A Comparative Quantitative Analysis of IDEAL (Iterative Decomposition of Water and Fat with Echo Asymmetry and Least Squares Estimation) and CHESS (Chemical Shift Selection Suppression) Technique in 3.0T Musculoskeletal MRI
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Patients who underwent hip arthroplasty using the conventional fat suppression technique (CHESS) and a new technique (IDEAL) were compared quantitatively to assess the effectiveness and usefulness of the IDEAL technique. In 20 patients who underwent hip arthroplasty from March 2009 to December 2010, fat suppression T2 and T1 weighted images were obtained on a 3.0T MR scanner using the CHESS and IDEAL techniques. The level of distortion in the area of interest, the level of the development of susceptibility artifacts, and homogeneous fat suppression were analyzed from the acquired images. Quantitative analysis revealed the IDEAL technique to produce a lower level of image distortion caused by the development of susceptibility artifacts due to metal on the acquired images compared to the CHESS technique. Qualitative analysis of the anterior area revealed the IDEAL technique to generate fewer susceptibility artifacts than the CHESS technique but with homogeneous fat suppression. In the middle area, the IDEAL technique generated fewer susceptibility artifacts than the CHESS technique but with homogeneous fat suppression. In the posterior area, the IDEAL technique generated fewer susceptibility artifacts than the CHESS technique. Fat suppression was not statistically different, and the two techniques achieved homogeneous fat suppression. In conclusion, the IDEAL technique generated fewer susceptibility artifacts caused by metals and less image distortion than the CHESS technique. In addition, homogeneous fat suppression was feasible. In conclusion, the IDEAL technique generates high quality images, and can provide good information for diagnosis.
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1. Introduction

With the aging society, the average life span is prolonged and the social activities of the elderly are on the rise. Consequently, there has been an increase in the incidence of hip fractures (fractures in the femoral neck and intertrochanteric fracture). In particular, despite the development of treatments and rehabilitation techniques, these fractures have a high complication rate and death rate [1]. The incidence of femoral fractures increases noticeably in the sixth decade. Melton et al. [2] reported that in the mid-80s, one in three females and one in six males developed hip fractures. According to American statistics, more than 250,000 patients develop hip fractures annually, and the number is estimated to increase 2 fold in 2050, making it an important social issue.

Hip fractures occur primarily in elderly patients with...
severe osteoporosis due to small trauma. As surgical treatment methods, reduction and internal fixation have been performed in the past but the rate of nonunion, bone necrosis and fixation failure is high. Therefore, bipolar hip arthroplasty and total hip arthroplasty are performed for early walking. Hip arthroplasty that employs the metal on metal articulation was first applied approximately 40 years ago [3, 4]. The durability of the metal used in early products was not good. Recently, arthroplasty using second generation metal on metal articulation was developed [5, 6]. Nevertheless, a range of complications, such as fractures, dislocations, etc., have been encountered after hip arthroplasty. Therefore, radiological tests have been performed to assess the presence or absence of complications after total hip arthroplasty as well as to assess the condition of the hip prosthesis. Regarding radiological tests, plain X-ray radiography was performed as the first test because it is a simple, fast and economical. Subsequently, CT or MRI was also performed. MRI is best for diagnosis but in patients who underwent hip arthroplasty, the devices cause image distortion or susceptibility artifacts, meaning that MRI cannot provide sufficient radiological information in these cases. Of the MRI techniques, fat suppression suppresses the normal fat signals, which can improve the efficacy of diagnosing the infiltration of fats into lesions or invasion into the bone marrow. Therefore, it has been applied as an essential technique for an examination of the musculoskeletal system. In the currently used fat suppression technique, chemical shift selection suppression (CHESS), the level of fat suppression differs according to the inhomogeneity of the main magnetic field in an area (B0). Therefore, inhomogeneous fat suppression can occur in an examination of the area beyond the main magnetic field [7]. In Dixon’s method, similarly, depending on the inhomogeneity of the main magnetic field, the level of fat suppression level was shown to be different [8]. Therefore, conventional fat suppression techniques have significant limitations in obtaining homogeneous images. In particular, metal materials can induce more severe inhomogeneous fat suppression because of the difference in the magnetic susceptibility of metal materials from the adjacent tissues. Recently, the iterative decomposition of water and fat with echo asymmetry and least squares estimation (IDEAL) technique was introduced. This technique has been reported to suppress fat homogeneously without affecting the major magnetic field [9].

