Improvement of Color and Luminance Uniformity of the Edge-Lit Backlight Using the RGB LEDs
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The effect of the M-window color mixing bar on the characteristics of color mixing and hot spots in the edge-lit backlight employing red (R), green (G), blue (G) light emitting diodes (LED) were studied in terms of the structure of the M-window color mixing bar. The rays from RGB LEDs entering the M-window bar were mixed by internal reflection and scattering inside the M-window bar so that the hot spots and color separation were minimized. The M-window bar was designed and fabricated and the simulation results are matched quite well to experimental data.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Recently the light emitting diodes (LED) became the major light sources for the edge-lit liquid crystal display (LCD) backlight because the color gamut of the white light LEDs became wide enough to compete the cold-cathode fluorescent lamp (CCFL), and the white LEDs are free from the color-mixing problem. So, the white LEDs are being used in the large-size LCDs such as LCD TVs as well as the small-size LCDs such as the mobile and notebook [1-4]. The hot spot problem in the LED backlight unit (BLU) is not so serious when the white LEDs are used as the light sources because many low power white LEDs are placed very close to each other [5]. However, the future trend of the LCD will be LCDs having high image quality in order to compete with the organic light emitting diode (OLED) that is rising as a strong competitor to the LCD. In order to achieve the high image quality with color gamut exceeding 100% of NTSC, two different approaches are possible. One is developing the white LED that has a wider color gamut by using three or more phosphor materials, and the other is using RGB LEDs as the light sources. Typically the WLEDs have smaller color gamut due to the lack of the red spectral region when a yellow phosphor is used to convert the blue light from the blue LED. The phosphor materials for wide color gamut WLED are still under development [6]. Also, WLEDs show poor white balance in terms of the emission angle because the thickness of phosphors does not change exactly in the same angular profile of the blue light intensity [7].

However, RGB LEDs are already well developed light sources and the challenge is how to design the backlight system free from any hot spots or color nonuniformity through sufficient color mixing [8, 9]. A typical structure of the edge-lit BLU as shown in Fig. 1 is composed of the light sources, reflector, light guide panel (LGP), the diffuser sheet (DS), the prism sheet, and the reflective polarizer sheet [10, 11]. Lights coming out of the RGB LEDs are mixed by total internal reflection and scattering in the lightguide panel to produce the white color. When there is no color-mixing device in front of the lightguide panel, the hot spots and the hot lines at the corresponding positions of LEDs appear as shown in Fig. 2 since the straight lights from LEDs have more intensity than other directions [12]. Also, the simulation shows that the color spread becomes as large as $\Delta x=0.2$, $\Delta y=0.28$ in the CIE chroma-
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FIG. 1. The structure of the edge-lit backlight unit including the reflector, light guide panel, diffuser sheet, prism sheet, reflective polarizer sheet, and red, green, blue light emitting diodes (LED).

city diagram when there is no color mixer. This shows that when RGB LEDs are used as the light sources the color separation as well as the hot spots will be another serious problem. Especially at the side region where a special color LED is located the white balance is very hard to achieve [13].

In this paper the problems of the hot spots, hot lines, and color nonuniformity are solved by inserting a color mixing bar that has M-type coupling windows between the lightguide panel and the RGB LEDs. Simulations of using the color mixing bar are presented and the experimental result shows that it is very efficient in eliminating the hot spots, hot lines, and color nonuniformity.

II. SIMULATION

The simulation model of the edge-lit LED BLU is composed of a transparent PMMA light guide panel with size 3×184.2×117.9 mm$^3$, a diffuser sheet, a prism sheet, and a reflective polarizer sheet as shown in Fig. 1. The diffuser sheet has a diffusing layer on the top surface of the surface with a Gaussian scattering property with spread angle of 15 degrees. The prism sheet has the micro prism array on the top surface of the sheet with prism structure of 50 microns in pitch and apex angle of 90 degrees. The reflective polarizer film was set with transmittance of 90% and 10% for the two orthogonal polarizations. 30 RGB LEDs are placed in groups of RGB LED with 10 mm between the adjacent LEDs. The size of LED chips are given as 0.1×0.4×0.4 mm$^3$ and the output flux of RGB LEDs are 36, 250, 15 lumens with emission wavelengths of 620, 570, and 465 nm, respectively.

First, the simulation was performed for the conventional backlight structure without any color-mixing bar. Fig. 2 shows a typical simulation results for the illuminance chart, CIE chromaticity diagram for the color distribution, and the vertical (dashed line) and horizontal (solid line) angular luminance profiles in polar coordinates. The illuminance chart shows the strong hot spots in front of the LED groups, and the wide color spread $\Delta x=0.2$, $\Delta y=0.28$ in the chromaticity diagram shows that the color mixing is very poor. Fig. 3 shows the change of the strength of the hot spots as the gap between the LEDs and the lightguide panel was varied from 0.5 mm to 3.5 mm. When the gap was set as 0.5 mm, strong hot spots appeared as shown in Fig. 3(a). As the gap was increased up to 3.5 mm, the hot spots became weak, but the color mixing is still very poor as...
shown in the chromaticity diagram of Fig. 3(d).

