital markings paired anteriorly in 18 and 19 but mostly appearing intersegmental in 17/18 (e.g. specimen An447) or 17/18 & 18/19 (neotype and An445) just median to the lines of the male pores, some morphs lack one or more GMs, but when present glands correspond internally. Prostates as figured (sometimes aborted). Septa 8/9 and 9/10 aborted (sometimes partially). Spermathecal diverticula clavate or variously degraded and/or deleted: often adiverticulate or partially stalked, or small terminal diverticular bulbs may be present (sometimes filled with coagulum but not necessarily iridescent). Hearts in 10-13. Holandric with testis in sacs in 10 and 11 (not always iridescent), seminal vesicles in 11 & 12. Intestine from 15 or 16; intestine typhlosole vacularized and lamellar from ca. 26. Gut contains soil with few grits and often charcoal grains, i.e. geophagous.

Behaviour. “The motion of this worm is very active and swift, and when dug from the ground, they wriggle and bounce about very quickly” (Ohfuchi, 1937: 50).

Distribution and habitats. Type-locality in Tokyo. Regarding the neotype, it is unknown but localities of other specimens in the collection included Komaba, Tokyo according to Ohfuchi (1937: 113). A species obviously subject to transportation that masks its origin; in Japan it occurs from Hokkaido to Ryukus (Easton, 1981). Newly recorded from Korea, the NIBR specimen’s probable provenance from Jeju, subsequently supported on material recovered at Mt Halla (RJB pers. obs.).

Japanese material from around shrine gardens, farms and other disturbed sites, or “generally found near houses” (Ohfuchi, 1937). Under moss at NIBR Jeju facility. Under rocks at Nogeyama park. In soil near graveyards at Mt Halla Temple, Jeju.

mt DNA COI data for *Amythas micronarius* samples:


> WO1 Korean *A. micronarius* specimen IV0000246442 from NIBR’s Jeju biosphere:

TTTATACTTCATCTTTAGGGATCTGACCGGGAAT
AATTTGGTCGCAGGAAATAGATTACACTTCAGGT
CGAAATTACACAAACCCGGACATTTCTAGG
GGATCAACTATAACATACATTGTAACCGCA
CGCATTCTTAATAATCCTTTCTAGATGC
GTATTATTGAGGAGTTTGGAATTGTATTACT
CCTCTAATGTTGGGAAACCAAGATAGATTTGCTTCC
CCACGCTCTAATAACATGAGATTTGTTATTATTA
CCTCCGTCACTTATTATTTACCTCGTTCATCTGAG
CCGTAGAAAGGAGCCGGAAACGAGTGGAAC
GTATACCCCCCGCTAGCAAGAAACATTGCCCAT
GCCGGGCAACGATAGACCTTTGCACATTTCTCA
CTACACTTAGGAGGACCTCTCCTAATTTAGG
GCAATATTACCTTTATCTACAGTAATTACATG
CGATGACTCGGACTCGCTAGAAGAAGATCCC
CTATCTCTGATGGCCAGTCGTTAACCCTGAGTA
TTACCTTCTATCCTACACTACGGATTACGGGGA
GCCACGAACTATCTTTTTACAGACGCCCAACCT
AACACATCGTTCTTTGTACGACCGGTTTG
GCCCTATCTAATGCACTGGTCTTGT

Identities=634/634 (100%), Gaps=0/634 (0%) for Gen-Bank AB542498-AB542501 “*Amythas micronarius*” vouchers from Miyagi, Niigata, Hyogo and Kagawa-ken, Japan (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genbank/June, 2012). Thus identity is supported.