Therefore, the present study evaluated the effectiveness and utility of the IDEAL technique in patients who underwent hip arthroplasty by a quantitative comparison with the conventional fat suppression technique CHESS.

2. Patients and Methods

2.1 Patients

The subjects were 20 patients who underwent hip arthroplasty from March 2009 to December 2010. Their age ranged from 58 to 72 years with a mean of 68.8 years. Artificial prostheses were made from titanium alloy materials. The length ranged from 190 mm to 385 mm depending on the femoral injury area. The diameter ranged from 14 to 25 mm. The neck shaft angle of the artificial prosthesis was 145° (Fig. 1).

2.2 Data acquisition

The MR images were obtained using a 3.0T MR scanner (Signa 3.0T HDx. GE Healthcare. Milwaukee. WI) and a HD T/R 8ch Torso phased array coil (In vivo Corp. Gainesville. FL). First, T2 weighted sagittal plane images (TR = 3500 sec, TE = 100 msec, NEX = 2) were obtained to assess the level of insertion of artificial materials. Subsequently, prior to contrast enhancement, the fat suppression T2 weighted coronal images were obtained by the CHESS technique using the fast spin-echo (FSE) imaging method. From the same area, the fat suppression T2 weighted coronal plane images were obtained using the IDEAL technique. The imaging parameters were as follows:

- Repetition time (TR): 3500 ms, echo time (TE): 90 ms,
- Matrix: 256 × 256, number of excitation (NEX): 1,
- Slice thickness: 5.0 mm, field of view (FOV): 380 mm.

In the fast spin-echo (FSE) imaging method employing
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the post-contrast CHESS technique, the fat suppression,
T1 weighted, coronal plane images were obtained from
the same area where the fat suppression T1 weighted
images coronal plane images had been obtained by using
the IDEAL technique. The imaging parameters were as
follows:

Repetition time (TR): 700 ms, echo time (TE): 15 ms,
Matrix: 256 × 256, number of excitation (NEX): 1, Slice
thickness: 5.0 mm, and field of view (FOV): 380 mm.

Pre-contrast T2 weighted images and post-contrast T1
weighted images obtained using the CHESS and IDEAL
techniques were sent to the Advantage Workstation (Ver
4.3, Revision 4. GE healthcare. Milwaukee. WI, USA).
First, the length of the area where susceptibility artifacts
had developed due to metals was measured to assess the
level of distortion of the area of interest. Regarding the
measurement points, an image of the midline area includ-
ing the area where artifacts had developed was acquired,
and the width and length were measured (Fig. 2). In addi-
tion, a total 5 examiners (one specialist in reading the
musculoskeletal system, a resident, and 3 radiology techni-
cians) evaluated the images with regard to the level of
susceptibility artifacts and the homogeneity of fat sup-
pression in the three areas anterior area, middle area and
posterior area). The window width and window level were
preset at 450/40, and were evaluated using a 5 points
score; Unacceptable (1 point), Suboptimal (2 points), Ade-
quate (3 points), Good (4 points) and Excellent diagnostic
quality (5 points). On the images acquired from the two
groups, the difference in the average length of the area
where the artifacts had developed was analyzed using a
paired student t-test (SPSS win 17.0, Chicago, USA). Based
on the images acquired using the IDEAL technique, the
ratio statistic of the length on the images acquired by the
CHESS and IDEAL techniques was obtained. The results
were validated using a paired student t-test. P values
< 0.05 were considered significant.