Fig. 4 shows various types of color mixing bar such as the lenticular coupling type and the prism coupling type [14, 15]. In both cases the problems of hot spots and color mixing are not solved perfectly. Fig. 5 shows the proposed edge-lit backlight system with M-window color-mixing bar placed between the lightguide panel and the RGB LEDs. The RGB lights from the RGB LEDs enters the color mixing bar by the M-window reflecting facets and then they are mixed to generate white light by the total internal reflection and scattering in wide range covering several LEDs. The size of the M-window bar was 3×4×187.5 mm and the M-window has entrance width 2 mm and depth 1mm. The slanted surface of the M-window was coated with a specular reflecting layer and the side surfaces except the exit surface were treated to be reflective with reflectance of 98%. Fig. 6 shows the simulation results of the backlight system when the M-window color-mixing bar was placed between the lightguide panel and the RGB LEDs. It is seen in illuminance chart of Fig. 6(a) that most of the hot spots disappeared and the CIE chromaticity diagram shows that the color distribution on the backlight is centered around the white spot showing the color spreading \( \Delta x = 0.06 \), \( \Delta y = 0.13 \) and this means that the color mixing is very good. Also, the angular luminance profile of Fig. 6(c) shows the view angle is 104 × 80 degrees along the horizontal and the vertical directions.

Table 1 shows the simulation results for the various coupling types such as the bare surface (no color mixer), the lenticular lens type shown in Fig. 4 (a), the prism coupling type shown in Fig. 4(b), and the M-window bar. The contrast ratio CR and the hot spot ratio HSR measured at 5 mm apart from the entrance surface of the lightguide panel.
FIG. 6. Simulation results of RGB LED BLU with the color mixing bar. (a) the illuminance chart shows that the hot spots disappeared, (b) the color distribution in the CIE chromaticity diagram showing color spread $\Delta x = 0.06, \Delta y = 0.13$.

FIG. 7. The simulation results of the coupling efficiency in terms of the LED emission angle, the optical property of the exit surface.

The insertion of the M-window color mixing bar between the RGB LEDs and the lightguide panel tend to induce the loss of light power that is coupled into the lightguide panel. The major parameters affecting the coupling efficiency that is defined as the ratio of light power coupled into the lightguide panel compared to the total output power of LED will be the emission angle of LEDs, optical property of side surfaces of the M-window bar and the exit surface. Fig. 7 shows the change of the coupling efficiency in terms of the LED emission angle and the optical property of the exit surface. The LED emission angle was changed from 10 degree to 180 degree in steps of 30 degrees and the optical property of the exit surface were assumed to be Fresnel, Gaussian, and Lambertian scattering surfaces. The reflectance and transmittance of the Gaussian and Lambertian scattering
surfaces were assumed to be 10% and 90%, respectively. The optical property of the side surfaces of the M-window bar was assumed to have the Lambertian reflectivity of 98%. Fig. 7 shows the simulation results of the coupling efficiency in terms of the LED emission angle and the optical property of the exit surface. The Lambertian exit surface shows the better coupling efficiency compared to the Gaussian and Fresnel surfaces and the LED emission angles of 10 and 90 degrees show larger coupling efficiency compared to the other LED emission angles. The higher coupling efficiency of the Lambertian exit surface is thought to come from the shorter propagation length of light through the M-window bar. In contrast, the mixing effect of light inside the M-window bar for the Fresnel exit surface will be the best among the three types of the exit surface.

III. EXPERIMENTS

A prototype M-window color-mixing bar was fabricated by machining a polycarbonate bar as shown in Fig. 8. The size of the bar was 3×4×187.5 mm³ and the size of the M-window was 2 mm in the entrance window and 1 mm in depth. The slanted surfaces were coated with a reflecting layer of reflectivity 98%. The M-window color-mixing bar was inserted between the RGB LEDs and the lightguide panel. The observed hot spots are almost disappeared as shown in Fig. 9(a) compared to the strong hot spots shown in Fig. 9(b). Fig. 10 shows the measured power in terms of the position along the entrance surface of the lightguide. When there is no color mixing bar, strong peaks appear exactly at the corresponding positions of the RGB LEDs, however, the power becomes uniform when the M-window color mixing bar war inserted between the LEDs and the lightguide panel. The maximum to minimum power ratios are 16.7 and 1.3 without and with the color-mixing bar. This means that the M-window color-mixing bar is as efficient as about 12.8 times in reducing the hot spots.

IV. CONCLUSION

The edge-lit RGB LED backlight has serious problems such as the hot spots and color nonuniformity. We designed the M-window color mixing bar that can eliminate efficiently the hot spots and color nonuniformity in the RGB LED backlight. The simulation and experimental results showed that the color mixing bar with M-windows works very well. In the conventional backlight system with RGB LEDs, the hot spots disappeared when the distance between RGB LED and the entrance surface of the lightguide panel was larger than 3.5 mm, but the color mixing was still poor. This means that it is very difficult to eliminate both the hot spots and color nonuniformity at the same time in the conventional edge-lit RGB LED backlight system. However, it is shown in this paper that both the hot spots and the color nonuniformity can be solved together by introducing the M-window color-mixing bar between the RGB LEDs and the lightguide panel. The introduction of an M-window color-mixing bar tends to reduce the normal luminance due to loss of light energy in the color-mixing bar and more research is needed to optimize the structure of the color-mixing bar the size of the M-window, the reflectance, and the optical scattering properties of the color mixing bar. The loss of light can be compensated by reduction of LED
number because the high power LEDs can be used with larger spacing between the adjacent LEDs. The optimum spacing between adjacent LEDs and the total LED number will be determined by the LCD size, the total output flux of the LCD, and the output power of the LEDs.
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