Remarks. Ishizuka’s *Pheretima hinoharensis* is likely synonymous (previously in *Amythas corticis* species-complex), the glands near the spermathecal pores rather irrelevant in parthenogenetic polymorphs, or possibly underdeveloped or overlooked in other specimens. Moreover, Ishizuka’s *Pheretima hypogaea* and *P. edoensis* with three pairs of spermathecae are exactly similar, and so too is his *P. tamaensis* with two (adiverticulate) pairs. All three are mere morphal variations belonging to Goto & Hatai’s prior taxon. Anterior spermathecae in ‘typical’ specimens of *A. micronarius* are often atrophied as if in the process of disappearing as indeed often occurs, indicative of involvement in a polymorphic complex. Thus two other NSMT specimens are mostly identical to the neotype (An446), apart from one (An445) lacking the anterior pair of spermathecae and one (An447) having markings in 17/18 only.

The new Korean and Japanese specimens comply with the species diagnosis, including figured specimens from Watarase and NIBR (as also in the *P. subterranea* synonym), where one worm has all three spermathecal diverticula states: from diverticulate or stalked only to adiverticulate, demonstrating the inconsequence of this character.
The current synonymy above now requires comparisons with Korean Amynthas bamsagolensis Hong & James, 2001 that, following Blakemore (2012a), is held under A. carnosus (Goto & Hatai, 1899), and with A. sangumburi Hong & Kim, 2002: 198 also from Jeju Island that appears an indistinguishable syn. nov. of Amynthas subrotunda (Ishizuka, 2000) comb. nov. itself provisionally held in an Amynthas corticus species-complex in a review by Blakemore (2003) 10 years earlier.

Amynthas shimaensis and A. yamizoyamensis are retained as described below.

Amynthas tralfamadore sp. nov.

[Fig. 7]


Material inspected. Holotype (H), NIBR IV0000246 441, mature specimen fixed and stored in 80% ethanol (EtOH), here dissected and figured (Fig. 7), collected 19.III.2012 by RJB & JooLae Cho of NIBR.

Etymology. After writer Kurt Vonnegut’s fictional biosphere reserve and exhibition.

Description. Length 125 mm, segments 125. A dark chocolate brown anterior dorsum with faint mid-dorsal line, clitellum darker and ventrum pale. Dorsal pores minute in 9/10 open from 10/11. Setae 46-50 per segment around segment 12, up to ca. 70 after clitellum. Spermathecal pores ca. 0.4 circumference apart in 7/8/9. Genital markings as small opposed discs just median to spermathecal pores paired either side in 7/8 (only one unilateral after 7/8rhs) and in 8/9 (plus one ‘rogue’ unilateral after 9/10 rhs); similarly on either side of 18 just ventral to flat male pores.

Internally, small stalked glands correspond to the external genital markings. Peptonephridia fill 5 and 6, septa to 7/8 are thin and absent in 8/9/10 where muscular gizzard occurs, and after this they are also thin. Nephridia meroic. Spermathecae in 8 and 9 each having round ampulla on short duct with rounded clavate diverticulum. Dorsal vessel single; hearts in 10-13. Metandric, testis possibly reduced in 10 but only found in 11 and there not iridescent; seminal vesicles larger posteriorly in 11 and smaller anteriorly in 12. Ovaries and funnels in 13. Prostate glands aborted and only short muscular ducts remain. Oesophagus only slightly dilated in 14-½ 15; intestine origin in 16 with simple caeca from 27 that are unusual in having a paler rugose and capillaried interior face extending forward to ca. 24. Thin lamellar typhlosole commences around 27. Gut contains organic soil and larger grits.

Distribution. Korea, Incheon NIBR facility, possibly introduced from Jeju Island.

mtDNA COI Barcode result:
> W02 A. tralfamadore Holotype IV0000246441 from NIBR’s Jeju biosphere:

Korea: [boxed is a X2 enlargement of 9lhs spermatheca and 18rhs male pore].

Fig. 7. Amynthas tralfamadore sp. nov. holotype from NIBR Korea; [boxed is a X2 enlargement of 9lhs spermatheca and 18rhs male pore].

