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1. INTRODUCTION

The Cadmium Zinc Telluride (CZT) detector is promising for radiation detection and medical imaging owing to its excellent energy resolution, sufficient bandgap energy, and room temperature operation [1-2]. Regardless of these advantages, it is known that the CZT detector has poorer transport properties than other semiconductor detectors, signifying that electron-hole pairs when they are generated cannot be completely collected on each electrode (i.e., the cathode and the anode) [3-4]. This phenomenon leads to a significant distortion of the measured energy spectrum. Hence, the mobility-lifetime products of the electron-hole pair ((µτ)ₑ and (µτ)ₕ) are often considered in accurate evaluations of the characteristics of a CZT detector.

A common method to determine the transport properties of these types of detector is based on their responses to α particles [5-7]. This method, while known to
be very sensitive to experimental conditions such as the shaping time and the measuring temperature, is an effective tool for studying the mobility-lifetime products of carriers due to the short mean free path of the α particle. Table 1 shows previous evaluations of the transport properties of commercial CZT detectors based on a typical evaluation method [8-11]. It was found that previously published measured values show considerable differences, in fact nearly a 10-fold difference, between the maximum and minimum values for each (μτ) product.

In this study, the simultaneous measurement of two different radiations, α particles and gamma-rays, was performed to analyze the extent of the sensitivity of the α particle method to the shaping time of an amplifier module and to confirm the possible application of low-energy gamma-rays as an alternative to the common method. The measured results were also compared with the energy spectrum simulated by MCNPX code [12] to confirm the accuracy of the experimental values.

2. METHODS AND MATERIALS

To determine the mobility-lifetime products of an electron-hole pair in a semiconductor detector, measured energy spectrums under various bias voltages are required and specific variation of these spectrums should be analyzed by a suitable model matching each detector’s type (i.e., planar, pixel, strip, and others). Herein are specific descriptions of methods used in the experiments and simulations.

2.1. The Mobility-lifetime Determination

A commercial-grade planar CZT detector (5 × 5 × 2 mm³) manufactured by eV Products was utilized to analyze the charge transport properties. The CZT crystal in this detector was grown by the High-Pressure Bridgman (HPB) method [13], and the two electrodes that collected the charge carriers were made of 10 µm-thick platinum. For the determination of the mobility-lifetime products for the electron and hole, the selected detector was irradiated with low-energy gamma-rays (Eγ < 60 keV), and 5.5 MeV α particles were emitted from an 241Am isotope through the front surface of the detector. The signals from the CZT detector were processed by a preamplifier and an amplifier (ORTEC 590A), of which the shaping time was changed from a set minimum time (0.5 µs) to a set maximum time (3 µs). The energy spectrum was obtained from a Multi-Channel Analyzer (ORTEC 919E), and these experiments were performed at room temperature. Figure 1 shows the CZT detector and a circuit board surrounded with aluminum housing which was designed to prevent external noise. The series of the experiments performed in this study progressed as the bias voltage was changed from -200 V to 200 V.

2.2 Energy Spectrum Simulation Considering the Transport Properties of CZT Detectors

The Hecht equation optimized for a planar CZT detector was employed to derive the charge collection efficiency, as a function of the CZT depth, and the mobility-lifetime products [14]. This equation allows for the calculation of the charge collection efficiency, which here is represented as the ratio of the number of charge carriers induced at the electrodes to the total number of carriers created by the radiation interaction (see Eq. (1)). If most interactions between the radiation emitted from the source and semiconductor material occur on the near-incident surface, this equation can also be modified to the simplest form to extract the mobility-lifetime from the peak variation of measured spectrums, as shown in Eq. (2) [5]. Because the mean free paths of the gamma-ray and α particles used in this experiment were less than 200 µm and were a few

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>(μτ)ₑ [cm²/V]</th>
<th>(μτ)ₕ [cm²/V]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Y. Nemirovsky</td>
<td>4.0 × 10⁴</td>
<td>8.0 × 10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. E. Toney</td>
<td>6.0 × 10³</td>
<td>3.0 × 10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. C. Veale</td>
<td>1.25 × 10⁴</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. H. Park*</td>
<td>1.69 × 10³</td>
<td>3.76 × 10⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* (μτ)ₑ and (μτ)ₕ products were evaluated using an alpha particle and gamma-ray, respectively

Fig. 1. Experiment Apparatuses (i.e., CZT Detector, Circuit Board, and Aluminum Housing) Used for Measuring the Transport Properties of an Electron-hole Pair in a Planar CZT Detector
These equations can be adopted for an analysis of the transport property of CZT detector, as follows:

\[ \eta(z) = \left( \frac{\mu \tau}{D} \right)_e \frac{E}{D} \left[ 1 - e^{-\frac{(D-z)}{\mu \tau_e E}} \right] \]

\[ \eta(z) = \left( \frac{\mu \tau}{D} \right)_h \frac{E}{D} \left[ 1 - e^{-\frac{D}{\mu \tau_h E}} \right] \]

Here, \( \eta \) is the charge collection efficiency, \( D \) is the detector thickness, and \( (\mu \tau)_e \) and \( (\mu \tau)_h \) are the mobility-lifetime products for the electron and hole, respectively.