3. Results

On the pre-contrast T2 weighted images, the length of
the area where susceptibility artifacts had developed due
to metals was measured. On the images acquired using
the CHESS technique, the length and width was 24.31
± 5.43 cm and 28.12 ± 6.25 cm, respectively. On the
images acquired using IDEAL technique, the length and
width was 13.27 ± 3.77 cm and 25.34 ± 5.44 cm, respec-
tively. In addition, on the post-contrast images, the length
of the area where susceptibility artifacts had developed
due to metals was measured. On the images acquired
using the CHESS technique, the length and width was
25.48 ± 6.02 cm and 30.26 ± 7.04 cm, respectively. On
the images acquired using the IDEAL technique, the
length and width was 15.21 ± 4.01 cm and 26.22 ± 6.11
cm, respectively (Table 1). On the two images, the length
(p < 0.001) and width (p < 0.05) on the image acquired
using the IDEAL technique were shorter than those
acquired using the CHESS technique. On the two images,
the ratio statistics of the CHESS and IDEAL techniques
was calculated based on the images acquired using the
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Table 1. Measurement of the length of the area where susceptibility artifacts had developed due to metal (N=20)  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Group</th>
<th>Width</th>
<th>Vertical length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre CHESS</td>
<td>24.31 ± 5.43</td>
<td>28.12 ± 6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre IDEAL</td>
<td>13.27 ± 3.77</td>
<td>25.34 ± 5.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post CHESS</td>
<td>25.48 ± 6.02</td>
<td>30.26 ± 7.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post IDEAL</td>
<td>15.21 ± 4.01</td>
<td>26.22 ± 6.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHESS: Chemical Shift Selection Suppression, IDEAL: Iterative decomposition of water and fat with echo asymmetry and least squares estimation, Pre: Before contrast injection, post: After contrast injection. The interaction effect was determined using the paired t test model.

*p < 0.001, **p < 0.05
The CHESS technique was approximately 83.2% longer and 10.1% wider than the IDEAL technique (Fig. 3). In other words, the image distortion caused by susceptibility artifacts due to metals was smaller using the IDEAL technique than using the CHESS technique.

The pre-contrast T2 weighted images and post-contrast T1 weighted images acquired using the CHESS and IDEAL techniques were evaluated. In the anterior area, the IDEAL technique developed fewer susceptibility artifacts than the CHESS technique and homogeneous fat suppression (p < 0.05) (Table 2) (Fig. 4). In the middle area, the IDEAL technique developed fewer susceptibility artifacts than the CHESS technique, as well as homogeneous fat suppression (p < 0.05) (Table 3) (Fig. 5). In the posterior area, the IDEAL technique developed fewer susceptibility artifacts than the CHESS technique (p < 0.05). Fat suppression was not statistically different. Fat suppression by the two techniques was homogeneous (p < 0.05) (Table 4) (Fig. 6). Overall, the IDEAL technique developed fewer susceptibility artifacts due to metals and less image distortion than the CHESS technique. Furthermore, homogeneous fat suppression could be achieved. In the anterior (Table 2 and Fig. 4), middle (Table 3 and Fig. 5) and posterior area (Table 4 and Fig. 6), the IDEAL technique developed fewer susceptibility artifacts than the CHESS technique as expected.

**Table 2.** In the anterior area, evaluation of the images obtained using the CHESS and IDEAL techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Group</th>
<th>Susceptibility artifact development level</th>
<th>Homogeneous fat suppression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coronal T2 CHESS</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.54</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted IDEAL</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.70</td>
<td>4.00 ± 0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.045*</td>
<td>0.035*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal T1 CHESS</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.54</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted IDEAL</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.70</td>
<td>3.20 ± 0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.020*</td>
<td>0.045*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHESS: Chemical Shift Selection Suppression, IDEAL: Iterative decomposition of water and fat with echo asymmetry and least squares estimation, Pre: Before contrast injection, post: After contrast injection. The interaction effect was determined using the paired t test model.