Amynthas tralfamadore
ACCAATAAAATTAATGAGGGAGTAGG
AAATCTTATGTTATTAAATCGAGGGAACGCCAT
ATCTGGGGTTCCAAGTATTAGGGGTAGTAACCA
GTTTCCGAATCCACCAATAAATACTGGCATTAC
TAGAAAGAAGATTATTTAAAGTCATGCTTG
TACAATTGATTATATAGCTGGTCCCTAACCAAG
GAAAGTCCAGGTTGCTTTAACTCATAACGAAT
AAGTAGTCTTTATCCTGCACCAATTATTCCTGCT
CAAATTCCTAGAATAAAGTATAGGG

megaBLAST showed no match better than 94% for voucher specimens, neither based on types, of AB542533 “Amynthas robustus” from Japan or EF077538 “A. triastriatus” from China (an erstwhile synonym of M. masatakae), or only 85% of max identity for DQ224191 “A. robustus” from Taiwan (cf. M. ryunome sp. nov.).

Remarks. A parthenogenetically degraded species, lacking prostate glands as with A. masatakae, nevertheless, in the key of Sims & Easton (1972) its incipient metandry corresponds to an A. martiorum-group. If holandric it would approach the large A. aeruginosus-group that contains both A. robustus and A. masatakae.

Distinctive characteristics of A. tralfamadore are the shape of spermathecae with the diverticula bulb spherical rather than elongate as in A. robustus or “paprika-shaped” in A. masatakae—although the importance of this in parthenogenetic morphs is perhaps debatable—and its inner face of intestinal caeca perhaps more rugose than in A. masatakae types. Moreover, its paired sets of markings in 18 are slightly wider apart on each side compared to those in the A. masatakae types (Fig. 1). Its mtDNA COI barcode data serves to definitively characterize this species.

Although type-locality is the NIBR facility, its origin has yet to be clearly determined. The Jeju-do display uses bagged potting soil for bulking, but worms may have been accidentally introduced around roots of trees and shrubs and flowers directly imported from the Island or indirectly via plant nurseries.

This survey only took about 10 minutes and probably other species of earthworms are yet living in the facility, despite periodic replacement of the soil (pers. obs.), but a more extensive search was curtailed in order to protect the public display.

Amynthas shimaensis (Goto & Hatai, 1899) comb. nov. [Fig. 8].
Perichaeta shimaënsis Goto & Hatai, 1899: 15, fig. 3, tab. 1. Shima, Kai Peninsula. Type(s) unknown.
Pheretima shimaensis: Michaelsen, 1900: 303.

Material examined. Single mature specimen unregistered in Yokohama National University (YNU) SERG earthworm collection, from high mountain plains, Mt Fuji, Shizuoka-ken, Japan collected 8th November, 1998 by To-
moko Uchida.

Description. Dark grey dorsum with intersegments not noticeably darker nor paler, clitellum puce in Fuji specimen versus dark flesh colour with darker intersegments in Goto & Hatai’s specimen. Length 150 mm with 132 segments (205 and 163 segments in Goto & Hatai). First dorsal pore in 12/13 (Fuji specimen and Goto & Hatai’s account). Setae ca. 50 before clitellum and ca. 60 after in Fuji specimen (Goto & Hatai have about 85 in the genital segments). Spermathecal pores four pairs in 5/6/7/8/9 about 0.25 of circumference apart. Male pores superficial on segment 18 with about 10-13 setae intervening (Goto & Hatai have them separated by 13 setae but only show 11 in their fig. 3). Genital markings paired posteriorly in 19 encroaching in 19/20 with solid gland corresponding internally. Septa 8/9 and 9/10 aborted. Spermathecal diverticula clavate and convoluted (or “winding” in Goto & Hatai). Last hearts in 13. Holandric with seminal vesi-
bles in 11 & 12. Intestine from 15; intestinal caeca from 26 or 27 simple with incised ventral margins (in Fuji specimens at least). Lamellar typhlosole from ca. 27 (pers. obs.).