The brief flow chart in Figure 2 was designed to simulate the energy spectrum of the incident radiation considered with the transport property of the CZT detector. The mobility-lifetime products were obtained by the above-mentioned method, and the deposited energy along the interaction position of the incident radiation was calculated using a DBCN card \(^{12}\), which is used primarily for debugging problems in the code itself. In the calculation, the radiation source (26.3 keV and 59.5 keV gamma rays) was assumed to be perpendicularly incident on the planar detector from \(^{241}\)Am; it was located 3 cm away from the negative contact to simulate the actual condition identically. The collected charge on each electrode was also investigated by a combination of the deposited energy and the charge collection efficiency at a specific position, and the energy spectrum was obtained through the accumulation of the energy that collected on the electrodes. The symmetrical peak broadening caused from the electronic noise (including the leakage current) was approximated with the Gaussian shape, which was estimated from the experimental results \(^{11}\). Finally, the energy spectrum obtained from this procedure was compared with the experimental results to verify the accuracy of the derived mobility-lifetime products.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Table 2 shows the \( (\mu \tau)_e \) and \( (\mu \tau)_h \) products determined by two types of radiation emitted from the \(^{241}\)Am isotope as the shaping time of the amplifier module was changed from 0.5 \( \mu \)s to 3 \( \mu \)s. It was found that an evaluated values for the \( (\mu \tau)_h \) product could be increased steadily by increasing the shaping time, regardless of the type of radiation used. In contrast, this trend in the \( (\mu \tau)_e \) product differed slightly from the trend noted with the incident radiation. Specifically, the \( (\mu \tau)_e \) product derived from the \( \alpha \) particles was more stable than that from the low-energy gamma rays.
gamma-rays during changes in the shaping time (see each standard deviation in Table 2). The ratio of the two mobility-lifetime products \((\mu \tau)_e/(\mu \tau)_h\) decreased rapidly with an increase in the shaping time up to approximately half of that obtained at the shortest shaping time. From these results, it was confirmed that the shaping time of the amplifier module affected the evaluation for the \((\mu \tau)\) products. It was also found that the method involving the use of low-energy gamma-ray was more sensitive to this change compared to the \(\alpha\) particle method.

Figure 3 shows the optimized cases among the charge collection efficiencies as a function of the bias voltage used to determine the \((\mu \tau)\) products. In the case of the method using low-energy gamma-rays, the experimental results at a shaping time of 1.5 \(\mu\)s were the most stable, perfectly matching the fitting graph generated by the Hecht equation. On the other hand, those from \(\alpha\) particle method were gradually stabilized by increasing the shaping time of the amplifier module. However, it was considered that the \((\mu \tau)_e\) product determined from the optimized condition

---

**Table 2. Mobility-lifetime Products of an Electron-hole Pairs Derived from Gamma-rays and Alpha Particles at Various Shaping Times**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Used Radiation</th>
<th>Shaping Time [(\mu)s]</th>
<th>((\mu \tau)_e) [cm²/V]</th>
<th>((\mu \tau)_h) [cm²/V]</th>
<th>((\mu \tau)_e/\mu \tau)_h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\gamma)-ray</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(1.36 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(6.66 \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>20.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>(1.52 \times 10^{-3}) (Case 1)</td>
<td>(1.15 \times 10^{-3}) (Case 2)</td>
<td>13.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>(2.23 \times 10^{-3})</td>
<td>(2.03 \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>10.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation [(\sigma)]</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.78 \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>(5.65 \times 10^{-5})</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha) particle</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>(5.40 \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>(6.16 \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>8.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>(7.15 \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>(1.12 \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>6.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>(6.35 \times 10^{-4}) (Case 3)</td>
<td>(1.39 \times 10^{-4}) (Case 4)</td>
<td>4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation [(\sigma)]</td>
<td></td>
<td>(7.15 \times 10^{-4})</td>
<td>(3.21 \times 10^{-5})</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of the α particle method (Case 3) was about two times less than the previously known value for a commercial CZT detector \[15-16\]. Therefore, the energy spectrum of some of the radiation emitted from the 241Am source was simulated while taking into account the transport properties for the electron-hole pairs (from Case 1 to Case 4). This was then compared with the experimental results in an effort to evaluate the accuracy of the determined products.

Figure 4 shows the energy spectrum derived from the methods using the low-energy gamma-rays and the α particles. The energy spectrum derived from the gamma-ray method was in excellent agreement with the experimental results in the energy range from 0 – 70 keV. In another case, the peak position (region 1) and the tail (region 2) in the spectrum differed slightly from those of the measured result. It was expected that the $(\mu r)\tau$ product derived from the α particle method would be relatively low given that the electron-hole pairs generated from the α particle (mean free path: ~ a few µm) were influenced by the distortion of the electric field at the incident surface \[17\]. This indicates that the method involving the use of low-energy gamma-rays is useful for investigating the transport properties of semiconductor detectors.

4. CONCLUSIONS

The simultaneous measurement of two different types of radiation emitted from an 241Am isotope was performed in an effort to investigate the sensitivity of the α particle method to the shaping time of the amplifier module as well as the efficiency of the method using low-energy gamma-rays. The energy spectrum considering the transport properties of the CZT detector was also simulated to compare the accuracy of derived values to the experimental results. It was confirmed that the α particle method resulted in steadily stabilization with an increase in the shaping time of the amplifier module. This method was also less sensitive to this change than the gamma-ray method. While energy spectrum derived from the α particle method showed some degree of discrepancy (i.e., the peak position and tail) from the experimental results, the energy spectrum derived from this method using low-energy gamma-rays showed good agreement with the experimental results over the entire energy range from 0 – 70 keV. Therefore, it is considered that low-energy gamma-rays are more useful when seeking to obtain the transport properties of carriers than α particles because the method involving the use of gamma-rays is influenced less by the surface condition of the semiconductor detector compared to other methods. In addition, the analysis system in this study, which was configured by a combination of Monte Carlo simulation and the Hecht model, is very reliable at reconstructing the actual spectrum to study the characteristics of the CZT detectors.
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