*p < 0.05

**Table 3.** In the middle area, evaluation of the images obtained using the CHESS and IDEAL technique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Group</th>
<th>Susceptibility artifact development level</th>
<th>Homogeneous fat suppression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coronal T2 CHESS</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.54</td>
<td>2.00 ± 0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted IDEAL</td>
<td>4.00 ± 1.00</td>
<td>4.00 ± 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.044*</td>
<td>0.011*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal T1 CHESS</td>
<td>3.00 ± 0.70</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted IDEAL</td>
<td>4.00 ± 1.00</td>
<td>4.00 ± 1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.0264*</td>
<td>0.044*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CHESS: Chemical Shift Selection Suppression, IDEAL: Iterative decomposition of water and fat with echo asymmetry and least squares estimation, Pre: Before contrast injection, post: After contrast injection. The interaction effect was determined using the paired t test model.

*p < 0.05
well as homogeneous fat suppression (p < 0.05). Both techniques produced similar fat suppression.

### 4. Discussion

Among the MRI techniques available, fat suppression has been used as an essential technique for examining the musculoskeletal system because it suppresses the normal fat signals and increases the efficacy of a diagnosis of fat infiltration into lesions or invasion into the bone marrow.

The CHEES technique, which is used most commonly, is a method that suppresses fat signals by applying the difference in the resonance frequency between water molecules and fat. The chemical environment of hydrogen contained in water molecules and fat is different, and their chemical movement differs by 3.5 ppm. By the application of such a frequency difference, the technique obtains the signal of only one component, either water or fat molecules, but the level of fat suppression can be different if the main magnetic field is heterogeneous. Accordingly, fat suppression may be inhomogeneous in these tests [10] (Fig. 7).

Dixon is a method that suppresses fat by obtaining two different images applying the difference in the processional frequency between water and fat molecules, and adding and subtracting them (Fig. 8). The post-treatment process time for a reconstruction of the two images is long, making the entire process time quite long. The method is also affected greatly by the inhomogeneity of the main magnetic field [11]. On the other hand, the basic principle of the newly developed IDEAL technique is the separation of signals primarily by the phase difference between fat and water molecules. This is a method that modifies the previously applied 2 point Dixon method to a 3 point technique, and the echo of three different phases (water-fat phase shifts $-\pi/6$, $\pi/2$, $7\pi/6$) is obtained by the phase difference according to the difference in resonance fre-
Frequency between fat and water. Based on this, fat signals and water signals are separated by applying a reconstruction algorithm, and independent water suppression and fat suppression images can be generated [12] (Fig. 9). In other words, for each time echo (TE), the echoes were acquired from 3 different phases, and based on them, 4 types of images (water-only, fat-only, inphase, and out of phase) were reconstructed by the application of a reconstruction algorithm [13]. Studies that applied the IDEAL technique were conducted primarily in the head and deck area. Ma et al. [14] compared the 2 point Dixon method and 3 point IDEAL technique in the head and neck area. The Dixon method generated artifacts due to the movement of blood vessels and the difference in susceptibility, and fat suppression was inhomogeneous. With the IDEAL technique, homogeneous fat suppression was achieved, and artifacts due to the difference in susceptibility were reduced. Costa et al. [15] reported that the detection rate of lipoma...
in the area above the chest using the IDEAL technique was higher than the CHESS technique. In the present study, the study subjects were patients who had received hip arthroplasty. The results show that the IDEAL technique developed fewer susceptibility artifacts caused by metals than the CHESS technique, and there was less image distortion. In addition, homogeneous fat suppression could be achieved. Furthermore, water or fat suppression could be achieved in the two types of images by a single scan due to the principle of IDEAL technique (Fig. 10). The IDEAL technique reconstructs images based on the signals obtained by excitation 3 times, and independent fat suppression images and water suppression images were generated.

5. Conclusion

In an examination of the musculoskeletal system by MRI, the IDEAL technique can achieve homogeneous fat suppression. The development of susceptibility artifacts could be reduced even in patients with a metal prosthesis. In conclusion, the IDEAL technique generates high quality images, and can provide good information for diagnosis.
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