**Distribution.** Japan, Mie-ken, Kansai [that Easton (1981: 55) mistakes as “GUMMA” (=Gunma-ken) in Kanto], and now possibly Mt Fuji in Shizuoka-ken.

**Remarks.** *Amynthas shimaensis* (Goto & Hatai, 1899) is restored from synonymy in *A. micronarius* (Goto & Hatai, 1898). However, the major problem now is that the only difference between *A. shimaensis* and the prior *Amynthas grossus* (Goto & Hatai, 1898: 75) - provisionally in synonymy of *A. fuscatus* (Goto & Hatai, 1898: 66) after Easton (1981: 57) along with ten other synonyms from Blakemore (2003: 19) - is posterior markings additional to those in 19 (or absent). Both taxa typically have winding spermathecal diverticula. Although not mentioned by Goto & Hatai, all these species appear to share incised intestinal caeca, at least in mature worms and, accepting this, the current specimen agrees tolerably with their description of *A. shimaensis*. Proper resolution requires new material from its Shima, Mie-ken type-locality.

Alternatively, this Fuji specimen may actually correspond to *Amynthas grossus* once it is separated and removed from *A. fuscatus*, but such acts are deferred pending further studies from Japan.

Korean *Amynthas murayamai* (Kobayashi, 1938: 164) is distinguished from *A. shimaensis* redescribed here, in its GMs anteriorly on 19 with no setae intervening, amongst other differences; also its intestinal caeca are smooth edged. Thus it is maintained on the Korean biodiversity list.

*Amynthas yamizoyamensis* (Ohfuchi, 1935)  
*Pheretima yamizoyamensis* Ohfuchi, 1935: 413, figs. 6-10. Mt. Yamizo, Japan. Types?


**Note.** Name sometimes misspelt “yamijoyamensis” or “yamizoyamaensis”.

**Material examined.** None-fate and location of the original four syntypes unknown.


**Distribution.** Mt Yamizo (1022 m) lies in three prefectures: Fukushima, Ibaragi and Tochigi. A similar if not conspecific Yamanashi-ken specimen (14/2/2003) is also in YNU collection although its caeca are slightly incised ventrally.

**Remarks.** The question of restoration from Easton’s (1981: 55) synonymy in *A. micronarius* mooted by Blakemore (2010a: 193) is here supported.

Genus *Metaphire* Sims & Easton, 1972

*Metaphire ryunome* sp. nov.  
*Fig. 10.*

**Material inspected.** Holotype (H), NMST An457, mature posterior amputee specimen fixed and stored in 80% ethanol (EtOH), here dissected and figured (Fig. 10), from Hikone collected 2.II.2011 by RJB and Shiga Uni. students. Paratype (P) NMST An458, mature complete specimen with same collection details, in 80% EtOH.

**Etymology.** Japanese “dragon eyes”, for the appearance of the male pores.
**Diagnosis.** Marginally in *Metaphire* with spermathecal pores 0.3 apart in 7/8/9. Genital markings small near or within spermathecal and male pores. Intestinal caeca simple, smooth from 27. Spermathecal diverticula elongate. Dorsal pores from 10/11.

**Distribution.** Japan, Shiga-ken, Hikone (ca. 35°16’N 136°16’E), Kaideima-cho, from ‘aze’ (dividing pathway) adjacently to rice paddy plots used by Shiga University.

**Description.** Length 30+ mm (H) and 45 mm with 70 segments (P). Dorsum a faint brown colour with no mid-dorsal line, clitellum darker and ventrum pale. Dorsal pores from 10/11. Setae ca. 60 per segment. Spermathecal pores ca. 0.3 circumference apart in 7/8/9. Genital markings as small discs just posterior to spermathecal pores in 7/8 and 8/9 (absent from 7/8rhs on H); small papillae internal to eye-like secondary male pores on 18 just median to primary male pore within small hollow construed as strictly non-superficial thereby just qualifying for *Metaphire*.

Internally, small stalked glands correspond to the external genital markings. Peptonephridia to 5/6, septa after this thin, 8/9 present (at least ventrally) going to base of gizzard, 9/10 absent, 10/11/12 slightly thickened. Nephridia meric forests. Spermathecae in 8 and 9 each having spherical ampulla on short duct with elongate diverticulum (inseminated). Dorsal vessel single; hearts in 10-13. Holandric, testis in sacs in 10 & 11; seminal vesicles anteriorly in 11 & 12. Ovaries fan-shaped with funnels in...
Holotype (H) An457. and for most others, the for-

BOLD Systems (www.boldsystems.org/) data for holotype tagged An457.1 (compared to sub-samples An457.2 and to paratype (P) An458.1 and An458.2 all initially tagged as “Metaphire robustus” from preliminary identification by RJB).

A. tralfamadore is transitional from Amynthas Kinberg, 1867 to Metaphire Sims & Easton, 1972, the former having superficial male pores and often complex genital markings, the latter having non-superficial male pores often with intromittent organs in “copulatory pouches” of various depths thus having less need of genital markings to adhere, attach and help locate superficial pores of copulocards.

**Remarks.** Although coming closest to the restricted definitions of either A. masatakae or A. robustus (Figs. 1 & 2) the most noticeable differences are lack of markings either mid-ventrally in 18 or paired near spermathecal and/or male pores, respectively. On the basis of the morphology differing from the types of A. robustus or A. masatakae, and because the mtDNA COI barcodes differ from what workers in Japan and Taiwan label as “A. robustus” (including masatakae’), these Hikone specimens are thus newly named. However, it is entirely possible that the COI vouchers from Japan and Taiwan represent some other valid or invalid synonyms of A. robustus as restored herein.

**Metaphire ryunome** is morphologically comparable to Kobayashi’s (1937) Korean “masatakae” and to *Pheretima reisuiensis* Kobayashi, 1938: 139 from “Zenra-nandō” (South Cholla) that too has spermathecal pores in 7/8/9; however, its anterior markings are more median in intersegmental furrows, amongst many other differences such as its dorsal pores from 11/12 and setae numbering 25–60. Kobayashi (1938) figures and describes “Secondary male pore represented as a large eye-like aperture” within which the primary male porophore is found. On the basis of this, it qualifies for genus *Metaphire* in a new combination as *M. reisuiensis*.

**Discussion.**

The amount of basic revision required to simply put names to two specimens shows how scant our current knowledge is of earthworm species boundaries, in East Asia at least, as well as how earthworm taxonomy is in a rather chaotic state. To reiterate, no *Pheretima* Kinberg, 1867 *s. stricto* are present in Japan nor Korea, as the “default” genus for uncertainties has, for the last 40 years been *Amynthas* Kinberg, 1867 (see Sims & Easton, 1972; Blakemore, 2003; 2007a, and in prep.).

As regards *Amynthas micronarius*, from a total of ca. 950 valid pheretimoid species from ~1,500 names (Blakemore, 2007b and unpublished), approximately 125 taxa also have spermathecal pores in 5/6/7/8/9, about half are in *Amynthas* and, of these, *Amynthas enyokuanus* (Oh-fuchi, 1951: 60) from China and *A. khaokhoaianus* (Thai & Samphon, 1989: 73) from Laos are known to have GMs in 17/18 and 18/19. Work is needed to definitively distinguish these from *A. micronarius* as well as any similar species having fewer spermathecae and markings that may almost certainly be confused with its numerous current synonyms. In such cases DNA barcoding helps resolution and allows detection of *A. micronarius* proper if spread elsewhere.

Whilst attempting to resolve such issues, types were sought for earlier Japanese species, but syntypes remained elusive for *Amynthas micronarius* and for most others of Goto & Hatai’s names, despite extensive searches by
the author (cf. Blakemore & Ueshima, 2011; Blakemore, 2012b). Thus a neotype is explicitly erected under ICZN (1999: Art. 75) in order to objectively define this taxon’s identity that has been much confused following its initial designation, accruing several synonyms and often swapping genera. The label (by Dr Hatai or his student Mr Ohfuchi?) identifies the neotype as “Ph micronaria” without details. Original type-locality was “Tokyo” whence several synonyms occur, although distribution now extends throughout Japan to Korea.

Nothing in Ohfuchi’s description of his *Pheretima obtusa* differs substantially from *A. micronarius*; although it may be slightly smaller and darker in colour, it is probably an amphimixic form. Ohfuchi only uses “the presence of the diverticulum” to separate it from *micronarius*, an inconsequential difference for parthenogenetic morphs of the same species, as is now clearly demonstrated. Other morphs were variously described as new by Ishizuka.

On the other hand, Japanese *Amynthas shimaensis* and *A. yamizoyamensis* are both now removed from Easton’s (1981: 54) placement in *A. micronarius*, the former thought closer to *A. fuscatus* by Blakemore (2003: 22), while the latter’s revival was mooted by Blakemore (2010a: 193). New material from Mt Fuji (above) apparently complying with the type description of *shimaensis* supports its specific status and suggests a closer link with a restored *A. grossus* (Goto & Hatai, 1898).

Specimens with spermathecal pores in 6/7/8/9 and markings in 17/18 and 18/19 may sometimes key out as cosmopolitan *Amynthas hupeiensis* (Michaelsen, 1895) also recently collected by the author in Korea (specimens in NIBR) and in Japan, but it differs in many morphological, behavioural and ecological respects so is not considered closely related, as commented on by Ohfuchi (1937: 53) and Blakemore (2003; 2010b).

Concerning *Amynthas*/*Metaphire* placement, the division is straightforward when we have clearly defined male pores, such as in Horst’s *schmardae* (now type-species of *Duplodicodrilus* Blakemore, 2008), but less easily determined for borderline cases, as here with *M. ryunome*. Then the question is simply: Are the male pores superficial? (=*Amynthas*), or non-superficial? (=*Metaphire*) and, secondarily for this latter kind, are they massive and eversible (=*Duplodicodrilus*). It is well known that this qualifying condition for *Metaphire*, i.e., male pores in copulatory pouches, may have arisen more than once (e.g. Sims & Easton, 1972: 214) but surely the precursor must always have been superficial male pores. Artefactual reversion may appear in some parthenogenetic morphs with degraded male organs, but a considered viewpoint (e.g. Blakemore 2000; 2008; 2010b) is that a non-superficial form of male pore is necessarily a development, traceable back along an evolutionary line, regardless of time or place, from the more basic superficial form as found in *Amynthas*. Thus, Sims & Easton’s (1972: 170) stated aim in separating these two genera for “more conveniently sized groups” also has some phylogenetic justification.

Does *Amynthas robustus* belong in *Metaphire*? This is currently unresolved as the state of the male pores in its type is not described in sufficient detail. Gates (1939: 476) offers no guidance as he inconsistently has male pores superficial in some specimens but invaginate in others, suggesting to me that he is referring under one heading either to parthenogenetically degraded morphs or to likely different species.

Despite *megaBLAST* indication, *Metaphire ryunome* is far removed morphologically from parthenogenetic *Amynthas incongruus* (Chen, 1933) that typically has spermathecal pores in 5/6/7 rather than 6/7/8, amongst other differences. This suggests that if its vouchers are one and the same species as the current one, then this is a misdiagnosis and misidentification from China since the DNA data for the present specimens are unequivocal. Similarly for the *A. rafflesamore* COI result that compares to several GenBank voucher names.

The challenge now is for colleagues in those places where *A. robustus* (Perrier) *sensu stricto* and its allies are thought to occur (as detailed in Blakemore, 2010b) to likewise define their taxa, both morphologically and molecularly: seeking consensus from chaos while correcting our errors sooner rather than later.
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